

Bona Vacantia Division (BVD) PO Box 70165 London WC1A 9HG T +44 (0)20 7210 4700 F +44 (0)20 7210 3104

DX: 123240 KINGSWAY

www.gov.uk/bonavacantia

Our reference: BVFOI/139/17 re Lily Arkley

Freedom of Information Act 2000 Request

You asked for the following information from the Government Legal Department ("the Department"):

Please indicate the amount that is in the estate of Lily Arkley

Your request will be dealt with by the Bona Vacantia Division ("the Division") under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

The Division holds all the information that you have requested.

Information about the approximate value of the estate can be found in the advertisement for kin issued by the Treasury Solicitor in Wimbledon News newspaper on 18 October 1990. Copies of Wimbledon News newspaper can be obtained from The British Library, St Pancras, 96 Euston Road, London NW1 2DB (<u>http://www.bl.uk/</u>).

The information is reasonably accessible to you by other means and is therefore exempt from disclosure under section 21 of the Act. This exemption confers absolute exemption from the requirement to provide information pursuant to section 1(1)(b) of the Act.

I am withholding information relating to the exact value of the estate, as it is exempt from disclosure under section 31(1)(a) of the Act. Section 31(1)(a) provides that information is exempt from disclosure if that disclosure would, or would be likely to, prejudice the prevention or detection of crime. We believe that disclosure of the requested information to any member of the public would, or would be likely to, help enable the commission of fraud or other criminal activity. In applying the exemption, we have had to balance the public interest in withholding the information against the public interest in disclosing the information.

There is a strong public interest in ensuring that the Division's activities are conducted in an open, transparent and honest way. However, disclosure of the exempt information could help enable the commission of fraud which would be likely to result in a loss to the public purse. There is a strong public interest in protecting the public purse and it is therefore considered that the public interest in maintaining the exemption in section 31(1)(a) in this case outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information.

