

Capital Projects Team Prioritisation Worksheet - 2016/17

				Tick as appropriate
Receptor Group	Receptor Type	Receptor Sensitivity Score (Fixed)	Possibility of Significant Harm or Significant Pollution Score (Variable 0.1 - 1.0)	Risk Score (Only highest score counts for each receptor group)
Human Health	Residential with gardens	200		0
	Residential without gardens	100		0
	Non residential buildings - acute risk to human health from hazardous ground gas	200		0
	Residential buildings - acute risk to human health from hazardous ground gases	100		0
	Schools	80		0
	Allotments	50		0
	Formal recreation	50		0
	Informal recreation	30		0
	Commercial	20		0
	Restricted access	10		0
		Highest value		
Controlled Waters	Controlled waters - potable - SPZ I	50		0
	Controlled waters - potable - SPZ II	40		0
	Controlled waters - potable - SPZ III	30		0
	Controlled waters - potable - rivers	20		0
	Principal Aquifer	20		0
	Secondary A or Undifferentiated Secondary Aquifer	15		0
	Secondary B Aquifer	10		0
	Controlled waters - other (e.g., streams)	20		0
	Highest value			0
Ecological	European Designation - SAC, SPA etc	50		0
	National Designation - SSSI, National Nature Reserve etc	40		0
		Highest value		0
Property - Animals and crops	Crops/livestock/domestic pets/fishing & shooting	20		0
	Property - Buildings	10		0
	Highest value			0
			Sub total	0
Scaling Factor		Fixed scale score		
<p>Consider the scale of the potential impacts scored in section 1 - e.g. for human health - number of gardens or allotment plots affected, area of park/no of visitors affected; for controlled waters = whether the pollution has/will cause a WFD failure, volume of aquifer or length of watercourse at risk etc. Also consider the range of receptor types affected within each receptor group.</p>	Low	1 to 20		
	Medium	21 to 40		
	High	41 to 60		
		Sub total		
<p>Consider factors such as clarity of benefits and environmental outcomes, cost benefit ratios etc including wider economic benefits. Consider sustainability to award priority to more sustainable projects. For all projects consider whether the phase of work will deliver an outcome by 2017 (i.e. complete all the remediation work required on the project closed or complete all the inspection work).</p>	Benefit/Cost Ratio	10 to 50		
		0 to 50		
		Sub total		
Award additional scores to projects where funding is being secured from other sources for the eligible works (contributions from LA, Appropriate Persons, other public funds etc)	Contribution up to 25%	1 to 50		
	Contribution 25-50%	51 to 100		
	Contribution 50%- 75%	101 to 150		
	Contribution > 75%	151 to 200		
		Sub total		
			Project Score	0

Document out of date was withdrawn 28/10/2017

This document is out of date was withdrawn 28/03/2017