

Annual Review - Summary Sheet

PROGRAMME TITLE: East African Security			
Country/Region:	East Africa		
HMG Partners	Ministry of Defence (MoD)		
Total Budget:	ODA: £0.73m	Non-ODA: £0.72m	
Start Date: April 2016	End Date: March 2020		
Outputs	Score		
Counter-Improvised Explosive Device Centre is delivering good quality training able to integrate with UK capabilities and is adequately resourced with staff and equipment.	A+		
Improved Small Arms and Light Weapons management, accountability, storage, tracing and destruction capability across the region.	B+		
Regional wildlife authorities have improved capability to counter the Illegal Wildlife Trade	B		
Increased capacity of regional forces to deliver Peace Support Operations.	B		
Outcome:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Working with international partners to strengthen the capacity of regional peace support training centres. • Train and prepare regional security institutions in areas of comparative advantage so that they work collaboratively and effectively in the delivery of African Union and UN peace support operations (PSOs) and crisis management/response. • Working to maintain/increase UK access and influence to reinforce this capacity building. 			
Outcome Score: B		Risk: Low	

Summary of Programme Performance

Year	2016-17							
Programme Score	B							
Risk Rating	Low							

What support is the UK providing?

This is a three-year programme that supports three lines of effort across the Eastern Africa region:

- Support to current African military operations;
- Building regional capacity to deliver peace support operations;
- Security sector reform that delivers improved internal security.

The focus of the programme is on improving coordination of the security sector in the region. A mixed delivery model matches elements of contracted-in specific expertise (Cranfield University; and civilian experts) with UK capacity – available through the East Africa Defence sections and the British Peace Support Team, supported by the Ministry of Defence's Short Term Training Teams.

Summary of progress and lessons learnt/actions taken since last review

Formal assessments against the outcome indicator milestones have not been made due to lack of systematically collected and verifiable evidence. However, anecdotal evidence from interviewees and stakeholders suggests that some positive progress is being made but that this is not consistent across all the components.

Summary of recommendations for the next year

The following, in the Review Team's opinion, represent the highest priority recommendations:

- Improved high level evidence base and clear link to operationalise strategic direction into tactical effect;
- A single governance line and funding source;
- Good enough commonly-agreed outcome level monitoring and evaluation approach and regular collection of evidence;
- Enhanced CSSF programme management capacity;
- Greater clarity on the role of civilian advisers and a broader approach to assessing value for money.