



MOD-83-0000290-A

INFORMATION ONLY - CASE CLOSED
(GPC CONSIDERED SECOND CASE)

LAWYERS' CLAIM

Perkins Mr R GBR (INET)

From: Barnett Lt Col CMJ GBR (INET)
Sent: 28 November 2003 01:05
To: Calder Maj C GBR (INET)
Cc: Kidwell Lt Col T GBR (INET); [REDACTED] NZ (INET); McCafferty Dr MC GBR (INET); Perkins Mr R GBR (INET); Bartlett Maj AT GBR (INET); Harkins MR R GBR (INET); Harnett Capt GBR (INET); Ellis-Davies Capt S GBR (INET); Murray Capt AM GBR (INET); [REDACTED] NLD (INET); Evans Col T GBR (INET)
Subject: RE: SHOOTING 1 KINGS - 6 NOV 03

Charlie,

Thank you for the additional information. The GWPC has met and has determined that a welfare payment should be made to the family in this case. CO 1 KINGS in his letter of 11 Nov 03 requested the sum of \$2000. This amount is agreed by the GWPC.

We have a completed CERP application form for that amount. Please ensure that the BG collect the money from Ops Spt here at Div.

The OC Coy should also confirm that this sum is understood to be the full and final welfare payment. It should be absolutely clear that it is not a negotiating start point. The GWPC is concerned to ensure that payments are not forced up or that expectations of a payment in every case are created. It will be interesting to see if the increase in the Al Batat case has filtered out and others seek to hold out for higher payments.

I also notice that the deceased in this case is called Mohammed Abdul Aurda and that is also the name of the claimant in the 1 KINGS shooting incident of the 10 Nov where the claimant's wife was shot and killed. Has there been an admin mix up with these claims or are they coincidentally the same name?

The GWPC has also made a determination on the second case (10 Nov incident) which I will communicate in a separate e-mail.

Charlie Barnett

Lt Col
 Comd Legal
 MND (SE)

-----Original Message-----

From: Calder Maj C GBR (INET)
Sent: 23 November 2003 23:02
To: Barnett Lt Col CMJ GBR (INET)
Cc: Bartlett Maj AT GBR (INET)
Subject: RE: SHOOTING 1 KINGS - 6 NOV 03

Colonel

2. Fair point I was not aware it had to go to Rick first. As soon as I was I delivered a copy of all the paperwork by hand on Wed am.

4. We believe that CF were inadvertently drawn into a dispute between 2 groups of lawyers over the ownership of some offices. It is worthy of note that they had been subjected to an armed attack at 1700 and also a further attack 30 mins before the CF op. Despite the tip off telling them that there were grenades and RPGs at the house none were discovered. There were only the 2x AK47s which the men were carrying.
5. They have not formally made a written request for compensation but they are in regular contact with the new coy comd on the subject. They are expecting some form of compensation.

On your last question the Comd is engaged on this as one of the 'Agencies' that regularly brief