

zd



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

BETWEEN

Claimant

AND

Respondent

Miss M Vara

Julie Meyer

Heard at: London Central

On: 11 January 2018

Before: Employment Judge Glennie

Representation

For the Claimant: Neither present nor represented

For the Respondent: Neither present nor represented

JUDGMENT

The judgment of the Tribunal is that the claim is dismissed under Rule 47 of the Rules of Procedure.

REASONS

- 1 When the case was called on for hearing there was no attendance by either party. It was apparent from the Tribunal's file that no response to the claim had been presented and that a notice of this hearing had been sent to the parties on 8 November 2017. Beyond this there was no information available about the reason for the parties' absence or in particular the reason for the Claimant's absence.
- 2 The hearing was listed to commence at 2.00 pm. I waited until 3.00 pm before making any decision in the case. As the Claimant had not attended to prove her claim or to address the apparent jurisdictional bar to the Tribunal hearing it, given the three month time limit for

bringing complaints under Part II of the Employment Rights Act 1996 and under the Extension of Jurisdiction Order 1994, I concluded that the claim should be dismissed.

Employment Judge Glennie on 19 January 2018