

Application SCR evaluation template

Name of activity, address and NGR	Intensive farming – 110,000 broilers Courtney's Farm Clyst Hydon Cullompton Devon EX15 2NH NGR: ST 04408 00151
-----------------------------------	--

Document reference of application SCR	"Appendix 2 Site Condition Report" (within the document "Supporting Documents (Appendices) for an application for a new Environmental Permit") Saved to EDRM under "Environmental Permit Supporting documents" dated February 2012 (saved to EDRM 23/07/2012).
---------------------------------------	---

Date and version of application SCR	Original SCR as above Updated SCR submitted with application EPR/CP3030CC/S002 dated July 2017.
-------------------------------------	---

1.0 Site details

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?

Site plans showing site layout, drainage, surfacing, receptors, sources of emissions/releases and monitoring points

See original SCR saved to EDRM under "Environmental Permit Supporting documents" dated February 2012 (saved to EDRM 23/07/2012). Site plans in Appendix 1 of original application, available in document "Supporting Documents (Appendices) for an application for a new Environmental Permit".

2.0 Condition of the land at permit issue

To be completed by GWCL officers
(Receptor)

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?

- a) Environmental setting including geology, hydrogeology and surface waters
- b) Pollution history including:
 - pollution incidents that may have affected land
 - historical land-uses and associated contaminants
 - visual/olfactory evidence of existing contamination
 - evidence of damage to existing pollution prevention measures
- c) Evidence of historic contamination (i.e. historical site investigation, assessment, remediation and verification reports (where available))
- d) Has the applicant chosen to collect baseline reference data?

See original SCR.

3.0 Permitted activities

(Source)

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?

**Response
(Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)**

- a) Permitted activities
- b) Non-permitted activities undertaken at the site

See original SCR.

3.0(a) Environmental Risk Assessment (Source)
The H1 environmental risk assessment should identify elements that could impact on land and waters, cross- referenced back to documents and plans provided as part of the wider permit application.
See original SCR – Environmental Risk Assessment within Appendix 11, available in document “Supporting Documents (Appendices) for an application for a new Environmental Permit”.

3.0(b) Will the pollution prevention measures protect land and groundwater? (Conceptual model)	
Are the activities likely to result in pollution of land?	
See original SCR – documents “Appendix 5 Technical Standards”, “Appendix 11 Environmental Risk Assessment” and “Table A4 Accident risk assessment and management plan”	
For dangerous and/or hazardous substances only, are the pollution prevention measures for the relevant activities to a standard that is likely to prevent pollution of land?	As above.

Application SCR decision summary	Tick relevant decision
Sufficient information has been supplied to describe the condition of the site at permit issue	See original SCR
Information is missing- the following information must be obtained from the applicant. (Advise the permitting team on what additional information is needed)	
Pollution of land and water is unlikely; or	
Pollution of land and water is likely (Advise the permitting team on what additional controls/checks may be necessary)	
Historical contamination is present- advise operator that collection of background data may be appropriate	
Date and name of reviewer:	

Operational phase SCR evaluation template

Sections 4.0 to 7.0 may be completed annually in line with normal record checks.

4.0 Changes to the activities (Source)	
Have there been any changes to the following during the operation of the site?	Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)
a) Activity boundaries b) Permitted activities c) "Dangerous substances" used or produced	
See SCR submitted with application S004. Installation boundary has reduced and bird numbers have reduced from 110,000 to below 40,000. No dangerous substances used or produced.	
5.0 Measures taken to protect land To be completed by EM/PPC officers (Pathway)	
Has the applicant provided evidence from records collated during the lifetime of the permit, to show that the pollution prevention measures have worked?	
See SCR submitted with application S004- all poultry houses at the installation were built on concrete standings. The land has not deteriorated.	
6.0 Pollution incidents that may have impacted on land and their remediation To be completed by EM/PPC officers (Sources)	
Has the applicant provided evidence to show that any pollution incidents which have taken place during the life of the permit and which may have impacted on land or water have been investigated and remediated (where necessary)?	
No pollution incidents have occurred. No pollution reports have been completed.	
7.0 Soil gas and water quality monitoring (where relevant)	
Where soil gas and/or water quality monitoring has been undertaken, does this demonstrate that there has been no change in the condition of the land? Has any change that has occurred been investigated and remediated?	
No pollution incidents have occurred. No soil, gas and/or water monitoring has been carried out.	

Surrender SCR Evaluation Template

If you haven't already completed previous sections 4.0 to 7.0, do so now before assessing the surrender.

8.0 Decommissioning and removal of pollution risk
To be completed by EM/PPC officers
Has the applicant demonstrated that decommissioning works have been undertaken and that all pollution risks associated with the site have been removed? Has any contamination of land that has occurred during these activities been investigated and remediated?
The land is not being decommissioned. The pollution risk has been reduced due to the reasons mentioned in section 10.0a.

9.0 Reference data and remediation (where relevant)
To be completed by GWCL officers
Has the applicant provided details of any surrender reference data that they have collected and any remediation that they have undertaken?
(Reference data for soils must meet the requirements of policy 307_03 Chemical test data on contaminated soils – quantification requirements). If the surrender reference data shows that the condition of the land has changed as a result of the permitted activities, the applicant will need to undertake remediation to return the condition of the land back to that at permit issue. You should not require remediation of historic contamination or contamination arising from non-permitted activities as part of the permit surrender.
N/A.

10.0a Statement of site condition
To be completed by EM/PPC officers
Has the applicant provided a statement, backed up with evidence, confirming that the permitted activities have ceased, decommissioning works are complete and that pollution risk has been removed and that the land and waters at the site are in a satisfactory state?

Two poultry houses have been decommissioned and they are currently used for agricultural storage. The remaining three poultry houses are still used to house poultry, however, they are stocked below the 40,000 poultry threshold.

For the last two years, the site has been stocked with a maximum of 33,220 birds. The reason for the reduction in numbers has been largely due to farm profitability. The operator was selling birds to buyers on the open market, where the price per kilogram fluctuated hugely. The operator now works with a local poultry rearer and processor, on a fixed contract. The operator predominantly broods chickens from day-olds to grow-on age, at which point, the birds go to free range accommodation (located off site), and eventually into the free range market. The buyer has a group of farmers doing this, which gives him a constant supply to the processing plant. It is for this reason that the operator does not need the volume of birds they once stocked on site.

The operator has also noted that family circumstances has been another factor in reducing bird numbers and that the current volume of birds is not only less intensive in terms of the nature of farming, but also less labour intensive.

Additionally, recent site inspection reports reflect that litter is now disposed of onsite through the operator's Biomass Boiler (report dated 12/09/2016).

The operator states that they are not aware of any pollution incidents since the site was first permitted and claim it is in a satisfactory condition.

A visit by the site inspector on 24/09/2014 noted that:

- 1) a more comprehensive defect diary of inspection and maintenance records needs to be produced.
- 2) Training records need to be kept to demonstrate to the inspecting officer that a training regime exists.
- 3) Records of exported litter need to be maintained. However, once the AD plant is operational records of the tonnage and date of being transferred to the AD plant will fulfil this requirement.
- 4) The shed feed towers need to be protected from collision damage.

A visit on 19/10/15 noted that there was a cracked breeze block from which liquor was escaping.

The site inspector has confirmed that the above issues have now been addressed by the operator (email from Area received 23/10/17) and that these are no longer an issue at the site.

10.0b Statement of site condition

To be completed by GWCL officers

Has the applicant provided a statement, backed up with evidence, confirming that the permitted activities have ceased, decommissioning works are complete and that pollution risk has been removed and that the land and waters at the site are in a satisfactory state?

See above.

All poultry houses at the installation were built on concrete standings. The land has not deteriorated.

Surrender SCR decision summary

To be completed by GWCL officers and returned to NPS

Tick relevant decision

Sufficient information has been supplied to show that pollution risk has been removed

✓

and that the site is in a satisfactory state – accept the application to surrender the permit; or	
Insufficient information has been supplied to show that pollution risk has been removed or that the site is in a satisfactory state – do not accept the application to surrender the permit. The following information must to be obtained from the applicant before the permit is determined:	
Date and name of reviewer	Christine Sellers (Permitting Officer) 26/10/2017