J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 1
WO014 At any time in the last 2 years (so since June 2016), have you personally been involved in making the arrangements for a funeral which took place in the UK?
Base: All Adults 18- in the UK

	GENI	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NAT	IONS		INTE US	RN AG
TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	
6109	2931	3178	1643	1785	2681	4684	1425	1499	1924	1244	1442	5338	739	5067	523	342	177	5387	
6109	2984	3125	1727	2052	2330	4714	1395	1671	1721	1237	1480	5300	780	5124	516	291	178	5493	
5563	2611	2961	1477	1660	2521	4256	1308	1394	1778	1129	1300	4875	663	4615	480	315	161	4914	
304 5%	118 4%	187 6%a	43 3%	115 6%c	146 6%c	228 5%	76 5%	97 <i>6</i> %	85 5%	60 5%	62 4%	275 5%n	26 3%	247 5%	24 5%	20 7%	13 <i>7</i> %	278 5%	
234 4%	120 4%	114 4%	49 <i>3</i> %	72 3%	114 5%cd	183 4%	51 4%	85 5%kl	75 4%l	43 3%l	31 <i>2</i> %	213 4%	20 3%	192 4%	20 4%	9 3%	12 7%oq	216 4%	
5562 91%	2743 92%b	2819 90%	1633 95%de	1866 91%e	2063 89%	4299 91%	1263 91%	1486 <i>8</i> 9%	1558 <i>91%</i>	1133 92%i	1385 94%ij	4804 91%	734 94%m	4678 91%r	471 91%r	261 90%	152 <i>86</i> %	4996 91%	
532 <i>9</i> %	235 <i>8</i> %	297 9%a	91 <i>5</i> %	184 9%c	257 11%cd	404 9%	127 9%	178 11%kl	159 9%l	101 <i>8</i> %	93 <i>6</i> %	483 9%n	44 6%	435 <i>8</i> %	43 <i>8</i> %	28 10%	26 14%op	487 9%	
:			:	-	-			:		-	-	:	-	:	-	-	- :	:	
15	6	9	3	2	10	11	4	6	4	2	3	13	2	12	2	2	-	10	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/l Overlap formulae used.

Unweighted Base Weighted Base Effective Base Yes, I was involved in making arrangements for a funeral between June 2017 and now

Yes, I was involved in making arrangements for a funeral between June 2016 and May 2017

No, I have not been involved in making arrangements for a funeral since June 2016 Any involved (Net)

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 2
WOO1A At any time in the last 2 years (so since June 2016), have you personally been involved in making the arrangements for a funeral which took place in the UK?
Base: All Adults 18- in the UK

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	DIRECTOR		OF FUNERAL (
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Unweighted Base	6109	109	248	48	266	81	106	119
Weighted Base	6109	107*	242	46*	262	79*	105*	116
Effective Base	5563	100	229	45	245	74	97	111
Yes, I was involved in making arrangements for a funeral between June 2017 and now	304 5%	65 <i>60</i> %	131 <i>54</i> %	23 49%	149 57%	42 53%	51 48%	70 60%
Yes, I was involved in making arrangements for a funeral between June 2016 and May 2017	234 4%	44 41%	115 <i>47</i> %	23 51%	117 44%	37 47%	55 <i>52</i> %	47 40%
No, I have not been involved in making arrangements for a funeral since June 2016	5562 91%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Any involved (Net)	532 <i>9</i> %	107 100%	242 100%	46 100%	262 100%	79 100%	105 100%	116 100%
Don't know	:	-	-	-	-	-		-
Refused	15	-		-	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k Overlap formulae used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

14 Aug 2018

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 3

WO014 At any time in the last 2 years (so since June 2016), have you personally been involved in making the arrangements for a funeral which took place in the UK?
Base: All Adults 18- in the UK agreeing to participate

Unweighted Base
Weighted Base
Effective Base
Yes, I was involved in making arrangements for a funeral between June 2017 and now
Yes, I was involved in making arrangements for a funeral between June 2016 and May 2017
No, I have not been involved in making arrangements for a funeral since June 2016
Any involved (Net)
Don't know
Refused

	GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EΑ		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NAT	IONS		INTER USA	AGE
TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
6092	2923	3169	1640	1784	2668	4672	1420	1493	1919	1241	1439	5322	738	5055	521	339	177	5376	716
6094	2978	3116	1724	2050	2319	4704	1390	1665	1717	1235	1477	5286	778	5113	514	289	178	5483	611
5547	2604	2953	1475	1659	2509	4245	1304	1388	1774	1127	1297	4861	662	4604	479	312	161	4904	662
304 5%	118 4%	187 6%a	43 3%	115 6%c	146 6%c	228 5%	76 5%	97 6%	85 5%	60 5%	62 4%	275 5%n	26 3%	247 5%	24 5%	20 7%	13 <i>7</i> %	278 5%	27 4%
234 4%	120 4%	114 4%	49 3%	72 3%	114 5%cd	183 4%	51 4%	85 5%kl	75 4%l	43 3%l	31 <i>2</i> %	213 4%	20 3%	192 4%	20 4%	9 3%	12 7%oq	216 4%	18 <i>3</i> %
5562 91%	2743 92%b	2819 90%	1633 95%de	1866 91%e	2063 <i>8</i> 9%	4299 91%	1263 91%	1486 <i>8</i> 9%	1558 91%	1133 92%i	1385 94%ij	4804 91%	734 94%m	4678 91%r	471 92%r	261 90%	152 86%	4996 91%	566 93%
532 <i>9</i> % -	235 8% -	297 10%a -	91 5% -	184 9%c -	257 11%cd	404 9% -	127 9% -	178 11%kl	159 9%l	101 <i>8</i> %	93 6% -	483 9%n -	44 6%	435 9% -	43 8% -	28 10%	26 14%op -	487 9% -	45 7% -
:		-							-						-	-	-		-
1 :		-		-	-		_		-	_	-		-		-	-	_		-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/kl - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/l Overlap formulae used.

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 4
W001A At any time in the last 2 years (so since June 2016), have you personally been involved in making the arrangements for a funeral which took place in the UK?
Base: All Adults 18- in the UK agreeing to participate

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	IRECTOR		OF FUNERAL (
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Unweighted Base	6092	109	248	48	266	81	106	119
Weighted Base	6094	107*	242	46*	262	79*	105*	116
Effective Base	5547	100	229	45	245	74	97	111
Yes, I was involved in making arrangements for a funeral between June 2017 and now	304 5%	65 <i>60</i> %	131 <i>54</i> %	23 49%	149 57%	42 53%	51 <i>48</i> %	70 60%
Yes, I was involved in making arrangements for a funeral between June 2016 and May 2017	234 4%	44 41%	115 47%	23 51%	117 44%	37 47%	55 <i>52%</i>	47 40%
No, I have not been involved in making arrangements for a funeral since June 2016	5562 91%	:	:	:	-	:	-	-
Any involved (Net)	532 <i>9</i> %	107 100%	242 100%	46 100%	262 100%	79 100%	105 100%	116 100%
Don't know	:	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Refused			1				:	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k Overlap formulae used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 5
WO01B You said that you were involved in making arrangements for at least one funeral in the last 2 years.
As far as you are aware, were you involved in making the arrangements for an 'at need' funeral?
Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making funeral arrangements in the past 24 months

Unweighted Base
Weighted Base
Effective Base
Yes, I was
involved in making
arrangements for
an "at need" tuneral between
June 2017 and now
Yes, I was
involved in making
arrangements for
an "at need" tuneral between
June 2016 and May
June 2016
Any involved in
Yet need 'funeral
(Net)
Don't know
Belised

	GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NAT	IONS		INTEI US/	AGE
TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
541	239	302	87	162	292	409	132	163	183	104	91	495	41	440	43	33	25	490	51
532	235	297	91*	184	257	404	127	178	159	101*	93*	483	44*	435	43*	28**	26**	487	45*
496	215	281	78	150	278	373	123	152	169	96	83	454	37	404	40	30	22	450	47
209 <i>39</i> %	80 34%	129 43%a	31 35%	79 43%	99 38%	154 <i>38</i> %	56 44%	71 40%	56 35%	44 43%	39 <i>42</i> %	192 40%	16 <i>36</i> %	168 <i>39</i> %	22 51%	13 45%	7 28%	196 40%	14 31%
152 29%	73 31%	79 <i>2</i> 7%	33 36%d	43 <i>2</i> 3%	76 <i>30</i> %	113 <i>28</i> %	39 <i>30</i> %	59 33%	49 31%	24 24%	20 22%	140 29%	11 24%	123 28%	12 <i>2</i> 9%	5 19%	11 45%	143 29%	9 20%
172 32%	79 34%	93 <i>3</i> 1%	26 29%	64 35%	83 <i>32</i> %	138 <i>34</i> %	34 27%	51 <i>2</i> 9%	57 36%	33 <i>33</i> %	31 <i>34</i> %	153 32%	17 39%	146 34%	11 24%	9 32%	7 27%	151 31%	22 48%s
352 66% 3	151 <i>64</i> % 1	201 68% 2	60 <i>67</i> %	119 <i>65</i> % 1	173 <i>67%</i> 1	261 65%	91 <i>71%</i>	126 <i>70</i> %	102 <i>6</i> 4%	66 <i>66</i> %	58 63%	325 <i>67%</i> 3	25 57%	283 65% 2	33 76%	18 64%	19 <i>73</i> %	330 68%t 3	23 51%
1%		1%	1%				1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	'	-	2%	-	1%	-
4	4 2%h	-	3 4%-do	1	-	3	1	1		-	3 4%ii	2	2	3	-	1		3	1

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - t/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/l Overlap formulae used. * small base, ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 6
WO01B You said that you were involved in making arrangements for at least one funeral in the last 2 years.
As far as you are aware, were you involved in making the arrangements for an 'at need' funeral?
Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making funeral arrangements in the past 24 months

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D			OF FUNERAL (
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Unweighted Base	541	109	248	48	266	81	106	119
Weighted Base	532	107*	242	46*	262	79*	105*	116
Effective Base	496	100	229	45	245	74	97	111
Yes, I was involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral between June 2017 and now	209 39%	70 66%	137 56%	26 56%	154 59%	45 57%	56 53%	72 62%
Yes, I was involved in making arrangements for an "at need" tuneral between June 2016 and May 2017	152 <i>29</i> %	40 <i>37</i> %	112 46%	20 44%	116 44%	34 43%	51 <i>48</i> %	48 41%
No, I have not been involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral since June 2016	172 32%	-	-	-	-	-	-	: :
Any involved in 'At need' funeral (Net)	352 <i>66</i> %	107 100%	242 100%	46 100%	262 100%	79 1 <i>0</i> 0%	105 100%	116 100%
Don't know	3 1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Refused	4 1%	-		-	-	-		-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k Overlap formulae used. * small base

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 7

WO02 - Thinking about the most recent occasion in which you were involved in making the arrangements for an 'at need' funeral. Which of the following, if any, best describes the type of funeral that was arranged?

Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months

		GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NAT	IONS		INTE US	AGE
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Unweighted Base	360	158	202	58	105	197	269	91	116	117	68	59	333	24	287	34	21	18	332	28
Weighted Base	352	151	201	60*	119*	173	261	91*	126	102	66*	58*	325	25**	283	33*	18**	19**	330	23**
Effective Base	332	144	188	52	98	188	247	85	109	108	63	54	307	22	265	32	19	16	307	26
A burial with a funeral service or ceremony beforehand	100 28%	50 33%	50 25%	18 29%	32 27%	50 29%	76 29%	23 26%	31 25%	20 20%	26 39%j	22 38%j	89 27%	9 38%	67 24%	11 35%	4 21%	18 95%	90 27%	9 41%
A 'direct' burial, i.e. a burial without a funeral service or ceremony	7 2%	4 3%	3 1%	1 2%	3 2%	3 2%	5 <i>2</i> %	2 2%	1 1%	2 2%	1 2%	2 4%	5 1%	2 10%	5 2%	1 3%	-	1 5%	6 2%	1 5%
A cremation with a funeral service or ceremony beforehand	221 63%	92 61%	130 <i>6</i> 4%	39 64%	78 66%	104 <i>60</i> %	162 62%	60 65%	85 68%l	70 69%l	37 56%	29 49%	209 <i>6</i> 4%	11 44%	189 <i>67</i> %	18 55%	14 79%	-	212 64%	9 42%
A 'direct' cremation, i.e. a cremation without a funeral service or ceremony	20 6%	5 3%	15 <i>8</i> %	2 4%	5 4%	13 <i>8</i> %	14 5%	6 7%	7 6%	9 8%k	1 1%	4 7%	19 <i>6</i> %	1 4%	19 7%	1 4%	-	1	17 5%	3 13%
There was a burial, but I can't remember/not sure whether it was 'direct' or not	!	-	1 *	1 1%	-	-	1	- 1	:	:	:	1 1%	1 *	-	1.	:	:	-	1	-
There was a cremation, but I can't remember/not sure whether it was 'direct' or not	!	-	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	1 *	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1	-	1.	-	:	-	1	•
ANY Burial (Net)	107 30%	54 36%	53 26%	20 32%	35 29%	53 31%	82 31%	25 28%	33 26%	22 22%	27 41%ij	25 43%ij	94 29%	12 47%	73 26%	12 38%	4 21%	19 100%	97 29%	10 46%
ANY cremation (Net)	242 69%	97 64%	146 72%	32% 41 68%	83 70%	119 69%	177 68%	66 72%	93 74%kl	79 77%ki	38 57%	33 56%	29% 229 71%	47% 12 49%	209 74%	20 60%	14 79%		230 70%	12 54%
Direct Burial or Cremation (Net)	27 8%	9 6%	18 9%	4 6%	8 6%	16 9%	19 7%	8 9%	8 7%	11 10%	2 3%	6 11%	24 7%	49% 4 14%	24 8%	2 7%		1 5%	23 7%	4 18%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - t/h - t/j/k/l - m/n - a/p/q/r - s/l Overlap formulae used. * small base, ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 7

WO02 - Thinking about the most recent occasion in which you were involved in making the arrangements for an 'at need' funeral. Which of the following, if any, best describes the type of funeral that was arranged?

Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months

Weighted Base Burial or Cremation with service or ceremony beforehand (Net) Refused

	GEN	IDER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NAT	IONS		INTE US	
TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
352	151	201	60*	119*	173	261	91*	126	102	66*	58*	325	25**	283	33*	18**	19**	330	23**
321 <i>91</i> %	142 94%	179 <i>8</i> 9%	56 93%	110 <i>92</i> %	155 90%	238 91%	83 91%	116 93%	91 <i>8</i> 9%	63 95%	51 <i>87</i> %	298 <i>92</i> %	21 <i>82</i> %	256 90%	30 90%	18 100%	18 95%	302 <i>92</i> %	19 <i>82</i> %
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3 1%	:	3 1%		2 1%	1 1%	3 1%	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	2	1 4%	2 1%	1 3%		-	3 1%	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (6% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - t/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/t Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Table 8

WO02 - Thinking about the most recent occasion in which you were involved in making the arrangements for an 'at need' funeral. Which of the following, if any, best describes the type of funeral that was arranged?

Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months

		NATURE (OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	SON OF DIRECTOR	COST	OF FUNERAL (POUNDS)
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Unweighted Base	360	109	248	48	266	81	106	119
Weighted Base	352	107*	242	46*	262	79*	105*	116
Effective Base	332	100	229	45	245	74	97	111
A burial with a funeral service or ceremony beforehand	100 28%	100 93%b	-	8 17%	75 29%	28 35%	27 25%	30 <i>26</i> %
A 'direct' burial, i.e. a burial without a funeral service or ceremony	7 2%	7 7%b	<u>:</u>	2 4%	3 1%	2 3%	1 1%	1 1%
A cremation with a funeral service or ceremony beforehand	221 63%	Ī	221 91%a	32 68%	170 <i>6</i> 5%	41 52%	71 67%i	79 69%i
A 'direct' cremation, i.e. a cremation without a funeral service or ceremony	20 6%	Ξ.	20 8%a	3 7%	15 <i>6</i> %	7 9%	5 5%	5 4%
There was a burial, but I can't remember/not sure whether it was 'direct' or not	1	1 1%	Ī	-	- -	- -	-	-
There was a cremation, but I can't remember/not sure whether it was 'direct' or not	1	- -	1 .	1 2%f	-	-	1 1%	-
ANY Burial (Net)	107 30%	107 100%b	-	9 20%	78 30%	30 38%	28 27%	31 <i>27</i> %
ANY cremation (Net)	242 69%	-	242 100%a	36 78%	184 <i>70%</i>	48 61%	77 73%	84 73%
Direct Burial or Cremation (Net)	27 8%	7 7%	20 <i>8</i> %	5 11%	18 7%	9 12%	6 <i>6</i> %	6 5%
Burial or Cremation with service or ceremony beforehand (Net)	321 <i>91</i> %	100 93%	221 91%	39 85%	245 93%	68 <i>87</i> %	97 92%	110 95%

Page 10

14 Aug 2018

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 8

WO02 - Thinking about the most recent occasion in which you were involved in making the arrangements for an 'at need' funeral. Which of the following, if any, best describes the type of funeral that was arranged?

Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months

Weighted Base

Don't know Refused

	NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D		COST	OF FUNERAL	
TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
352	107*	242	46*	262	79*	105*	116
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1 - 1	-	-		-	-		
3	-		1	-	1	1	
1%		-	2%f	-	1%	1%	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k Overlap formulae used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 9

W003 - And was a funeral director (an undertaker) involved in making any of the arrangements for this 'at need' funeral?

Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who recall funeral type, or who refused to say what type of "at need" funeral was arranged

Unweighted Base Weighted Base Effective Base

Don't know

	GEN	IDER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NAT	IONS		INTE US	AGE
TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
360	158	202	58	105	197	269	91	116	117	68	59	333	24	287	34	21	18	332	28
352	151	201	60*	119*	173	261	91*	126	102	66*	58*	325	25**	283	33*	18**	19**	330	23**
332	144	188	52	98	188	247	85	109	108	63	54	307	22	265	32	19	16	307	26
329 <i>93</i> %	144 95%	185 <i>92</i> %	55 91%	108 91%	165 <i>96</i> %	241 92%	88 <i>97</i> %	120 96%	98 95%	60 90%	51 <i>88</i> %	308 95%	18 72%	260 92%	33 100%	18 100%	18 96%	310 94%	18 <i>80</i> %
21 <i>6</i> %	5 3%	16 <i>8</i> %	5 9%	8 7%	7 4%	18 7%	3 3%	4 3%	3 3%	7 10%i	7 12%ij	15 4%	6 24%	20 7%		:	1 4%	16 5%	4 20%
2	2	-	-	2	1	2	-	2	1			2		2			-	2	
1%	1%	-	-	1%		1%	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	
!	-	1 1%		1 1%	-	1	-	-	1 1%		:	:	1 4%	. 1		-	-	1 *	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - cid/e - t/h - i/j/kl - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/t Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 10

W003 - And was a funeral director (an undertaker) involved in making any of the arrangements for this 'at need' funeral?

Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who recall funeral type, or who refused to say what type of "at need" funeral was arranged

	l	NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	DIRECTOR		OF FUNERAL	
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Unweighted Base	360	109	248	48	266	81	106	119
Weighted Base	352	107*	242	46*	262	79*	105*	116
Effective Base	332	100	229	45	245	74	97	111
Yes	329 <i>93</i> %	93 <i>86</i> %	234 97%a	46 100%	262 100%	79 100%	105 100%	116 100%
No	21 <i>6</i> %	12 12%b	8 3%	-	-	-	-	
Don't know	2 1%	2 2%b	-	-	-	-	-	:
Refused	. !	-	-	-	:	:	:	:

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k Overlap formulae used. * small base

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 11

WO01 - You said that the funeral director was involved in making the arrangements for this "at need" funeral.

When deciding which funeral director to use, did you compare the services of two or more funeral directors?

Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months, or who refused to say what type of "at need" funeral was arranged, where a funeral director was engaged.

		GEN	IDER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY				INTER US	AGE	
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Unweighted Base	331	150	181	53	92	186	245	86	112	109	58	52	310	18	261	32	21	17	309	22
Weighted Base	325	142	183	55*	106*	164	238	86*	120	95	58*	51*	304	18**	258	31**	18**	18**	307	17**
Effective Base	305	137	169	48	86	177	225	80	105	100	54	48	286	17	241	30	19	15	286	20
Yes, we compared the services of two or more funeral directors	46 14%	19 13%	28 15%	11 20%	13 12%	22 14%	39 16%	7 8%	21 17%	11 11%	7 12%	8 15%	41 14%	4 22%	41 16%	2 6%	2 9%	2 9%	45 15%	1 7%
No, we did not compare funeral directors	226 70%	104 73%	122 67%	33 61%	74 70%	118 72%	161 <i>68</i> %	65 75%	81 68%	66 69%	41 71%	38 74%	213 70%	11 62%	174 <i>67</i> %	24 77%	14 76%	15 83%	212 69%	14 81%
Not applicable: the choice was made for us because there is only one local funeral director	12 4%	6 4%	7 4%	4 7%	2 2%	6 4%	8 4%	4 5%	2 2%	4 4%	5 8%i	2 3%	12 4%	-	8 3%	3 10%	2 9%	-	12 4%	1 4%
Not applicable: the deceased (the person who died) made their wishes known (choice of funeral director) in advance	24 7%	7 5%	17 9%	1 2%	10 9%	14 8%	18 7%	6 7%	12 10%	6 <i>6</i> %	3 6%	3 6%	23 8%	1 4%	22 9%	1 5%	-	1 4%	23 8%	1 4%
I/we did not involve a funeral director in making the arrangements [DO NOT READ OUT]	:	-	1		Ξ.	:	-	-	-	-	1	Ξ.	:	1	-	1	1	-	:	:
All not applicable (Net)	37 11%	13 <i>9</i> %	24 13%	5 9%	12 11%	20 12%	26 11%	10 12%	14 12%	10 11%	8 14%	4 9%	36 12%	1 4%	30 11%	5 15%	2 9%	1 4%	35 11%	1 8%
DK or can't remember	16 5%	7 5%	9 5%	6 11%e	7 7%	3 2%	12 5%	4 5%	4 3%	9 9%	2 3%	1 3%	14 5%	4% 2 12%	14 5%	1 3%	1 6%	4% 1 4%	15 5%	1 4%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - t/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/l Overlap formulae used. * small base, * very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 12

WOQ1 - You said that the funeral director was involved in making the arrangements for this "at need" funeral.

When deciding which funeral director to use, did you compare the services of two or more funeral directors?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months, or who refused to say what type of "at need" funeral was arranged, where a funeral director was engaged

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D		COST	OF FUNERAL	(POUNDS)
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Unweighted Base	331	93	236	48	266	81	106	119
Weighted Base	325	92*	231	46*	262	79*	105*	116
Effective Base	305	86	218	45	245	74	97	111
Yes, we compared the services of two or more funeral directors	46 14%	9 10%	36 16%	46 100%f	-	15 19%	11 10%	19 16%
No, we did not compare funeral directors	226 70%	67 73%	159 <i>69</i> %	-	226 86%e	52 66%	77 73%	80 70%
Not applicable: the choice was made for us because there is only one local funeral director	12 <i>4</i> %	5 5%	8 3%	:	12 5%	5 7%	3 3%	3 2%
Not applicable: the deceased (the person who died) made their wishes known (choice of funeral director) in advance	24 7%	6 7%	18 <i>8</i> %	:	24 9%e	1 1%	10 9%i	13 11%i
I/we did not involve a funeral director in making the arrangements [DO NOT READ OUT]	:	-	:	-	-	-	-	-
All not applicable (Net)	37 11%	11 12%	26 11%	-	37 14%e	6 <i>8</i> %	13 12%	16 14%
DK or can't remember	16 5%	4 5%	11 5%	-	-	6 7%k	5 4%	1 1%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k Overlap formulae used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

14 Aug 2018

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 13

WOQ1A - You said that you did not compare funeral directors. Just to check, did you have a choice of funeral directors to compare or did you just look at one?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" burial or cremation in the past 24 months (or who refused to say what type of "at need" funeral was arranged) who did not compare funeral directors and did not indicate they had no choice

Unweighted Base
Weighted Base
Effective Base
Yes, we had a
choice but we did
not compare
funeral directors
No, there is only
one local funeral
director
No, the deceased
made their wishes
known (choice of
funeral director)
in advance
All who did not
have a choice of
funeral director
(Net)
Don't know

	GEN	IDER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NAT	IONS		INTEI US/	AGE
TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
228	107	121	31	62	135	166	62	77	74	40	37	215	11	175	24	16	13	210	18
226	104*	122	33**	74*	118	161	65*	81*	66*	41*	38*	213	11**	174	24**	14**	15**	212	14**
210	97	113	28	59	128	152	58	72	68	37	34	198	10	161	22	14	12	194	17
162 72%	75 <i>73</i> %	87 71%	23 68%	54 73%	86 72%	107 <i>67</i> %	55 85%f	66 81%jl	43 65%	30 <i>74%</i>	24 62%	155 73%	7 65%	123 71%	17 73%	12 86%	11 73%	152 72%	11 77%
34 15%	15 15%	18 15%	4 12%	13 17%	17 14%	31 19%h	3 4%	7 8%	13 19%i	5 13%	9 24%i	28 13%	4 35%	28 16%	3 12%	1 9%	2 11%	31 15%	2 17%
30 13%	13 13%	17 14%	7 20%	7 9%	16 13%	23 14%	7 11%	9 11%	10 15%	5 13%	5 13%	30 14%	-	23 13%	3 14%	1 5%	2 16%	29 14%	1 6%
63 28%	28 27%	35 29%	11 <i>32</i> %	20 27%	33 28%	54 33%h	10 15%	16 19%	23 35%i	11 26%	14 38%i	58 27%	4 35%	51 29%	6 27%	2 14%	4 27%	60 <i>28</i> %	3 23%
1 :	-	-	:	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/t Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 14
WOQ1A - You said that you did not compare funeral directors. Just to check, did you have a choice of funeral directors to compare or did you just look at one?
Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" burial or cremation in the past 24 months (or who refused to say what type of "at need" funeral was arranged)
who did not compare funeral directors and did not indicate they had no choice

Unweighted Base
Weighted Base
Effective Base
Yes, we had a choice but we did not compare funeral directors
No, there is only one local funeral director
No, the deceased made their wishes known (choice of funeral director) in advance
All who did not have a choice of funeral director (Net)
Don't know

	NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPAR FUNERAL I		COST	OF FUNERAL (POUNDS)
TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
228	68	160	-	228	52	76	84
226	67*	159	.**	226	52*	77*	80*
210	62	148	-	210	48	69	78
162 72%	46 69%	116 73%	:	162 <i>72</i> %	36 69%	58 75%	57 71%
34 15%	10 15%	24 15%	-	34 15%	7 14%	11 15%	14 17%
30 13%	11 16%	19 12%	Ī	30 13%	9 17%	8 10%	10 12%
63 28%	21 31%	42 27%	- -	63 <i>28</i> %	16 31%	19 25%	23 29%
:	16% 1.	-	-	1	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/ij/k
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 15

WOQ1/A Funeral director comparison and choice summary

Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months, or who refused to say what type of "at need" funeral was arranged, where a funeral director was engaged

Unweighted Base
Weighted Base Effective Base
Yes, we compared the services of two or more funeral directors
No, we did not compare funeral directors (but we had a choice)
Not applicable, choice made for us (only one local funeral director)
Not applicable, choice made for us (deceased made their wishes known)
Funeral director not involved in the arrangements
Don't know/Can't remember
Any not applicable (Net)

		GEN	IDER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NATI	ONS		INTEI US/	AGE
7	OTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
	331	150	181	53	92	186	245	86	112	109	58	52	310	18	261	32	21	17	309	22
- 1	325	142	183	55*	106*	164	238	86*	120	95	58*	51*	304	18**	258	31**	18**	18**	307	17**
- 1	305	137	169	48	86	177	225	80	105	100	54	48	286	17	241	30	19	15	286	20
	46	19	28	11	13	22	39	7	21	11	7	8	41	4	41	2	2	2	45	1
	14%	13%	15%	20%	12%	14%	16%	8%	17%	11%	12%	15%	14%	22%	16%	6%	9%	9%	15%	7%
	162	75	87	23	54	86	107	55	66	43	30	24	155	7	123	17	12	11	152	11
	50%	53%	48%	41%	51%	52%	45%	64%f	55%	45%	52%	46%	51%	40%	47%	56%	66%	60%	49%	62%
i	46	21	25	8	15	23	39	7	9	17	10	11	41	4	36	6	3	2	43	3
	14%	15%	14%	14%	14%	14%	16%	8%	7%	18%i	17%	21%i	13%	22%	14%	20%	16%	9%	14%	18%
	54	20	34	8	17	30	41	13	21	16	9	8	53	1	45	5	1	3	52	2
	17%	14%	18%	14%	16%	18%	17%	15%	18%	17%	15%	16%	17%	4%	18%	16%	3%	17%	17%	9%
	:	:	:	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	:	-	-	-	:	:	-
	16	7	9	6	7	3	12	4	4	9	2	1	14	2	14	1	1	1	15	1
	5%	5%	5%	11%e	7%	2%	5%	5%	3%	<i>9</i> %	3%	3%	5%	12%	5%	3%	6%	4%	5%	4%
L	100	41	59	16	32	53	80	20	30	33	18	19	94	5	81	11	3	5	95	5
	<i>31</i> %	29%	32%	28%	30%	<i>32</i> %	34%	23%	25%	<i>3</i> 4%	<i>32</i> %	<i>36</i> %	31%	26%	31%	35%	19%	26%	31%	<i>27</i> %

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - cid/e - t/h - i/j/kl - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/tOverlap formulae used. * small base: ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 16
WOQ1/A Funeral director comparison and choice summary
Base: All Adults 10+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months, or who refused to say what type of "at need" funeral was arranged,

COMPARISON OF FUNERAL DIRECTOR NATURE OF FUNERAL COST OF FUNERAL (POUNDS) DID NOT COMPARE UP TO 3,000 3,001 - 4,000 COMPARED TOTAL BURIAL CREMATION 4,000 (k) 236 106 119 Unweighted Base 331 93 266 Weighted Base 92* 231 46* 262 79* 105* 116 218 45 245 74 97 111 86 Effective Base 305 Yes, we compared the services of two or more funeral directors 9 10% 36 16% 46 100%f 15 19% 11 10% 19 16% No, we did not compare funeral directors (but we had a choice) 162 50% 116 50% 57 49% 46 50% 36 46% 58 55% Not applicable, choice made for us 31 13% 16 14% (only one local funeral director) Not applicable, choice made for us (deceased made their wishes known) 37 16% 23 20% Funeral director not involved in the arrangements 11 5% Don't know/Can't remember 16 5% 5% 1% Any not applicable (Net) 100 31%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k Overlap formulae used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 17

WOQ2 - How did you find out about the funeral director you used?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or don't know/can't remember whether they compared

		GEN	IDER		AGE		ΔΕ	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NAT	IONS		INTE	RNET
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Unweighted Base	224	104	120	37	64	123	160	64	84	69	39	32	210	13	176	20	16	12	209	15
Weighted Base	225	101*	124	40*	75*	111	159	66*	90*	63*	39*	33**	210	13**	177	20**	15**	13**	212	13**
Effective Base	206	95	112	33	59	117	147	60	78	64	36	29	194	12	162	19	15	11	193	14
They were already known to me'us (personal experience of using them before, or of attending a funeral that they arranged etc.)	129 57%	60 59%	69 56%	16 41%	40 53%	73 66%c	89 56%	40 <i>60</i> %	52 57%	34 55%	23 58%	20 62%	121 58%	7 55%	94 53%	17 <i>84</i> %	8 58%	10 77%	120 57%	9 68%
The deceased had already passed into their care (i.e. from the place of death)	5 2%	1 1%	4 3%	1 2%	1 2%	3 <i>3</i> %	5 3%	-	2 3%	1 2%	2 4%	-	5 <i>2</i> %	-	5 3%	-	-	-	5 2%	-
Funeral director recommended by (NET)	60 26%	28 28%	31 25%	13 33%	21 28%	25 23%	46 29%	13 20%	27 30%	15 25%	9 23%	8 24%	52 25%	6 45%	50 28%	2 11%	5 35%	19%	55 26%	4 32%
family member(s)/ friend(s)/ neighbour(s)/ work colleague(s)	43 19%	21 21%	22 18%	9 23%	15 20%	19 17%	33 21%	10 15%	20 <i>22</i> %	9 14%	7 18%	7 22%	37 17%	5 39%	35 20%	1 5%	5 35%	2 19%	39 18%	4 32%
a bereavement counsellor/ officer	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 3%	1 1%	-	2 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	-	-	2 1%	-
a GP	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 3%	-		1 1%	-	-	1 2%	-	:	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 1%	-
a member of staff at the care home/ hospice/hospital where the deceased died	7 3%	2 2%	5 4%	2 5%	3 4%	2 <i>2</i> %	5 3%	2 3%	4 5%	3 4%	-	-	7 3%	-	7 4%	-	-	-	7 3%	-
a member of staff at the register office	!	-	1 *	:	1 1%	-	!	-	-	1 1%	-	:	1	-	!	-	:	-	1 *	:
a religious leader	6 3%	3 3%	3 2%	-	2 3%	4 3%	5 3%	1 2%	3 4%	1 1%	2 5%	:	5 3%	1 6%	5 <i>3</i> %	1 6%	:	-	6 3%	-

INTERNET USAGE

INTER NET USERS NON INTER NET USERS

NATIONS

WALES

SCOTL AND NORTH ERN IRELA ND

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

ETHNICITY

BAME

WHITE

ENGLA ND (o)

Table 17

WOQ2 - How did you find out about the funeral director you used?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or don't knowican't remember whether they compared

18-34

AGE

35-54

55+ (e) AREA

RURAL

AB

URBAN

GENDER

MALE

TOTAL

FE-MALE

Weighted Base ...a social worker ...a solicitor Contacted an organization (NET) The council/ local authority Adverts, directories, leaflets, notices, posters (NET) ...at the care home/hospice/ hospital where the deceased died Internet/online search (NET) ...using a search engine/ browser (e.g. Bing, Google etc.) Advertisement (NET) ...on the radio ...on the internet Other (NET)

> Local knowledge/ word-of-mouth

225	101*	124	40*	75*	111	159	66*	90*	63*	39*	33**	210	13**	177	20**	15**	13**	212	13**
1 1	1 1%	-	1 3%		:	1 1%	- :	:	1 2%	-		1 1%	- 1	1 1%	-	-	- :	1 1%	
2	1	1	1		1	2			1	1		2		2				2	
1%	1%	1%	3%	-	1%	1%	- 1	-	2%	3%	- 1	1%	- 1	1%	-	-	- 1	1%	-
! !	1 1%	- :		-	1 1%	1			1 1%	-	- :	1		1	-	-	- :	1	- :
2	2		1		1	2			-	2		1	1	2				2	
1%	2%	-	4%	-	1%	1%	- 1	-	-	6%i	- 1	1%	7%	1%	-	-	- 1	1%	-
2	2	-	1	-	1	2	- 1	-	-	2	- 1	1	1	2	-	-	- 1	2	-
1%	2%	1	4%	-	1%	1%	-	-		6%i		1%	7%	1%	-	-	-	1% 1	-
		1%			1%	1%			2%			:		1%				:	- :
		•	-		•												-		
!	-	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	- :	1 2%	-		1		1 1%	-	-	-	1	
					I												l		
1		- 1			l														
9	3 3%	5 4%	3 7%	4 5%	2 2%	4 3%	4 6%	3 4%	:	3 7%j	3 8%	7 3%	1 9%	8 4%	-	-	1 5%	9 4%	-
4%	3	- 1					4		•							•	- 1		
9 4%	3%	5 4%	3 7%	4 5%	2 2%	4 3%	6%	3 4%	-	3 7%j	3 <i>8</i> %	7 3%	1 9%	8 4%	-		1 5%	9 4%	- 1
1					I												I		
1		- 1			ı												1		
4 2%	1 1%	4 3%	1 2%	2 2%	2 2%	4 2%	1 1%	3 3%	1 2%	:	1 2%	4 2%	1 6%	4 2%		1 6%	:	4 2%	:
	-	1	1	-		-	1	1	2/6	-		1	-	2.0	-	1		1	
!		1%	2%	-	- :		1%	1%	-	-		:	-		-	6%		:	
4 2%	1	3	-	2	2	4		2	1	-	1	3	1	4	-	-	- 1	4	-
2%	1% 11	2% 11	5	2% 10	2% 6	2% 13	9	2% 6	2% 10	4	2% 1	1% 22	6%	2% 20	1	1		2% 22	
10%	11%	9%	14%	14%	6%	8%	13%	7%	17%	11%	4%	11%		11%	6%	8%		10%	
18	8	10	4	7	6	11	7	5	7	4	1	18	-	18	-	-	- 1	18	-
8%	8%	8%	11%	9%	6%	7%	10%	6%	11%	11%	4%	8%	-	10%	-	-	-	8%	-

SOCIAL GRADE

C2

DE

C1

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 17

WOQ2 - How did you find out about the funeral director you used?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or don't know/can't remember whether they compared

Weighted Base Looked in the Phone Book / Yellow Pages / Thompson Local / 118 (paper or online versions) Cycled, drove or walked past their premises Other Recommendation from a professional third-party (Net)

Don't know/can't remember

Γ		GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NAT	IONS		INTE US	AGE
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
П	225	101*	124	40*	75*	111	159	66*	90*	63*	39*	33**	210	13**	177	20**	15**	13**	212	13**
	1	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	-	1 2%	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 <i>8</i> %	-	1 1%	-
	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 3%	1 2%	-	2 1%	-	-	2 4%	-	-	2 1%	:	1 1%	1 6%	:	Ē	2 1%	-
İ	1	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	1 1%	-	-	-	1	-	1 1%	-	-	-	!	-
İ	19 <i>8</i> %	7 7%	11 <i>9</i> %	6 14%	7 9%	7 6%	15 10%	3 5%	8 9%	6 10%	3 <i>8</i> %	1 2%	18 <i>8</i> %	1 6%	17 10%	1 6%	-	-	19 <i>9</i> %	÷ ÷
İ	4 2%	1 1%	3 2%	1 3%	2 3%		4 2%	-	1 1%	2 3%	-	1 2%	4 2%	-	4 2%			-	4 2%	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/jk/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/l Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Table 18

WOQ2 - How did you find out about the funeral director you used?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or don't know/can't remember whether they compared

				COMPARI	ISON OF			
	1 1	NATURE	OF FUNERAL	FUNERAL D			OF FUNERAL (
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Unweighted Base	224	58	164	48	159	57	71	78
Weighted Base	225	60*	163	46*	162	56*	74*	77*
Effective Base	206	54	151	45	146	52	65	73
They were already known to me'us (personal experience of using them before, or of attending a funeral that they arranged etc.)	129 57%	42 70%b	87 53%	15 32%	109 67%e	29 51%	47 64%	44 58%
The deceased had already passed into their care (i.e. from the place of death)	5 2%	2 3%	4 2%	2 4%	3 2%	1 1%	2 3%	2 <i>3</i> %
Funeral director	60	11	47	20	35	16	18	19
recommended by (NET)	26%	19%	29%	43%f	22%	29%	25%	25%
: family member(s)/ friend(s)/ neighbour(s)/ work colleague(s)	43 19%	8 13%	35 21%	14 30%f	27 16%	12 <i>22</i> %	12 16%	14 18%
a bereavement counsellor/ officer	2 1%	-	2 1%	1 2%	-	1 2%	1 1%	-
a GP	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 2%	-	
a member of staff at the care home/ hospice/hospital where the deceased died	7 3%	1 2%	5 3%	3 <i>6</i> %	4 3%	1 1%	3 4%	1 2%
a member of staff at the register office	!	-	1	1 1%	-	- -	-	1 1%
a religious leader	6 3%	1 2%	5 3%	2 5%	3 2%	2 3%	1 2%	2 3%
a social	1 1	1		1	-	-		1

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 18

WOQ2 - How did you find out about the funeral director you used?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or don't know/can't remember whether they compared

	1	NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D		COST	OF FUNERAL (POUNDS)
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Weighted Base	225	60*	163	46*	162	56*	74*	77*
a solicitor	2 1%	1 2%	1 1%	1 2%	1 1%	-	1 2%	1 1%
someone else	176	2%	1%	2% 1	1%	-	2%	1%
someone eise		-	:	1%	-	-	-	1%
Contacted an organization (NET)	2 1%	1 2%	1 1%		2 1%	1 2%	-	1 1%
The council/ local authority	2 1%	1 2%	1 1%	-	2 1%	1 2%	-	1 1%
Adverts,	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	
directories,		-	1%	2%	-	2%	-	
leaflets, notices, posters (NET)								
at the care home/hospice/ hospital where the deceased died	1	-	1 1%	1 2%	1	1 2%	-	:
Internet/online	9	3	6	7	-	3	2	4
search (NET)	4%	5%	3%	16%f	-	5%	3%	5%
using a search engine/ browser (e.g. Bing, Google etc.)	9 4%	3 5%	6 3%	7 16%f	:	3 5%	2 3%	4 5%
Advertisement	4	-	4	4	- 1	2	1	2
(NET)	2%	•	3%	10%f	-	4%	1%	2%
on the radio	!!	-	1	1 2%	-	-	-	1 1%
on the internet	4 2%	-	4 2%	4 8%f	-	2 4%	1 1%	1 1%
Other (NET)	22	2	20	6	15	2	4	13
	10%	4%	12%	14%	9%	4%	5%	17%ij
Local knowledge/ word-of-mouth	18 <i>8</i> %	2 4%	15 <i>9</i> %	6 14%	10 <i>6</i> %	1 2%	3 4%	11 15%ij
Looked in the Phone Book / Yellow Pages / Thompson Local / 118 (paper or online versions)	!	- -	1 1%	-	1 1%	- -	- -	1 1%

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 18

WOQ2 - How did you find out about the funeral director you used?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or don't know/can't remember whether they compared

Weighted Base Cycled, drove or walked past their premises Other Recommendation from a professional third-party (Net) Don't know/can't remember

	NATURE (OF FUNERAL	COMPAR FUNERAL I		COST	OF FUNERAL (POUNDS)
TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
225	60*	163	46*	162	56*	74*	77*
2 1%	-	2 1%	-	2 1%	1 2%	1 2%	-
1	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	:		1 1%
19 <i>8</i> %	3 6%	15 <i>9</i> %	7 16%f	9 6%	5 9%	6 9%	5 7%
4 2%	1 2%	2 1%	-	-	2 4%	1 2%	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k Overlap formulae used. * small base

Table 19

WOQ3A - What was the most important factor for you in choosing the funeral director you used?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't know/can't remember whether they compared

		GEN	GENDER AGE		AF	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	ICITY		NAT	IONS		INTE	RNET AGE		
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Unweighted Base	275	129	146	45	77	153	203	72	93	89	49	44	255	17	215	26	20	14	255	20
Weighted Base	271	122	149	48*	90*	134	198	73*	99*	79*	49*	43*	251	17**	213	26**	17**	15**	255	16**
Effective Base	253	117	136	41	72	145	186	67	87	82	45	40	235	16	198	24	18	13	235	19
Personal experience of using them before, or of attending a funeral that they had arranged	110 41%	47 39%	63 42%	16 33%	29 33%	65 49%d	80 40%	30 42%	38 39%	36 46%	18 <i>36</i> %	18 41%	100 40%	10 57%	85 40%	12 47%	5 30%	8 54%	105 41%	5 32%
The deceased had already passed into their care (i.e. from the place of death) and we didn't want to move them again	6 2%	-	6 4%a	1 1%	3 4%	2 1%	5 <i>2</i> %	1 2%	2 2%	:	3 7%j	1 2%	6 <i>2</i> %	-	6 3%	-	-	-	6 2%	-
They were able to fit us in around the time we needed them	8 3%	4 3%	4 3%	-	4 4%	4 3%	7 4%	1 1%	2 2%	2 3%	2 4%	1 3%	7 3%	1 5%	6 3%	-	1 4%	1 9%	7 3%	1 4%
They were the only local firm	20 7%	11 9%	9 <i>6</i> %	5 10%	7 8%	8 <i>6</i> %	14 7%	6 <i>8</i> %	5 5%	9 11%	3 <i>6</i> %	3 <i>8</i> %	19 <i>8</i> %	-	15 <i>7</i> %	1 4%	2 12%	2 14%	16 <i>6</i> %	4 26%
Recommendation (NET)	39 14%	19 <i>15</i> %	20 13%	9 20%	15 17%	14 11%	28 14%	11 15%	15 16%	8 10%	8 16%	8 17%	37 15%	1 <i>8</i> %	28 13%	6 21%	3 17%	2 12%	37 14%	2 12%
by family member(s)/ friend(s)/ neighbour(s)/ work colleague(s)	31 11%	16 13%	15 10%	8 17%	10 11%	12 9%	21 10%	10 14%	10 10%	8 10%	7 13%	6 14%	29 12%	1 <i>8</i> %	21 10%	4 17%	3 17%	2 12%	29 11%	2 12%
by a bereavement counsellor/ officer	2 1%	-	2 2%	1 2%	1 2%	-	2 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	1 3%	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	-	-	2 1%	-
by a member of staff at the care home/ hospice/hospital where the deceased died	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	2 2%	-	2 1%	-	2 2%	-	-	-	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	-	-	2 1%	-
by a religious leader	4 2%	3 2%	1 1%	-	2 3%	2 1%	3 2%	1 2%	3 <i>3</i> %	:	1 2%	:	4 2%	:	3 1%	1 5%	:	- :	4 2%	

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 19

WOQ3A - What was the most important factor for you in choosing the funeral director you used?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't know/can't remember whether they compared

		GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EΑ		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	ICITY		NAT	IONS		INTEI US/	AGE
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Weighted Base	271	122	149	48*	90*	134	198	73*	99*	79*	49*	43*	251	17**	213	26**	17**	15**	255	16**
Reputation/ professionalism	23 9%	8 <i>6</i> %	16 10%	2 3%	5 6%	17 12%	17 9%	6 9%	11 11%	4 5%	6 13%	3 <i>6</i> %	22 9%	1 7%	19 <i>9</i> %	2 9%	2 14%	:	23 9%	1 5%
Good customer/ user reviews/ ratings	2 1%	-	2 2%	÷ ÷	-	2 2%	1 1%	1 1%	-	÷	1 3%	1 2%	2 1%	-	1 1%	1 5%	÷	-	2 1%	-
Good reputation in the area	9 3%	3 2%	6 4%	-	3 3%	6 4%	8 4%	1 1%	6 6%	2 3%	1 2%	-	9 3%	-	8 4%	-	1 6%	-	9 3%	-
They were a well-established firm/they/ve been in the business for years	5 2%	2 1%	3 2%	-	1 2%	4 3%	4 2%	1 1%	2 2%	1 1%	2 5%	-	5 <i>2</i> %	-	3 2%	1 4%	1 4%	-	5 2%	:
I/we liked/were happy with/ trusted them and felt the funeral would be in safe hands	5 2%	3 2%	3 2%	-	1 1%	4 3%	2 1%	3 5%	4 4%	1 1%	1 2%	-	4 2%	1 7%	5 2%	-	1 4%	-	5 2%	1 5%
The presentation of their premises and staff (professional, smart etc.)	3 1%	1 1%	2 1%	2 3%	-	1 1%	3 2%	-	1 1%	-	1 2%	2 3%	3 1%	-	3 1%	-	-	-	3 1%	-
Their offer (NET)	25 9%	15 13%	10 7%	4 8%	10 11%	12 <i>9</i> %	20 10%	6 8%	8 8%	13 16%	2 5%	2 5%	25 10%	:	22 10%	1 4%	2 12%	-	23 9%	2 14%
They were a family-owned business/small chain, not a large brand	3 1%	3 3%b			3 3%	1 *	1 1%	2 3%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 2%	3 1%	-	3 2%	-	-	-	3 1%	:
They were a household/well-known name/large brand, not a family-owned business	!	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	!	-	!	-	-	-	!	-
Their funeral home/offices/ premises was in a convenient location	9 3%	7 6%b	2 1%	1 2%	4 4%	4 3%	7 3%	2 3%	3 3%	6 7%	-	-	9 3%	-	6 3%	1 4%	2 12%	-	8 3%	1 6%

Table 19

WOQ3A - What was the most important factor for you in choosing the funeral director you used?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't know/can't remember whether they compared

		GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	ICITY		NAT	ONS		INTER US	GE
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Weighted Base	271	122	149	48*	90*	134	198	73*	99*	79*	49*	43*	251	17**	213	26**	17**	15**	255	16**
Their prices	6 2%	3 2%	3 2%	2 4%	1 2%	3 2%	6 3%		3 3%	3 4%	-	1 2%	6 2%		6 3%			-	6 2%	
Their value for money	4 2%	:	4 3%		1 1%	3 <i>3</i> %	3 2%	1 1%	-	2 3%	2 4%	:	4 2%	-	4 2%	-	-	:	4 1%	1 4%
Generally flexible to our needs	2 1%	1 1%	1.	1 2%	-	1 1%	2 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	1 2%	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	-	-	1 *	1 4%
Their range/types of funeral (NET)	14 5%	8 6%	6 4%	4 9%	5 5%	5 4%	9 5%	5 6%	8 <i>8</i> %	3 4%	1 2%	3 6%	10 4%	3 18%	13 <i>6</i> %	1 3%	:	-	13 <i>5</i> %	1 7%
They offered a good range of funeral options	5 2%	2 2%	3 <i>2</i> %	1 2%	2 3%	2 1%	5 <i>3</i> %	-	3 3%	1 1%	÷ ÷	1 2%	2 1%	2 13%	5 <i>2</i> %	-	-	-	5 2%	-
Could choose a humanist/non- religious funeral	4 1%	2 2%	2 1%	2 4%e	2 3%	-	1 1%	3 4%	2 2%	2 3%	-	-	4 2%	-	4 2%	-	-	-	4 2%	-
Could choose a religious funeral	1 1%	1 1%	-	1 2%	-	1	1	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	1 1%	-	1	1 3%	-	-	1 1%	-
Offered a 'simple'/no- frills' funeral package	3 1%	1 1%	2 1%	1 2%	-	2 1%	2 1%	1 1%	2 2%	-	1 2%	-	3 1%	-	3 1%	-	-	-	2 1%	1 7%
Offered a traditional funeral package	!	1 1%	•	-	÷	1 1%	1	-	-	•	÷	1 2%	-	1 5%	1	-	-	-	1	÷ •
Other (NET)	24 9%	10 <i>8</i> %	14 9%	7 15%	9 10%	8 <i>6</i> %	17 9%	6 <i>9</i> %	9 <i>9</i> %	4 5%	6 12%	4 10%	23 <i>9</i> %	1 5%	17 8%	3 11%	2 10%	2 12%	24 9%	:
They used the crematorium that I/we wanted to use	4 2%	1 1%	4 2%	-	2 2%	3 2%	4 2%	1 1%	1 1%	2 2%	1 2%	1 2%	4 1%	1 5%	4 2%	1 3%	-	-	4 2%	:
They used the natural burial ground that I/we wanted to use	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	2 2%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	2 1%	-	-	2 8%	-	-	2 1%	:
There was a choice of officiator for the funeral service	1 1%	1 1%	-	1 3%	:	-	1 1%	-	-	Ξ	1 3%	-	1 1%	1	1 1%	1	:	-	1 1%	:

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 19

WOQ3A - What was the most important factor for you in choosing the funeral director you used?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" tuneral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't know/can't remember whether they compared

	ł	GEN	DER		AGE		AF	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NATI	IONS		INTER USA	AGE
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Weighted Base	271	122	149	48*	90*	134	198	73*	99*	79*	49*	43*	251	17**	213	26**	17**	15**	255	16**
Deceased's wishes	4 1%	4 3%b	-	2 4%	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%	2 2%	-		4 8%ij	-	4 2%	-	2 1%			2 12%	4 1%	-
Choice made by another family member	4 1%	:	3 2%	3 5%e	:	1 1%	2 1%	2 2%	3 <i>3</i> %	1%	-	1 2%	4 1%	-	4 2%	-	-	-	4 1%	-
The funeral director's empathy / sensitivity	3 1%	1 1%	2 1%	-	3 3%	-	3 1%	-	2 2%	1 2%	-	-	3 1%	-	3 1%	-	-	-	3 1%	-
Other	5 2%	1 1%	4 3%	1 3%	3 3%	1 1%	4 2%	1 2%	3 <i>3</i> %	1 1%	-	2 5%	5 <i>2</i> %	-	4 2%	-	2 10%	-	5 2%	-
Recommendation from a	8 3%	3 2%	5 3%	1 2%	5 <i>6</i> %	2 1%	7 4%	1 <i>2</i> %	6 6%j	:	1 <i>2</i> %	1 3%	8 3%	:	7 3%	1 5%	:	:	8 3%	:
professional third-party (NET)																				
Don't know	2 1%		2 1%	:	2 2%	-	2 1%	-	2 2%	-		-	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	-	-	2 1%	:
Refused	1	-	1	-	1 1%	-	1 .	-		-	-	1 2%	1 *	-	1 .	-	-	-	1	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (6% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/t Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

Table 20

WOQ3A - What was the most important factor for you in choosing the funeral director you used?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't know/can't remember whether they compared

				COMPARI				
	l 1	NATURE (OF FUNERAL	FUNERAL D			OF FUNERAL (
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Unweighted Base	275	75	198	48	210	71	87	96
Weighted Base	271	75*	195	46*	209	69*	88*	93*
Effective Base	253	69	182	45	193	65	80	89
Personal experience of using them before, or of attending a funeral that they had arranged	110 41%	34 45%	76 39%	8 17%	99 47%e	25 37%	38 43%	39 42%
The deceased had already passed into their care (i.e. from the place of death) and we didn't want to move them again	6 2%	2 2%	4 2%	2 3%	3 1%	3 4%	1 2%	2 2%
They were able to fit us in around the time we needed them	8 3%	1 2%	7 3%	1 1%	6 3%	- -	5 5%	2 <i>2</i> %
They were the only local firm	20 7%	5 6%	15 <i>8</i> %	2 3%	17 <i>8</i> %	4 5%	6 6%	7 8%
Recommendation (NET)	39 14%	9 12%	28 15%	8 17%	27 13%	10 15%	14 15%	10 <i>10</i> %
by family member(s)/ friend(s)/ neighbour(s)/ work colleague(s)	31 11%	9 12%	20 1 <i>0</i> %	6 14%	21 10%	8 12%	9 11%	8 <i>8</i> %
by a bereavement counsellor/ officer	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	1 1%	1 2%	1 2%	-
by a member of staff at the care home! hospice/hospital where the deceased died	2 1%	-	2 1%	:	2 1%	:	2 2%	:
by a religious leader	4 2%	-	4 2%	1 3%	3 1%	1 2%	1 1%	2 2%
Reputation/	23	4	2%	5% 6	17%	2% 1	1%	2% 9
professionalism	9%	5%	10%	12%	8%	2%	13%i	9%

Table 20

WOQ3A - What was the most important factor for you in choosing the funeral director you used?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" tuneral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't know/can't remember whether they compared

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	SON OF DIRECTOR	COST	OF FUNERAL ((POUNDS)
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Weighted Base	271	75*	195	46*	209	69*	88*	93*
Good customer/ user reviews/ ratings	2 1%	-	2 1%	1 2%	1 1%	-	2 3%	- -
Good reputation in the area	9 3%	4 5%	5 3%	1 2%	7 3%	-	4 4%	3 3%
They were a well-established firm/they/ve been in the business for years	5 2%	1 1%	4 2%	2 4%	3 1%	1 2%	2 <i>2</i> %	2 2%
I/we liked/were happy with/ trusted them and felt the funeral would be in safe hands	5 2%	:	5 3%	2 4%	3 2%	-	2 <i>2</i> %	4 4%
The presentation of their premises and staff (professional, smart etc.)	3 1%	-	3 <i>2</i> %	1 2%	2 1%	-	2 3%	1 1%
Their offer (NET)	25	6	20	8	16	8	6	10
	9%	7%	10%	17%f	8%	12%	7%	11%
They were a family-owned business/small chain, not a large brand	3 1%	-	3 <i>2</i> %	2 4%	1 1%	-	1 2%	2 2%
They were a household/well-known name/large brand, not a family-owned business	1	-	1 1%	:	1	-	-	1 1%
Their funeral home/offices/ premises was in a convenient location	9 3%	2 3%	7 4%	-	9 4%	1 2%	3 3%	4 4%
Their prices	6 2%	3 3%	4 2%	3 7%f	2 1%	4 6%k	1 2%	1 1%
Their value for	4	-	4	2	2	2	1	1

Table 20

WOQ3A - What was the most important factor for you in choosing the funeral director you used?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't know/can't remember whether they compared

		NATURE (OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	IRECTOR		OF FUNERAL (
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Weighted Base	271	75*	195	46*	209	69*	88*	93*
Generally flexible to our needs	2 1%	1 1%	!	1 2%	1 *	1 1%	-	1 1%
Their range/types of funeral (NET)	14 5%	4 6%	10 <i>5</i> %	4 8%	11 5%	4 5%	2 3%	8 9%
They offered a good range of funeral options	5 2%	2 3%	3 2%	4 8%f	1 1%	1 2%	2 3%	1 2%
Could choose a humanist/non- religious funeral	4 1%	1	4 2%		4 2%	1	-	4 4%
Could choose a religious funeral	1 1%	1 1%	1	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	1 1%
Offered a 'simple'/no- frills' funeral package	3 1%	1 1%	2 1%	-	3 1%	2 3%	-	1 1%
Offered a traditional funeral package	! !	1 1%	-	-	1	- -	-	1 1%
Other (NET)	24 9%	9 12%	14 7%	10 21%f	13 <i>6</i> %	12 18%jk	3 3%	7 7%
They used the crematorium that I/we wanted to use	4 2%	1 1%	4 2%	1 2%	3 2%	3 4%	1 1%	1 1%
They used the natural burial ground that I/we wanted to use	2 1%	2 3%b	-	-	2 1%	1 2%	-	1 1%
There was a choice of officiator for the funeral service	1 1%	1 2%	:	-	1 1%	1 <i>2</i> %	-	-
Deceased's wishes	4 1%	3 4%b	1	2 4%	2 1%	3 4%	:	1 1%
Choice made by another family member	4 1%	-	4 2%	3 5%f	1 *	1%	-	2 2%

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

COST OF FUNERAL (POUNDS)

3,001 - 4,000

UP TO 3,000

MORE THAN 4,000

Don't know Refused

Table 20

WOQ3A - What was the most important factor for you in choosing the funeral director you used?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't know/can't remember whether they compared

CREMATION

NATURE OF FUNERAL

BURIAL

TOTAL Weighted Base The funeral director's empathy / sensitivity Other Recommendation from a professional third-party (NET)

		(a)	(b)	(e)	(f)	(i)	(j)	(k)
	271	75*	195	46*	209	69*	88*	93*
	3	-	3	3	-	3	-	-
	1%	-	1%	6%f	-	4%	-	-
						1		
	5	2	4	1	4	1	2	2
	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	8	-	8	1	6	2	4	2
	3%	-	4%	3%	3%	3%	5%	2%
•						•		

COMPARISON OF FUNERAL DIRECTOR

COMPARED COMPARE

2 1%	2 2%	-	:	:	-	2 2%	:
1 1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
		-	-	-	1%		-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k Overlap formulae used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

Table 21

WOQ3B - And what other factors, if any, were important?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't know/can't remember whether they compared

		GENDER AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	ICITY		NAT	IONS		INTE	RNET			
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Unweighted Base	275	129	146	45	77	153	203	72	93	89	49	44	255	17	215	26	20	14	255	20
Weighted Base	271	122	149	48*	90*	134	198	73*	99*	79*	49*	43*	251	17**	213	26**	17**	15**	255	16**
Effective Base	253	117	136	41	72	145	186	67	87	82	45	40	235	16	198	24	18	13	235	19
Personal experience of using them before, or of attending a funeral that they had arranged	89 33%	41 34%	48 32%	14 30%	23 26%	52 39%d	60 <i>30</i> %	29 40%	33 33%	30 37%	15 31%	11 26%	87 34%	3 15%	65 31%	13 49%	4 24%	7 49%	83 32%	7 41%
The deceased had already passed into their care (i.e. from the place of death) and we didn't want to move them again	5 2%	1 1%	3 2%	1 <i>3</i> %	1 2%	2 1%	5 2%	-	2 2%	1 2%	1 2%	-	5 <i>2</i> %	-	5 <i>2</i> %	-	-	-	5 <i>2</i> %	-
They were able to fit us in around the time we needed them	20 7%	12 9%	9 6%	4 8%	9 10%	8 <i>6</i> %	14 7%	6 <i>8</i> %	8 <i>9</i> %	8 11%	1 2%	2 5%	18 7%	2 14%	14 <i>7</i> %	3 11%	3 18%	-	18 7%	2 12%
They were the only local firm	14 5%	5 4%	9 <i>6</i> %	2 5%	5 5%	7 5%	12 6%	2 3%	3 3%	5 6%	3 <i>6</i> %	3 7%	14 6%		10 5%	1 4%	1 5%	2 13%	13 5%	1 5%
Recommendation (NET)	50 18%	29 24%b	20 14%	11 23%	18 20%	21 16%	40 20%	10 13%	12 13%	17 21%	14 28%i	7 15%	42 17%	8 45%	40 19%	8 32%	1 4%	1 5%	48 19%	1 9%
by family member(s)/ friend(s)/ neighbour(s)/ work colleague(s)	40 15%	22 18%	18 12%	10 22%	13 14%	17 13%	33 17%	7 10%	11 11%	13 17%	11 22%	5 12%	33 13%	8 45%	33 16%	6 21%	1 4%	1 5%	39 15%	1 9%
by a bereavement counsellor/ officer	2 1%	2 1%	1 *	1 1%	2 2%	-	2 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	1 3%	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	-	-	2 1%	-
by a member of staff at the care home/ hospice/hospital where the deceased died	3 1%	3 2%	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	3 1%	-	1 1%	2 2%	-	-	3 1%	-	2 1%	1 2%	-	-	3 1%	-
by a religious leader	3 1%	2 2%	1 *		2 2%	1 1%	1 1%	1 2%	1 1%	1 1%	1 2%	:	3 1%	:	1 1%	1 5%	:	- :	3 1%	-

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 21

WOQ3B - And what other factors, if any, were important?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't know/can't remember whether they compared

		GENDER		AGE			AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	ICITY		NATI	INTERNET USAGE			
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (i)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Weighted Base	271	122	149	48*	90*	134	198	73*	99*	79*	49*	43*	251	17**	213	26**	17**	15**	255	16**
by a social worker	!	-	1 .	-	1 1%	:	-	1 1%	-		1 1%		1 *		!		:		1 .	-
from someone else	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-		2 1%	1	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 2%		2 1%	-	1	1 4%	-		2 1%	:
Reputation/ professionalism	60 22%	24 20%	36 24%	11 23%	11 12%	38 29%d	43 22%	17 23%	21 21%	18 22%	11 23%	10 24%	56 22%	2 11%	52 25%	4 14%	4 23%	-	56 22%	4 22%
Good customer/ user reviews/ ratings	20 7%	7 6%	13 9%	6 13%d	-	14 10%d	16 <i>8</i> %	4 5%	8 <i>8</i> %	4 5%	4 7%	4 10%	17 7%	2 11%	19 <i>9</i> %	1 3%	1 4%	-	20 <i>8</i> %	-
Good reputation in the area	27 10%	13 10%	15 10%	3 5%	7 7%	18 <i>13</i> %	17 9%	10 14%	11 11%	10 12%	4 9%	2 5%	26 10%	1 6%	22 10%	2 8%	3 19%	-	24 10%	3 18%
They were a well-established firm/they've been in the business for years	12 4%	7 5%	5 3%	1 2%	3 <i>3</i> %	8 <i>6</i> %	7 4%	4 6%	4 4%	6 7%	1 3%	1 2%	12 5%	-	10 5%	2 8%	-	-	10 4%	2 10%
I/we liked/were happy with/ trusted them and felt the funeral would be in safe hands	11 4%	3 3%	8 5%	2 4%	3 3%	6 5%	8 4%	3 5%	4 4%	3 4%	2 3%	3 7%	11 4%	-	10 5%	1 4%	-	-	11 4%	-
The presentation of their premises and staff (professional, smart etc.)	2 1%	-	2 2%	Ē	1 2%	1 1%	2 1%	-	1 1%	:	1 3%	-	2 1%	-	2 1%	Ī	-	-	2 1%	:
Their offer (NET)	47 17%	21 17%	26 17%	8 18%	10 11%	28 21%	31 16%	16 21%	19 <i>19</i> %	13 16%	9 18%	6 14%	44 17%	2 12%	40 19%	2 7%	3 15%	3 19%	45 17%	2 14%
They were a family-owned business/small chain, not a large brand	15 5%	6 5%	9 <i>6</i> %	2 4%	5 5%	8 <i>6</i> %	9 5%	5 7%	5 5%	6 <i>8</i> %	4 8%	-	15 <i>6</i> %	-	13 6%	:	-	2 12%	15 <i>6</i> %	:
They were a household/well-known name/large brand, not a family-owned business	6 2%	5 4%	1 1%	3 7%d	-	3 <i>2</i> %	3 2%	2 3%	3 3%	1	3 6%j	-	6 <i>2</i> %	-	3 2%	-	1 4%	2 12%	6 2%	-

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 21

WOQ3B - And what other factors, if any, were important?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" tuneral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't know.can't remember whether they compared

		GENDER		GENDER			AGE		AREA		SOCIAL GRADE				ETHN	ICITY		NATI	INTERNET USAGE	
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Weighted Base	271	122	149	48*	90*	134	198	73*	99*	79*	49*	43*	251	17**	213	26**	17**	15**	255	16**
Their funeral home/offices/ premises was in a convenient location	6 2%	4 3%	2 2%	-	2 2%	5 4%	3 1%	4 5%	3 <i>3</i> %	2 3%	1 2%	:	6 <i>3</i> %	-	6 <i>3</i> %	-	-	-	6 <i>2</i> %	1 6%
Their prices	13 5%	4 3%	9 <i>6</i> %	5 10%	3 3%	5 4%	10 5%	2 3%	6 6%	4 5%	2 3%	1 2%	11 5%	:	9 4%	2 7%	1 7%	1 7%	12 5%	1 4%
Their value for money	11 4%	3 2%	8 5%	2 5%	1 1%	7 5%	9 5%	2 2%	3 <i>3</i> %	3 <i>3</i> %	2 4%	3 6%	9 <i>3</i> %	2 12%	8 4%	2 7%	1 4%	-	9 4%	1 8%
Generally flexible to our needs	4 2%	2 1%	3 2%	1 1%	1 1%	3 2%	2 1%	3 4%	1 1%	1 1%	-	3 7%	4 2%	-	4 2%	-	1 4%	-	4 2%	÷ ÷
Their range/types of funeral (NET)	29 11%	16 13%	13 <i>9</i> %	5 10%	8 <i>9</i> %	17 12%	23 12%	6 <i>8</i> %	12 12%	5 <i>6</i> %	6 12%	6 15%	29 12%	:	26 12%	1 5%	1 4%	1 <i>9</i> %	27 10%	3 17%
They offered a good range of funeral options	10 4%	6 5%	4 3%	2 3%	2 2%	6 5%	9 5%	1 1%	4 4%	2 2%	2 3%	3 6%	10 4%	-	10 5%	-	1 4%	-	10 4%	1 4%
Could choose a "green"/ environmentally conscious funeral	4 1%	1 1%	2 2%	-	1 1%	2 2%	4 2%	-	1 1%	-	3 5%j	-	4 1%	-	2 1%	1 5%	-	-	4 1%	-
Could choose a humanist/non- religious funeral	6 2%	2 2%	4 3%	2 4%	3 4%	1 1%	3 1%	4 5%	3 <i>3</i> %	2 2%	-	2 4%	6 3%	-	6 3%	-	-	-	6 2%	1 4%
Could choose a religious funeral	3 1%	1 1%	2 1%	1 3%	-	2 1%	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	1 3%	1 2%	3 1%	:	3 1%	:	:	-	2 1%	1 4%
Offered a 'simple'/no- frills' funeral package	2 1%	2 2%	1		:	2 2%	2 1%	-	1 1%	2 2%	-	-	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	-	-	2 1%	1 4%
Offered a traditional funeral package	2 1%	1 1%	1 .	- 1	-	2 2%	2 1%		1 1%	1 2%	:	-	2 1%	-	2 1%	:	:	-	1 .	1 9%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (6% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/tOverlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

.....

Table 21

WOQ3B - And what other factors, if any, were important?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" tuneral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't know.can't remember whether they compared

		GENDER		GENDER AGE			AF	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NAT	INTERNET USAGE			
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Weighted Base	271	122	149	48*	90*	134	198	73*	99*	79*	49*	43*	251	17**	213	26**	17**	15**	255	16**
Offered a 'direct' burial (a burial without a service or ceremony beforehand)	1.	1 1%	-	- -	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 <i>3</i> %	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 9%	1 *	-
Offered a 'direct' cremation (a cremation without a service or ceremony beforehand)	1	1 1%	:	-	:	1 1%	1 1%	-	1 1%	:	:	-	1 *	-	1 1%	-	-	:	1 .	-
Other (NET)	28 10%	14 12%	14 9%	8 18%e	10 12%	9 7%	20 10%	8 11%	12 12%	11 14%	3 7%	1 <i>3</i> %	25 10%	3 16%	23 11%	4 14%	2 10%		27 11%	1 4%
They used the crematorium that I/we wanted to use	14 5%	10 <i>8</i> %	4 3%	4 9%	6 7%	4 3%	12 <i>6</i> %	2 3%	4 4%	6 <i>8</i> %	3 7%	-	14 <i>6</i> %	-	11 5%	2 8%	1 6%	-	14 6%	-
They used the natural burial ground that I/we wanted to use	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 1%	1.	1 1%	-	2 2%	-	-	2 1%	-	1	1 3%	:	-	2 1%	-
There was a choice of officiator for the funeral service	!	1 1%	-	-	-	1	!	-	1 1%	-	-	-	!	-	!	-	-	-	!	:
I/we liked the look and field of the premises/ funeral directors (e.g., location, appearance, buildings, gardens, cemetery, etc.)	2 1%	-	2 1%	1 1%	:	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	:	1 2%	2 1%	-	1 .	1 3%	-	-	2 1%	-
Choice made by another family member	3 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	1 2%	1 1%	1 1%	1 2%	3 3%	-	-	-	3 1%	-	3 1%	-	-	-	3 1%	-
Other	8 3%	2 2%	6 4%	4 8%	2 2%	2 2%	5 2%	3 4%	4 4%	3 4%	-	1 1%	5 <i>2</i> %	3 16%	7 3%	:	1 3%	-	7 3%	1 4%

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Weighted Base Recommendation from a professional third-party (NET)

Don't know Refused

Table 21

WOQ3B - And what other factors, if any, were important?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't know/can't remember whether they compared

ſ		GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NAT	IONS		INTE US	AGE
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Г	271	122	149	48*	90*	134	198	73*	99*	79*	49*	43*	251	17**	213	26**	17**	15**	255	16**
- 1	8	6	2	- 1	5	2	6	2	2	3	2	1	8		6	2			8	-
L	3%	5%	1%	1%	6%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%	4%	3%	3%	-	3%	7%		-	3%	-
Γ	21 <i>8</i> %	10 8%	12 8%	3 7%	10 11%	8 6%	18 9%	3 4%	9 9%	4 5%	2 5%	6 14%	19 <i>8</i> %	2 11%	18 9%		-	3 21%	20 8%	1 5%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - cid/e - t/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/l Overlap formulae used. * small base; * very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 22

WOQ3B - And what other factors, if any, were important?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" tuneral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't know.can't remember whether they compared

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	SON OF DIRECTOR	COST	OF FUNERAL (POUNDS)
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Unweighted Base	275	75	198	48	210	71	87	96
Weighted Base	271	75*	195	46*	209	69*	88*	93*
Effective Base	253	69	182	45	193	65	80	89
Personal experience of using them before, or of attending a funeral that they had arranged	89 33%	35 46%b	55 <i>28</i> %	8 18%	77 37%e	18 27%	28 32%	36 39%
The deceased had already passed into their care (i.e. from the place of death) and we didn't want to move them again	5 2%	-	5 <i>2</i> %	2 4%	3 1%	-	2 3%	2 2%
They were able to fit us in around the time we needed them	20 7%	4 5%	16 <i>8</i> %	1 2%	18 <i>9</i> %	7 9%	7 8%	5 5%
They were the only local firm	14 5%	7 9%	7 4%	3 6%	11 5%	5 <i>7</i> %	7 7%	3 3%
Recommendation (NET)	50 18%	14 19%	36 18%	7 14%	39 19%	7 10%	17 19%	21 23%i
by family member(s)/ friend(s)/ neighbour(s)/ work colleague(s)	40 15%	14 19%	27 14%	5 11%	32 15%	7 10%	12 14%	17 18%
by a bereavement counsellor/ officer	2 1%	-	2 1%	1 2%	2 1%	-	1 1%	2 <i>2</i> %
by a member of staff at the care home! hospice/hospital where the deceased died	3 1%	- -	3 1%	: :	3 1%	-	1 2%	1 1%
by a religious leader	3 1%		3 1%	1 1%	2 1%	-	1 1%	1 1%
by a social worker	1 .	-	1	-	-	-	-	1 1%

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 22

WOQ3B - And what other factors, if any, were important?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" tuneral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't know.can't remember whether they compared

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D		COST	OF FUNERAL ((POUNDS)
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Weighted Base	271	75*	195	46*	209	69*	88*	93*
from someone else	2 1%	-	2 1%	1 2%	1 .	-	2 2%	:
Reputation/ professionalism	60 22%	14 19%	46 24%	14 31%	44 21%	16 23%	20 23%	19 20%
Good customer/ user reviews/ ratings	20 7%	5 7%	15 <i>8</i> %	5 11%	15 7%	4 7%	6 7%	9 <i>9</i> %
Good reputation in the area	27 10%	6 <i>8</i> %	21 11%	5 11%	22 11%	6 <i>8</i> %	11 12%	8 <i>9</i> %
They were a well-established firm/they've been in the business for years	12 4%	2 <i>2</i> %	10 5%	1 2%	9 4%	4 5%	4 5%	3 3%
I/we liked/were happy with/ trusted them and felt the funeral would be in safe hands	11 4%	3 4%	8 4%	4 8%	8 4%	5 7%	4 4%	1 1%
The presentation of their premises and staff (professional, smart etc.)	2 1%	-	2 1%	:	2 1%	1 2%	-	1 1%
Their offer (NET)	47 17%	12 16%	34 17%	14 29%f	28 13%	20 28%k	14 16%	11 11%
They were a family-owned business/small chain, not a large brand	15 <i>5</i> %	4 6%	11 5%	2 5%	10 5%	6 <i>8</i> %	5 6%	3 3%
They were a household/well-known name/large brand, not a familly-owned business	6 2%	3 4%	3 1%	1 2%	5 <i>2</i> %	3 5%	-	3 3%
Their funeral home/offices/ premises was in a convenient	6 2%	2 3%	4 2%	-	6 3%	2 3%	2 3%	1 1%

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 22

WOQ3B - And what other factors, if any, were important?

Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't knowcan't remember whether they compared

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	IRECTOR		OF FUNERAL (
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Weighted Base	271	75*	195	46*	209	69*	88*	93*
Their prices	13 5%	3 4%	8 4%	6 14%f	2 1%	6 9%k	5 5%	1 1%
Their value for money	11 4%	1 1%	9 5%	6 13%f	5 2%	4 6%	5 5%	2 2%
Generally flexible to our needs	4 2%	-	4 2%	1 2%	3 2%	1 1%	2 2%	1 1%
Their range/types of funeral (NET)	29 11%	9 12%	21 11%	8 18%	20 9%	6 9%	9 10%	14 15%
They offered a good range of funeral options	10 4%	3 4%	7 4%	4 9%	6 3%	1 1%	3 4%	5 <i>6</i> %
Could choose a "green"/ environmentally conscious funeral	4 1%	1 2%	2 1%	2 5%f	1 1%	1 <i>2</i> %	1 2%	1 1%
Could choose a humanist/non- religious funeral	6 2%	-	6 <i>3</i> %	1 2%	4 2%	-	3 3%	3 4%
Could choose a religious funeral	3 1%	1 2%	2 1%	-	3 2%	3 5%k	-	-
Offered a 'simple'/no- frills' funeral package	2 1%	1 1%	2 1%	1 2%	1 1%	1 1%	-	2 <i>2</i> %
Offered a traditional funeral package	2 1%		2 1%	-	2 1%	-	-	2 2%
Offered a direct burial (a burial without a service or ceremony beforehand)	1	1 2%	i	1	1 1%	[1 1%	:

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/t - i/j/k Overlap formulae used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 22

WOQ3B - And what other factors, if any, were important?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't know.can't remember whether they compared

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	DIRECTOR		OF FUNERAL (
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Weighted Base	271	75*	195	46*	209	69*	88*	93*
Offered a direct' cremation (a cremation without a service or ceremony beforehand)	!	1 1%	:	- -	1 1%	-	-	1 1%
Other (NET)	28 10%	6 <i>8</i> %	22 11%	4 8%	24 12%	7 10%	7 8%	12 13%
They used the crematorium that I/we wanted to use	14 5%	1 2%	13 7%	4 8%	11 5%	7 10%	3 4%	4 4%
They used the natural burial ground that I/we wanted to use	2 1%	2 2%b	-	1	2 1%	-	-	2 2%
There was a choice of officiator for the funeral service	!	-	!	- -	1	- -	-	:
I'we liked the look and feel of the premises funeral directors (e.g. location, appearance, buildings, gardens, eccmetery, etc.)	2 1%	1 1%	1 7%	-	2 1%	-	:	2 2%
Choice made by another family member	3 1%		3 1%	-	3 1%	-	1 1%	:
Other	8 3%	2 3%	6 3%	-	8 4%	-	3 <i>3</i> %	5 5%
Recommendation from a professional	8 3%	:	8 4%	1 3%	6 3%	:	3 4%	5 5%
third-party (NET)	21	5	16	4	16	7	- 5	7

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k Overlap formulae used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

Page 42

14 Aug 2018

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 22

WOQ3B - And what other factors, if any, were important?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't know.can't remember whether they compared

	NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D		COST	OF FUNERAL	(POUNDS)
TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
271	75*	195	46*	209	69*	88*	93*
5 2%	1 1%	4 2%	1 2%	4 2%	2 3%	2 3%	1 1%
2		1		1	1		_

Weighted Base Don't know

Refused

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/i - i/j/k Overlap formulae used. * small base

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 23

WOQ3A/WOQ3B Factor importance summary

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't know.can't remember whether they compared

		GEN	IDER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	ICITY		NATI	IONS		INTE	
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Unweighted Base	275	129	146	45	77	153	203	72	93	89	49	44	255	17	215	26	20	14	255	20
Weighted Base	271	122	149	48*	90*	134	198	73*	99*	79*	49*	43*	251	17**	213	26**	17**	15**	255	16**
Effective Base	253	117	136	41	72	145	186	67	87	82	45	40	235	16	198	24	18	13	235	19
Personal experience of using them before, or of attending a funeral that they had arranged	134 49%	59 48%	75 50%	21 44%	34 <i>38</i> %	79 59%d	94 47%	40 55%	49 50%	44 56%	20 40%	21 48%	124 49%	10 57%	104 49%	13 51%	7 40%	9 63%	125 49%	9 58%
The deceased had already passed into their care (i.e. from the place of death) and we didn't want to move them again	8 3%	1 1%	7 5%	2 4%	3 4%	3 2%	7 3%	1 2%	3 <i>3</i> %	1 2%	3 7%	1 2%	8 3%	-	8 4%	-	-	-	8 3%	:
They were able to fit us in around the time we needed them	26 10%	14 12%	12 <i>8</i> %	4 8%	12 14%	10 <i>8</i> %	20 10%	6 <i>8</i> %	11 11%	10 13%	2 4%	4 8%	24 10%	2 14%	19 <i>9</i> %	3 11%	3 18%	1 9%	24 10%	2 12%
They were the only local firm	29 11%	15 13%	14 9%	5 12%	10 11%	14 10%	22 11%	7 10%	7 7%	12 15%	5 10%	6 14%	29 11%	-	23 11%	1 4%	2 12%	3 23%	25 10%	4 26%
Recommendation (NET)	74 27%	38 31%	37 24%	18 39%e	26 29%	30 22%	57 29%	17 23%	25 25%	22 28%	16 32%	12 27%	66 26%	8 45%	60 28%	9 36%	4 21%	2 12%	72 28%	3 17%
by family member(s)/ friend(s)/ neighbour(s)/ work colleague(s)	60 22%	31 <i>2</i> 5%	29 19%	17 35%e	18 20%	25 19%	45 23%	15 20%	18 19%	19 24%	13 26%	10 22%	52 21%	8 45%	48 22%	6 25%	4 21%	2 12%	57 22%	3 17%
by a bereavement counsellor/ officer	5 2%	2 1%	3 2%	2 4%e	3 3%e	-	5 2%	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	3 7%	5 <i>2</i> %	:	5 <i>2</i> %	-	:	-	5 <i>2</i> %	-
by a member of staff at the care home/ hospice/hospital where the deceased died	4 1%	3 <i>2</i> %	2 1%	-	3 <i>3</i> %	1 1%	4 2%	-	2 2%	2 <i>2</i> %	-	-	4 2%	-	3 <i>2</i> %	1 2%	-	-	4 2%	-
by a religious leader	5 2%	3 2%	2 1%	-	3 3%	2 1%	4 2%	1 2%	3 3%	1 1%	1 2%		5 <i>2</i> %	:	4 2%	1 5%	-		5 2%	- 1

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 23

WOQ3A/WOQ3B Factor importance summary
Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't know/can't remember whether they compared

		GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NATI	ONS		INTER USA	
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Weighted Base	271	122	149	48*	90*	134	198	73*	99*	79*	49*	43*	251	17**	213	26**	17**	15**	255	16**
by a social worker	1	-	1 .	-	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	1		1	-	-	-	1 *	:
from someone else	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	2 1%	1	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 2%	-	2 1%	-	1	1 4%	-		2 1%	:
Reputation/ professionalism	72 26%	29 24%	43 29%	11 23%	13 15%	48 36%d	52 26%	19 <i>27</i> %	26 26%	20 26%	15 31%	10 24%	67 27%	3 18%	62 29%	5 19%	5 27%	-	67 26%	4 28%
Good customer/ user reviews/ ratings	22 8%	7 6%	15 10%	6 13%d	-	16 12%d	18 9%	5 6%	8 <i>8</i> %	4 5%	5 10%	6 13%	19 <i>8</i> %	2 11%	20 9%	2 8%	1 4%	-	22 9%	-
Good reputation in the area	34 12%	15 12%	19 13%	3 5%	9 10%	22 16%	24 12%	10 14%	14 15%	12 15%	5 11%	2 5%	32 13%	1 6%	29 13%	2 8%	3 19%	:	31 12%	3 18%
They were a well-established firm/they/ve been in the business for years	17 <i>6</i> %	8 7%	8 5%	1 2%	4 4%	12 9%	11 6%	5 7%	5 5%	7 8%	4 7%	1 2%	17 7%	-	13 <i>6</i> %	3 11%	1 4%	-	15 6%	2 10%
I/we liked/were happy with/ trusted them and felt the funeral would be in safe hands	17 <i>6</i> %	6 5%	11 7%	2 4%	4 5%	10 <i>8</i> %	10 5%	7 9%	7 7%	4 5%	3 5%	3 7%	15 <i>6</i> %	1 7%	15 7%	1 4%	1 4%		16 6%	1 5%
The presentation of their premises and staff (professional, smart etc.)	5 2%	1 1%	4 3%	2 3%	1 <i>2</i> %	3 2%	5 3%	-	2 <i>2</i> %	-	2 4%	2 3%	5 <i>2</i> %	-	5 3%	-	-	-	5 <i>2</i> %	
Their offer (NET)	63 23%	33 27%	30 20%	11 23%	17 19%	35 26%	44 22%	19 <i>26</i> %	24 24%	22 28%	10 20%	7 16%	59 23%	2 12%	52 25%	3 11%	5 28%	3 19%	60 24%	3 18%
They were a family-owned business/small chain, not a large brand	18 7%	9 <i>8</i> %	9 <i>6</i> %	2 4%	8 <i>8</i> %	9 7%	11 5%	8 10%	6 6%	7 8%	5 9%	1 2%	18 7%	-	16 <i>8</i> %	-	-	2 12%	18 7%	-
They were a household/well- known name/large brand, not a family-owned business	7 3%	6 5%b	1 1%	3 7%	1 1%	3 2%	3 2%	4 5%	4 4%	-	3 6%j	-	7 3%	-	4 2%	-	1 4%	2 12%	7 3%	-

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 23

WOQ3A/WOQ3B Factor importance summary

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't know.can't remember whether they compared

		GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	ICITY		NATI	ONS		INTER USA	AGE
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Weighted Base	271	122	149	48*	90*	134	198	73*	99*	79*	49*	43*	251	17**	213	26**	17**	15**	255	16**
Their funeral home/offices/ premises was in a convenient location	14 5%	10 8%b	4 3%	1 2%	5 <i>6</i> %	8 6%	10 5%	5 6%	6 <i>6</i> %	7 9%	1 2%	-	14 6%	-	11 5%	1 4%	2 12%	-	13 5%	1 6%
Their prices	16 <i>6</i> %	6 5%	10 7%	5 10%	3 4%	8 <i>6</i> %	14 7%	2 3%	8 <i>8</i> %	5 7%	2 3%	2 4%	14 6%		12 6%	2 7%	1 7%	1 7%	16 <i>6</i> %	1 4%
Their value for money	14 5%	3 2%	11 <i>7</i> %	2 5%	2 2%	9 7%	11 6%	2 3%	3 3%	5 6%	3 5%	3 6%	12 5%	2 12%	11 5%	2 7%	1 4%	-	12 5%	2 12%
Generally flexible to our needs	6 2%	3 2%	3 2%	2 4%	1 1%	4 3%	4 2%	3 4%	1 1%	2 2%	-	4 8%i	6 <i>2</i> %	-	5 <i>3</i> %	-	1 4%	-	5 <i>2</i> %	1 4%
Their range/types of funeral (NET)	39 14%	21 17%	18 12%	7 14%	11 13%	21 16%	31 <i>16</i> %	8 11%	17 17%	7 9%	7 14%	8 18%	35 14%	3 18%	35 16%	2 9%	1 4%	1 <i>9</i> %	35 14%	4 23%
They offered a good range of funeral options	14 5%	7 6%	7 5%	2 3%	4 5%	8 6%	13 7%	1 1%	8 <i>8</i> %	2 3%	2 3%	3 6%	11 4%	2 13%	14 6%	-	1 4%	-	14 5%	1 4%
Could choose a "green"/ environmentally conscious funeral	4 1%	1 1%	2 2%	-	1 1%	2 2%	4 2%	-	1 1%	-	3 5%j	-	4 1%	-	2 1%	1 5%	-	-	4 1%	-
Could choose a humanist/non- religious funeral	8 3%	3 3%	4 3%	2 4%	4 5%	1 1%	4 2%	4 5%	3 <i>3</i> %	3 4%	-	2 4%	8 3%	-	8 4%	-	-	-	7 3%	1 4%
Could choose a religious funeral	5 2%	3 2%	2 1%	2 5%	-	2 2%	3 1%	2 3%	2 2%	:	1 3%	2 3%	5 2%	:	4 2%	1 3%	-	:	4 2%	1 4%
Offered a 'simple'/no- frills' funeral package	5 2%	2 2%	3 2%	1 2%	:	4 3%	4 2%	1 1%	2 2%	2 2%	1 2%	-	5 <i>2</i> %	-	5 2%	-	-	-	3 1%	2 10%
Offered a traditional funeral package	3 1%	2 <i>2</i> %	1	-	-	3 2%	3 1%		1 1%	1 2%	-	1 2%	2 1%	1 5%	3 1%	-	:		1 1%	1 9%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - cid/e - t/h - i/j/kl - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/tOverlap formulae used. * small base: ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 23

WOQ3A/WOQ3B Factor importance summary
Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't know/can't remember whether they compared

		GEN	IDER		AGE		AF	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	ICITY		NAT	IONS		INTEI US	RNET AGE
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Weighted Base	271	122	149	48*	90*	134	198	73*	99*	79*	49*	43*	251	17**	213	26**	17**	15**	255	16**
Offered a 'direct' burial (a burial without a service or ceremony beforehand)	1	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 3%	1 1%	-	- -	-	-	1 9%	1	-
Offered a direct cremation (a cremation without a service or ceremony beforehand)	!	1 1%	-	-	:	1 1%	1 1%		1 1%	:	:	-	1	-	1 1%	:	:		1	-
Other (NET)	47 17%	21 18%	26 17%	14 30%e	17 19%	16 12%	34 17%	14 19%	21 21%	12 <i>15</i> %	8 16%	6 13%	44 17%	4 21%	36 17%	6 22%	3 20%	2 12%	47 18%	1 4%
They used the crematorium that I/we wanted to use	18 7%	11 9%	7 5%	4 9%	8 9%	6 4%	15 7%	3 4%	5 5%	7 9%	4 9%	1 2%	17 7%	1 5%	14 6%	3 11%	1 6%	-	18 7%	-
They used the natural burial ground that I/we wanted to use	4 1%	2 1%	2 1%	-	1 1%	3 2%	2 1%	2 2%	1 1%	2 2%	-	1 2%	4 1%	-	!	3 11%	:	-	4 1%	-
There was a choice of officiator for the funeral service	2 1%	2 2%	-	1 3%	-	1	2 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 3%	-	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	-	-	2 1%	-
I/we liked the look and feel of the premises/ tuneral directors (e.g. location, appearance, buildings, gardens, cometery, etc.)	2 1%	-	2 1%	1	:	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	:	1	1 2%	2 1%	:	1	1 3%	Ī		2 1%	-
Deceased's wishes	4 1%	4 3%b	-	2 4%	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%	2 2%	-	:	4 8%ij		4 2%	-	2 1%	:	:	2 12%	4 1%	:
Choice made by another family member	6 2%	2 2%	4 3%	3 5%	2 2%	2 1%	3 2%	3 4%	5 5%	* 1%	:	1 2%	6 3%	:	6 3%	:	-	-	6 2%	:

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (9% rakt-level) - ab - citis = 1h - ij/kl - min - opiqir - si Overlap formulas used: "small base," "vey small base (unide 30) ineligible for sig feating The set was careful col n accordance with the requirement of the intensitating equility standard for market research, ISO 20052 and with the lpsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 23

WOQ3A/WOQ3B Factor importance summary
Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" tuneral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't knowcan't remember whether they compared

		GEN	IDER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	ICITY		NATI	IONS		INTER	GE
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Weighted Base	271	122	149	48*	90*	134	198	73*	99*	79*	49*	43*	251	17**	213	26**	17**	15**	255	16**
The funeral director's empathy / sensitivity	3 1%	1 1%	2 1%	-	3 3%	-	3 1%	-	2 2%	1 2%	-	-	3 1%	-	3 1%	-	-	-	3 1%	-
Other	13 5%	3 <i>3</i> %	10 <i>7</i> %	5 11%e	5 5%	4 3%	9 4%	4 6%	7 7%	3 4%	-	3 <i>6</i> %	10 4%	3 16%	11 5%	-	2 14%	-	13 <i>5</i> %	1 4%
Recommendation from a	14 5%	7 6%	7 5%	2 4%	9 10%e	3 2%	12 <i>6</i> %	2 3%	6 <i>6</i> %	3 4%	2 4%	3 7%	14 <i>6</i> %	:	12 <i>6</i> %	2 7%	:	:	14 6%	:
professional third-party (NET)																				
None	21 8%	10 <i>8</i> %	12 8%	3 7%	10 11%	8 <i>6</i> %	18 <i>9</i> %	3 4%	9 <i>9</i> %	4 5%	2 5%	6 14%	19 <i>8</i> %	2 11%	18 <i>9</i> %	-	-	3 21%	20 8%	1 5%
Don't know	5 2%	4 3%	1 1%	2 4%	1 1%	2 1%	3 2%	2 2%	1 1%	-	4 8%ij	-	5 2%	-	3 1%	-	-	2 12%	5 2%	
Refused	2 1%	:	2	:	2	:	2	:	:	1	:	1 2%	2	:	2	:	:	:	2	:

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/jk/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/t Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 24

WOQ3A/WOQ3B Factor importance summary
Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors
or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't knowcan't remember whether they compared

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	SON OF DIRECTOR	COST	OF FUNERAL (POUNDS)
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Unweighted Base	275	75	198	48	210	71	87	96
Weighted Base	271	75*	195	46*	209	69*	88*	93*
Effective Base	253	69	182	45	193	65	80	89
Personal experience of using them before, or of attending a funeral that they had arranged	134 49%	45 61%b	88 45%	10 22%	119 57%e	31 45%	43 <i>48%</i>	51 55%
The deceased had already passed into their care (i.e. from the place of death) and we didn't want to move them again	8 3%	2 2%	7 3%	3 6%	4 2%	3 4%	2 3%	3 3%
They were able to fit us in around the time we needed them	26 10%	5 7%	21 11%	1 3%	22 11%	7 9%	12 14%	5 6%
They were the only local firm	29 11%	9 12%	20 10%	4 8%	24 12%	8 11%	10 11%	8 <i>9</i> %
Recommendation (NET)	74 27%	18 24%	55 28%	13 29%	56 27%	14 20%	25 28%	28 30%
by family member(s)/ friend(s)/ neighbour(s)/ work colleague(s)	60 22%	18 24%	40 21%	11 25%	45 22%	12 17%	18 20%	22 24%
by a bereavement counsellor/ officer	5 2%	-	5 3%	1 2%	3 1%	1 2%	2 2%	2 2%
by a member of staff at the care home/ hospice/hospital where the deceased died	4 1%	-	4 2%	:	4 2%	- -	3 3%	1 1%
by a religious leader	5 2%	-	5 2%	2 4%	3 1%	1 2%	1 1%	2 3%
by a social worker	1	-	1	-	-	-	:	1 1%

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 24

WOQ3A/WOQ3B Factor importance summary
Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" tuneral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors
or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't knowcan't remember whether they compared

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D		COST	OF FUNERAL ((POUNDS)
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Weighted Base	271	75*	195	46*	209	69*	88*	93*
from someone else	2 1%	-	2 1%	1 2%	1	-	2 2%	:
Reputation/ professionalism	72 26%	18 24%	54 28%	16 36%	54 26%	16 <i>23</i> %	26 29%	25 26%
Good customer/ user reviews/ ratings	22 8%	5 7%	17 <i>9</i> %	6 14%	16 <i>8</i> %	4 7%	9 10%	9 <i>9</i> %
Good reputation in the area	34 12%	10 13%	24 12%	6 13%	27 13%	6 <i>8</i> %	14 15%	10 11%
They were a well-established firm/lihey've been in the business for years	17 <i>6</i> %	3 4%	14 7%	3 7%	12 6%	5 7%	6 <i>6</i> %	5 <i>5</i> %
I/we liked/were happy with/ trusted them and felt the funeral would be in safe hands	17 <i>6</i> %	3 4%	14 7%	6 12%	11 5%	5 7%	5 6%	5 5%
The presentation of their premises and staff (professional, smart etc.)	5 2%	-	5 3%	1 2%	5 <i>2</i> %	1 2%	2 3%	2 2%
Their offer (NET)	63 23%	16 21%	46 24%	18 39%f	39 19%	21 30%	21 24%	19 <i>20</i> %
They were a family-owned business/small chain, not a large brand	18 7%	4 6%	14 7%	4 9%	11 <i>6</i> %	6 <i>8</i> %	7 8%	5 5%
They were a household/well-known name/large brand, not a family-owned business	7 3%	3 4%	4 2%	1 2%	6 3%	3 5%	-	4 4%
Their funeral home/offices/ premises was in a convenient	14 5%	3 5%	11 <i>6</i> %	-	14 7%	3 5%	5 6%	5 5%

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 24
WOQ3A/WOQ3B Factor importance summary

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't know/can't remember whether they compared

COMPARISON OF FUNERAL DIRECTOR NATURE OF FUNERAL COST OF FUNERAL (POUNDS) DID NOT COMPARE UP TO 3,000 3,001 - 4,000 TOTAL BURIAL CREMATION COMPARED 4,000 (k) 271 195 209 Weighted Base Their prices 11 5% 8 17%f 12%k 12 *6*% Their value for money 8 17%f 2 2% 1 1% 5 3% 2 5% 4 2% 2 2% 2 2% flexible to our 2% Their range/types of funeral (NET) 27 13% 9 13% 11 12% 39 11 15% 28 14% 14% 24% 20% They offered a 10 5% 14 good range of funeral options 14%f Could choose a 1 2% 2 1% 1 1% 1 2% 1 2% 1 1% 2 5%f "green"/ environmentally conscious funeral Could choose a 8 4% 5 3% 5 5% 8 3% humanist/non-religious funeral 2% Could choose a 5 2% 3 1% 1 1% religious funeral 6%j Offered a 5 2% 4 2% 1 2% 3 2% 2 3% 3 3% 1 1% frills' funeral package 2 1% 3 1% 3 *3*% 1 funeral package Offered a 'direct' burial (a burial without a

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/t - i/j/k Overlap formulae used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 24
WOQ3A/WOQ3B Factor importance summary

Rease: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't know/can't remember whether they compared

COMPARISON OF FUNERAL DIRECTOR NATURE OF FUNERAL COST OF FUNERAL (POUNDS) MORE THAN 4,000 (k) DID NOT COMPARE UP TO 3,000 3,001 - 4,000 COMPARED TOTAL BURIAL CREMATION Weighted Base 271 195 209 Offered a 'direct' cremation (a cremation without a service or ceremony beforehand) Other (NET) 13 18 17% 17% 18% 26% 17% 23% 11% 19% They used the crematorium that I/we wanted to 13 *6*% 15 *8*% 5 10% 8 12% 4 5% 4 5% They used the natural burial ground that I/we wanted to use 4 2% 3 *3*% 4 5%b 1 2% There was a choice of officiator for 2 1% 1 2% 1 2% the funeral I/we liked the look and feel of 1 1% 2 1% 2 2% 1 1% look and feel of the premises/ funeral directors (e.g. location, appearance, buildings, gardens, cemetery, etc.) Deceased's wishes 3 4%b 2 4% 1% Choice made by another family member 6 3% 3 2% 2 2% 1% The funeral director's empathy / sensitivity 13 Other 12

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

COST OF FUNERAL (POUNDS)

3,001 - 4,000

UP TO 3,000

MORE THAN 4,000 (k)

TOTAL

271

Table 24

WOQ3A/WOQ3B Factor importance summary
Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" tuneral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors
or had a choice but did not compare or had a choice of one funeral director only or don't knowcan't remember whether they compared

CREMATION

195

7%a

NATURE OF FUNERAL

BURIAL

Weighted Base Recommendation from a

third-party (NET)

Don't know Refused

21	5	16	4	16	7	5	7
8%	7%	<i>8</i> %	8%	<i>8</i> %	10%	6%	8%
5	3	2	3	2	4	-	1
2%	4%	1%	7%f	1%	5%j		1%
2	-	1.	-	1	1	-	-

COMPARISON OF FUNERAL DIRECTOR

COMPARED

5%

DID NOT COMPARE

209

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - eff - i/j/k Overlap formulae used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 25

WOQ5 - Earlier you said that you compared the services of two or more funeral directors when deciding which funeral director to use.

Thinking about all the things you wanted to compare them on, how easy or difficult was it to get all the information you needed to do this?

Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors

Unweighted Base Weighted Base Effective Base (+2) Very easy (+1) Fairly easy (0) Neither easy nor difficult (-1) Fairly difficult (-2) Very difficult All easy (Net) All difficult NET DIFFERENCE Mean Don't know

	GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EΑ		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NATI	ONS		INTER US <i>i</i>	AGE
TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
48	20	28	11	13	24	40	8	20	12	8	8	43	4	42	2	2	2	47	1
46*	19**	28**	11**	13**	22**	39*	7**	21**	11**	7**	8**	41*	4**	41*	2**	2**	2**	45*	1**
45	19	26	10	12	23	37	8	19	11	8	7	40	4	39	2	2	2	44	1
18 38%	8 45%	9 34%	2 16%	7 53%	9 41%	14 35%	4 58%	8 40%	3 27%	4 54%	3 37%	18 43%	-	15 38%	-	1 44%	2 100%	17 37%	1 100%
23 49%	7 39%	15 56%	8 <i>7</i> 5%	4 30%	10 47%	21 53%	2 28%	11 51%	5 44%	2 33%	5 63%	18 45%	3 76%	21 51%	1 50%	1 56%	-	23 50%	-
6 13%	3 17%	3 10%	1 9%	2 17%	3 12%	5 12%	1 14%	2 9%	3 29%	1 13%		5 12%	1 24%	5 12%	1 50%	:	-	6 13%	
1 :	-	-		-	-	-	-	:	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	:	-
Ι.	l .																		
	-	-			-	-	-			-	-	-	-				-	-	
40 <i>87</i> %	16 <i>83</i> %	25 90%	10 91%	11 <i>83</i> %	20 88%	34 88%	6 86%	19 <i>91%</i>	8 71%	6 <i>8</i> 7%	8 100%	36 88%	3 76%	36 88%	1 50%	2 100%	2 100%	39 <i>87</i> %	1 100%
	-	-			-	-	-			-	-		-				-	-	
-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-
40 87%	16 <i>83</i> %	25 90%	10 91%	11 83%	20 88%	34 88%	6 <i>86</i> %	19 <i>91%</i>	8 71%	6 <i>87</i> %	8 100%	36 <i>88</i> %	3 76%	36 88%	1 50%	2 100%	2 100%	39 <i>87</i> %	1 100%
1.26	1.28	1.24	1.07	1.36	1.29	1.23	1.43	1.31	0.98	1.41	1.37	1.31	0.76	1.26	0.50	1.44	2.00	1.24	2.00
1 -		-			-		-	1 -		-	-		-	1 -			-	1 -	-
		-	-	-	-				-		-		-				-		-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/l Overlap formulae used. " small base; " very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 26
WOQ5 - Earlier you said that you compared the services of two or more funeral directors when deciding which funeral director to use.
Thinking about all the things you wanted to compare them on, how easy or difficult was it to get all the information you needed to do this?
Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	DIRECTOR	COST	OF FUNERAL	
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Unweighted Base	48	10	37	48	-	16	12	19
Weighted Base	46*	9**	36*	46*	.**	15**	11**	19**
Effective Base	45	9	35	45	-	15	12	18
(+2) Very easy	18 <i>38</i> %	5 49%	13 <i>37</i> %	18 38%	-	5 32%	4 35%	9 49%
(+1) Fairly easy	23 49%	4 41%	19 52%	23 49%	-	8 53%	5 49%	8 41%
(0) Neither easy nor difficult	6 13%	1 10%	4 11%	6 13%	-	2 15%	2 16%	2 10%
(-1) Fairly difficult	:	-	:	:	:	:	:	
(-2) Very difficult	:	-	:	:	:	:	:	
All easy (Net)	40 <i>87</i> %	8 90%	32 89%	40 <i>87</i> %	-	13 <i>8</i> 5%	9 <i>8</i> 4%	17 90%
All difficult (Net)	:	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NET DIFFERENCE	40 <i>87</i> %	8 90%	32 89%	40 <i>87</i> %	0 <i>0</i> %	13 <i>8</i> 5%	9 <i>8</i> 4%	17 90%
Mean	1.26	1.39	1.26	1.26	-	1.17	1.19	1.39
Don't know	:		-	:	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 27

WOQ6 - Earlier you said that you didn't compare the services of two or more funeral directors when deciding which funeral director to use.

Can you tell me why you didn't compare funeral directors?

Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who had a choice and did not compare funeral directors

and did not use a funeral director already known to them

		GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	ICITY		NAT	IONS		INTER	
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Unweighted Base	51	24	27	9	16	26	34	17	17	20	10	4	49	2	42	2	5	2	48	3
Weighted Base	53*	25**	28**	10**	21**	23**	36*	17**	20**	19**	10**	4**	51*	2**	44*	2**	5**	2**	51*	2**
Effective Base	47	22	25	8	15	25	31	16	16	18	9	4	45	2	38	2	4	2	44	3
It did not occur to me/us to compare	10 20%	4 15%	7 24%	2 17%	5 24%	4 17%	5 15%	5 29%	4 21%	4 20%	1 15%	1 25%	10 20%	-	10 22%	-	1 16%	-	10 20%	-
Didn't want to/ couldn't/not	10 19%	4 14%	6 23%	4 40%	4 19%	2 9%	10 28%		2 11%	4 22%	3 34%	:	8 16%	2 100%	9 20%	1 49%	:		10 20%	•
appropriate (NET)																				
Everything needed to be arranged quickly, so there wasn't time	6 12%	1 4%	5 20%	2 19%	2 12%	2 9%	6 18%	1.1	1 7%	3 16%	2 20%	-	5 11%	1 48%	5 12%	1 49%	:	-	6 12%	-
I/we felt too upset to talk about the funeral arrangements again and again to different people	2 5%	1 <i>6</i> %	1 4%	1 10%	1 7%	-	2 7%		1 5%	:	1 14%	-	1 3%	1 52%	2 6%	:	:	-	2 5%	-
It's just not something you do'it's inappropriate in this type of situation	1 2%	1 4%	-	1 12%	-	-	1 3%		-	1 6%	-	-	1 2%	-	1 3%	-	-	-	1 2%	-
Chose 1st option/ no clear	9 17%	6 24%	3 11%	2 16%	1 7%	6 27%	6 17%	3 18%	5 25%	3 17%	1 <i>8</i> %	:	9 18%	:	8 18%	:	1 29%	-	8 17%	1 29%
difference (NET)																				
I/we liked/were happy with/ trusted the first funeral director I/we contacted/looked at/met	7 14%	5 21%	2 8%	1 7%	1 7%	5 23%	5 15%	2 13%	4 21%	2 12%	1 8%	-	7 14%	-	6 14%	-	1 29%	-	7 13%	1 29%
Funeral directors are all much the same/equally competent	2 3%	1 3%	1 3%	1 9%	-	1 4%	1 2%	1 5%	1 4%	1 5%	Ē	-	2 3%	-	2 4%	-	-	-	2 3%	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (9% rakt-level) - ab - citis = 1h - ij/kl - min - opiqir - si Overlap formulas used: "small base," "vey small base (unide 30) ineligible for sig feating The set was careful col n accordance with the requirement of the intensitating equility standard for market research, ISO 20052 and with the lpsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 27

WOQ6 - Earlier you said that you didn't compare the services of two or more funeral directors when deciding which funeral director to use.

Can you tell me why you didn't compare funeral directors?

Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who had a choice and did not compare funeral directors and did not use a funeral director already known to them

		GEN	IDER	ŀ	AGE		AR	REA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY	NATIONS NORTH			US	RNET AGE	
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Weighted Base	53*	25**	28**	10**	21**	23**	36*	17**	20**	19**	10**	4**	51*	2**	44*	2**	5**	2**	51*	2**
Didn't have a choice (NET)	1 1%	1 3%	:	-	1 3%	:	1 2%	:	:	1 3%	:	:	1 1%	:	1 1%	:	:	-	1 1%	:
because the deceased had already passed into their care and live didn't want to move them again	1 1%	1 3%	-	-	1 3%	-	1 2%	-	-	1 3%	-	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 1%	-
Trusted	16 31%	8 32%	8 30%	1 12%	6 29%	9 41%	10 28%	6 36%	6 30%	5 28%	2 23%	3 75%	16 32%	:	13 29%	1 51%	1 31%	1 58%	16 32%	: 1
recommendation I was/we were given (NET)	3176	32%	30%	12/8	2370	4176	20%	30%	3078	2076	23%	7576	32.70		20,0	3176	3176	30%	32.76	
by family member(s)/ friend(s)/ neighbour(s)/ work colleague(s)	12 23%	6 25%	6 22%	1 12%	5 24%	6 28%	9 25%	3 19%	5 25%	5 25%	:	3 75%	12 24%	:	10 22%	:	1 31%	1 58%	12 24%	:
by a member of staff at the care home/ hospice/hospital where the deceased died	1 1%	1 3%	-	-	1	1 3%	-	1 4%	:	1 4%	-	1	1 1%	-	1 1%	1	-	-	1 1%	:
by a religious leader	2 4%	1 5%	1 4%	-	1 6%	1 5%	-	2 13%	1 5%	-	1 12%	-	2 4%	-	1 2%	1 51%	-	-	2 4%	-
by someone else	1 2%	1 :	1 4%	:	:	1 5%	1 3%	-	-	:	1 11%	-	1 2%	-	1 2%	:	:	-	1 2%	:
Trusted reputation/reviews (NET)	8 15%	5 19%	3 11%	1 14%	3 13%	4 17%	4 11%	4 24%	3 16%	3 14%	2 21%	:	8 16%	:	6 14%		1 24%	1 42%	6 13%	2 71%
Trusted the customer/user reviews/ratings l/we saw for them	2 4%	2 8%	-	1 14%	1 3%	-	2 6%	-	=	1 3%	1 14%	:	2 4%	-	2 5%	-	:	-	2 4%	-
Trusted the local word-of- mouth about them	3 5%	2 7%	1 4%	-	2 <i>8</i> %	1 5%	2 5%	1 <i>6</i> %	1 5%	2 9%	-	-	3 6%	-	2 4%	-	1 24%	-	3 6%	-
Other	4 7%	2 7%	2 7%	:	1 5%	3 12%	1 2%	3 17%	2 10%	1 4%	1 7%	-	4 7%	-	3 7%	-	-	1 42%	2 4%	2 71%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (9% rakt-level) - ab - citis = 1h - ij/kl - min - opiqir - si Overlap formulas used: "small base," "vey small base (unide 30) ineligible for sig feating The set was careful col n accordance with the requirement of the intensitating equility standard for market research, ISO 20052 and with the lpsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 27
WOQ6 - Earlier you said that you didn't compare the services of two or more funeral directors when deciding which funeral director to use.

Can you tell me why you didn't compare funeral directors?

Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who had a choice and did not compare funeral directors

and did not use a funeral director already known to them

Weighted Base Trusted the recommendation give by a professional third-party Don't know

	GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NAT	IONS			RNET AGE
TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
53*	25**	28**	10**	21**	23**	36*	17**	20**	19**	10**	4**	51*	2**	44*	2**	5**	2**	51*	2**
3 <i>6</i> %	2 7%	1 4%	-	1 6%	2 8%	-	3 17%	1 5%	1 4%	1 12%	-	3 <i>6</i> %	-	2 4%	1 51%	-	-	3 6%	-
1 3%	-	1 5%		1 7%	-	1 4%	-	1 7%	-	-	-	1 3%	-	1 3%	-	_ :	-	1 3%	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - t/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/t Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 28

WOQ6 - Earlier you said that you didn't compare the services of two or more funeral directors when deciding which funeral director to use.

Can you tell me why you didn't compare funeral directors?

Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who had a choice and did not compare funeral directors

and did not use a funeral director already known to them

				COMPAR	SON OF			
	i i	NATURE	OF FUNERAL	FUNERAL D			OF FUNERAL (
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Unweighted Base	51	10	41	-	51	14	16	18
Weighted Base	53*	10**	43*	.**	53*	15**	17**	18**
Effective Base	47	9	38	-	47	13	14	17
It did not occur to me/us to compare	10 20%	3 31%	7 17%	-	10 20%	1 5%	3 17%	7 37%
Didn't want to/ couldn't/not	10 19%	-	10 23%		10 19%	4 30%	4 21%	2 11%
appropriate (NET)								
Everything needed to be arranged quickly, so there wasn't time	6 12%	2	6 15%	<u> </u>	6 12%	3 20%	2 15%	1 5%
I/we felt too upset to talk about the funeral arrangements again and again to different people	2 5%	- -	2 6%	:	2 5%	1 10%	-	1 6%
It's just not something you doi't's inappropriate in this type of situation	1 2%	Ē	1 3%	:	1 2%	-	1 7%	
Chose 1st option/	9	2	7	-	9	2	3	3
no clear	17%	19%	17%	-	17%	12%	15%	14%
difference (NET) I/we liked/were								
I'we iikediwere happy with/ trusted the first funeral director I/we contacted/looked at/met	7 14%	2 19%	6 13%	:	7 14%	2 12%	1 5%	3 14%
Funeral directors are all much the same/equally competent	2 3%	-	2 4%		2 3%		2 10%	-

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 28

WOQ6 - Earlier you said that you didn't compare the services of two or more funeral directors when deciding which funeral director to use.

Can you tell me why you didn't compare funeral directors?

Base: All Adults 184 in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who had a choice and did not compare funeral directors and did not use a funeral director already known to them

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	DIRECTOR		OF FUNERAL	
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Weighted Base	53*	10**	43*	.**	53*	15**	17**	18**
Didn't have a choice (NET)	1 1%	:	1 1%	:	1 1%	-	:	1 4%
because the deceased had already passed into their care and live didn't want to move them again	1 1%	:	1 1%	Ξ	1 1%	-	Ξ.	1 4%
Trusted	16	4	13	-	16	5	8	2
recommendation I was/we were given (NET)	31%	39%	29%	-	31%	36%	46%	11%
by family member(s)/ friend(s)/ neighbour(s)/ work colleague(s)	12 23%	4 39%	9 20%	-	12 23%	4 29%	5 28%	2 11%
by a member of staff at the care home/ hospice/hospital where the deceased died	1 1%	:	1 2%	:	1 1%	-	1 4%	:
by a religious leader	2 4%	-	2 5%	-	2 4%	-	2 13%	
by someone else	1 2%	-	1 3%	-	1 2%	1 7%	-	-
Trusted	8	2	6	-	8	2	1	5
reputation/reviews (NET)	15%	22%	13%	-	15%	14%	7%	27%
Trusted the customer/user reviews/ratings I/we saw for them	2 4%	1 15%	1 1%	=	2 4%	1 9%	-	1 4%
Trusted the local word-of- mouth about them	3 5%	-	3 7%	-	3 5%	:	-	3 16%
Other	4 7%	1 7%	3 <i>7</i> %	-	4 7%	1 5%	1 7%	2 11%

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 28

WOQ6 - Earlier you said that you didn't compare the services of two or more funeral directors when deciding which funeral director to use.

Can you tell me why you didn't compare funeral directors?

Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who had a choice and did not compare funeral directors

and did not use a funeral director already known to them

Weighted Base Trusted the recommendation give by a professional third-party Don't know

	NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D		COST	OF FUNERAL ((POUNDS)
TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
53*	10**	43*	.**	53*	15**	17**	18**
3 <i>6</i> %	- -	3 7%	-	3 6%	-	3 17%	1
1	-	1		1	1		
3%	-	3%	-	3%	10%	-	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/y/k
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Page 60

14 Aug 2018

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 29

WOQ7_1 Thinking about all the things you had to decide with the funeral director, how easy or difficult was it to get all the information you needed to understand?

... which services you could choose not to buy from them

Base: All Adults 18. in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months, or who refused to say what type of "at need" funeral was arranged,

where a funeral director was engaged

		GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	ICITY		NATI	IONS		INTER USA	GE
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Unweighted Base	331	150	181	53	92	186	245	86	112	109	58	52	310	18	261	32	21	17	309	22
Weighted Base	325	142	183	55*	106*	164	238	86*	120	95	58*	51*	304	18**	258	31**	18**	18**	307	17**
Effective Base	305	137	169	48	86	177	225	80	105	100	54	48	286	17	241	30	19	15	286	20
(+2) Very easy	176 <i>54</i> %	62 44%	114 62%a	28 51%	56 53%	92 56%	120 50%	56 65%f	72 60%l	48 50%	35 61%l	21 41%	167 55%	9 48%	139 <i>54</i> %	17 54%	11 61%	10 55%	166 54%	10 <i>60</i> %
(+1) Fairly easy	95 <i>29</i> %	52 37%b	43 23%	14 25%	35 33%	46 28%	75 31%	20 23%	30 25%	27 28%	17 30%	21 41%	87 28%	7 41%	75 29%	8 27%	4 25%	7 37%	89 29%	6 33%
(0) Neither easy nor difficult	43 13%	24 17%	19 10%	11 20%	12 12%	19 12%	35 15%	8 9%	17 14%	14 14%	3 <i>6</i> %	9 1 <i>7</i> %	39 <i>13</i> %	2 11%	38 15%	3 9%	1 4%	2 9%	42 14%	1 4%
(-1) Fairly difficult	5 2%	1 1%	4 2%	-	2 2%	4 2%	4 2%	2 2%	1 1%	3 <i>3</i> %	1 1%	1 2%	5 <i>2</i> %	:	4 1%	1 2%	1 5%	-	5 2%	1 4%
(-2) Very difficult	3 1%	2 1%	1 1%	1 2%	-	2 1%	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 2%	-	3 1%	:	- :	2 6%	1 6%	-	3 1%	-
All easy (Net)	271 83%	114 <i>81</i> %	156 <i>8</i> 5%	42 77%	91 <i>85</i> %	138 <i>8</i> 4%	194 <i>82</i> %	76 88%	102 <i>8</i> 5%	75 78%	52 91%	42 <i>82</i> %	254 83%	16 <i>8</i> 9%	214 <i>83</i> %	25 81%	15 86%	16 91%	254 83%	16 93%
All difficult (Net)	8 2%	3 <i>2</i> %	5 <i>3</i> %	1 2%	2 2%	5 <i>3</i> %	6 2%	2 3%	2 2%	3 4%	2 3%	1 2%	8 <i>3</i> %		4 1%	2 8%	2 11%	-	7 2%	1 4%
NET DIFFERENCE	262 81%	111 <i>78</i> %	151 <i>8</i> 3%	41 75%	89 <i>84</i> %	132 <i>81</i> %	189 <i>79</i> %	74 86%	100 83%	71 <i>7</i> 5%	50 <i>87</i> %	41 80%	246 81%	16 <i>8</i> 9%	210 <i>81</i> %	23 73%	13 <i>7</i> 5%	16 91%	247 80%	16 <i>8</i> 9%
Mean	1.36	1.22	1.46a	1.26	1.38	1.37	1.30	1.50	1.42	1.29	1.46	1.21	1.36	1.38	1.36	1.25	1.31	1.46	1.35	1.49
Don't know	4 1%	1 1%	3 1%	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%	4 1%		-	4 4%i	-	-	4 1%	-	3 1%	1 3%	-	-	4 1%	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (6% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/tOverlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 30 WOQ7_1 Thinking about all the things you had to decide with the funeral director, how easy or difficult was it to get all the information you needed to understand? ... which services you could choose not to buy from them

Base: All Adults 18, in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months, or who refused to say what type of "at need" funeral was arranged,

where a funeral director was engaged

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	IRECTOR		OF FUNERAL	
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Unweighted Base	331	93	236	48	266	81	106	119
Weighted Base	325	92*	231	46*	262	79*	105*	116
Effective Base	305	86	218	45	245	74	97	111
(+2) Very easy	176 54%	48 52%	127 55%	22 48%	146 56%	36 46%	54 51%	70 61%
(+1) Fairly easy	95 <i>29</i> %	25 27%	70 30%	15 33%	76 29%	25 32%	36 34%	30 26%
(0) Neither easy nor difficult	43 13%	16 18%	26 11%	9 19%	30 11%	16 20%	11 11%	11 10%
(-1) Fairly difficult	5 2%	2 2%	4 2%	-	5 2%	-	2 2%	3 3%
(-2) Very difficult	3 1%	1 1%	2 1%	-	3 1%	-	2 2%	1 1%
All easy (Net)	271 83%	73 79%	197 <i>85</i> %	37 81%	222 85%	62 79%	90 <i>85</i> %	100 <i>87</i> %
All difficult (Net)	8 2%	3 3%	5 2%	-	8 3%	-	4 4%	4 3%
NET DIFFERENCE	262 81%	70 76%	191 <i>83</i> %	37 81%	214 82%	62 79%	86 <i>82</i> %	96 83%
Mean	1.36	1.28	1.39	1.29	1.37	1.27	1.31	1.43
Don't know	4 1%	:	4 2%	-	2 1%	1 1%	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k Overlap formulae used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

14 Aug 2018

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 31

WOQ7_2 Thinking about all the things you had to decide with the funeral director, how easy or difficult was it to get all the information you needed to understand?

... which services they themselves would provide and which would be provided through them by someone else (a sub-contractor)

Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months, or who refused to say what type of "at need" funeral was arranged, where a funeral director was engaged

Unweighted Base Weighted Base Effective Base (+2) Very easy (+1) Fairly easy (0) Neither easy nor difficult (-1) Fairly difficult (-2) Very difficult All easy (Net) All difficult (Net) NET DIFFERENCE Mean

Don't know

	(a) (b) 331 150 161 325 142 183 305 137 169 1772 66 107 53% 46% 56 25% 26% 24% 1778 22% 27 17% 2 25 27% 17% 1 1 1 1 7 257 105 18 2 2 5 3% 2 3 3 2 3 3 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	ICITY		NATI	ONS		INTER USA	AGE
TOTAL		MALE	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
331	150	181	53	92	186	245	86	112	109	58	52	310	18	261	32	21	17	309	22
325	142	183	55*	106*	164	238	86*	120	95	58*	51*	304	18**	258	31**	18**	18**	307	17**
305	137	169	48	86	177	225	80	105	100	54	48	286	17	241	30	19	15	286	20
		107 58%a	27 48%	55 52%	91 55%	118 50%	54 63%f	71 59%	44 47%	34 59%	23 44%	163 54%	9 51%	139 54%	13 41%	13 74%	8 45%	164 53%	8 47%
		45 24%	14 25%	30 28%	40 25%	69 29%	16 18%	22 18%	32 33%i	15 25%	16 32%	77 25%	6 32%	64 25%	11 36%	2 12%	7 37%	78 25%	6 36%
		23 13%	13 23%	17 16%	26 16%	44 18%	12 14%	23 19%	14 14%	8 13%	12 23%	52 17%	3 17%	47 18%	4 15%	1 4%	3 19%	54 18%	1 89
7 2%		5 3%	1 2%	1 1%	4 3%	5 2%	2 <i>2</i> %	3 2%	2 2%	1 2%	1 2%	7 2%	-	4 1%	1 4%	2 11%	-	6 2%	1 49
	1 *	1 1%	-	-	2 1%	-	2 2%f	1 1%	1 1%	-		2 1%	-	1	1 2%	-	-	1	1 6%
		151 <i>8</i> 3%	41 74%	85 <i>80</i> %	131 <i>80</i> %	187 <i>78</i> %	70 81%	93 77%	76 80%	49 <i>8</i> 5%	39 <i>76</i> %	240 79%	15 <i>83</i> %	203 79%	24 77%	15 86%	15 <i>81</i> %	242 79%	14 83%
		6 3%	1 2%	1 1%	6 4%	5 2%	3 4%	4 3%	3 3%	1 2%	1 2%	8 <i>3</i> %	-	5 2%	2 6%	2 11%	-	7 2%	2 9%
249 77%	103 73%	146 <i>80</i> %	40 72%	84 80%	125 <i>76</i> %	182 <i>76</i> %	66 77%	89 74%	73 77%	48 <i>8</i> 3%	38 <i>74</i> %	232 76%	15 <i>83</i> %	199 <i>77</i> %	22 72%	13 <i>7</i> 5%	15 <i>81</i> %	236 77%	13 <i>73</i> %
1.31	1.20	1.39	1.22	1.35	1.31	1.28	1.39	1.33	1.27	1.42	1.18	1.31	1.34	1.32	1.13	1.49	1.26	1.32	1.14
4 1%	1 1%	3 1%	1 1%	3	1	3 1%	1	1	3	-	-	4 1%	-	3 1%	1 3%	-	-	4	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (6% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/tOverlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Table 32

WOQ7_2 Thinking about all the things you had to decide with the funeral director, how easy or difficult was it to get all the information you needed to understand?

... which services they themselves would provide and which would be provided through them by someone else (a sub-contractor)

Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months, or who refused to say what type of "at need" funeral was arranged,

where a funeral director was engaged

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	IRECTOR		OF FUNERAL (
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Unweighted Base	331	93	236	48	266	81	106	119
Weighted Base	325	92*	231	46*	262	79*	105*	116
Effective Base	305	86	218	45	245	74	97	111
(+2) Very easy	172 53%	47 51%	124 54%	20 43%	145 55%	34 43%	59 56%	66 57%
(+1) Fairly easy	84 26%	25 28%	59 26%	17 37%	64 24%	22 28%	28 27%	28 24%
(0) Neither easy nor difficult	56 17%	18 19%	38 16%	9 19%	43 16%	22 28%jk	15 14%	16 14%
(-1) Fairly difficult	7 2%	2 2%	5 2%	1 2%	6 2%	-	3 <i>3</i> %	3 3%
(-2) Very difficult	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	1 1%	1 1%
All easy (Net)	257 79%	73 <i>79</i> %	183 79%	37 79%	209 <i>80</i> %	56 72%	87 82%	94 81%
All difficult (Net)	8 3%	2 2%	6 3%	1 2%	7 3%	-	3 3%	4 4%
NET DIFFERENCE	249 77%	71 77%	177 76%	36 78%	201 <i>77</i> %	56 72%	83 <i>7</i> 9%	90 78%
Mean	1.31	1.28	1.32	1.20	1.33	1.15	1.34	1.35
Don't know	4 1%	-	4 2%		3 1%	1%	-	1 1%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k Overlap formulae used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

Page 64

14 Aug 2018

INTERNET USAGE

INTER NET USERS (s)

307

286

181 *59*% 73 24%

40 13% 7 2%

255 *8*3%

248 81%

1.43

NON INTER NET USERS (t)

17**

20

4 25%

17 100%

17 100%

1.75

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 33
WOQ7_3 Thinking about all the things you had to decide with the funeral director, how easy or difficult was it to get all the information you needed to understand?
... what you would be paying in total
Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months, or who refused to say what type of "at need" funeral was arranged,

where a funeral director was engaged

		GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NATI	ONS	
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)
Unweighted Base	331	150	181	53	92	186	245	86	112	109	58	52	310	18	261	32	21	17
Weighted Base	325	142	183	55*	106*	164	238	86*	120	95	58*	51*	304	18**	258	31**	18**	18**
Effective Base	305	137	169	48	86	177	225	80	105	100	54	48	286	17	241	30	19	15
(+2) Very easy	195 <i>60</i> %	75 53%	119 65%a	26 47%	66 62%	102 63%	135 <i>57</i> %	59 <i>69</i> %	80 67%j	49 51%	37 64%	29 56%	184 <i>60</i> %	11 59%	155 <i>60</i> %	15 49%	13 <i>73</i> %	11 64%
(+1) Fairly easy	77 24%	42 30%b	35 19%	14 26%	22 21%	41 25%	63 26%	15 17%	21 18%	26 27%	14 24%	16 <i>32</i> %	70 <i>23</i> %	6 32%	61 24%	12 40%	1 3%	3 18%
(0) Neither easy nor difficult	40 12%	19 14%	21 11%	13 23%e	14 13%	13 <i>8</i> %	30 13%	10 11%	12 10%	17 17%	5 9%	5 11%	37 12%	2 10%	32 12%	3 8%	3 14%	3 18%
(-1) Fairly difficult	7 2%	3 2%	4 2%	1 1%	1 1%	5 3%	6 2%	1 1%	4 3%	1 1%	1 2%	1 1%	7 2%	-	6 2%	-	1 6%	-
(-2) Very difficult	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
All easy (Net)	272 84%	117 83%	155 <i>8</i> 5%	40 73%	88 <i>83</i> %	144 88%c	198 <i>83</i> %	74 86%	102 <i>84</i> %	75 78%	51 <i>88</i> %	45 88%	254 83%	16 90%	216 <i>84</i> %	27 89%	14 77%	15 <i>82</i> %
All difficult (Net)	7 2%	3 2%	4 2%	1 1%	1 1%	5 3%	6 2%	1 1%	4 3%	1 1%	1 2%	1 1%	7 2%	-	6 2%	-	1 6%	-
NET DIFFERENCE	265 <i>82</i> %	114 <i>81</i> %	151 <i>8</i> 3%	39 <i>72</i> %	87 <i>82</i> %	138 85%c	193 <i>81</i> %	73 <i>8</i> 4%	97 81%	74 77%	50 <i>86</i> %	44 87%	247 81%	16 90%	210 <i>81</i> %	27 89%	13 71%	15 <i>82</i> %
Mean	1.44	1.35	1.51	1.22	1.49	1.49c	1.40	1.56	1.51	1.32	1.52	1.43	1.45	1.49	1.44	1.42	1.50	1.45
Don't know	6 2%	2 1%	4 2%	1 3%	3 <i>3</i> %	2 1%	5 2%	2 2%	2 2%	3 <i>3</i> %	1 2%	:	6 2%	. :	5 2%	1 3%	1 4%	:

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (6% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/tOverlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Table 34

WOQ7_3 Thinking about all the things you had to decide with the funeral director, how easy or difficult was it to get all the information you needed to understand?

... what you would be paying in total

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months, or who refused to say what type of "at need" funeral was arranged,

where a funeral director was engaged

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D		COST	OF FUNERAL ((POUNDS)
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Unweighted Base	331	93	236	48	266	81	106	119
Weighted Base	325	92*	231	46*	262	79*	105*	116
Effective Base	305	86	218	45	245	74	97	111
(+2) Very easy	195 <i>60</i> %	53 57%	141 61%	22 47%	164 62%	46 58%	66 63%	72 62%
(+1) Fairly easy	77 24%	24 26%	53 23%	15 33%	60 23%	21 26%	28 26%	26 23%
(0) Neither easy nor difficult	40 12%	14 15%	26 11%	8 18%	28 11%	11 14%	12 11%	12 10%
(-1) Fairly difficult	7 2%	2 2%	5 2%	1 2%	5 2%	1 1%	-	5 5%j
(-2) Very difficult	:	-	-	-	-	-	-	:
All easy (Net)	272 84%	77 83%	194 <i>84</i> %	37 80%	224 85%	66 <i>8</i> 5%	94 89%	98 <i>85</i> %
All difficult (Net)	7 2%	2 2%	5 2%	1 2%	5 2%	1 1%	-	5 5%j
NET DIFFERENCE	265 <i>82</i> %	75 82%	189 <i>82</i> %	36 78%	219 <i>8</i> 3%	66 83%	94 <i>89</i> %	93 <i>80</i> %
Mean	1.44	1.39	1.47	1.26	1.48	1.43	1.51	1.42
Don't know	6 2%	-	6 3%		5 2%	1%		-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k Overlap formulae used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

Page 66

14 Aug 2018

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 35

WOQ7_4 Thinking about all the things you had to decide with the funeral director, how easy or difficult was it to get all the information you needed to understand?

... what the price did and did not cover
Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months, or who refused to say what type of "at need" funeral was arranged,

where a funeral director was engaged

Unweighted Base
Weighted Base
Effective Base
(+2) Very easy
(+1) Fairly easy
(0) Neither easy nor difficult
(-1) Fairly difficult
(-2) Very difficult
All easy (Net)
All difficult (Net)
NET DIFFERENCE
Mean
Don't know

		GENI	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NAT	IONS			RNET AGE
тот	AL N	//ALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
33	31	150	181	53	92	186	245	86	112	109	58	52	310	18	261	32	21	17	309	22
32	25	142	183	55*	106*	164	238	86*	120	95	58*	51*	304	18**	258	31**	18**	18**	307	17**
30)5	137	169	48	86	177	225	80	105	100	54	48	286	17	241	30	19	15	286	20
18	33 56%	70 49%	114 62%a	29 53%	58 55%	96 59%	130 54%	54 62%	77 64%	49 52%	30 53%	26 52%	173 <i>57</i> %	10 54%	149 58%	15 48%	13 70%	7 38%	173 56%	11 61%
	35 26%	45 32%	40 22%	11 20%	29 27%	45 27%	63 26%	22 25%	24 20%	25 <i>26</i> %	19 <i>32</i> %	18 <i>34</i> %	80 26%	4 20%	65 25%	12 38%	1 7%	7 40%	81 26%	4 23%
	13 13%	21 15%	22 12%	12 22%e	15 14%	16 10%	33 14%	9 11%	16 <i>13</i> %	15 16%	4 7%	7 14%	39 13%	3 18%	34 13%	3 8%	3 14%	4 23%	40 13%	3 16%
İ	6 2%	3 2%	3 2%	1 2%	1 1%	4 2%	6 3%	-	2 2%	1 1%	3 <i>6</i> %		6 <i>2</i> %	1 3%	4 2%	1 4%	1 6%	-	6 2%	-
	2 1%	1 1%	1	-	-	2 1%	2 1%		-	2 2%	-		1	1 5%	2 1%			-	2 1%	:
26	88 33%	115 <i>81</i> %	154 <i>8</i> 4%	40 73%	87 <i>82</i> %	141 86%c	193 <i>81</i> %	76 <i>87</i> %	101 <i>84</i> %	75 78%	49 <i>8</i> 5%	44 86%	253 83%	13 74%	214 <i>83</i> %	26 <i>8</i> 5%	14 77%	14 77%	254 83%	15 <i>8</i> 4%
l	8 2%	4 3%	3 2%	1 2%	1 1%	5 <i>3</i> %	8 <i>3</i> %	-	2 2%	2 3%	3 <i>6</i> %		6 <i>2</i> %	1 <i>8</i> %	6 2%	1 4%	1 6%	-	8 <i>3</i> %	-
26	61 30%	110 <i>78</i> %	150 <i>82</i> %	39 71%	86 <i>81</i> %	136 <i>8</i> 3%	185 <i>78</i> %	76 <i>87</i> %	99 <i>82</i> %	72 76%	46 79%	44 86%	247 81%	12 66%	209 <i>81</i> %	25 <i>8</i> 2%	13 71%	14 77%	246 80%	15 84%
1.3	19	1.28	1.47a	1.27	1.39	1.42	1.34	1.52	1.49	1.30	1.34	1.37	1.41	1.15	1.41	1.33	1.46	1.15	1.38	1.45
	6 2%	2 1%	4 2%	1 3%	3 <i>3</i> %	2 1%	5 2%	2 2%	2 2%	3 3%	1 2%	-	6 2%		5 2%	1 3%	1 4%	-	6 2%	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (6% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/tOverlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Table 36
WOQ7_4 Thinking about all the things you had to decide with the funeral director, how easy or difficult was it to get all the information you needed to understand?
... what the price did and did not cover
Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months, or who refused to say what type of "at need" funeral was arranged,

where a funeral director was engaged

		NATURE (OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D		COST	OF FUNERAL (POUNDS)
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Unweighted Base	331	93	236	48	266	81	106	119
Weighted Base	325	92*	231	46*	262	79*	105*	116
Effective Base	305	86	218	45	245	74	97	111
(+2) Very easy	183 <i>56</i> %	49 53%	133 58%	20 43%	156 59%e	40 51%	62 59%	71 61%
(+1) Fairly easy	85 26%	22 24%	62 27%	15 33%	67 25%	25 32%	32 30%	26 22%
(0) Neither easy nor difficult	43 13%	16 18%	26 11%	9 19%	30 11%	11 14%	9 <i>9</i> %	17 14%
(-1) Fairly difficult	6 2%	3 3%	4 2%	2 4%	4 2%	2 2%	2 2%	2 2%
(-2) Very difficult	2 1%	2 2%	-	1 2%	1 .	1 1%		1 1%
All easy (Net)	268 83%	71 <i>78</i> %	196 <i>85</i> %	35 76%	223 85%	64 82%	94 89%	96 <i>83</i> %
All difficult (Net)	8 2%	4 5%	4 2%	3 6%	5 2%	3 3%	2 2%	3 <i>2</i> %
NET DIFFERENCE	261 <i>80</i> %	67 <i>73</i> %	192 <i>8</i> 3%	32 70%	218 83%e	62 79%	91 <i>86</i> %	94 81%
Mean	1.39	1.25	1.45	1.11	1.45e	1.29	1.45	1.42
Don't know	6 2%	-	6 3%	-	5 2%	1%	:	:

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k Overlap formulae used. * small base

14 Aug 2018

Page 68

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 37

WOQ8 - And, approximately, how much did the funeral arrangements cost in total - pounds?

Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months, or who refused to say what type of "at need" funeral was arranged, where a funeral director was engaged

		GEN	IDER		AGE		AF	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NAT	IONS		INTER USA	AGE
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Unweighted Base	331	150	181	53	92	186	245	86	112	109	58	52	310	18	261	32	21	17	309	22
Weighted Base	325	142	183	55*	106*	164	238	86*	120	95	58*	51*	304	18**	258	31**	18**	18**	307	17**
Effective Base	305	137	169	48	86	177	225	80	105	100	54	48	286	17	241	30	19	15	286	20
(1000) Up to 2,000	21 7%	4 3%	18 10%a	5 9%	6 5%	11 <i>6</i> %	19 <i>8</i> %	2 3%	8 7%	4 4%	7 11%	3 5%	21 7%		16 6%	3 9%		3 15%	20 7%	1 8%
(2499.5) 2,001- 3,000	57 18%	29 21%	28 15%	12 21%	18 17%	27 17%	44 18%	13 15%	16 13%	18 19%	14 24%	9 18%	52 17%	4 22%	43 17%	2 6%	5 29%	7 41%	55 18%	2 12%
(3499.5) 3,001- 4,000	105 32%	44 31%	62 34%	11 20%	38 <i>3</i> 5%	57 35%c	78 33%	28 32%	40 33%	27 28%	16 27%	23 44%	101 33%	3 16%	80 31%	16 52%	4 21%	5 30%	103 33%	3 16%
(4499.5) 4,001- 5,000	64 20%	29 20%	35 19%	8 15%	21 20%	35 21%	44 18%	20 24%	27 23%	21 22%	8 13%	8 16%	59 20%	4 25%	51 20%	6 19%	4 25%	2 14%	58 19%	6 35%
(5001) Over 5,000	52 16%	23 16%	28 15%	12 22%	16 15%	23 14%	36 15%	15 18%	21 18%	14 15%	11 19%	5 10%	47 16%	4 25%	46 18%	2 8%	3 18%	-	49 16%	2 14%
More than 4,000	116 36%	52 37%	63 35%	21 38%	37 <i>3</i> 5%	58 <i>3</i> 5%	80 34%	36 41%	49 40%	35 <i>37</i> %	19 <i>32</i> %	13 26%	107 35%	9 49%	97 38%	8 27%	8 43%	2 14%	107 <i>3</i> 5%	9 49%
More than 3,000	221 68%	96 68%	125 68%	32 57%	75 70%	115 <i>70%</i>	158 66%	63 <i>73</i> %	89 74%	62 65%	34 59%	36 71%	208 <i>68</i> %	12 66%	177 69%	24 79%	11 64%	8 44%	210 <i>68</i> %	11 65%
More than 2,000	278 86%	125 <i>88</i> %	153 <i>8</i> 4%	43 78%	93 <i>87</i> %	143 <i>87</i> %	202 85%	76 <i>89</i> %	104 <i>87</i> %	80 <i>8</i> 4%	48 <i>8</i> 3%	46 89%	260 85%	16 88%	220 85%	26 85%	17 93%	15 <i>85</i> %	265 <i>8</i> 6%	13 77%
Up to 3,000	79 24%	33 23%	45 25%	17 30%	24 22%	38 23%	63 26%	16 18%	24 20%	22 23%	21 36%i	12 24%	73 24%	4 22%	59 23%	5 15%	5 29%	10 56%	75 24%	20%
Up to 4,000	184 <i>57</i> %	77 54%	107 59%	27 50%	61 58%	95 58%	141 59%	43 50%	64 53%	49 51%	36 62%	35 68%	174 <i>57</i> %	7 39%	139 54%	21 67%	9 50%	15 86%	178 58%	6 36%
Up to 5,000	248 76%	106 75%	142 78%	36 65%	82 78%	130 79%c	184 77%	63 74%	91 <i>76</i> %	70 73%	44 76%	43 84%	234 77%	12 63%	190 74%	26 86%	13 75%	18 100%	236 77%	12 71%
Mean	3602.9	3694.7	3533.3	3554.9	3625.9	3603.2	3529.9	3807.5	3706.5	3670.9	3384.4	3491.3	3588.5	3945.3	3657.4	3536.3	3747.9	2852.9	3593.5	3783.6
Don't know	22 7%	10 7%	13 <i>7</i> %	7 12%	7 6%	9 5%	16 7%	6 7%	5 5%	11 11%	3 5%	3 5%	20 7%	2 12%	19 7%	2 6%	1 7%	:	20 <i>6</i> %	3 15%
Refused	3 1%	3 2%	-	:	1 1%	2 1%	2 1%	1 1%	2 2%	:	-		3 1%		3 1%			-	3 1%	:

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/t Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 38

WOQ8 - And, approximately, how much did the funeral arrangements cost in total - pounds?

Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months, or who refused to say what type of "at need" funeral was arranged, where a funeral director was engaged

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	DIRECTOR		OF FUNERAL (
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Unweighted Base	331	93	236	48	266	81	106	119
Weighted Base	325	92*	231	46*	262	79*	105*	116
Effective Base	305	86	218	45	245	74	97	111
(1000) Up to 2,000	21 7%	7 8%	14 6%	3 7%	16 <i>6</i> %	21 27%jk	-	:
(2499.5) 2,001- 3,000	57 18%	23 25%b	34 15%	12 25%	42 16%	57 73%jk		
(3499.5) 3,001- 4,000	105 32%	28 31%	77 33%	11 24%	90 34%	-	105 100%ik	:
(4499.5) 4,001- 5,000	64 20%	12 13%	52 22%	10 21%	54 21%	:	:	64 55%ij
(5001) Over 5,000	52 16%	19 21%	33 14%	9 19%	42 16%	:	:	52 45%ij
More than 4,000	116 <i>36</i> %	31 34%	84 36%	19 41%	96 <i>37</i> %	-		116 100%ij
More than 3,000	221 68%	59 64%	161 70%	30 64%	186 71%	-	105 100%i	116 100%i
More than 2,000	278 <i>86</i> %	82 89%	195 <i>84</i> %	42 90%	228 <i>8</i> 7%	57 73%	105 100%i	116 100%i
Up to 3,000	79 24%	30 33%b	48 21%	15 32%	58 22%	79 100%jk	:	:
Up to 4,000	184 <i>57</i> %	58 63%	124 54%	26 56%	148 56%	79 100%k	105 100%k	
Up to 5,000	248 76%	70 77%	176 76%	36 77%	202 77%	79 100%k	105 100%k	64 55%
Mean	3602.9	3495.17	3652.96	3580.40	3644.80	2091.27	3499.50i	4723.72ij
Don't know	22 7%	3 3%	20 9%	2 3%	16 <i>6</i> %	-	-	:
Refused	3	-	3	-	2	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k Overlap formulae used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 39

WOQ9 - And compared with what you thought the funeral arrangements were likely to cost, would you say this was...?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months where a funeral director was engaged who reported a price or refused to say what the price was

Unweighted Base Weighted Base Effective Base Less than you expected it to cost About what you expected it to cost More than you expected it to cost Don't know Refused

		GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NATI	IONS		INTE US	AGE
то	TAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
	309	141	168	46	87	176	230	79	107	98	55	49	290	16	243	30	19	17	290	19
	303	132	170	48*	100*	155	223	80*	115	85*	55*	48*	284	16**	239	29**	17**	18**	288	15**
1	285	128	157	42	81	167	212	74	101	90	51	45	268	15	224	28	18	15	268	18
ı	27 9%	12 9%	15 9%	5 11%	5 5%	16 11%	19 <i>8</i> %	8 10%	12 10%	6 7%	5 9%	5 10%	26 9%	1 <i>8</i> %	22 9%	2 5%	2 13%	1 6%	25 9%	2 16%
1	226 75%	101 <i>76</i> %	125 74%	31 <i>64</i> %	83 83%c	112 72%	164 74%	62 78%	88 77%	64 75%	39 72%	35 72%	218 77%	8 52%	176 74%	24 83%	10 59%	16 90%	216 <i>7</i> 5%	10 66%
İ	46 15%	18 14%	28 16%	11 23%	11 11%	24 16%	39 17%	8 10%	14 12%	14 16%	11 19%	8 17%	38 13%	6 40%	39 16%	3 12%	4 25%	-	45 16%	1 <i>8</i> %
İ	1	1 1%	1	-	-	1 1%	!	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 2%	1	-	-	-	1 4%	1 4%	:	1 9%
	2 1%	-	2 1%	1 2%		1	1 .	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%		-	2 1%	-	2 1%	-			2 1%	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/jk/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/t Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 40

WOQ9 - And compared with what you thought the funeral arrangements were likely to cost, would you say this was...?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months where a funeral director was engaged who reported a price or refused to say what the price was

Unweighted Base
Weighted Base
Effective Base
Less than you expected it to cost
About what you expected it to cost
More than you expected it to cost
Don't know
Refused

	NATURE (OF FUNERAL	COMPAR FUNERAL I		COST	OF FUNERAL (POUNDS)
TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
309	90	217	47	250	81	106	119
303	89*	212	45*	246	79*	105*	116
285	83	200	44	230	74	97	111
27 9%	5 6%	22 10%	3 6%	23 9%	12 15%	8 <i>8</i> %	7 6%
226 75%	70 <i>78</i> %	156 74%	30 <i>67</i> %	189 77%	61 78%	83 <i>78</i> %	80 69%
46 15%	13 15%	33 15%	11 25%f	33 13%	6 <i>8</i> %	14 13%	26 23%i
. !	1 1%	1	-	1	-	1 1%	1 1%
2 1%	1 1%	1	1 2%	1		-	2 1%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k Overlap formulae used. * small base

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 41

WOQ10 - May I just double-check ... When you were deciding which funeral director to use, did you search online for any information about them?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or don't know/can't remember whether they compared

Unweighted Base Weighted Base Effective Base Yes, I/we definitely searched online for information Yes, I think that I/we searched online for information, but I'm not sure No, I/we did not search online for information All searching online (Net) Don't know Refused

		GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	ICITY		NATI	ONS		INTEI US/	RNET AGE
тот	AL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
2	24	104	120	37	64	123	160	64	84	69	39	32	210	13	176	20	16	12	209	15
2	25	101*	124	40*	75*	111	159	66*	90*	63*	39*	33**	210	13**	177	20**	15**	13**	212	13**
2	06	95	112	33	59	117	147	60	78	64	36	29	194	12	162	19	15	11	193	14
	31 14%	10 10%	21 17%	10 25%d	6 <i>8</i> %	15 13%	25 16%	6 9%	15 16%	7 11%	4 11%	5 16%	28 13%	3 22%	27 15%	2 9%	1 10%	1 5%	31 15%	
	11 5%	5 5%	6 5%	3 9%	5 6%	3 3%	7 4%	4 6%	3 3%	1 1%	5 12%j	3 <i>8</i> %	9 4%	1 8%	9 5%	-	2 11%	-	10 5%	1 8%
	81 <i>80</i> %	85 <i>84</i> %	96 77%	26 66%	62 83%	93 84%c	125 79%	56 <i>85</i> %	71 79%	54 87%	30 77%	25 77%	172 82%	9 69%	139 <i>78</i> %	18 91%	12 79%	13 95%	169 <i>80</i> %	12 92%
	42 19%	16 16%	27 21%	14 34%de	11 15%	18 16%	32 20%	10 15%	18 20%	8 12%	9 23%	8 23%	37 18%	4 31%	37 21%	2 9%	3 21%	1 5%	41 19%	1 <i>8</i> %
	2 1%	:	1 1%	:	2 2%	-	2 1%	-	1 1%	1%	-	-	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	-	-	2 1%	:
	:	-	-	:	:	-	-		-	:	-	-	-	-	-	-	:	-	:	:

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - l/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/t Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 42

WOQ10 - May I just double-check ... When you were deciding which funeral director to use, did you search online for any information about them?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in arranging an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who compared funeral directors or had a choice but did not compare or don't know/can't remember whether they compared

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	FUNERAL D	IRECTOR		OF FUNERAL	
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Unweighted Base	224	58	164	48	159	57	71	78
Weighted Base	225	60*	163	46*	162	56*	74*	77*
Effective Base	206	54	151	45	146	52	65	73
Yes, I/we definitely searched online for information	31 14%	5 <i>8</i> %	26 16%	25 53%f	6 3%	7 12%	9 12%	14 19%
Yes, I think that I/we searched conline for information, but I'm not sure	11 5%	4 6%	7 4%	4 9%	5 <i>3</i> %	6 10%j	1 1%	5 6%
No, I/we did not search online for information	181 <i>80</i> %	51 86%	129 79%	18 38%	152 94%e	44 77%	63 <i>8</i> 5%	58 75%
All searching online (Net)	42 19%	8 14%	32 20%	29 62%f	10 <i>6</i> %	12 22%	10 13%	19 25%
Don't know	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	-	1%	1 2%	
Refused	:	-	-	-	-	-		:

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k Overlap formulae used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

14 Aug 2018

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 43

WOQ11A - Thinking about all the things you wanted to find out, how easy or difficult was it to get information you needed about them online?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who searched online for information about funeral directors

INTERNET GENDER AGE AREA SOCIAL GRADE ETHNICITY NATIONS NON INTER INTER FE-MALE SCOTL AND IRELA ND (r) NET USERS NET USERS RURAL TOTAL MALE 18-34 35-54 55+ (e) URBAN AB C1 C2 DE (l) WHITE BAME WALES ND (o) (s) Unweighted Base 13 43 20 39 Weighted Base 27** 14** 11** 32* 37* 37* Effective Base 42 16 12 10 19 10 37 20 53% (+2) Very easy 9 58% 9 53% 14 42% 16 43% 20 47% 43% 67% 77% 40% 37% 54% 50% 39% 60% 36% 100% (+1) Fairly easy 6 37% 12 47% 6 33% 17 47% 18 44% 57% 42% 54% 53% 10% 100% 50% 10% 46% 56% (0) Neither easy nor difficult 4 11% 2 18% 2 14% 4 10% 14% 100% 5% 4% 31% 10% 23% 12% 23% 8% (-1) Fairly (-2) Very difficult All easy (Net) 38 *8*9% 15 95% 31 96% 33 90% 38 92% 100% 82% 69% 90% 77% 91% 100% 100% 100% All difficult (Net) Mean 1.36 1.54 1.25 1.43 1.21 1.39 1.38 1.29 1.27 1.54 1.44 1.27 1.41 1.00 1.32 1.50 1.53 2.00 1.39 0.00 Don't know

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/jk/i - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/t
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions

14 Aug 2018

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 44
WOG11A - Thinking about all the things you wanted to find out, how easy or difficult was it to get information you needed about them online?
Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who searched online for information about funeral directors

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	DIRECTOR		OF FUNERAL (
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Unweighted Base	44	8	34	30	11	12	11	20
Weighted Base	42*	8**	32*	29**	10**	12**	10**	19**
Effective Base	42	8	32	29	10	11	11	19
(+2) Very easy	20 46%	5 62%	13 <i>42</i> %	12 43%	6 57%	4 31%	5 57%	9 47%
(+1) Fairly easy	18 43%	3 38%	15 47%	16 54%	3 26%	7 53%	3 36%	8 44%
(0) Neither easy nor difficult	4 11%	-	4 12%	1 2%	2 17%	2 16%	1 7%	2 9%
(-1) Fairly difficult	: 1	-	-	-	-	:	-	-
(-2) Very difficult	: 1	-	-	-	-	:	-	-
All easy (Net)	38 <i>89</i> %	8 100%	28 88%	28 98%	9 <i>8</i> 3%	10 <i>84</i> %	9 93%	17 91%
All difficult (Net)	: 1	-	-	-	-	:	-	-
Mean	1.36	1.62	1.30	1.41	1.40	1.14	1.50	1.38
Don't know		-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (6% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 45
WOQ11B - Can you tell me why you didn't search online for information about them?
Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who didn't search online for information about funeral directors

		GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	ICITY		NATI	ONS		INTER	NET GE
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	G2 (k)	DE (l)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Unweighted Base	178	86	92	24	51	103	125	53	65	59	30	24	169	9	137	18	12	11	164	14
Weighted Base	181	85*	96*	26**	62*	93*	125	56*	71*	54*	30**	25**	172	9**	139	18**	12**	13**	169	12**
Effective Base	163	78	85	21	48	98	114	49	60	55	27	22	155	8	125	17	11	10	151	13
Didn't need to/ didn't think to	147 81%	71 84%	76 79%	20 76%	47 76%	80 86%	102 82%	45 80%	56 79%	48 88%	24 80%	19 <i>75</i> %	138 <i>80</i> %	9 100%	110 80%	16 86%	10 88%	11 89%	139 <i>82</i> %	8 66%
(NET)	0176	0478	10/0	70%	70%	00 /6	02.76	00%	7576	0076	00%	7576	0076	100/6	30%	00 /0	0076	0376	02.76	0076
They were already known to me/us	135 74%	63 75%	71 74%	18 68%	42 68%	75 <i>8</i> 0%	92 74%	43 76%	52 73%	42 77%	24 80%	17 68%	125 73%	9 100%	101 73%	16 86%	9 79%	9 75%	128 76%	6 54%
Didn't occur to me/us to use the internet/go online	11 <i>6</i> %	6 7%	5 5%	1 4%	5 <i>8</i> %	5 5%	9 <i>7</i> %	2 4%	4 5%	5 10%	1 3%	1 4%	11 6%	-	10 <i>7</i> %	-	-	1 8%	10 6%	1 5%
It's just not something you do/ it's inappropriate for this kind of purchase	3 1%	2 2%	1 1%	1 3%	-	2 2%	2 1%	1 2%	1 1%	1 2%	-	1 3%	3 <i>2</i> %		1 1%	:	1 9%	1 6%	2 1%	1 6%
Prefer to use	27	12	14	5	12	10	20	7	11	6	6	3	27	-	22	3	1	1	27	-
providers recommended by (NET)	15%	14%	15%	18%	20%	11%	16%	12%	16%	12%	20%	12%	16%		16%	18%	6%	9%	16%	
family member(s)/ friend(s)/ neighbour(s)/work colleague(s)	21 12%	9 11%	12 12%	5 18%	9 15%	7 8%	16 13%	5 9%	8 11%	6 11%	5 16%	3 12%	21 12%	:	18 13%	2 11%	1 6%	1 9%	21 13%	-
a member of staff at the care home/hospice/ hospital where the deceased died	2 1%	1 1%	2 2%	-	2 2%	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%	2 2%	1 1%	-	-	2 1%	-	2 <i>2</i> %	-	-	-	2 1%	-
a religious leader	2 1%	2 3%	•	-	1 2%	1 1%	1 1%	1 2%	1 1%	•	1 4%	-	2 1%	-	1 1%	1 7%		-	2 1%	:
a social worker	1 1%	:	1 1%	:	-	1 1%	1 1%	:	1 1%	-	-	-	1 1%	:	1 1%	:	:		1 1%	-
Don't trust online information about	3 1%	2 2%	1 1%	:	1 1%	2 2%	3 2%	:	-	2 3%	1 3%	: 1	3 2%	:	3 2%	:	:		3 2%	: 1
funeral directors as it is (NET)	1/6		178	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	178	2.0	2,8			3/6	3/8		2.0		L				2,8	
patchy/ inconsistent/ unreliable	1.	-	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	-	-	1 *	-	1 1%	-	:	-	1 *	-

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 45
WOQ11B - Can you tell me why you didn't search online for information about them?
Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who didn't search online for information about funeral directors

		GEN	IDER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NATI	ONS		INTER USA	IGE
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Weighted Base	181	85*	96*	26**	62*	93*	125	56*	71*	54*	30**	25**	172	9**	139	18**	12**	13**	169	12**
not impartial	1.	1 1%	-	:	1 1%		1 1%	:	:	:	1 3%	-	. !	-	1 1%	:	:	:	1 1%	:
not provided in a standard/like- for-like way	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	-	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 1%	-
Could not (NET)	8 4%	2 3%	6 <i>6</i> %	2 9%	1 2%	4 4%	5 4%	3 5%	1 1%	2 4%	1 4%	3 13%	8 5%		5 4%	1 8%		1 11%	4 2%	4 35%
Do not have any access to the internet	5 3%	1 1%	4 5%	1 5%	-	4 4%	4 3%	1 3%	1 1%	1 2%	-	3 13%	5 3%	:	4 3%	:	-	1 11%	1 1%	4 35%
Do not have easy access to the internet	2 1%	1 2%	1 1%	1 4%	1 2%	-	1 1%	1 2%	:	1 2%	1 4%	-	2 1%	-	1 1%	1 8%	-	-	2 1%	:
Other (NET)	5 3%	2 2%	3 3%	1 4%	2 3%	3 3%	4 3%	2 3%	3 5%	1 2%	1 2%		5 3%		5 3%		1 <i>6</i> %		5 3%	1 6%
Other	5 3%	2 2%	3 <i>3</i> %	1 4%	2 3%	3 <i>3</i> %	4 3%	2 3%	3 5%	1 2%	1 2%	•	5 3%	•	5 3%	•	1 6%		5 3%	1 6%
Prefer to use providers recommendation by a professional third-party (Net)	5 <i>3</i> %	3 <i>3</i> %	3 3%	-	3 4%	3 3%	4 3%	2 3%	4 5%	1 1%	1 4%	1	5 <i>3</i> %	1	4 3%	1 7%	-	-	5 3%	-
Don't know	3 2%	1 1%	1 2%	-	3 4%	-	1 1%	1 2%	1 2%	1 2%			3 <i>2</i> %	-	3 2%			-	3 2%	:
None	1.	1	-	:	-	1	:	1	1	-	-	-	1	:	1	-	-	- :	1	:

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - cid/e - t/h - i/j/kl - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/tOverlap formulae used. * small base: ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 46
WOQ11B - Can you tell me why you didn't search online for information about them?
Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who didn't search online for information about funeral directors

				COMPARI	SON OF 1			
	i i	NATURE (OF FUNERAL	FUNERAL D	DIRECTOR		OF FUNERAL (
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Unweighted Base	178	50	128	18	148	44	59	58
Weighted Base	181	51*	129	18**	152	44*	63*	58*
Effective Base	163	46	117	16	136	40	54	54
Didn't need to/ didn't think to (NET)	147 81%	44 86%	103 <i>79</i> %	12 69%	127 <i>8</i> 4%	33 76%	53 84%	48 84%
They were already known to me/us	135 74%	40 77%	95 74%	12 69%	117 77%	31 72%	45 72%	45 79%
Didn't occur to me/us to use the internet/go online	11 <i>6</i> %	3 6%	8 <i>6</i> %	-	9 <i>6</i> %	2 4%	6 10%	3 5%
It's just not something you do/ it's inappropriate for this kind of purchase	3 1%	2 3%	1 1%	- -	2 1%	:	3 4%	-
Prefer to use	27	4	22	4	22	4	13	7
providers recommended by (NET)	15%	9%	17%	21%	14%	9%	22%	12%
family member(s)/ friend(s)/ neighbour(s)/work colleague(s)	21 12%	4 9%	17 13%	3 15%	17 12%	4 9%	9 14%	6 10%
a member of staff at the care home/hospice/ hospital where the deceased died	2 1%	1	2 <i>2</i> %	- -	2 1%	Ī	2 3%	-
a religious leader	2 1%		2 2%	-	2 1%		1 2%	1 2%
a social worker	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 6%	:	-	1 2%	
Don't trust online information about funeral directors as it is (NET)	3 1%	1 2%	2 1%	-	3 2%	-	2 3%	1 1%
patchy/ inconsistent/ unreliable	!	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-
not impartial	1 1	1			1			1

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k
Overlap formulae used. " small base; " very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

14 Aug 2018

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 46
WOQ11B - Can you tell me why you didn't search online for information about them?
Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who didn't search online for information about funeral directors

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	DIRECTOR	COST	OF FUNERAL (
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Weighted Base	181	51*	129	18**	152	44*	63*	58*
not provided in a standard/like- for-like way	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 2%	-
Could not (NET)	8	4	4	1	5	3		5
	4%	8%	3%	7%	4%	7%		8%j
Do not have any access to the internet	5 3%	1 3%	4 3%	1 7%	4 3%	2 4%	•	3 6%
Do not have easy access to the internet	2 1%	2 5%b	-	-	1 1%	1 3%	-	1 2%
Other (NET)	5 3%	-	5 4%	2 9%	4 2%	2 4%	3 5%	1 1%
Other	5 3%	-	5 4%	2 9%	4 2%	2 4%	3 5%	1 1%
Prefer to use providers recommendation by a professional third-party (Net)	5 3%	- -	5 4%	1 <i>6</i> %	4 3%	-	4 7%	1 2%
Don't know	3 2%	-	3 2%	-	1 1%	1 3%	:	:
None		1	-	-	1	1	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k
Overlap formulae used. "small base; " very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 47
WO012 - You said earlier that the person who died was cremated. When deciding which crematorium to use, did you compare the services of two or more crematoria or did you just look at one?
Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months, where the deceased was cremated

		GEN	IDER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NAT	IONS		INTER US	AGE
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Unweighted Base	236	101	135	39	65	132	171	65	84	86	36	30	224	11	199	20	17	-	222	14
Weighted Base	231	95*	136	41*	76*	115	168	64*	90*	75*	34*	32**	218	12**	199	18**	14**	.**	220	11**
Effective Base	218	92	126	36	61	126	157	61	79	79	34	28	207	10	184	19	16	-	206	13
Yes, we compared the services of two or more crematoria	15 <i>6</i> %	2 2%	13 9%a	4 10%	4 6%	6 5%	12 7%	3 4%	6 6%	7 9%	2 7%	-	14 <i>6</i> %	1 6%	13 <i>6</i> %	1 6%	1 5%	-	15 <i>7</i> %	-
No, we did not compare crematoria	161 <i>69</i> %	70 74%	90 66%	24 58%	50 66%	87 76%c	118 <i>70</i> %	43 <i>67</i> %	65 72%	46 61%	25 72%	25 78%	153 <i>70</i> %	7 55%	143 <i>72</i> %	8 45%	9 63%	-	154 <i>70</i> %	7 63%
Not applicable: the choice was made for us because there is only one local crematorium	27 12%	10 11%	17 12%	8 20%	7 9%	12 10%	15 9%	12 19%f	8 9%	12 17%	5 15%	1 4%	25 11%	2 18%	17 9%	6 32%	4 28%	-	24 11%	3 28%
Not applicable: the deceased (the person who died) made their wishes known (choice of crematorium) in advance	19 <i>8</i> %	6 <i>6</i> %	13 10%	2 5%	8 10%	9 <i>8</i> %	15 9%	4 6%	8 9%	5 7%	1 3%	5 16%	18 <i>8</i> %	1 9%	17 9%	2 10%	-	-	18 <i>8</i> %	1 10%
Any Not applicable (Net)	46 20%	16 17%	30 22%	10 25%	15 20%	21 19%	30 18%	16 25%	16 18%	18 23%	6 18%	6 20%	43 20%	3 27%	35 17%	8 42%	4 28%	-	42 19%	4 37%
DK or can't remember	10 4%	7 8%h	3	3 7%e	6 8%e	1	7 4%	2 4%	3	5 7%	1	1 2%	9 4%	1 11%	8 4%	1 7%	1 5%	-	10 5%	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/l Overlap formulae used. " small base; " very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 48
WO012 - You said earlier that the person who died was cremated. When deciding which crematorium to use, did you compare the services of two or more crematoria or did you just look at one?
Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months, where the deceased was cremated

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D		COST	OF FUNERAL (POUNDS)
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Unweighted Base	236	-	236	37	187	50	77	87
Weighted Base	231	-**	231	36*	184	48*	77*	84*
Effective Base	218	-	218	35	173	46	71	81
Yes, we compared the services of two or more crematoria	15 <i>6</i> %	-	15 6%	6 17%f	8 4%	6 13%j	3 <i>3</i> %	4 5%
No, we did not compare crematoria	161 <i>69</i> %	:	161 <i>69</i> %	23 65%	134 <i>7</i> 3%	33 69%	57 75%	59 70%
Not applicable: the choice was made for us because there is only one local crematorium	27 12%	-	27 12%	3 9%	21 12%	5 10%	8 11%	11 13%
Not applicable: the deceased (the person who died) made their wishes known (choice of crematorium) in advance	19 <i>8</i> %	-	19 <i>8</i> %	2 5%	16 9%	2 4%	7 9%	10 11%
Any Not applicable (Net)	46 20%	-	46 20%	5 14%	37 20%	7 14%	15 20%	20 24%
DK or can't remember	10 4%		10 4%	2 4%	5 3%	2 3%	1 2%	1 1%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - iliylk Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 49
WOQ12A - You said that you did not compare crematoria. Just to check, did you have a choice of crematoria to compare?
Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months where the deceased was cremated who did not compare crematoria

Unweighted Base Weighted Base Effective Base Yes, we had a choice but we did not compare crematoria No, there is only one local crematorium No, the deceased made their wishes known (choice of crematorium) in advance Any who did not have a choice of crematoria

Don't know

	GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NAT	IONS		INTEI US	AGE
TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
161	73	88	22	42	97	119	42	61	51	25	24	154	6	142	9	10		152	9
161	70*	90*	24**	50*	87*	118	43*	65*	46*	25**	25**	153	7**	143	8**	9**	-**	154	7**
149	67	82	20	39	93	109	39	56	47	24	22	143	6	132	8	9		141	9
59 37%	26 37%	33 <i>36</i> %	7 27%	17 33%	36 42%	40 34%	19 44%	29 45%	14 30%	6 23%	10 42%	55 36%	4 60%	53 37%	3 32%	3 35%	-	55 36%	4 59%
87 54%	39 55%	48 53%	15 64%	29 58%	42 49%	65 55%	22 51%	27 42%	28 61%	18 73%	13 53%	84 55%	2 27%	75 53%	6 68%	6 65%	-	85 55%	2 29%
15 9%	5 7%	9 10%	2 8%	4 9%	8 10%	13 11%	2 5%	8 13%	4 9%	1 4%	1 5%	14 9%	1 12%	15 10%	-	-	-	14 9%	1 11%
101 <i>63</i> %	44 63%	57 64%	17 <i>73</i> %	34 67%	51 58%	78 66%	24 56%	36 55%	32 70%	19 77%	14 58%	98 64%	3 40%	90 <i>63</i> %	6 68%	6 65%	: :	99 <i>6</i> 4%	3 41%
1 :	:	:			-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-	:	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (6% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/t Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Page 84 14 Aug 2018

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 50

WO012A - You said that you did not compare crematoria. Just to check, did you have a choice of crematoria to compare?
Base: All Adults 184 in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months where the deceased was cremated who did not compare crematoria

Unweighted Base Weighted Base Effective Base Yes, we had a choice but we did not compare crematoria No, there is only one local crematorium No, the deceased made their wishes known (choice of crematorium) in advance Any who did not have a choice of crematoria Don't know

	NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	IRECTOR		OF FUNERAL (
TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
161	-	161	23	134	33	57	60
161	.**	161	23**	134	33*	57*	59*
149	-	149	22	123	30	52	56
59 37%	-	59 <i>37</i> %	8 36%	50 37%	10 29%	22 38%	24 41%
87 54%	:	87 54%	14 60%	72 54%	22 67%	30 53%	28 47%
15 <i>9</i> %	-	15 9%	1 5%	12 9%	1 4%	5 9%	7 12%
101 <i>63</i> %	:	101 <i>63</i> %	15 64%	84 63%	24 71%	35 62%	35 59%
:	-	-	-	-		-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 51

NOQ12/A Crematoria comparison summary

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months, where the deceased was cremated

INTERNET GENDER AGE AREA SOCIAL GRADE ETHNICITY NATIONS NON INTER INTER FE-MALE SCOTL AND IRELA ND NET USERS NET USERS RURAL WALES MALE 18-34 35-54 URBAN AB C1 C2 DE (l) WHITE BAME TOTAL 55+ ND (o) (h) (q) (s) 236 101 132 224 199 222 Unweighted Base 135 39 65 65 36 30 20 136 76* 115 90* 75* 34* 32** 218 12** 199 18** 14** 220 Weighted Base 126 157 28 19 13 Effective Base 218 92 126 36 61 61 79 79 34 207 10 184 16 206 13 9%a 14 6% 13 *6*% Yes, we compared 2 2% 6 5% 12 *7*% 2 7% 15 7% 3 4% 6 6% 10% 5% 6% 9% 6% 6% the services of two or more crematoria No, we did not compare crematoria 53 27% 33 24% 17 22% 40 24% 29 33%j 55 25% 55 25% 26 27% 36 31% 19 30% 14 18% 6 17% 10 33% 4 33% 3 15% 3 22% 4 37% 16% (but we had a choice) Not applicable, choice made for us 23 68%i 109 50% 93 47% 11 63% 109 49% 49 51% 65 48% 23 57% 36 48% 80 48% 36 40% 14 45% 10 68% 47% 53% 54% 33% 46% (only one local crematorium) Not applicable, choice made for us 11 12% 23 17% 12 16% 18 15% 28 16% 32 15% 32 16% 32 15% 34 15% 17 18% 2 5% 2 16% 2 10% 10% 10% 12% 20% (deceased made their wishes Don't know/can't 10 4% 10 5% 3 2% 3 7%e 6 8%e 1 1% 1 3% 9 4% 8 4% 1 5% 1 11% 8%b 4% remember 4% 3% 7% 2% 7% Refused All not applicable (NET)

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/t Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 52

NOC12/A Crematoria comparison summary

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months, where the deceased was cremated

COMPARISON OF FUNERAL DIRECTOR NATURE OF FUNERAL COST OF FUNERAL (POUNDS) MORE THAN 4,000 DID NOT COMPARE UP TO 3,000 3,001 BURIAI CREMATION COMPARED TOTAL Unweighted Base 236 236 37 187 77 87 Weighted Base 231 231 184 77* Effective Base 218 218 35 173 71 81 Yes, we compared the services of two or more crematoria 15 *6*% 15 *6*% 6 13%j 6 17%f 8 4% 3% 5% No, we did not 59 26% 59 26% 8 23% 24 29% compare crematoria (but we had a choice) 27% 20% 29% Not applicable, choice made for us (only one local crematorium) 17 47% 94 51% 27 56% 39 51% 38 45% 49% Not applicable, choice made for us (deceased made their wishes known) 28 15% 34 15% 34 15% 3 8% 12 16% 17 20% 10 4% Don't know/can't remember 4% 4% 3% 2% 1% Refused 148 _64% All not applicable (NET)

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 53

WOQ13A - Which of the following best describes the way in which the crematorium you used was selected?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months where the deceased was cremated who compared crematoria

INTERNET GENDER AGE AREA SOCIAL GRADE ETHNICITY NATIONS NON INTER INTER FE-MALE ENGLA ND (o) SCOTL AND IRELA ND (r) NET USERS NET USERS RURAL DE (l) TOTAL MALE 18-34 35-54 55+ (e) URBAN AB C1 C2 WHITE BAME WALES (q) (s) Unweighted Base 18 21 Weighted Base 14** 17** 11** 2** 17** 17** 19** Effective Base 20 13 17 10 3 18 17 20 Before contacting 3 54% 3 17% 2 73% 3 25% 5 27% 5 28% 5 24% 1 20% 1 15% 2 36% 26% 10% the funeral the funeral director, I/we shortlisted a couple of crematoria (I/we told the funeral director what the choices were) After contacting the funeral director, they shortlisted a couple of crematoria (the funeral director told me/us what the choices were) 11 55% 7 51% 10 59% 2 100% 3 64% 3 46% 5 47% 8 49% 1 100% 4 63% 4 53% 27% 100% 46% 100% 48% 100% Other 1 21% 1 7% 1 7% 13% 8% 8% 7% 9% 9% Don't know 2 11% 2 16% 1 15% 2 13% 2 13% 2 13% 2 11% 16% 18% 11%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/t Overlap formulae used. " small base: "* very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 54

WOQ13A - Which of the following best describes the way in which the crematorium you used was selected?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months where the deceased was cremated who compared crematoria

COMPARISON OF FUNERAL DIRECTOR NATURE OF FUNERAL COST OF FUNERAL (POUNDS) MORE THAN 4,000 DID NOT COMPARE UP TO 3,000 3,001 BURIAI CREMATION COMPARED TOTAL Unweighted Base 21 21 Weighted Base 19* 19** 10** 5** Effective Base 20 20 10 Before contacting the funeral director, I/we shortlisted a couple of crematoria (I/we told the funeral director what the choices were) 2 28% 2 34% 5 24% 5 24% 2 19% After contacting the funeral director, they shortlisted a couple of crematoria (the funeral director told me/us what the choices were) 11 55% 6 57% 3 66% 3 55% 4 48% 1 31% Other 1 7% 1 14% 7% Don't know 2 11% 2 11% 1 17% 1 11% 1 13% Refused

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - a/f - i/j/k
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 55
WOQ13B - Which of the following best describes the way in which the crematorium you used was selected?
Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months where the deceased was cremated who did not compare crematoria, but did have a choice

Unweighted Base Weighted Base Effective Base Before contacting the funeral director, I/we decided which crematorium to use (I/we told the funeral director what the choice was) Mas)
After contacting the funeral director, they decided which crematorium to use (the funeral director told me/ us what the choice was) Other Don't know

	GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NAT	IONS			RNET AGE
TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
60	28	32	5	15	40	42	18	27	16	6	11	57	3	54	2	4		55	5
59*	26**	33**	7**	17**	36*	40*	19**	29**	14**	6**	10**	55*	4**	53*	3**	3**	-**	55*	4**
55	25	29	5	13	38	38	17	24	15	6	10	52	3	49	2	4	-	50	5
39 66%	19 <i>72</i> %	20 61%	5 74%	10 62%	24 66%	28 70%	11 58%	21 71%	8 57%	1 16%	9 91%	35 63%	4 100%	37 69%	1 48%	1 23%	-	36 65%	3 85%
18 30%	7 28%	10 31%	2 26%	5 28%	11 31%	12 30%	5 28%	6 20%	6 43%	5 84%	1 9%	18 32%	:	14 26%	1 52%	2 77%	:	17 31%	1 15%
3 4%	-	3 <i>8</i> %	-	2 9%	1 3%	-	3 14%	3 9%	:	-	-	3 5%	-	3 5%	-	-	-	3 5%	-
1 :	-	- :	-	-	-	-	:	-	-	-	:			-	-	-		1 :	-
							-		-		-				-			1	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/l Overlap formulae used. " small base; " very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 56
WOQ13B - Which of the following best describes the way in which the crematorium you used was selected?
Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months where the deceased was cremated who did not compare crematoria, but did have a choice

Unweighted Base
Weighted Base
Effective Base
Before contacting the funeral director, I/we decided which crematorium to use (I/we told the funeral director what the choice was)
After contacting the funeral director, they decided which crematorium to use (the funeral director told me/ us what the choice was)
Other
Don't know
Refused

-	NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPAR FUNERAL I		COST	OF FUNERAL (POUNDS)
TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
60	-	60	9	50	11	23	23
59*	.**	59*	8**	50*	10**	22**	24**
55	-	55	8	45	10	21	21
39 66%	-	39 <i>66</i> %	6 69%	33 66%	3 28%	14 66%	20 <i>84</i> %
18 <i>30</i> %	į	18 <i>30</i> %	3 31%	14 29%	5 56%	7 30%	4 16%
3 4%	÷ -	3 4%	:	3 5%	2 16%	1 5%	
: 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-		-	-	-	-
:	-	-					-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 57

WOQ13A/WOQ13B Crematoria selection summary

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months where the deceased was cremated who had a choice of crematoria

Unweighted Base Weighted Base Effective Base Choice of crematorium was driven by consumer Choice was driven by funeral director Other Don't know Refused

	GEI	NDER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NATI	ONS		INTE US	AGE
TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
81	35	46	10	22	49	60	21	33	27	9	12	76	5	72	3	6	-	76	5
78*	32*	47*	12**	24**	43*	57*	21**	35**	24**	8**	11**	72*	6**	70*	4**	4**	-**	74*	4**
74	31	43	9	20	47	54	20	30	25	8	11	70	5	66	3	6	-	69	5
44 56	20 63%	24 51%	8 65%	11 48%	24 57%	31 54%	13 60%	23 65%	10 43%	1 11%	9 <i>85</i> %	40 55%	4 66%	42 59%	1 34%	1 16%	-	40 54%	3 85%
28 36	11 34%	17 37%	4 35%	9 39%	15 34%	22 39%	6 28%	9 24%	11 45%	7 89%	2 15%	26 36%	2 34%	22 31%	3 66%	4 84%	-	27 37%	1 15%
4 5	1 2%	4 8%	:	2 7%	2 6%	1 3%	3 12%	3 7%	1 6%	-	-	4 6%	-	4 6%	-	-	-	4 5%	-
2 3		2 5%	:	1 5%	1 2%	2 4%	:	1 3%	1 5%	-	-	2 3%	-	2 3%	-	-	-	2 3%	-
11	1%		-	2%		1%	-		* 2%	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/i
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 58

NOC133.WOC13B Crematoria selection summary

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months where the deceased was cremated who had a choice of crematoria

COMPARISON OF FUNERAL DIRECTOR NATURE OF FUNERAL COST OF FUNERAL (POUNDS) MORE THAN 4,000 DID NOT COMPARE UP TO 3,000 3,001 - 4,000 BURIAI CREMATION COMPARED TOTAL Unweighted Base 81 81 26 29 Weighted Base 78* 78* 14** 61* 17** 25** 29** Effective Base 74 74 15 24 27 Choice of 15 62% 22 75% 44 56% 35 58% 7 52% crematorium was driven by consumer 56% 28% Choice was driven by funeral director Other 2 12% 2 3% 2 3% 1 7% 1 2% 1 6% Refused

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 59

WOQ14 - And may I just check, was the crematorium you eventually used ...? READ OUT

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" tuneral in the past 24 months who decided which crematorium to use

Unweighted Base Weighted Base Effective Base ...a crematorium you had shortlisted/ decided before you contacted the funeral director, or ... a different crematorium, one that the funeral director told you about Don't know

		GEN	IDER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NAT	IONS		INTE US	AGE
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Г	45	22	23	6	11	28	33	12	22	12	1	10	42	3	43	1	1	-	41	4
ı	44*	20**	24**	8**	11**	24**	31*	13**	23**	10**	1**	9**	40*	4**	42*	1**	1**	-**	40*	3**
ı	42	21	21	6	10	27	30	11	20	11	1	9	39	3	40	1	1	-	38	4
- 1	44 100%	20 100%	24 100%	8 100%	11 100%	24 100%	31 100%	13 100%	23 100%	10 100%	1 100%	9 100%	40 100%	4 100%	42 100%	1 100%	1 100%	•	40 100%	3 100%
l	:	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/i
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 60

WOQ14 - And may I just check, was the crematorium you eventually used ...? READ OUT

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who decided which crematorium to use

Unweighted Base Weighted Base Effective Base ...a crematorium you had shortlisted/ decided before you contacted the funeral director, or ... a different crematorium, one that the funeral director told you about Don't know

	NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D		COST	OF FUNERAL (POUNDS)
TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
45	-	45	8	36	6	17	21
44*	-**	44*	7**	35*	5**	15**	22**
42	-	42	7	33	6	16	19
44 100%	-	44 100%	7 100%	35 100%	5 100%	15 100%	22 100%
:	:	<u>-</u>	- -	1	į.	:	:
:	:	- -	-	-	-	- -	:

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/i - i/i/ik
Overlap formulae u.s.d. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Page 94

14 Aug 2018

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 61

WOQ15 - How did you find out about the crematorium you used?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who drove choice of crematorium or don't knowcan't remember whether they compared crematoria

		GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	ICITY		NATI	ONS		INTER US	RNET
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Unweighted Base	53	28	25	8	16	29	39	14	24	16	2	11	49	4	49	2	2		49	4
Weighted Base	53*	26**	26**	11**	17**	25**	37*	15**	26**	15**	2**	10**	47*	5**	49*	2**	1**	.**	49*	3**
Effective Base	49	26	23	8	15	28	35	13	22	15	2	10	45	4	45	2	2	-	45	4
It was already known to me/us	32 <i>62</i> %	14 55%	18 68%	4 40%	8 49%	20 79%	21 55%	12 77%	21 81%	6 40%	1 43%	5 47%	28 60%	4 75%	30 <i>62</i> %	1 52%	1 51%		30 60%	3 78%
Recommendation (NET)	12 23%	8 31%	4 14%	3 26%	5 30%	4 16%	11 29%	1 8%	1 3%	5 36%	1 57%	5 46%	11 22%	1 25%	11 22%	1 48%			11 23%	1 22%
by family member(s)/ friend(s)/ neighbour(s)/ work colleague(s)	8 16%	4 17%	4 14%	1 13%	3 16%	4 16%	8 22%	-	1 <i>3</i> %	3 19%	-	5 46%	8 17%	-	8 17%	-	-	-	7 15%	1 22%
by a bereavement counsellor/ officer	1 3%	1 5%	-	1 13%	-	-	1 4%	-	-	1 9%	-	-	-	1 25%	1 3%	-	-	-	1 3%	-
by a religious leader	2 4%	2 8%	-	-	1 7%	1 3%	1 2%	1 8%	1 3%	-	1 57%	-	2 4%	-	1 2%	1 48%	-	-	2 4%	:
by a solicitor	1 2%	1 4%	-	:	1 7%	:	1 3%	-	:	1 <i>8</i> %	-	:	1 2%		1 2%	-	-	:	1 2%	
Internet/online search (NET)	2 4%	1 4%	1 4%	1 9%	1 7%		1 3%	1 6%	1 4%	1 8%	:		2 5%		2 4%				2 4%	:
using a search engine/ browser (e.g. Bing, Google etc.)	1 2%	-	1 4%	1 9%	-	-	Ī	1 6%	1 4%	Ī	Ī	:	1 2%		1 2%	-	-	-	1 2%	:
using an orline directory reviews-based comparison website (e.g. Funeral Zone, Good Funeral Guide, Google Reviews, Localthureral.co. u(x)	1 2%	1 4%	:	-	1 7%		1 3%		-	1 8%	-	-	1 2%	:	1 2%	1	-		1 2%	-
Other (NET)	4	2	1	1	2	1	4	- 1	2	2	-	-	4	-	4	-	-	- 1	4	-

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 61

WOQ15 - How did you find out about the crematorium you used?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who drove choice of crematorium or don't knowcan't remember whether they compared crematoria

Weighted Base Local knowledge/ word-of-mouth Other Recommendation by a professional third-party

Don't know/can't remember

	GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NATI	ONS		INTEI US	AGE
TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
53*	26**	26**	11**	17**	25**	37*	15**	26**	15**	2**	10**	47*	5**	49*	2**	1**	.**	49*	3**
2 3%	2 7%	-	-	2 11%	-	2 5%	-	-	2 12%	-	-	2 4%	-	2 4%	-	-	-	2 4%	-
2 3%	1 2%	1 4%	1 10%	-	1 2%	2 5%	-	2 7%	-	-		2 4%	-	2 4%	-	-	-	2 3%	:
4 8%	4 17%	:	1 13%	2 14%	1 3%	3 9%	1 <i>8</i> %	1 3%	2 17%	1 57%	:	3 7%	1 25%	3 7%	1 48%	:	-	4 9%	:
5 10%	2 9%	3 10%	2 14%	3 17%	1 3%	4 10%	1 <i>8</i> %	2 6%	3 19%	-	1 7%	5 11%	-	4 9%	-	1 49%	-	5 10%	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - cid/e - t/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/l Overlap formulae used. * small base; * very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 62

WOQ15 - How did you find out about the crematorium you used?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who drove choice of crematorium or don't knowcan't remember whether they compared crematoria

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	SON OF	0007	OF FUNERAL (DOLINDO)
		NATURE	UF FUNERAL	FUNERAL L	DID NOT	UP TO	3,001	MORE THAN
	TOTAL	BURIAL	CREMATION	COMPARED	COMPARE	3,000	- 4,000	4,000
		(a)	(b)	(e)	(f)	(i)	(j)	(k)
Unweighted Base	53	-	53	9	40	7	18	22
Weighted Base	53*	.**	53*	9**	39*	5**	17**	23**
Effective Base	49	-	49	8	37	6	17	20
It was already known to me/us	32 62%	-	32 <i>62</i> %	4 40%	29 73%	2 39%	12 73%	15 68%
Recommendation	12	-	12	3	8	1	4	5
(NET)	23%	-	23%	32%	19%	20%	27%	22%
by family member(s)/ friend(s)/ neighbour(s)/ work colleague(s)	8 16%	-	8 16%	3 32%	5 13%	1 20%	3 19%	4 17%
by a bereavement counsellor/ officer	1 3%	-	1 3%	- -	-	-	-	-
by a religious leader	2 4%	-	2 4%	-	2 5%	-	1 7%	1 3%
by a solicitor	1 2%	-	1 2%	-	1 3%	-	-	1 5%
Internet/online	2	-	2	1	1	-	-	2
search (NET)	4%	-	4%	11%	3%	-	-	9%
using a search engine/ browser (e.g. Bing, Google etc.)	1 2%	-	1 2%	1 11%	:	-	:	1 4%
using an online directory/ reviews-based comparison website (e.g. Funeral/Zone, Good Funeral Guide, Google Reviews, Localhureral co. Uk)	1 <i>2</i> %	1	1 2%	:	1 3%	1	:	1 5%
Other (NET)	4 7%	:	4 7%		2 6%	2 33%		2 8%
Local knowledge/ word-of-mouth	2 3%	-	2 3%	-	2 5%	-	:	2 8%

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018

PUBLIC FINAL
FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 62

Table 52
WQQ15 - How did you find out about the crematorium you used?
Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months who drove choice of crematorium or don't know/can't remember whether they compared crematoria

COMPARISON OF FUNERAL DIRECTOR NATURE OF FUNERAL COST OF FUNERAL (POUNDS) MORE THAN 4,000 (k) DID NOT COMPARE UP TO 3,000 3,001 - 4,000 CREMATION COMPARED TOTAL BURIAL 53* 2 3% 2 3% 2% 4 8%

Weighted Base Other Recommendation by a professional third-party

Don't know/can't remember

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/y/k
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Page 98 14 Aug 2018

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 63

WOQ16A - What was the most important factor for you in choosing the crematorium you used?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" cremation in the past 24 months who compared crematoria, or had a choice but did not compare, or had a choice of one crematorium only, or who don't know/can't remember whether they compared crematoria

		GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	ICITY		NATI	IONS		INTER USA	RNET
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Unweighted Base	204	90	114	35	56	113	145	59	69	76	34	25	194	9	169	18	17	-	192	12
Weighted Base	197	84*	113	37*	64*	97	140	57*	74*	66*	32*	25**	186	10**	167	17**	14**	.**	188	9**
Effective Base	188	81	107	32	52	108	133	55	64	70	32	23	179	8	156	17	16	-	177	11
Personal experience of using them before, or of attending a funeral that they had arranged	52 26%	20 24%	32 28%	8 21%	15 24%	29 30%	36 26%	16 28%	20 27%	17 26%	8 25%	7 26%	51 <i>27</i> %	1 14%	45 27%	4 25%	3 19%	-	52 27%	1 7%
They were able to fit us in around the time we needed them	8 4%	5 <i>6</i> %	4 3%	3 7%	2 4%	4 4%	6 4%	3 5%	2 3%	4 5%	1 3%	2 7%	6 3%	2 21%	7 4%	1 4%	1 <i>8</i> %	-	8 4%	-
It is the only local crematorium	62 32%	32 38%	30 <i>27</i> %	9 <i>2</i> 5%	20 32%	33 34%	41 30%	21 <i>36</i> %	27 37%k	21 31%	5 17%	9 <i>37</i> %	60 32%	3 27%	49 29%	6 39%	7 49%	-	56 <i>30</i> %	6 66%
It belonged to the funeral director or was selected by the funeral director	2 1%	-	2 2%	-	-	2 2%	-	2 4%f	2 3%	-	-	-	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	-	-	2 1%	-
Offered choice (NET)	2 1%	2 3%	-	1 2%	:	2 2%	1 1%	2 3%	1 1%	:	1 2%	1 3%	2 1%		2 1%	1 5%		-	2 1%	-
of days and times for the service	2 1%	2 2%	-	-	-	2 2%	1 1%	1 2%	1 1%	-	1 2%	-	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	-	-	2 1%	:
of service length/duration	!	1 1%	-	1 2%	-	:	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 3%	1 *	:		1 5%	-	-	1 *	-
Recommendation (NET)	24 12%	8 10%	16 14%	11 29%e	8 13%	6 <i>6</i> %	21 15%	3 <i>6</i> %	9 13%	7 10%	4 13%	4 14%	21 11%	3 30%	23 14%	1 5%		-	24 13%	:
by the funeral director	6 3%	6 7%b	-	1 4%	3 4%	2 2%	5 3%	1 2%	3 4%	2 4%	1 2%	-	5 3%	1 13%	6 4%	-	-	-	6 3%	-
by family member(s)/ friend(s)/ neighbour(s)/ work colleague(s)	17 8%	2 3%	14 13%a	9 25%de	4 6%	4 4%	15 10%	2 3%	5 7%	4 7%	3 11%	4 14%	15 <i>8</i> %	2 17%	16 10%	1 5%	-	-	17 <i>9</i> %	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (6% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/tOverlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 63

WOQ16A - What was the most important factor for you in choosing the crematorium you used?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" cremation in the past 24 months who compared crematoria, or had a choice but did not compare, or had a choice of one crematorium only, or who don't know/can't remember whether they compared crematoria

		GEN	IDER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	ICITY		NAT	IONS		INTER USA	(GE
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Weighted Base	197	84*	113	37*	64*	97	140	57*	74*	66*	32*	25**	186	10**	167	17**	14**	.**	188	9**
by a member of staff at the care home/ hospice/hospital where the deceased died	2 1%	-	2 1%	:	2 <i>2</i> %	-	2 1%	-	2 2%	-	-	-	2 1%	-	2 1%	:	-	-	2 1%	-
Reputation (NET)	3 2%	-	3 3%		:	3 3%	2 2%	1 1%			3 10%ij	:	3 2%	:	1 1%	1 8%	1 5%	:	3 2%	:
Good customer/ user reviews/ ratings	1 1%	-	1 1%	- -	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 4%	-	1 1%	-	-	1 8%	-	-	1 1%	-
Good reputation in the area	2 1%		2 2%	-	-	2 2%	1 1%	1 1%	-		2 6%		2 1%		1 1%	-	1 5%	-	2 1%	:
Their offer (NET)	1 1%		1 1%			1 1%	1 1%		1 1%	:	:		1 1%		1 1%				1 1%	
Their prices	1 1%	-	1 1%	:		1 1%	1 1%	:	1 1%	:	:	:	1 1%		1 1%			-	1 1%	-
Location & facilities (NET)	35 18%	15 17%	21 18%	2 6%	16 26%c	17 17%	26 19%	9 16%	9 12%	15 22%	10 31%i	2 7%	35 19%	1 <i>8</i> %	30 18%	2 14%	3 19%	:	33 18%	2 27%
The distance/ journey time/ location was convenient	19 10%	9 11%	9 <i>8</i> %	1 1%	10 15%c	9 9%	14 10%	5 <i>8</i> %	4 6%	9 14%	5 15%	1 3%	18 10%	1 <i>8</i> %	16 10%	1 7%	1 9%	:	18 10%	1 <i>8</i> %
Easy for funeral guests to find/ get to	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%		1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-		1 2%	-	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 *	1 7%
Easy to get from the crematorium to the venue for the reception/ wake afterwards	3 1%	1 1%	2 2%	-	1 1%	2 2%	3 2%	-	2 3%	:	1 3%	-	3 <i>2</i> %	-	3 2%	-	1	1	3 2%	-
Facilities available (e.g. disabled access, music system, parking, refreshments, tollets etc.)	5 2%	1 1%	4 3%	1 <i>2</i> %	1 2%	3 3%	3 <i>2</i> %	2 3%	1 1%	2 3%	1 2%	1 5%	5 3%	-	4 2%	-	1 5%	-	4 2%	1 13%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - t/h - t/j/k/l - m/n - a/p/q/r - s/l Overlap formulae used. * small base, ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 63

WOQ16A - What was the most important factor for you in choosing the crematorium you used?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" cremation in the past 24 months who compared crematoria, or had a choice but did not compare, or had a choice of one crematorium only, or who don't know/can't remember whether they compared crematoria

Weighted Base
It was an attractive/ peaceful/well- maintained place/buildings/ gardens
I/we liked the location
It was the deceased's wish to use them
Other
None
Don't know

	GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NAT	IONS		INTE US	RNET AGE
TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
197	84*	113	37*	64*	97	140	57*	74*	66*	32*	25**	186	10**	167	17**	14**	.**	188	9**
1 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 2%	-	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	-	-	1 1%	÷	-	1 7%	-	÷	1 1%	÷
2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 3%	1 2%	-	1 1%	1 2%	1 2%	1 2%	-		2 1%	:	2 1%	:		:	2 1%	:
2 1%	-	2 2%	-	1 2%	1 1%	1 1%	1 2%		-	2 8%ij	-	2 1%	-	2	-	-	-	2 1%	-
1 "	-	270		270	170	170	270	i '	-	0701	-	1 /2	-	1 /8	-	-	-	1 //8	-
2 1%	2 2%	-	-	-	2 2%	2 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 3%	-	2 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 5%	-	2 1%	-
2	-	2	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	2	-	-	-	2	-
1%		1%	4%			1%	-			-	6%	1%		1%	-	-	-	1%	
4 2%	1 1%	3 3%	2 6%	2 2%	1 1%	3%		2 2%	3 4%		:	4 2%		3%				4 2%	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/l Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 64
WOQ16A - What was the most important factor for you in choosing the crematorium you used?
Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" cremation in the past 24 months who compared crematoria, or had a choice but did not compare, or had a choice of one crematorium only, or who don't know/can't remember whether they compared crematoria

	COMPARISON OF									
		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	DIRECTOR		OF FUNERAL (
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)		
Unweighted Base	204		204	34	161	47	66	71		
Weighted Base	197	.**	197	33*	157	44*	65*	68*		
Effective Base	188	i -	188	32	148	43	60	66		
Personal experience of using them before, or of attending a funeral that they had arranged	52 26%	-	52 26%	5 14%	47 30%	14 31%	20 31%	14 21%		
They were able to fit us in around the time we needed them	8 4%	-	8 4%	1 4%	7 5%	1 <i>2</i> %	1 2%	5 7%		
It is the only local crematorium	62 32%	:	62 32%	14 44%	48 30%	10 22%	22 33%	24 36%		
It belonged to the funeral director or was selected by the funeral director	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	1 2%	1 2%		
Offered choice (NET)	2 1%	:	2 1%	1 2%	2 1%	2 4%	1 1%	-		
of days and times for the service	2 1%	:	2 1%	1 2%	1 1%	1 2%	1 1%	-		
of service length/duration	!		1		1 1%	1 2%	-	:		
Recommendation (NET)	24 12%	:	24 12%	3 9%	18 11%	5 11%	8 12%	9 14%		
by the funeral director	6 3%	:	6 3%	1 2%	4 3%	1 3%	3 4%	1 1%		
by family member(s)/ friend(s)/ neighbour(s)/ work colleague(s)	17 8%	-	17 <i>8</i> %	2 7%	12 8%	4 8%	4 6%	9 13%		
by a member of staff at the care home/ hospice/hospital where the	2 1%	:	2 1%	- -	2 1%		2 2%	-		

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k
Overlap formulae used. " small base; " very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

14 Aug 2018

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 64
WOQ16A - What was the most important factor for you in choosing the crematorium you used?
Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" cremation in the past 24 months who compared crematoria, or had a choice but did not compare, or had a choice of one crematorium only, or who don't know/can't remember whether they compared crematoria

		MATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	SON OF	COST OF FUNERAL (POUNDS)				
		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	FUNERAL L	DID NOT	UP TO		MORE THAN		
	TOTAL	BURIAL	CREMATION	COMPARED	COMPARE	3,000	3,001 - 4,000	4,000		
		(a)	(b)	(e)	(f)	(i)	(j)	(k)		
Weighted Base	197	.**	197	33*	157	44*	65*	68*		
Reputation (NET)	3	-	3	-	3	1	1	1		
	2%	-	2%	-	2%	2%	2%	1%		
Good customer/ user reviews/ ratings	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 2%	-		
Good reputation in the area	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	2 1%	1 2%	:	1 1%		
Their offer (NET)	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	-		
	1%	-	1%	3%f		2%	-			
Their prices	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 3%f	-	1 2%		-		
Location &	35	-	35	6	26	11	8	13		
facilities (NET)	18%	-	18%	19%	17%	25%	12%	20%		
The distance/ journey time/ location was convenient	19 10%	-	19 10%	3 8%	16 10%	8 17%	5 <i>8</i> %	5 7%		
Easy for funeral guests to find/ get to	1 1%	- -	1 1%	-	1 1%	÷ ÷	•	1 2%		
Easy to get from the crematorium to the venue for the reception/ wake afterwards	3 1%	- -	3 1%	2 6%f	1 1%	1 2%	-	2 <i>3</i> %		
Facilities available (e.g. disabled access, music system, parking, refreshments, toilets etc.)	5 <i>2</i> %	-	5 <i>2</i> %	2 6%	3 2%	-	2 3%	2 3%		
It was an attractive/ peaceful/well- maintained place/buildings/ gardens	1 1%	-	1 1%	:	1 1%	1 3%	-	-		
I/we liked the location	2 1%		2 1%		1 1%			1 2%		
It was the	2		2		1 7%	1	-	1		
deceased's wish to use them	1%	-	1%	-	1%	3%	-	2%		

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018

PUBLIC FINAL
FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 64
WOQ16A - What was the most important factor for you in choosing the crematorium you used?
Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" cremation in the past 24 months who compared crematoria, or had a choice but did not compare, or had a choice of one crematorium only, or who don't know/can't remember whether they compared crematoria

COMPARISON OF FUNERAL DIRECTOR NATURE OF FUNERAL COST OF FUNERAL (POUNDS) MORE THAN 4,000 (k) DID NOT COMPARE UP TO 3,000 3,001 - 4,000 CREMATION COMPARED TOTAL BURIAL Weighted Base 197 Other 2 1% None 2 1%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Page 104

14 Aug 2018

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 65
WOQ16B - And what other factors, if any, were important?
Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" cremation in the past 24 months who compared crematoria, or had a choice but did not compare, or had a choice of one crematorium only, or who don't know/can't remember whether they compared crematoria

		GEN	IDER		AGE AREA SOCIAL GRADE ETHNICITY NATIONS						IONS		INTERNET USAGE							
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1	C2 (k)	DE (l)	WHITE	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Unweighted Base	204	90	114	35	56	113	145	59	69	76	34	25	194	9	169	18	17	- (1)	192	12
Weighted Base	197	84*	113	37*	64*	97	140	57*	74*	66*	32*	25**	186	10**	167	17**	14**	.**	188	9**
Effective Base	188	81	107	32	52	108	133	55	64	70	32	23	179	8	156	17	16	-	177	11
Personal experience of using them before, or of attending a funeral that they had arranged	57 29%	27 32%	30 27%	8 22%	21 <i>32</i> %	29 30%	40 29%	17 30%	23 31%	22 33%	6 17%	7 26%	56 30%	2 17%	47 28%	7 41%	4 29%	-	56 30%	1 15%
They were able to fit us in around the time we needed them	20 10%	7 9%	13 11%	4 9%	4 6%	13 <i>13</i> %	16 11%	4 7%	7 10%	4 6%	6 18%	3 13%	16 <i>9</i> %	4 38%	17 10%	3 16%	:	-	20 11%	-
It is the only local crematorium	39 20%	20 24%	19 <i>17</i> %	8 22%	12 19%	19 20%	25 18%	15 <i>26</i> %	18 24%k	14 21%k	2 5%	6 25%	36 19%	3 33%	31 19%	6 35%	3 18%	-	37 20%	2 27%
It belonged to the funeral director or was selected by the funeral director	2 1%	2 2%	1 1%	-	1 2%	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%	-	2 3%	-	1 3%	2 1%	-	1 1%	1 7%	-	-	2 1%	:
Offered choice (NET)	9 4%	3 4%	6 5%	4 11%e	3 5%	1 1%	6 4%	2 4%	4 6%	2 3%	2 6%	1 3%	7 4%	2 17%	7 4%	:	2 13%		9 5%	:
of days and times for the service	7 4%	3 4%	4 4%	4 10%e	3 5%	1 1%	5 3%	2 4%	4 6%	2 3%	1 4%	-	6 3%	2 17%	5 3%	-	2 13%	1	7 4%	:
of service length/duration	2 1%	-	2 2%	1 2%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	1 4%	1 3%	2 1%	•	2 1%			-	2 1%	:
Recommendation (NET)	19 10%	10 11%	9 <i>8</i> %	6 16%	7 10%	7 7%	17 12%	2 4%	8 10%	5 7%	4 14%	2 8%	15 <i>8</i> %	4 38%	16 9%	1 5%	2 16%		17 9%	2 21%
by the funeral director	6 3%	3 4%	2 2%	3 9%	1 1%	1 1%	4 3%	2 3%	1 1%	2 4%	2 7%	-	3 2%	2 21%	4 2%	:	2 11%	-	5 3%	1 8%
by family member(s)/ friend(s)/ neighbour(s)/ work colleague(s)	10 5%	5 5%	5 5%	3 9%	4 6%	3 3%	10 7%h	-	4 5%	3 4%	1 5%	2 8%	8 4%	2 17%	9 5%	1 5%	-	-	9 5%	1 13%
by a bereavement counsellor/	1	-	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	•	!	-	1	÷	•	-	1 *	-

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 65
WOQ16B - And what other factors, if any, were important?
Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" cremation in the past 24 months who compared crematoria, or had a choice but did not compare, or had a choice of one crematorium only, or who don't know/can't remember whether they compared crematoria

		GEN	nep		AGE		AR	_,		SOCIAL	CDADE		ETHN	ICITY	NATIONS			INTERNET USAGE		
	TOTAL	MALE	FE- MALE	18-34	35-54	55+	URBAN	RURAL	AB	C1	C2	DE	WHITE	BAME	ENGLA ND	SCOTL AND	WALES	NORTH ERN IRELA ND	INTER NET USERS	NON INTER NET USERS
Weighted Base	197	(a) 84*	(b) 113	(c) 37*	(d) 64*	(e) 97	(f) 140	(h) 57*	(i) 74*	(j)	(k) 32*	(l) 25**	(m) 186	(n) 10**	(o) 167	(p) 17**	(q) 14**	(r) -**	(s) 188	(t) 9**
by a religious leader	2 1%	2 2%	-		1 1%	1 1%	2 1%	-	2 2%	-	-	-	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	-	-	2 1%	-
by a solicitor	!	-	1 1%	:	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	- 1	-	1 2%	- :	1	-	-	-	1 5%	1	1	:
from someone else	1 1%	1 2%	-	-	1 2%	-	1 1%	-	1 2%	-	-	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-		1 1%	:
Reputation (NET)	20 10%	6 7%	15 13%	5 14%d	2 2%	14 14%d	15 11%	5 9%	9 13%	8 11%	1 3%	3 10%	19 10%	2 17%	19 11%	1 9%		:	19 10%	1 13%
Good customer/ user reviews/ ratings	9 4%	2 2%	7 6%	4 12%d	1 1%	4 4%	9 <i>6</i> %	-	3 3%	4 5%	-	3 10%	7 4%	2 17%	7 4%	1 9%	-	-	8 4%	1 13%
Good reputation in the area	13 <i>6</i> %	4 4%	9 <i>8</i> %	1 3%	2 2%	10 10%	8 5%	5 9%	7 9%	5 7%	1 3%	- 1	13 <i>7</i> %	-	12 7%	1 5%	-	- 1	13 7%	-
Their offer (NET)	5 2%	2 2%	3 2%	1 3%	2 3%	2 2%	3 2%	2 3%	2 3%	2 3%	1 2%		5 3%	:	5 3%	:	:	:	5 3%	:
Their prices	2 1%	1 2%	1 1%	1 3%	1 2%	-	2 2%	- 1	1 1%	1 2%	-		2 1%	-	2 1%	-	-		2 1%	-
Their value for money	2 1%	1 1%	2 1%	-	1 1%	2 2%	!	2 3%	1 1%	1 1%	1 2%	-	2 1%	:	2 1%	:	:		2 1%	-
Location & facilities (NET)	44 22%	14 16%	30 27%	5 13%	15 23%	25 25%	30 22%	14 24%	18 24%	13 19%	8 26%	5 20%	43 23%	1 12%	38 23%	5 32%	1 5%	-	42 22%	3 28%
The distance/ journey time/ location was convenient	24 12%	10 12%	14 12%	2 6%	9 14%	12 13%	14 10%	10 17%	13 18%	7 10%	1 4%	2 9%	22 12%	1 12%	21 13%	2 11%	1 5%	-	22 12%	2 20%
Easy for funeral guests to find/ get to	7 3%	4 4%	3 3%	- -	1 2%	5 <i>6</i> %	4 3%	3 5%	3 4%	2 3%	1 3%	1 4%	7 4%	-	5 3%	1 7%	-	-	7 4%	-
Easy to get from the crematorium to the venue for the reception/ wake afterwards	3 1%	2 2%	1 1%	-	1 2%	2 2%	2 1%	1 2%	-	2 3%	-	1 4%	3 2%	-	2 1%	1 7%	-	-	3 2%	-
Facilities available (e.g. disabled access, music system, parking, refreshments, toliets etc.)	3 2%	1 1%	2 2%	-	1 1%	2 2%	2 2%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 4%	-	3 2%	-	-	2 14%	1 5%	-	3 2%	-

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 65
WOQ16B - And what other factors, if any, were important?
Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" cremation in the past 24 months who compared crematoria, or had a choice but did not compare, or had a choice of one crematorium only, or who don't know/can't remember whether they compared crematoria

Weighted Base It was an attractive/ peaceful/well-maintained place/buildings/ gardens I/we liked the location It was the deceased's wish to use them Other Don't know

	GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	NICITY	NATIONS			INTERNET USAGE		
TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
197	84*	113	37*	64*	97	140	57*	74*	66*	32*	25**	186	10**	167	17**	14**	.**	188	9**
7 3%	1 1%	6 5%	1 2%	1 2%	5 5%	3 2%	4 6%	1 1%	3 4%	2 7%	1 4%	7 4%	-	4 2%	3 15%	1 5%	-	7 4%	-
15 8%	3 3%	13 11%a	3 8%	5 <i>8</i> %	7 8%	10 7%	6 10%	6 8%	3 4%	4 12%	2 10%	15 8%	1	15 9%	1	-	1	15 8%	1 8%
1 1%	-	1 1%	:	1 2%	-	1 1%	-	:	-	-	1 5%	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	:	-	1 1%	-
3 2%	1 1%	2 2%	:	-	3 3%	2 1%	1 2%	2 3%	:	1 2%	•	3 2%	-	3 2%	•	:	-	3 2%	-
19 10%	9 10%	11 9%	6 15%	7 11%	7 7%	12 9%	7 12%	8 11%	6 9%	2 6%	3 13%	18 10%	1 8%	16 10%	1 7%	2 15%	-	17 9%	2 22%
12 <i>6</i> %	5 <i>6</i> %	7 6%	2 6%	6 10%	3 4%	9 <i>6</i> %	3 <i>6</i> %	3 4%	5 <i>8</i> %	3 11%	-	11 6%		11 7%	-	1 4%	-	12 <i>6</i> %	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/t Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Table 66
WOQ16B - And what other factors, if any, were important?
Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" cremation in the past 24 months who compared crematoria, or had a choice but did not compare, or had a choice of one crematorium only, or who don't know/can't remember whether they compared crematoria

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	IRECTOR	COST OF FUNERAL (POUNDS)				
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)		
Unweighted Base	204	-	204	34	161	47	66	71		
Weighted Base	197	-**	197	33*	157	44*	65*	68*		
Effective Base	188	-	188	32	148	43	60	66		
Personal experience of using them before, or of attending a funeral that they had arranged	57 29%	-	57 <i>2</i> 9%	6 18%	52 33%	9 21%	23 35%	20 30%		
They were able to fit us in around the time we needed them	20 10%	-	20 10%	4 12%	16 10%	4 9%	6 10%	7 10%		
It is the only local crematorium	39 20%	:	39 20%	4 14%	34 22%	6 15%	16 25%	15 22%		
It belonged to the funeral director or was selected by the funeral director	2 1%	:	2 1%	-	2 2%	1 1%	1 2%	1 1%		
Offered choice	9	-	9	1	7	2	-	5		
(NET)	4%	-	4%	3%	4%	5%	-	8%j		
of days and times for the service	7 4%	-	7 4%	1 3%	5 3%	1 3%	-	5 7%j		
of service length/duration	2 1%	-	2 1%	:	1 1%	1 2%		1 2%		
Recommendation (NET)	19 10%		19 10%	5 14%	12 8%	4 9%	5 7%	6 9%		
by the funeral director	6 3%	-	6 3%	2 5%	3 2%	2 4%	-	2 2%		
by family member(s)/ friend(s)/ neighbour(s)/ work colleague(s)	10 5%	- -	10 5%	1 4%	8 5%	1 3%	4 6%	3 4%		
by a bereavement counsellor/ officer	1	:	1	1 2%	:	:	1 1%	-		
by a religious leader	2 1%	-	2 1%	1 3%	1	1 2%	:	1 1%		

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 66
WOQ16B - And what other factors, if any, were important?
Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" cremation in the past 24 months who compared crematoria, or had a choice but did not compare, or had a choice of one crematorium only, or who don't know/can't remember whether they compared crematoria

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D		COST	OF FUNERAL (POUNDS)
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Weighted Base	197	.**	197	33*	157	44*	65*	68*
by a solicitor	!	-	1		1		:	1 1%
from someone else	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	:
Reputation (NET)	20 10%	-	20 10%	5 16%	15 10%	3 7%	8 13%	9 13%
Good customer/ user reviews/ ratings	9 4%	-	9 4%	4 11%	5 3%	1 2%	2 3%	6 9%
Good reputation in the area	13 <i>6</i> %	-	13 <i>6</i> %	2 5%	11 7%	2 4%	7 11%	3 5%
Their offer (NET)	5 2%	-	5 2%	1 4%	2 1%	3 <i>8</i> %	1 1%	1 1%
Their prices	2 1%	-	2 1%	1 4%f	-	2 5%	-	-
Their value for money	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	2 1%	1 2%	1 1%	1 1%
Location & facilities (NET)	44 22%	-	44 22%	10 29%	34 22%	15 34%	15 23%	13 20%
The distance/ journey time/ location was convenient	24 12%	-	24 1 <i>2</i> %	7 22%	16 10%	7 15%	9 14%	8 11%
Easy for funeral guests to find/ get to	7 3%	: :	7 3%	1 3%	6 4%	3 6%k	3 5%	:
Easy to get from the crematorium to the venue for the reception/ wake afterwards	3 1%	-	3 1%	1 3%	2 1%	1 1%	2 4%	-
Facilities available (e.g., disabled access, music system, parking, refreshments, toilets etc.)	3 <i>2</i> %	1.1	3 <i>2</i> %	1 <i>2</i> %	2 1%	-	2 4%	1 1%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/i - lijik
Overlap formulae u.scd. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 66
WOQ16B - And what other factors, if any, were important?
Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" cremation in the past 24 months who compared crematoria, or had a choice but did not compare, or had a choice of one crematorium only, or who don't know/can't remember whether they compared crematoria

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	DIRECTOR		OF FUNERAL (
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Weighted Base	197	-**	197	33*	157	44*	65*	68*
It was an attractive/ peaceful/well- maintained place/buildings/ gardens	7 3%	:	7 3%	2 5%	5 3%	1 3%	5 <i>8</i> %	1 1%
I/we liked the location	15 <i>8</i> %	:	15 <i>8</i> %	3 10%	12 <i>8</i> %	7 17%	4 6%	4 6%
It was the deceased's wish to use them	1 1%	:	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 2%	-
Other	3 2%	:	3 <i>2</i> %	:	3 2%	:	-	3 5%
None	19 10%	:	19 10%	3 9%	16 10%	3 <i>8</i> %	6 9%	8 12%
Don't know	12 <i>6</i> %	1 :	12 6%	2 6%	6 4%	2 4%	1 1%	3 5%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k
Overlap formulae used. "small base; " very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 67

WOQ16B What were the most important factors for you in choosing the crematorium you used?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" cremation in the past 24 months who compared crematoria, or had a choice but did not compare, or had a choice of one crematorium only, or who don't know/can't remember whether they compared crematoria

		GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EΑ		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NAT	IONS		INTER USA	RNET
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (i)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Unweighted Base	204	90	114	35	56	113	145	59	69	76	34	25	194	9	169	18	17	-	192	12
Weighted Base	197	84*	113	37*	64*	97	140	57*	74*	66*	32*	25**	186	10**	167	17**	14**	.**	188	9**
Effective Base	188	81	107	32	52	108	133	55	64	70	32	23	179	8	156	17	16	-	177	11
Personal experience of using them before, or of attending a funeral that they had arranged	76 38%	32 38%	44 39%	12 <i>32</i> %	24 38%	40 41%	55 39%	21 36%	29 39%	29 44%	10 <i>32</i> %	8 31%	73 39%	3 31%	65 39%	7 41%	4 29%	-	75 40%	1 15%
They were able to fit us in around the time we needed them	25 12%	9 11%	15 13%	6 16%	5 <i>8</i> %	14 14%	18 13%	7 12%	8 11%	7 10%	6 18%	4 16%	21 11%	4 38%	21 13%	3 16%	1 <i>8</i> %	-	25 13%	-
It is the only local crematorium	75 38%	37 44%	37 <i>3</i> 3%	14 38%	24 37%	37 38%	50 36%	25 43%	34 46%k	25 37%	6 19%	10 40%	71 38%	4 41%	61 36%	7 44%	7 49%	-	69 <i>37</i> %	6 66%
It belonged to the funeral director or was selected by the funeral director	5 <i>2</i> %	2 <i>2</i> %	3 3%	-	1 <i>2</i> %	4 4%	2 1%	3 5%	2 3%	2 3%	-	1 3%	5 3%	-	4 2%	1 7%	-	-	5 3%	:
Offered choice (NET)	11 6%	6 7%	6 5%	5 14%e	3 5%	3 3%	7 5%	4 7%	5 7%	2 3%	3 9%	2 6%	9 5%	2 17%	9 <i>5</i> %	1 5%	2 13%	-	11 <i>6</i> %	:
of days and times for the service	9 4%	5 <i>6</i> %	4 4%	4 10%	3 5%	2 2%	6 4%	3 <i>6</i> %	5 7%	2 3%	2 6%	:	7 4%	2 17%	7 4%	-	2 13%	:	9 5%	:
of service length/duration	3 1%	1 1%	2 2%	2 4%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 3%	-		1 4%	2 6%	3 2%	•	2 1%	1 5%		-	3 2%	:
Recommendation (NET)	34 17%	12 15%	22 19%	12 34%e	11 18%	11 11%	28 20%	6 10%	13 17%	10 14%	7 22%	5 19%	30 16%	4 38%	31 19%	1 5%	2 16%		32 17%	2 21%
by the funeral director	10 5%	8 9%b	2 2%	3 9%	4 6%	3 3%	7 5%	3 5%	4 5%	3 5%	3 9%		8 4%	2 21%	9 5%	:	2 11%		10 5%	1 <i>8</i> %
by family member(s)/ friend(s)/ neighbour(s)/ work colleague(s)	22 11%	6 7%	16 14%	10 28%de	6 9%	6 <i>6</i> %	20 14%h	2 3%	7 10%	6 10%	3 11%	5 19%	20 11%	2 17%	21 13%	1 5%	-	-	21 11%	1 13%
by a bereavement counsellor/	!	-	1 1%	- -	÷	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	-	1 *	-	1	-	÷	-	1 *	-

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 67 14 Aug 2018

Table 67

WOQ16B What were the most important factors for you in choosing the crematorium you used?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" cremation in the past 24 months who compared crematoria, or had a choice but did not compare, or had a choice of one crematorium only, or who don't know/can't remember whether they compared crematoria

		GEN	IDER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	ICITY	•	NAT	IONS		INTE	RNET AGE
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Weighted Base	197	84*	113	37*	64*	97	140	57*	74*	66*	32*	25**	186	10**	167	17**	14**	.**	188	9**
by a member of staff at the care home! hospice/hospital where the deceased died	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	2 2%	-	2 1%	-	2 2%		-	-	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	-	-	2 1%	:
by a religious leader	2 1%	2 2%	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%		2 2%	:	:	-	2 1%		2 1%	:	:	-	2 1%	
by a solicitor	!	-	1 1%		-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	-	1	-		-	1 5%	-	1	:
from someone else	1 1%	1 2%	-	:	1 2%	:	1 1%	-	1 2%	-	-	:	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 1%	:
Reputation (NET)	24 12%	6 7%	18 <i>16</i> %	5 14%d	2 2%	17 17%d	18 13%	6 10%	9 13%	8 11%	4 13%	3 10%	22 12%	2 17%	20 12%	3 17%	1 5%	-	22 12%	1 13%
Good customer/ user reviews/ ratings	10 5%	2 2%	8 7%	4 12%d	1 1%	5 5%	10 7%h	-	3 <i>3</i> %	4 5%	1 4%	3 10%	8 5%	2 17%	7 4%	3 17%	-	-	9 5%	1 13%
Good reputation in the area	14 7%	4 4%	11 9%	1 3%	2 2%	12 12%d	9 <i>6</i> %	6 10%	7 9%	5 7%	3 9%	:	14 <i>8</i> %	-	13 <i>8</i> %	1 5%	1 5%	-	14 8%	:
Their offer (NET)	6 3%	2 2%	4 3%	1 3%	2 3%	3 3%	4 3%	2 3%	3 4%	2 3%	1 2%		6 3%		6 3%				6 3%	:
Their prices	3 2%	1 2%	2 2%	1 3%	1 2%	1 1%	3 2%	-	2 3%	1 2%	-	-	3 2%	-	3 2%	-	-	-	3 2%	:
Their value for money	2 1%	1 1%	2 1%	-	1 1%	2 2%	1	2 3%	1 1%	1 1%	1 2%		2 1%		2 1%			-	2 1%	-
Location & facilities (NET)	65 33%	25 29%	40 36%	6 17%	25 39%c	34 35%	46 33%	19 <i>33</i> %	21 29%	23 34%	16 49%	5 20%	63 34%	2 20%	56 33%	6 39%	3 19%		62 33%	3 34%
The distance/ journey time/ location was convenient	39 <i>20</i> %	19 <i>22</i> %	21 18%	3 8%	17 27%c	19 20%	27 19%	12 22%	16 21%	15 22%	6 19%	3 12%	37 20%	2 20%	35 <i>2</i> 1%	3 18%	1 9%	-	37 20%	3 28%
Easy for funeral guests to find/ get to	8 4%	4 5%	4 3%	-	2 3%	6 <i>6</i> %	5 4%	3 5%	3 4%	3 5%	1 3%	1 4%	8 4%	-	7 4%	1 7%	-	-	7 4%	1 7%
Easy to get from the crematorium to the venue for the reception/ wake afterwards	6 3%	3 3%	3 3%	- -	2 3%	4 4%	5 3%	1 <i>2</i> %	2 3%	2 3%	1 3%	1 4%	6 3%	-	5 <i>3</i> %	1 7%	-	-	6 3%	-

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 67

WOQ16AWOQ16B What were the most important factors for you in choosing the crematorium you used?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" cremation in the past 24 months who compared crematoria, or had a choice but did not compare, or had a choice of one crematorium only, or who don't know/can't remember whether they compared crematoria

Weighted Base Facilities available (e.g. disabled access, music system, parking, refreshments, toilets etc.) It was an attractive/ peaceful/well-maintained place/buildings/ gardens I/we liked the location It was the deceased's wish to use them Other None

		GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NATI	ONS		INTER USA	(GE
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Г	197	84*	113	37*	64*	97	140	57*	74*	66*	32*	25**	186	10**	167	17**	14**	.**	188	9**
İ	8 4%	1 2%	6 5%	1 2%	2 3%	5 5%	5 4%	3 5%	1 2%	3 4%	2 7%	1 5%	8 4%	-	4 2%	2 14%	1 10%	-	6 3%	1 13%
	7 3%	1 1%	6 5%	1 2%	1 2%	5 5%	3 <i>2</i> %	4 6%	1 1%	3 4%	2 7%	1 4%	7 4%	-	4 2%	3 15%	1 5%	-	7 4%	-
	17 <i>8</i> %	4 4%	13 11%	3 <i>8</i> %	6 10%	7 8%	10 7%	7 12%	6 8%	4 6%	4 12%	2 10%	17 9%	-	17 10%	-	-	-	16 <i>8</i> %	1 <i>8</i> %
	4 2%	÷	4 3%	-	3 4%	1 1%	2 2%	1 2%	-	:	2 8%ij	1 5%	4 2%	:	4 2%	:	:	-	4 2%	-
	5 2%	2 3%	2 2%	:	-	5 5%	3 2%	1 2%	3 4%	:	2 5%	-	5 3%	-	4 2%	-	1 5%	-	5 3%	-
ı	19 10%	9 10%	11 9%	6 15%	7 11%	7 7%	12 9%	7 12%	8 11%	6 9%	2 6%	3 13%	18 10%	1 <i>8</i> %	16 10%	1 7%	2 15%	-	17 9%	2 22%
L	12 <i>6</i> %	5 <i>6</i> %	7 6%	2 6%	6 10%	3 4%	9 <i>6</i> %	3 <i>6</i> %	3 4%	5 <i>8</i> %	3 11%		11 <i>6</i> %		11 7%		1 4%	-	12 <i>6</i> %	:

Table 68

WOQ16A/WOQ16B What were the most important factors for you in choosing the crematorium you used?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" cremation in the past 24 months who compared crematoria, or had a choice but did not compare, or had a choice of one crematorium only, or who don't know/can't remember whether they compared crematoria

		NATURE (OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D		COST	OF FUNERAL (POUNDS)
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Unweighted Base	204	-	204	34	161	47	66	71
Weighted Base	197	.**	197	33*	157	44*	65*	68*
Effective Base	188	-	188	32	148	43	60	66
Personal experience of using them before, or of attending a funeral that they had arranged	76 38%	- -	76 38%	7 20%	69 44%e	16 36%	28 43%	24 35%
They were able to fit us in around the time we needed them	25 12%	Ī	25 12%	4 12%	20 13%	4 9%	7 11%	10 14%
It is the only local crematorium	75 38%	:	75 38%	15 45%	59 38%	14 31%	24 37%	29 43%
It belonged to the funeral director or was selected by the funeral director	5 2%	Ξ.	5 <i>2</i> %	:	5 3%	1 1%	2 3%	2 3%
Offered choice (NET)	11 6%	:	11 <i>6</i> %	2 6%	9 6%	4 8%	1 1%	5 8%
of days and times for the service	9 4%	Ī	9 4%	2 6%	6 4%	2 5%	1 1%	5 7%
of service length/duration	3 1%	:	3 1%	-	2 1%	2 4%	-	1 2%
Recommendation (NET)	34 17%	-	34 17%	8 23%	23 15%	7 16%	10 15%	13 19%
by the funeral director	10 5%	-	10 5%	2 7%	7 4%	3 6%	3 4%	2 4%
by family member(s)/ friend(s)/ neighbour(s)/ work colleague(s)	22 11%		22 11%	4 11%	16 10%	4 8%	7 10%	10 15%
by a bereavement counsellor/ officer	1	:	1	1 2%	:	:	1 1%	:

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (6% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

Page 114

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 68
WOQ16AWOQ16B What were the most important factors for you in choosing the crematorium you used?
Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" cremation in the past 24 months who compared crematoria, or had a choice but did not compare, or had a choice of one crematorium only, or who don't know/can't remember whether they compared crematoria

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D		COST	OF FUNERAL ((POUNDS)
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Weighted Base	197	-**	197	33*	157	44*	65*	68*
by a member of staff at the care home! hospice/hospital where the deceased died	2 1%	-	2 1%	:	2 1%	- -	2 2%	-
by a religious leader	2 1%	-	2 1%	1 3%	1	1 2%	-	1 1%
by a solicitor	!!	-	1	-	1	-	-	1 1%
from someone else	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	
Reputation (NET)	24 12%	:	24 12%	5 16%	18 12%	4 9%	10 <i>15</i> %	10 14%
Good customer/ user reviews/ ratings	10 5%	-	10 5%	4 11%	7 4%	1 2%	3 5%	6 9%
Good reputation in the area	14 7%	-	14 7%	2 5%	13 <i>8</i> %	3 7%	7 11%	4 6%
Their offer (NET)	6 3%	-	6 3%	2 7%f	2 1%	4 10%jk	1 1%	1 1%
Their prices	3 2%	-	3 2%	2 7%f	-	3 8%jk	:	:
Their value for money	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	2 1%	1 2%	1 1%	1 1%
Location & facilities (NET)	65 33%	:	65 33%	13 40%	48 31%	19 <i>43</i> %	21 <i>32</i> %	21 31%
The distance/ journey time/ location was convenient	39 20%	:	39 20%	9 28%	30 19%	12 <i>2</i> 7%	14 22%	12 17%
Easy for funeral guests to find/ get to	8 4%	-	8 4%	1 3%	7 4%	3 6%	3 5%	1 2%
Easy to get from the crematorium to the venue for the reception/ wake afterwards	6 3%	-	6 3%	3 9%f	3 <i>2</i> %	1 3%	2 4%	2 3%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (6% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 68
WOQ16AWOQ16B What were the most important factors for you in choosing the crematorium you used?
Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" cremation in the past 24 months who compared crematoria, or had a choice but did not compare, or had a choice of one crematorium only, or who don't know/can't remember whether they compared crematoria

Weighted Base Facilities available (e.g. disabled access, music system, parking, refreshments, toilets etc.) It was an attractive/ peaceful/well-maintained place/buildings/ gardens I/we liked the location It was the deceased's wish to use them Other

Don't know

	NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D		COST	OF FUNERAL (
TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
197	.**	197	33*	157	44*	65*	68*
8 4%	-	8 4%	3 8%	5 <i>3</i> %	-	4 7%	3 4%
7 3%	-	7 3%	2 5%	5 <i>3</i> %	1 3%	5 <i>8</i> %	1 1%
17 8%	- -	17 8%	3 10%	12 <i>8</i> %	7 17%	4 6%	4 6%
4 2%	-	4 2%	-	2 2%	1 3%	1 2%	1 2%
5 2%	-	5 2%	:	5 3%	-	1 1%	4 6%
19 10%	-	19 10%	3 9%	16 10%	3 <i>8</i> %	6 9%	8 12%
12 <i>6</i> %	-	12 <i>6</i> %	2 6%	6 4%	2 4%	1 1%	3 5%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 69
WOO18 - Earlier you said that you compared the services of two or more crematoria. Thinking about all the
things you wanted to compare them on, how easy or difficult was it to get all the information you needed to do this?
Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months where the deceased was cremated who Compared crematoria

Unweighted Base Weighted Base Effective Base (+2) Very easy (+1) Fairly easy (0) Neither easy nor difficult (-1) Fairly difficult (-2) Very difficult All easy (Net) All difficult NET DIFFERENCE Mean Don't know

	GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NAT	IONS		INTE US	AGE
TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
16	3	13	4	4	8	13	3	6	7	3	-	15	1	14	1	1	-	16	-
15*	2**	13**	4**	4**	6**	12**	3**	6**	7**	2**	-**	14**	1**	13**	1**	1**	.**	15**	.**
15	3	12	4	4	8	12	3	6	6	3		14	1	13	1	1	-	15	-
6 40%	1 65%	5 36%	3 76%	:	3 45%	5 42%	1 34%	1 19%	3 49%	2 70%	-	6 42%	-	6 46%	-	:	:	6 40%	-
5 33%	-	5 38%	-	3 70%	2 28%	5 40%	-	3 52%	1 17%	1 30%		4 29%	1 100%	4 29%	1 100%	:	-	5 33%	-
3 22%	:	3 26%	1 24%	1 30%	1 15%	2 19%	1 39%	1 17%	2 34%	-	:	3 24%	-	3 25%	:	-	-	3 22%	
1 5%	1 35%	-	-	-	1 11%	-	1 27%	1 12%	-	-	-	1 5%	-	:	-	1 100%		1 5%	-
:	:	-	:	-	-	-		-	:	- :	•	:	-	:	•	:	-	:	-
11 73%	1 65%	9 74%	3 76%	3 70%	4 73%	10 81%	1 34%	4 71%	4 66%	2 100%	:	10 71%	1 100%	10 75%	1 100%	-	-	11 73%	
1 5%	1 35%	-	-	-	1 11%	-	1 27%	1 12%	-	-	-	1 5%	-	:	-	1 100%	-	1 5%	:
10 68%	1 30%	9 74%	3 76%	3 70%	4 62%	10 <i>81</i> %	7%	3 59%	4 66%	2 100%	0 0%	9 66%	1 100%	10 <i>75</i> %	1 100%	-1 -100%	0 <i>0</i> %	10 68%	0 0%
1.08	0.94	1.11	1.52	0.70	1.07	1.23	0.41	0.77	1.14	1.70		1.09	1.00	1.20	1.00	-1.00		1.08	
1 :		:	-	-	:	:	- 1	-	-	-	-	:	-	l ·	-	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/l Overlap formulae used. " small base; " very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Page 118

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 70
WOQ18 - Earlier you said that you compared the services of two or more crematoria. Thinking about all the
things you wanted to compare them on, how easy or difficult was it to get all the information you needed to do this?
Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months where the deceased was cremated who Compared crematoria

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D		COST	OF FUNERAL (POUNDS)
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)
Unweighted Base	16	-	16	7	8	7	3	5
Weighted Base	15*	-**	15**	6**	8**	6**	3**	4**
Effective Base	15	-	15	7	7	7	3	5
(+2) Very easy	6 40%	-	6 40%	1 11%	4 55%	2 37%	2 64%	2 43%
(+1) Fairly easy	5 33%	-	5 33%	4 61%	1 15%	4 63%		1 18%
(0) Neither easy nor difficult	3 22%	-	3 22%	1 16%	2 30%	-	1 36%	1 23%
(-1) Fairly difficult	1 5%	-	1 5%	1 12%	-	-	:	1 16%
(-2) Very difficult	: :	-		-	-	-	:	:
All easy (Net)	11 73%	-	11 73%	4 72%	5 70%	6 100%	2 64%	3 61%
All difficult (Net)	1 5%	-	1 5%	1 12%	-	-		1 16%
NET DIFFERENCE	10 68%	0 <i>0</i> %	10 68%	4 61%	5 70%	6 100%	2 64%	2 45%
Mean	1.08		1.08	0.72	1.24	1.37	1.28	0.88
Don't know	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 71

WOQ19 - Earlier you said that you didn't compare the services of two or more crematoria. Can you tell me why you didn't compare crematoria?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" cremation in the past 24 months who had a choice but did not compare crematoria and did not use a crematorium already known to them

		GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	IICITY		NAT	IONS		INTER USA	IGE
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Unweighted Base	30	14	16	3	9	18	22	8	9	10	5	6	30	-	26	1	3	-	28	2
Weighted Base	29*	13**	16**	3**	10**	16**	21**	8**	10**	9**	5**	6**	29**	.**	25**	1**	2**	.**	28**	1**
Effective Base	27	12	15	3	8	17	20	7	8	10	5	6	27	-	23	1	3	-	25	2
It did not occur to me/us to compare	13 45%	8 58%	5 34%	1 32%	6 66%	5 34%	9 44%	4 46%	6 65%	4 46%	-	2 44%	13 45%	-	13 <i>51</i> %	-	-	-	13 47%	:
Didn't want to/ couldn't/not appropriate (NET)	3 12%	2 14%	2 10%	1 22%	1 11%	2 11%	2 8%	2 23%	1 7%	1 13%	2 35%	:	3 12%	-	2 7%	- :	2 74%	-	3 13%	
Everything	2	-	2	-		2	2	- 1	1		2		2						2	
needed to be arranged quickly, so there wasn't time	8%	6%	10%	22%	-	11%	8%	9%	7%	-	35%	-	8%	-	7%	-	29%	-	9%	-
It's just not something you do/it's inappropriate in this type of situation	1 4%	1 <i>8</i> %		-	1 11%	-	-	1 14%	-	1 13%	-	-	1 4%	-	-	-	1 46%	-	1 4%	-
Chose 1st option/ no clear	8 27%	4 28%	4 26%	1 46%	2 22%	4 26%	7 34%	1 <i>8</i> %	2 15%	2 24%	2 42%	2 39%	8 27%	-	6 25%	1 100%	:	-	7 26%	1 54%
difference (NET)	,																			
The first one I/ we looked at had an available slot and we didn't want to lose it	2 7%	1 5%	1 9%	1 46%	1 7%		2 10%	:	:	1 7%	: '	1 26%	2 7%	:	2 8%	:	:	:	2 8%	:
I/we liked/were happy with/ trusted the first crematorium I/we contacted	6 20%	3 23%	3 17%	:	2 16%	4 26%	5 24%	1 <i>8</i> %	2 15%	1 16%	2 42%	1 13%	6 20%	-	4 17%	1 100%	:	-	5 18%	1 54%
Didn't have a choice (NET)	1 4%	:	1 7%	:	:	1 7%	1 5%	:	-	:	1 23%	:	1 4%	:	1 4%	:	:	:	1 4%	:
because they were the only local crematorium	1 4%	-	1 7%	-	-	1 7%	1 5%	-	-	-	1 23%	-	1 4%	:	1 4%	:	-	-	1 4%	-

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 71

WOQ19 - Earlier you said that you didn't compare the services of two or more crematoria. Can you tell me why you didn't compare crematoria?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" cremation in the past 24 months who had a choice but did not compare crematoria and did not use a crematorium already known to them

		GEN	DER		AGE		AR	EA		SOCIAL	GRADE		ETHN	ICITY		NATI	IONS		INTER US	AGE
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (I)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
Weighted Base	29*	13**	16**	3**	10**	16**	21**	8**	10**	9**	5**	6**	29**	.**	25**	1**	2**	.**	28**	1**
Trusted recommendation I	3 12%	1 5%	3 17%	:	:	3 21%	2 7%	2 23%	1 12%	2 25%	:	:	3 12%	:	3 11%	:	1 26%	:	3 10%	1 46%
was/we were given (NET)																				
by the funeral director	3 10%	1 5%	2 13%	-	-	3 17%	2 7%	1 16%	1 12%	2 18%	-	-	3 10%	-	3 11%	-	-	-	3 10%	
by family member(s)/ friend(s)/ neighbour(s)/ work colleague(s)	1 2%	-	1 4%	-	-	1 4%	-	1 <i>8</i> %	-	1 7%	-	-	1 <i>2</i> %	-	-	-	1 26%	-	-	1 46%
Trusted reputation/reviews	1 4%	1 5%	1 4%	:	1 13%		1 3%	1 <i>8</i> %	:	1 7%	1 13%		1 4%	:	1 5%	:	:	-	1 5%	-
(NET)																				
Trusted the customer/user reviews/ratings I/we saw for them	1 4%	1 5%	1 4%	-	1 13%		1 3%	1 8%	-	1 7%	1 13%	-	1 4%	-	1 5%	=	-	-	1 5%	-
Other (NET)	3	1	2	-	-	3	2	1	2	-	-	1	3	-	3	-	-	-	3	
Other	9%	5%	12%		•	16%	7% 2	13%	17% 2	-	-	17%	9%	•	10%		•	-	9% 3	
Other	3 9%	5%	2 12%			3 16%	2 7%	13%	17%			17%	9%		10%				9%	

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 72

WOQ19 - Earlier you said that you didn't compare the services of two or more crematoria. Can you tell me why you didn't compare crematoria?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" cremation in the past 24 months who had a choice but did not compare crematoria and did not use a crematorium already known to them

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	IRECTOR	COST OF FUNERAL (POUNDS)				
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)		
Unweighted Base	30	-	30	5	24	8	11	9		
Weighted Base	29*	-**	29**	5**	23**	7**	11**	9**		
Effective Base	27	-	27	5	22	7	9	8		
It did not occur to me/us to compare	13 45%	-	13 45%	1 16%	12 52%	3 35%	6 59%	3 32%		
Didn't want to/	3	-	3	2	2	1	-	2		
couldn't/not	12%	-	12%	35%	8%	10%	-	23%		
appropriate (NET)										
Everything needed to be arranged quickly, so there wasn't time	2 8%		2 8%	2 35%	1 3%	1 10%	-	1 11%		
It's just not something you do'it's inappropriate in this type of situation	1 4%	- -	1 4%	:	1 5%	- -	-	1 12%		
Chose 1st option/	8	-	8	2	5	2	4	3		
no clear	27%	•	27%	49%	20%	20%	33%	31%		
difference (NET) The first one I/										
i ne inst one u we looked at had an available slot and we didn't want to lose it	2 7%	:	2 7%	1 30%	1 3%	:	:	2 23%		
I/we liked/were happy with/ trusted the first crematorium I/we contacted	6 20%	=	6 20%	1 19%	4 18%	2 20%	4 33%	1 7%		
Didn't have a choice (NET)	1 4%	:	1 4%	-	1 5%	1 15%	-	-		
because they were the only local crematorium	1 4%	-	1 4%	-	1 5%	1 15%	-	-		

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 72

WOQ19 - Earlier you said that you didn't compare the services of two or more crematoria. Can you tell me why you didn't compare crematoria?

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" cremation in the past 24 months who had a choice but did not compare crematoria and did not use a crematorium already known to them

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D		COST OF FUNERAL (POUNDS)				
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)		
Weighted Base	29*	.**	29**	5**	23**	7**	11**	9**		
Trusted recommendation I	3 12%	-	3 12%	-	3 14%	1 <i>8</i> %	2 14%	1 14%		
was/we were given (NET)										
by the funeral director	3 10%	:	3 10%	-	3 12%	:	2 14%	1 14%		
by family member(s)/ friend(s)/ neighbour(s)/ work colleague(s)	1 2%	-	1 2%	:	1 3%	1 <i>8</i> %	:	-		
Trusted reputation/reviews	1 4%		1 4%	-	1 3%	-	-	1 15%		
(NET)										
Trusted the customer/user reviews/ratings I/we saw for them	1 4%	-	1 4%	- -	1 3%	-	-	1 15%		
Other (NET)	3	-	3	-	3	3	-	-		
	9%	-	9%		11%	35%	-			
Other	3 9%	-	3 9%	-	3 11%	3 35%	-	-		

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/l - i/j/k
Overlap formulae used. "small base; " very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 73

WO020 - Thinking about all the things you had to decide about the crematorium, how easy or difficult was it to get all the information you needed to do this?
Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months, where the deceased was cremated

Unweighted Base Weighted Base
Effective Base
(+2) Very easy
(+1) Fairly easy
(0) Neither easy nor difficult
(-1) Fairly difficult
(-2) Very difficult
All easy (Net)
All difficult (Net) NET DIFFERENCE
Mean
Don't know

		GENDER AGE			AR	EΑ	SOCIAL GRADE				ETHN	ICITY	NATIONS				INTERNET USAGE			
тот	ΓAL	MALE (a)	FE- MALE (b)	18-34 (c)	35-54 (d)	55+ (e)	URBAN (f)	RURAL (h)	AB (i)	C1 (j)	C2 (k)	DE (l)	WHITE (m)	BAME (n)	ENGLA ND (o)	SCOTL AND (p)	WALES (q)	NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r)	INTER NET USERS (s)	NON INTER NET USERS (t)
2	36	101	135	39	65	132	171	65	84	86	36	30	224	11	199	20	17	-	222	14
2	31	95*	136	41*	76*	115	168	64*	90*	75*	34*	32**	218	12**	199	18**	14**	.**	220	11**
2	18	92	126	36	61	126	157	61	79	79	34	28	207	10	184	19	16	-	206	13
	20 52%	46 49%	74 54%	21 50%	34 45%	65 57%	84 50%	36 57%	46 51%	35 47%	21 61%	18 56%	114 <i>52</i> %	6 46%	102 <i>52</i> %	11 59%	7 47%	-	113 <i>51%</i>	6 59%
	74 32%	37 38%	38 28%	13 <i>31</i> %	28 37%	34 29%	58 35%	16 25%	29 32%	27 36%	9 27%	9 29%	69 32%	5 37%	67 34%	4 20%	4 26%	-	72 33%	2 18%
	28 12%	9 10%	19 14%	4 11%	11 14%	13 <i>12</i> %	18 11%	10 16%	11 12%	9 12%	4 11%	5 15%	27 13%	1 8%	22 11%	3 16%	3 20%	-	26 12%	2 17%
İ	3 1%	2 2%	1 1%	1 2%	1 1%	1 1%	3 2%	-	2 2%	1 1%	-		2 1%	1 8%	2 1%	-	1 7%	-	3 1%	
ı	1	:	1	:	-	1 1%	!	-	-	1 1%	-	-	!	-	1	:	-		-	1 6%
	94 84%	83 <i>87</i> %	111 <i>82</i> %	33 81%	62 <i>82</i> %	99 <i>86</i> %	142 85%	52 82%	75 <i>8</i> 3%	62 82%	30 <i>8</i> 9%	27 85%	183 <i>8</i> 4%	10 <i>84</i> %	169 <i>85</i> %	15 <i>7</i> 9%	10 73%	-	186 <i>8</i> 4%	8 77%
İ	3 1%	2 2%	2 1%	1 2%	1 1%	2 1%	3 2%	-	2 2%	1 2%	-		2 1%	1 8%	2 1%	-	1 7%	-	3 1%	1 6%
	91 <i>83</i> %	81 <i>85</i> %	110 <i>81</i> %	32 79%	61 <i>81</i> %	97 <i>8</i> 5%	139 <i>83</i> %	52 <i>82</i> %	73 81%	61 <i>80</i> %	30 <i>8</i> 9%	27 85%	181 <i>8</i> 3%	9 <i>76</i> %	167 <i>8</i> 4%	15 79%	9 66%	0 <i>0</i> %	183 <i>8</i> 3%	8 72%
1.	.37	1.36	1.39	1.37	1.30	1.42	1.36	1.42	1.36	1.32	1.50	1.41	1.38	1.22	1.39	1.44	1.13	-	1.38	1.25
	6 2%	1 2%	4 3%	2 6%	3 3%	1 1%	5 3%	1 2%	3 3%	3 4%		-	6 <i>3</i> %	-	5 2%	1 4%	-	- :	6 <i>3</i> %	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/l Overlap formulae used. " small base; " very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Page 124

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 74

WOQ20 - Thinking about all the things you had to decide about the crematorium, how easy or difficult was it to get all the information you needed to do this?
Base: All Adults 18- in the UK involved in making arrangements for an "at need" funeral in the past 24 months, where the deceased was cremated

		NATURE	OF FUNERAL	COMPARI FUNERAL D	IRECTOR	COST OF FUNERAL (POUNDS)				
	TOTAL	BURIAL (a)	CREMATION (b)	COMPARED (e)	DID NOT COMPARE (f)	UP TO 3,000 (i)	3,001 - 4,000 (j)	MORE THAN 4,000 (k)		
Unweighted Base	236	-	236	37	187	50	77	87		
Weighted Base	231	-**	231	36*	184	48*	77*	84*		
Effective Base	218	-	218	35	173	46	71	81		
(+2) Very easy	120 <i>52</i> %	-	120 52%	15 42%	101 55%	23 47%	39 52%	47 56%		
(+1) Fairly easy	74 32%	-	74 32%	16 44%	55 30%	19 41%	29 38%	21 25%		
(0) Neither easy nor difficult	28 12%	-	28 12%	4 10%	22 12%	5 11%	8 10%	12 14%		
(-1) Fairly difficult	3 1%	-	3 1%	-	3 1%	-	-	3 <i>3</i> %		
(-2) Very difficult	!	-	1	-	1	-	-	1 1%		
All easy (Net)	194 <i>84</i> %	-	194 <i>84</i> %	31 <i>86</i> %	156 <i>8</i> 5%	42 88%	69 90%	68 <i>8</i> 1%		
All difficult (Net)	3 1%	-	3 1%	-	3 2%	-	-	3 4%		
NET DIFFERENCE	191 <i>83</i> %	0 0%	191 <i>83</i> %	31 86%	153 <i>8</i> 3%	42 88%	69 90%k	65 77%		
Mean	1.37	-	1.37	1.34	1.39	1.36	1.42	1.34		
Don't know	6	-	6	2	3	*	-	1		

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/f - i/j/k
Overlap formulae used. "small base; " very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 75 DEMOGRAPHICS Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK

Effective Base

GENDER

FEMALE

AGE

55+

AREA URBAN

RURAL

C1

C2

DE

ETHNICITY

WHITE

BAME

INTERNET GENDER AGE AREA SOCIAL GRADE ETHNICITY NATIONS NON INTER INTER FE-MALE SCOTL AND IRELA ND NET USERS NET USERS MALE URBAN RURAL AB C2 DE WHITE BAME WALES TOTAL 18-34 35-54 55+ C1 ND (o) (h) (q) **Unweighted Base** Weighted Base 47% 49% 49% 48% 45% 49% 40% 100%b 29% 100%de 23% 32%i 29%i 42%n 31%t 34% 34% 33% 34% 34%l 34%l 30% 33% 35% 36%l 41%m 34% 36%t 11% 100%ce 33% 32% 39% *36*% *3*5% 41%jk 41%n 16% 41%r 49%op 86%s 38% 40%a 42%jk 34% 38%r 28% 37% 44%f 33% 77% 77% 73% 77%i 88%m 78%p 77% 78% 82%de 78%e 100%h 74% 81%ik 76% 75% 83%pg 50%a 27% 77% 23% 22% 23% *18*% 22%c 27%0 100%f 26%jl 23%j 25%n 17% 50%or 73%op 23% 23% 12% 22% SOCIAL GRADE 30% 100%jkl 26% 27% 29%b 29%c 26% 31%f 28%pr 23%r 29%t 28% 26% 30%a 32%de 28%e 25% 30%h 23% 100%ikl 28% 31% 29%p 23% 27% 34%p 29%t 18% 20% 21% 18% 18% 29%oq 18% 17% 21% 22% 22%b 22%e 20% 100%ijl 21% 20% 22% 26%a 25%d 22% 26%d 24% 24% 100%ij *24*% 24% *2*5% 21% 52%s *2*5% 86% 87% 87% 80% 84%c 94%cc 85% 93%f 87% 85% 100%n 85% 97%0 97%0 98%0

 $\overline{Proportions/Means: Columns\ Tested\ (5\%\ risk\ level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/t Overlap formulae used. }$

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 75 DEMOGRAPHICS Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK

INTERNET USAGE GENDER AGE AREA SOCIAL GRADE ETHNICITY NATIONS NORTH ERN IRELA ND (r) NON INTER NET USERS INTER FE-MALE ENGLA ND (o) SCOTL AND (p) NET USERS DE (l) WHITE (m) WALES (q) TOTAL MALE 18-34 35-54 (d) 55+ (e) URBAN RURAL AB C1 C2 (k) BAME 6109 2984 3125 1727 2330 4714 1395 1237 1480 5300 5124 516 178 5493 616 1671 1721

Weighted Base NATIONS ENGLAND SCOTLAND

WALES

NORTHERN IRELAND

•	•																		
5124 <i>84</i> %	2505 84%	2619 <i>8</i> 4%	1493 86%de	1704 83%	1928 <i>8</i> 3%	4238 90%h	887 <i>64%</i>	1448 87%kl	1464 85%kl	1002 81%	1210 <i>82</i> %	4342 82%	757 97%m	5124 100%pqr	:	: '		4627 84%t	497 81%
516 <i>8</i> %	249 <i>8</i> %	267 9%	126 7%	180 <i>9</i> %	210 9%	258 5%	257 18%f	121 <i>7</i> %	118 <i>7</i> %	148 12%ijl	128 9%	502 9%n	11 1%	-	516 100%oqr	-	:	472 9%	44 7%
291 5%	144 5%	148 5%	49 3%	100 5%c	142 6%c	79 <i>2</i> %	212 15%f	83 5%	79 5%	49 4%	80 <i>5</i> %	281 5%n	7 1%	-	-	291 100%opr	:	252 5%	39 6%s
178	87	91	60 3%e	69 3%e	50 2%	139	39	19	60	38 3%i	62 4%i	174 3%n	3	-	-	-	178 100%opq	142 3%	35 6%s

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/h - i/j/k/l - m/n - o/p/q/r - s/l Overlap formulae used.

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 76

DEMOGRAPHICS

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK

COMPARISON OF FUNERAL DIRECTOR NATURE OF FUNERAL COST OF FUNERAL (POUNDS) MORE THAN 4,000 DID NOT COMPARE UP TO 3,000 3,001 CREMATION COMPARED TOTAL BURIAI Unweighted Base Weighted Base 107* 79* 105* Effective Base GENDER 44% 45% 51% 40% 41% 42% 51% FEMALE 49% 60% 59% 56% 58% 55% AGE 15-34 18% 17% 15% 18% 24% 10% 21%j 35-54 34% 32% 34% 30% 28% 33% 36% 32% 38% 50% 48% 50% 55+ 54% AREA 77% 73% 71% 80% 69% *85*% 74% 77% 23% RURAL 31% SOCIAL GRADE *38*% 38% 36% 42% 30% 30% 45% C1 28% 33%a 21% 23% 29% 30% 20% 25%b C2 16% 15% 19% 26% 15% 16% DE 24% 23%b 22% 12% ETHNICITY *87*% 92% *87*% 11%b BAME 13% 5% NATIONS ENGLAND 86%a 78% *7*5% 76% 84% 89% SCOTLAND

Page 128

J17017017-25-03 22-JUN - 01-JUL 2018 PUBLIC FINAL FUNERALS MARKET STUDY RESEARCH (QUANTITATIVE)

Table 76

DEMOGRAPHICS

Base: All Adults 18+ in the UK

Weighted Base

NORTHERN IRELAND

WALES

COMPARISON OF FUNERAL DIRECTOR NATURE OF FUNERAL COST OF FUNERAL (POUNDS) 3,001 - 4,000 MORE THAN 4,000 DID NOT COMPARE UP TO 3,000 BURIAL CREMATION COMPARED TOTAL 6109 107* 242 262 105* 116 14 6% 15 6% 291 5% 4% 3% 7% 4% 7% 178 3%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - a/b - e/l - i/j/k Overlap formulae used. * small base

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos MORI Terms and Conditions.