

Proposals for reform of the annual canvass

Annex - Collated questions

Question 1

We are proposing that the national data matching process is mandatory to complete, with local data matching being conducted at the ERO's discretion.

Do you agree that this is the right approach? YES/NO

Please explain your reasoning.

Question 2

We are proposing that any property with a red elector should be converted into a red property. A property will only be green if all of the electors in the property are individually green.

Do you agree this is the right approach? YES/NO

Please explain your reasoning.

Question 3

Do you think a minimum standard for the accuracy of locally held datasets should be mandatory? YES/NO

Please explain your reasoning.

Question 4

Do you agree that Empty and Void properties should be sent through a data matching process? YES/NO

Please explain your reasoning.

Question 5

Do you agree that recent applications to register should be exempted from the data step and automatically marked as green? YES/NO

If Yes, what time period do you think should be defined as "recent" (1 month, 2 months, linked to the last monthly update? etc). Please explain.

If No, please explain your reasoning.

Question 6

Do you agree with no longer including a single occupancy tick box on registration application forms? YES/NO

Please explain your reasoning.

Question 7

Do you agree that an email contact should be permitted as the first form of contact for households in Route 1 (where an email address is held), followed by a paper contact if there is no response? YES/NO

Please explain your reasoning.

Question 8

Do you agree with the proposed process for Route 1? YES/NO

Please explain why.

Question 9

Do you agree with the proposal to exclude mandatory follow up activity (reminders and household visits, etc.) with households sent through Route 1? YES/NO

Please explain why.

Question 10

Do you agree with the proposed process for Route 2? YES/NO

Please explain why.

Question 11

Do you agree that a personal contact (door knock or telephone call) should be a mandatory element of the revised canvass? YES/NO

Please explain why.

Question 12

Are there property types in addition to those detailed above that you believe should

be directed to Route 3?

Please list and explain your reasoning.

Question 13

Do you believe this is the correct process to deal with these properties? YES/NO

If No, can you suggest an alternative approach?

Question 14

Do you believe that sending these properties into Route 2, the full canvass, if the ERO is unable to obtain data, is the correct safeguard for these properties? YES/NO

Please explain your reasoning.

Question 15

Do you agree with the proposal that pending/potential electors should be included in the data matching and canvass communication? YES/NO

If No, please explain why.

If Yes, do you think there are any risks in doing so? YES/NO

Please explain what these are.

Question 16

What do you think the issues with the current HEF are?

Question 17

Is there information that can be taken out of the HEF?

Question 18

Is there any further feedback you would like to provide in relation to the proposed new model for the annual canvass, that has not already been covered in another question?

Proposals for reform of the annual canvass

Finally, please let us know who you are and how we might contact you:

Name	
Job Title	
Organisation	
Email	

Please return the completed questionnaire by 5pm 30th November to: mrd-engagement@cabinetoffice.gov.uk

Many thanks.