

Application SCR evaluation template

Name of activity, address and NGR	Paradise Lane Poultry Unit Paradise Lane Dalton Thirsk YO7 3BW SE432, 779
-----------------------------------	--

Document reference of application SCR	Site Condition Report
---------------------------------------	-----------------------

Date and version of application SCR	February 2018
-------------------------------------	---------------

1.0 Site details

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?

Site plans showing site layout, drainage, surfacing, receptors, sources of emissions/releases and monitoring points

No. These are in the original application site report. Not required for surrender.

2.0 Condition of the land at permit issue

To be completed by GWCL officers
(Receptor)

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?

- a) Environmental setting including geology, hydrogeology and surface waters
- b) Pollution history including:
 - pollution incidents that may have affected land
 - historical land-uses and associated contaminants
 - visual/olfactory evidence of existing contamination
 - evidence of damage to existing pollution prevention measures
- c) Evidence of historic contamination (i.e. historical site investigation, assessment, remediation and verification reports (where available))
- d) Has the applicant chosen to collect baseline reference data?

No baseline data was required to be collected at permit issue.
Details of site setting, pollution history and historic contamination have been included in the Site Condition Report, dated February 2018 submitted with the surrender application.

3.0 Permitted activities

(Source)

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?

**Response
(Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)**

- a) Permitted activities
- b) Non-permitted activities undertaken at the site

The permitted activity is rearing of broiler breeder layers with 40,001 places. There are no other activities permitted on site.

3.0(a) Environmental Risk Assessment

(Source)

The H1 environmental risk assessment should identify elements that could impact on land and waters, cross-referenced back to documents and plans provided as part of the wider permit application.

N/A – surrender application.

Details were submitted with the original Application Site Report.

3.0(b) Will the pollution prevention measures protect land and groundwater?

(Conceptual model)

Are the activities likely to result in pollution of land?

N/A – surrender application.

Details were submitted with the original Application Site Report.

For dangerous and/or hazardous substances only, are the pollution prevention measures for the relevant activities to a standard that is likely to prevent pollution of land?

N/A – surrender application.

Details were submitted with the original Application Site Report.

Application SCR decision summary	Tick relevant decision
Sufficient information has been supplied to describe the condition of the site at permit issue	N/A
Information is missing- the following information must be obtained from the applicant. (Advise the permitting team on what additional information is needed)	N/A
Pollution of land and water is unlikely; or	N/A
Pollution of land and water is likely (Advise the permitting team on what additional controls/checks may be necessary)	N/A
Historical contamination is present- advise operator that collection of background data may be appropriate	N/A
Date and name of reviewer:	N/A

Operational phase SCR evaluation template

Sections 4.0 to 7.0 may be completed annually in line with normal record checks.

4.0 Changes to the activities (Source)	
Have there been any changes to the following during the operation of the site?	Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)
a) Activity boundaries b) Permitted activities c) "Dangerous substances" used or produced	
No changes to the permitted boundary.	
The permit was varied in 2012 to change the bird type from broilers to the currently permitted rearing of broiler breeder layers and reduce permitted places from 66,000 to 40,001.	

5.0 Measures taken to protect land To be completed by EM/PPC officers (Pathway)
Has the applicant provided evidence from records collated during the lifetime of the permit, to show that the pollution prevention measures have worked?
The operator has provided a statement in the site Condition Report regarding the measures taken to protect land including details of the drainage, surfacing and bunding.
Details of correspondence and CAR forms from Area compliance officer have been provided to confirm the details regarding the measures taken to prevent pollution.

6.0 Pollution incidents that may have impacted on land and their remediation To be completed by EM/PPC officers (Sources)
Has the applicant provided evidence to show that any pollution incidents which have taken place during the life of the permit and which may have impacted on land or water have been investigated and remediated (where necessary)?
Yes. Email from current Area compliance officer confirms no pollution incidents.

7.0 Soil gas and water quality monitoring (where relevant)
Where soil gas and/or water quality monitoring has been undertaken, does this demonstrate that there has been no change in the condition of the land? Has any change that has occurred been investigated and remediated?
N/A

Surrender SCR Evaluation Template

If you haven't already completed previous sections 4.0 to 7.0, do so now before assessing the surrender.

8.0 Decommissioning and removal of pollution risk
To be completed by EM/PPC officers
Has the applicant demonstrated that decommissioning works have been undertaken and that all pollution risks associated with the site have been removed? Has any contamination of land that has occurred during these activities been investigated and remediated?
<p>The site has not been decommissioned as it will continue to operate following permit surrender. The variation to the permit in 2012 reduced the bird places and changed the type of bird being reared from broilers to broiler breeder layers. The site has been operating since this variation significantly below the permitted numbers (around 21,500 rather than the permitted 40,001) as the change in bird type has meant that the capacity of the site is reduced due to the space requirements per bird specified by welfare standards.</p> <p>Therefore, although the site will continue to operate post permit surrender, the site is limited to bird places below the threshold specified in section 6.9 A(1)(a)(i), schedule 1 of EPR 2016 due to the space required per place as a result of welfare requirements. This means that the capacity of the site is below the threshold specified in the EPR 2016.</p>

9.0 Reference data and remediation (where relevant)
To be completed by GWCL officers
Has the applicant provided details of any surrender reference data that they have collected and any remediation that they have undertaken?
<p>(Reference data for soils must meet the requirements of policy 307_03 Chemical test data on contaminated soils – quantification requirements). If the surrender reference data shows that the condition of the land has changed as a result of the permitted activities, the applicant will need to undertake remediation to return the condition of the land back to that at permit issue. You should not require remediation of historic contamination or contamination arising from non-permitted activities as part of the permit surrender.</p>
N/A. No reference data was required to be collected.

10.0 Statement of site condition
To be completed by EM/PPC officers
Has the applicant provided a statement, backed up with evidence, confirming that the permitted activities have ceased, decommissioning works are complete and that pollution risk has been removed and that the land and waters at the site are in a satisfactory state?
<p>The site will continue to operate below the threshold of bird places that would mean the activity would need to be permitted so no commissioning has taken place. However, the operator has provided correspondence and CAR forms from the Area compliance officer confirming that the site is in a satisfactory state and has operated without causing pollution and, therefore, it can be concluded that the condition of the land is unchanged from when the permit was issued. The Area compliance officer has confirmed that they have no concerns regarding the surrender of the permit.</p>

Surrender SCR decision summary To be completed by GWCL officers and returned to NPS	Tick relevant decision
Sufficient information has been supplied to show that pollution risk has been removed and that the site is in a satisfactory state – accept the application to surrender the permit; or	Yes
Insufficient information has been supplied to show that pollution risk has been removed or that the site is in a satisfactory state – do not accept the application to surrender the permit. The following information must to be obtained from the applicant before the permit is determined:	N/A
Date and name of reviewer Miriam Townshend, 20/03/18	