



**Ministry  
of Defence**

## **Future Accommodation Model Survey 2016**

Published 31 January 2017 | Revised 30 March 2017

### **Annex A: Reference tables Tables for 2016**

Issued by: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

Tel: 0306 79 84458

Email: [DefStrat-Stat-WDS-Pubs-SAnlyst1@mod.uk](mailto:DefStrat-Stat-WDS-Pubs-SAnlyst1@mod.uk)

<https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/mod-future-accommodation-model-survey-2016>

# Notes

---

## General

Statistics within this document are produced from the Future Accommodation Model 2016 . Some results have been revised due to improvements in the weighting methodology. For most questions this had little or no effect. For a small number of questions highly related to whether the respondent had children revised figures differ, particularly for the RN/RM.

## Technical notes

### Analysis

Tables of results are produced using SPSS Complex Samples to ensure estimates and their corresponding standard errors are correctly weighted.

### Margin of Error

Each estimate carries a margin of error and these are presented in corresponding tables. Margins of error enable users to observe the level of uncertainty in the estimate. Broadly speaking, a larger margin of error corresponds to a greater degree of uncertainty. Large error margins are usually the result of having a small number of respondents within a particular group. Where the margin is larger, users should interpret such results with caution.

## Symbols and conventions

r            denotes revisions  
..            denotes not available

Estimates for groups with fewer than 30 respondents are not presented in this report.

**FAM 2016 - Reference Tables Index**

To access the section of interest, click on the section title.

Section	Reference Table	Question
<a href="#">S1 About you</a>	1.01	How old are you?
<a href="#">S1 About you</a>	1.02	How long have you served in the Armed Forces?
<a href="#">S1 About you</a>	1.03	Where are you currently located?
<a href="#">S1 About you</a>	1.04	How many times have you moved accommodation on assignment in the last 5 years?
<a href="#">S1 About you</a>	1.05	What is your current personal status?
<a href="#">S1 About you</a>	1.06	What is your current personal status? (Derived)
<a href="#">S1 About you</a>	1.07	Are you legally married/in a civil partnership?
<a href="#">S1 About you</a>	1.08	Do you live with any child/children aged under 18, or 18-23 in full time education?
<a href="#">S1 About you</a>	1.09	What is your current family status? (derived)
<a href="#">S1 About you</a>	1.10	How many children live with you?
<a href="#">S1 About you</a>	1.11	How many of the children who live with you are aged 18-23 and in full time education?
<a href="#">S1 About you</a>	1.12	Do you or your partner/spouse have any caring responsibilities for disabled or elderly adult(s)?
<a href="#">S1 About you</a>	1.13	Which type of accomodation do you live in during the working week?
<a href="#">S1 About you</a>	1.14	Which type of accomodation do you live in during the working week?
<a href="#">S1 About you</a>	1.15	Do you live in different accommodation at the weekend?
<a href="#">S1 About you</a>	1.16	Which of the following best describes the accomodation you live in at the weekend?
<a href="#">S1 About you</a>	1.17	Which type of accomodation do you live in?
<a href="#">S1 About you</a>	1.18	If you live in SFA/SSFA - what is you CAAS Band?
<a href="#">S1 About you</a>	1.19	If you live in SFA/SSFA - how many bedrooms do you have?
<a href="#">S1 About you</a>	1.20	If you do NOT live in Service Accommodation - how many bedrooms do you have?
<a href="#">S1 About you</a>	1.21	At what age would you want to buy a home?

Section	Reference Table	Question
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.01	How important are the following to you? [1] Being able to choose where I live
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.02	How important are the following to you? [2] Being able to relocate easily when I am required to
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.03	How important are the following to you? [3] Living with my family/partner during the working week as well as when I am off duty
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.04	How important are the following to you? [4] My family/partner being able to stay in one place for jobs and schools
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.05	How important are the following to you? [5] Being able to buy a home.
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.06	How important are the following to you? [6] Living in a military community
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.07	How important are the following to you? [7] Living in a civilian community
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.08	How important are the following to you? [8] Living in low cost accommodation.
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.09	How important are the following to you? [9] Living in good quality accommodation.
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.10	Which FAM package would you choose?
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.11	If you chose the 'Renting near work' FAM package, how many bedrooms would you choose?
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.12	If you chose the 'Owning near work' FAM package, how many bedrooms would you choose?
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.13	If you chose the 'Owning away from work' FAM package, how many bedrooms would you choose?
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.14	If SFA was available to you at the same cost as the renting package, would you want to live in SFA instead?
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.15	Which FAM package would you choose (including SFA)?
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.16	If you would want to live in SFA instead, why? [1] Location/commuting distance
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.17	If you would want to live in SFA instead, why? [2] Convenience of allocation
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.18	If you would want to live in SFA instead, why? [3] Community provided by Service accommodation
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.19	If you would want to live in SFA instead, why? [4] The support services available e.g. welfare, maintenance
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.20	If you would want to live in SFA instead, why? [5] Security
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.21	If you would want to live in SFA instead, why? [6] Quality of house
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.22	If you would not want to live in SFA instead, why? [1] Quality of house
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.23	If you would not want to live in SFA instead, why? [2] Maintenance of SFA
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.24	If you would not want to live in SFA instead, why? [3] Restrictions on who you can live with
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.25	If you would not want to live in SFA instead, why? [4] Restrictions on where you can live
<a href="#">S2 Package options</a>	2.26	If you would not want to live in SFA instead, why? [5] Restrictions on the size of your house

Section	Reference Table	Question
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.1	If you were offered more money towards renting under FAM ('Renting near work') would you still choose Single Living Accommodation (SLA)?
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.2	If you were offered more money towards the 'Renting near work' package and would choose to rent under FAM instead of SLA, how many bedrooms would you choose?
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.3	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [1] Good schools
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.4	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [2] Good childcare
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.5	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [3] Good sporting/gym facilities
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.6	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [4] A hospital or medical centre
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.7	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [5] Convenient public transport
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.8	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [6] A vibrant nightlife
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.9	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [7] An urban location
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.10	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [8] A rural location
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.11	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [9] A close civilian community
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.12	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [10] A family-oriented location
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.13	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [11] A military community
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.14	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [12] Employment opportunities of partner
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.15	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [13] A safe neighbourhood
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.16	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [14] Other
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.17	Under 'Renting near work', who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [1] Spouse/partner
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.18	Under 'Renting near work', who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [2] Child(ren)
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.19	Under 'Renting near work', who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [3] With other dependents
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.20	Under 'Renting near work', who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [4] House share - with other Service Personnel
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.21	Under 'Renting near work', who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [5] House share - with civilians
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.22	Under 'Renting near work', who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [6] House share - not sure who with
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.23	Derived: Under 'Renting near work', who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [7] Houseshare
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.24	Under 'Renting near work', who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [8] Pet(s)
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.25	Under 'Renting near work', who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [9] On my own
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.26	How do you commute to base currently? If you use more than one method of transport, please select your main one.
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.27	Under 'Renting near work', what would be your preferred method of transport for your daily commute?
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.28	When living off base, whilst 'Renting near work', what would be the maximum one-way commute time you would consider?
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.29	If you were offered more money towards the 'Renting near work' package, would you still choose 'Owning near work' under FAM?

Section	Reference Table	Question
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.30	If you were offered more money towards the 'Renting near work' package and would choose to rent under FAM instead of 'Owning near work', how many bedrooms would you choose?
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.31	If you were offered more money towards the 'Renting near work' package, but would still choose 'Owning near work', how many bedrooms would you choose?
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.32	If you were offered more money towards the 'Renting near work' package, would you still choose 'Owning away from work' ?
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.33	If you were offered more money towards the 'Renting near work' package and would choose to rent under FAM instead of 'Owning away from work', how many bedrooms would you choose?
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.34	If you were offered more money towards the 'Renting near work' package but would choose 'Owning near work' instead of 'Owning away from work', how many bedrooms would you choose?
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.35	If you were offered more money towards the 'Renting near work' package but would still choose 'Owning away from work', how many bedrooms would you choose?
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.36	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [1] Good schools
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.37	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [2] Good childcare
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.38	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [3] Good sporting/gym facilities
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.39	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [4] A hospital or medical centre
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.40	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [5] Convenient public transport
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.41	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [6] A vibrant nightlife
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.42	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [7] An urban location
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.43	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [8] A rural location
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.44	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [9] A close civilian community
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.45	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [10] A family-oriented location
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.46	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [11] A military community
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.47	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [12] Employment opportunities of partner
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.48	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [13] A safe neighbourhood
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.49	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [14] Other
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.50	How do you commute to base currently? If you use more than one method of transport, please select your main one.
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.51	Under 'Renting near work', what would be your preferred method of transport for your daily commute?
<a href="#">S2 Package options - 2nd choice</a>	SC.52	When living off base, whilst 'Renting near work', what would be the maximum one-way commute time you would consider?

Section	Reference Table	Question
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Single Living Accommodation (SLA)</a>	SLA.3.01	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [1] It's the most financially attractive to me
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Single Living Accommodation (SLA)</a>	SLA.3.02	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [2] It's the simplest option (easiest for me to take up)
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Single Living Accommodation (SLA)</a>	SLA.3.03	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [3] It allows me to live in a Service Personnel community community
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Single Living Accommodation (SLA)</a>	SLA.3.04	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [4] It allows me to live in a civilian community
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Single Living Accommodation (SLA)</a>	SLA.3.05	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [5] It allows me to choose a location near what is important to me (e.g. schools, work for my spouse, etc.)
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Single Living Accommodation (SLA)</a>	SLA.3.06	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [6] It allows me the most flexibility to move with Service requirements
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Single Living Accommodation (SLA)</a>	SLA.3.07	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [7] It will give me the lifestyle I want
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Single Living Accommodation (SLA)</a>	SLA.3.08	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [8] It allows me to live with my partner everyday
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Single Living Accommodation (SLA)</a>	SLA.3.09	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [9] It allows me to live with friends
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Single Living Accommodation (SLA)</a>	SLA.3.10	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [10] It allows me to live with my family everyday
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Single Living Accommodation (SLA)</a>	SLA.3.11	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [11] It provides the most stable home for my family
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Single Living Accommodation (SLA)</a>	SLA.3.12	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [12] It allows me to base myself /family near our relatives/our hometown
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Single Living Accommodation (SLA)</a>	SLA.3.13	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [13] It allows me to own a property
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Single Living Accommodation (SLA)</a>	SLA.3.14	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [14] Buying is not practical for me at the moment
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Single Living Accommodation (SLA)</a>	SLA.3.15	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [15] It allows me to choose where I live and for how long
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Single Living Accommodation (SLA)</a>	SLA.3.16	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [16] It allows me to choose the size and type of property I want
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Single Living Accommodation (SLA)</a>	SLA.3.17	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [17] Other
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.01	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [1] It's the most financially attractive to me
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.02	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [2] It's the simplest option (easiest for me to take up)
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.03	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [3] It allows me to live in a Service Personnel community community
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.04	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [4] It allows me to live in a civilian community
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.05	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [5] It allows me to choose a location near what is important to me (e.g. schools, work for my spouse, etc.)
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.06	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [6] It allows me the most flexibility to move with Service requirements
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.07	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [7] It will give me the lifestyle I want
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.08	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [8] It allows me to live with my partner everyday
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.09	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [9] It allows me to live with friends
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.10	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [10] It allows me to live with my family everyday
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.11	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [11] It provides the most stable home for my family
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.12	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [12] It allows me to base myself /family near our relatives/our hometown

Section	Reference Table	Question
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.13	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [13] It allows me to own a property
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.14	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [14] Buying is not practical for me at the moment
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.15	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [15] It allows me to choose where I live and for how long
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.16	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [16] It allows me to choose the size and type of property I want
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.17	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [17] Other
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.18	When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [1] A garden
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.19	When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [2] A garage
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.20	When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [3] Size of living space
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.21	When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [4] A spare bedroom
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.22	When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [5] Pet friendly
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.23	When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [6] Low price
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.24	When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [7] A flat
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.25	When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [8] A terrace/semi-detached house
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.26	When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [9] A detached house
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.27	When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [10] The quality of fixtures and fittings
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.28	When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [11] Other
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.29	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [1] Good schools
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.30	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [2] Good childcare
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.31	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [3] Good sporting/gym facilities
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.32	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [4] A hospital or medical centre
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.33	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [5] Convenient public transport
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.34	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [6] A vibrant nightlife
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.35	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [7] An urban location
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.36	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [8] A rural location
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.37	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [9] A close civilian community
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.38	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [10] A family-oriented location
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.39	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [11] A military community
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.40	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [12] Employment opportunities of partner
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.41	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [13] A safe neighbourhood



Section	Reference Table	Question
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.42	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [14] other
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.43	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [1] Spouse/partner
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.44	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [2] Child(ren)
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.45	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [3] With other dependents (such as older relatives I care for)
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.46	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [4] House share - with other Service Personnel
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.47	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [5] House share - with civilians
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.48	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [6] House share - not sure who with
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.49	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [7] Pet(s)
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.50	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [8] On my own
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.51	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [9] I don't know
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Rent near work (RNW)</a>	RNW.3.52	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [derived] Houseshare - any
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.01	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [1] It's the most financially attractive to me
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.02	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [2] It's the simplest option (easiest for me to take up)
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.03	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [3] It allows me to live in a Service Personnel community community
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.04	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [4] It allows me to live in a civilian community
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.05	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [5] It allows me to choose a location near what is important to me (e.g. schools, work for my spouse, etc.)
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.06	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [6] It allows me the most flexibility to move with Service requirements
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.07	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [7] It will give me the lifestyle I want
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.08	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [8] It allows me to live with my partner everyday
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.09	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [9] It allows me to live with friends
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.10	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [10] It allows me to live with my family everyday
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.11	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [11] It provides the most stable home for my family
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.12	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [12] It allows me to base myself /family near our relatives/our hometown
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.13	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [13] It allows me to own a property
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.14	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [14] Buying is not practical for me at the moment
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.15	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [15] It allows me to choose where I live and for how long
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.16	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [16] It allows me to choose the size and type of property I want
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.17	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [17] Other
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.18	When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [1] A garden

Section	Reference Table	Question
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.19	When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [2] A garage
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.20	When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [3] Size of living space
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.21	When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [4] A spare bedroom
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.22	When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [5] Pet friendly
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.23	When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [6] Low price
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.24	When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [7] A flat
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.25	When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [8] A terrace/semi-detached house
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.26	When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [9] A detached house
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.27	When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [10] The quality of fixtures and fittings
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.28	When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [11] Other
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.29	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [1] Good schools
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.30	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [2] Good childcare
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.31	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [3] Good sporting/gym facilities
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.32	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [4] A hospital or medical centre
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.33	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [5] Convenient public transport
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.34	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [6] A vibrant nightlife
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.35	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [7] An urban location
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.36	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [8] A rural location
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.37	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [9] A close civilian community
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.38	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [10] A family-oriented location
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.39	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [11] A military community
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.40	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [12] Employment opportunities of partner
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.41	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [13] A safe neighbourhood
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.42	What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [14] other
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.43	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [1] Spouse/partner
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.44	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [2] Child(ren)
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.45	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [3] With other dependents (such as older relatives I care for)
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.46	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [4] House share - with other Service Personnel
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.47	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [5] House share - with civilians

Section	Reference Table	Question
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.48	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [6] House share - not sure who with
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.49	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [7] Pet(s)
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.50	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [8] On my own
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.51	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [9] I don't know
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own near work (ONW)</a>	ONW.3.52	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [derived] Houseshare - any
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.01	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [1] It's the most financially attractive to me
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.02	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [2] It's the simplest option (easiest for me to take up)
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.03	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [3] It allows me to live in a Service Personnel community community
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.04	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [4] It allows me to live in a civilian community
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.05	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [5] It allows me to choose a location near what is important to me (e.g. schools, work for my
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.06	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [6] It allows me the most flexibility to move with Service requirements
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.07	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [7] It will give me the lifestyle I want
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.08	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [8] It allows me to live with my partner everyday
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.09	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [9] It allows me to live with friends
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.10	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [10] It allows me to live with my family everyday
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.11	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [11] It provides the most stable home for my family
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.12	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [12] It allows me to base myself /family near our relatives/our hometown
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.13	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [13] It allows me to own a property
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.14	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [14] Buying is not practical for me at the moment
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.15	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [15] It allows me to choose where I live and for how long
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.16	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [16] It allows me to choose the size and type of property I want

Section	Reference Table	Question
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.17	Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [17] Other
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.18	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [1] Spouse/partner
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.19	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [2] Child(ren)
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.20	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [3] With other dependents (such as older relatives I care for)
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.21	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [4] House share - with other Service Personnel
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.22	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [5] House share - with civilians
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.23	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [6] House share - not sure who with
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.24	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [7] Pet(s)
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.25	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [8] On my own
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.26	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [9] I don't know
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Own away work (OAW)</a>	OAW.3.27	Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [derived] Houseshare - any
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Commuting</a>	S3.C.1	How do you commute to base currently? If you use more than one method of transport, please select your main one.
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Commuting</a>	S3.C.2	Under the Future Accommodation Model, what would be your preferred method of transport for your daily commute?
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Commuting</a>	S3.C.3	When living off base, what would be the maximum one-way commute time you would consider?
<a href="#">S3 Preferences - Commuting</a>	S3.C.4	When commuting weekly, what is the maximum amount of time you would be prepared to travel one way?

Section	Reference Table	Question
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.01	Thinking about the information provided about FAM in this survey: [1] Overall how attractive is FAM to you personally?
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.02	Thinking about the information provided about FAM in this survey: [2] How attractive is the 'Renting near work' package to you?
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.03	Thinking about the information provided about FAM in this survey: [3] How attractive is the 'Owning near work' package to you?
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.04	Thinking about the information provided about FAM in this survey: [4] How attractive is the 'Owning (or renting) away from work' package to you?
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.05	Thinking about the information provided about FAM in this survey: [5] How attractive is Single Living Accommodation to you?
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.06	You said that 'Renting near work' is fairly or very attractive. Why do you say that? Please select your top reason.
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.07	You said that 'Renting near work' is not very attractive or not at all attractive. Why do you say that? Please select your top reason.
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.08	You said that 'Owning near work' is fairly or very attractive. Why do you say that? Please select your top reason.
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.09	You said that 'Owning near work' is not very attractive or not at all attractive. Why do you say that? Please select your top reason.
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.10	Would the 'Owning near work' package be more attractive if you were to receive all the money as a one off payment (rather than £2,000 a year toward mortgage payments, reducing after five years)?
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.11	Based on the information you have read in this survey, would you say:
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.12	Based on the information you have read in this survey, would you say:
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.13	And in terms of buying a home, would you say:
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.14	And in terms of buying a home, would you say:
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.15	Before today, how much, if anything had you heard about FAM?
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.16	How clear was the information you read about FAM in this survey?
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.17	How do you think information about FAM should be shared with you and your family? Tick all that apply. [1] Through my Chain of Command
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.18	How do you think information about FAM should be shared with you and your family? Tick all that apply. [2] On the Defence Intranet
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.19	How do you think information about FAM should be shared with you and your family? Tick all that apply. [3] On a dedicated Internet website for FAM
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.20	How do you think information about FAM should be shared with you and your family? Tick all that apply. [4] By email
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.21	How do you think information about FAM should be shared with you and your family? Tick all that apply. [5] In writing
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.22	How do you think information about FAM should be shared with you and your family? Tick all that apply. [6] Face-to-face
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.23	How do you think information about FAM should be shared with you and your family? Tick all that apply. [7] Over the British Forces Broadcasting Services
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.24	How do you think information about FAM should be shared with you and your family? Tick all that apply. [8] Through Family Federations
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.25	How do you think information about FAM should be shared with you and your family? Tick all that apply. [9] On posters
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.26	How do you think information about FAM should be shared with you and your family? Tick all that apply. [10] Other
<a href="#">S4 Attitudes towards FAM</a>	4.27	How do you think information about FAM should be shared with you and your family? Tick all that apply. [11] I don't want to hear about it

**FAM Section 1 About you**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**1.01 How old are you?**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	18-21	1	8	7
	22-25	8	21	19
	26-30	18	27	25
	31-35	18	19	19
	36-40	17	14	14
	41-45	16	7	9
	46 or older	22	4	7
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>7 968</b>	<b>16 284</b>	<b>24 252</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	18-21	2	5	4
	22-25	9	22	19
	26-30	18	27	25
	31-35	19	19	19
	36-40	15	11	12
	41-45	16	9	11
	46 or older	22	7	10
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 668</b>	<b>2 483</b>	<b>4 151</b>
<b>Army</b>	18-21	0	10	8
	22-25	9	23	21
	26-30	20	28	27
	31-35	16	18	18
	36-40	15	14	14
	41-45	18	5	7
	46 or older	22	1	4
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 067</b>	<b>8 385</b>	<b>12 452</b>
<b>RAF</b>	18-21	1	5	4
	22-25	6	16	14
	26-30	15	25	23
	31-35	19	20	19
	36-40	22	15	16
	41-45	14	10	11
	46 or older	23	9	12
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 233</b>	<b>5 416</b>	<b>7 649</b>

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 1 About you**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**1.02 How long have you served in the Armed Forces?**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Less than 4 years	16	30	27
	5-9 years	17	27	25
	10-14 years	17	17	17
	15-19 years	16	15	15
	20-24 years	10	6	7
	25-29 years	13	3	5
	30+ years	11	2	4
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>7 994</b>	<b>16 308</b>	<b>24 302</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	Less than 4 years	17	31	28
	5-9 years	17	24	23
	10-14 years	15	16	16
	15-19 years	17	13	14
	20-24 years	10	5	6
	25-29 years	14	7	8
	30+ years	11	4	6
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 673</b>	<b>2 489</b>	<b>4 162</b>
<b>Army</b>	Less than 4 years	18	32	30
	5-9 years	17	28	26
	10-14 years	16	18	17
	15-19 years	13	14	14
	20-24 years	11	7	8
	25-29 years	13	1	3
	30+ years	12	0	2
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 082</b>	<b>8 394</b>	<b>12 476</b>
<b>RAF</b>	Less than 4 years	12	22	20
	5-9 years	19	27	26
	10-14 years	19	15	16
	15-19 years	18	18	18
	20-24 years	9	6	7
	25-29 years	12	7	8
	30+ years	11	5	6
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 239</b>	<b>5 425</b>	<b>7 664</b>

**FAM Section 1 About you**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**1.03 Where are you currently located?**

Percentages

		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Great Britain	93	93	93
	Overseas	7	7	7
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 994	16 308	24 302
<b>RN/RM</b>	Great Britain	94	93	94
	Overseas	6	7	6
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 673	2 489	4 162
<b>Army</b>	Great Britain	92	92	92
	Overseas	8	8	8
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 082	8 394	12 476
<b>RAF</b>	Great Britain	94	95	95
	Overseas	6	5	5
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 239	5 425	7 664



**FAM Section 1 About you**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**1.04 How many times have you moved accommodation on assignment in the last 5 years?**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	I have not moved	8	13	12
	1	11	19	17
	2	23	26	25
	3	29	24	25
	4	16	11	12
	5	7	5	5
	6 or more	6	4	4
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>7 994</b>	<b>16 308</b>	<b>24 302</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	I have not moved	13	17	16
	1	13	14	14
	2	20	17	18
	3	22	21	21
	4	13	15	15
	5	8	7	7
	6 or more	11	8	9
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 673</b>	<b>2 489</b>	<b>4 162</b>
<b>Army</b>	I have not moved	6	10	9
	1	8	18	16
	2	22	28	27
	3	32	27	28
	4	19	11	12
	5	8	4	5
	6 or more	5	3	3
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 082</b>	<b>8 394</b>	<b>12 476</b>
<b>RAF</b>	I have not moved	9	15	14
	1	14	25	23
	2	25	28	27
	3	30	20	22
	4	13	7	8
	5	6	3	4
	6 or more	4	1	2
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 239</b>	<b>5 425</b>	<b>7 664</b>

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 1 About you**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**1.05 What is your current personal status?**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Single	14	25	23
	In a long term / established relationship	18	28	26
	Married / in a civil partnership	63	42	46
	Separated, but still legally married or in a civil partnership	2	2	2
	Divorced	3	3	3
	Widowed	0	0	0
	Prefer not to say	0	1	0
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>7 994</b>	<b>16 308</b>	<b>24 302</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	Single	13	25	22
	In a long term / established relationship	20	33	30
	Married / in a civil partnership	63	37	43
	Separated, but still legally married or in a civil partnership	1	2	2
	Divorced	2	3	3
	Widowed	0	0	0
	Prefer not to say	0	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 673</b>	<b>2 489</b>	<b>4 162</b>
<b>Army</b>	Single	15	27	25
	In a long term / established relationship	18	27	26
	Married / in a civil partnership	62	41	44
	Separated, but still legally married or in a civil partnership	2	2	2
	Divorced	2	2	2
	Widowed	0	0	0
	Prefer not to say	0	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 082</b>	<b>8 394</b>	<b>12 476</b>
<b>RAF</b>	Single	11	19	17
	In a long term / established relationship	17	27	25
	Married / in a civil partnership	67	48	52
	Separated, but still legally married or in a civil partnership	2	3	3
	Divorced	3	3	3
	Widowed	0	0	0
	Prefer not to say	0	0	0
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 239</b>	<b>5 425</b>	<b>7 664</b>

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 1 About you**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**1.06 What is your current personal status? (Derived)**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Married / in a civil partnership	65	44	48
	In a long term / established relationship	18	28	26
	Other	17	28	26
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 994	16 308	24 302
<b>RN/RM</b>	Married / in a civil partnership	64	39	44
	In a long term / established relationship	20	33	30
	Other	16	28	26
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 673	2 489	4 162
<b>Army</b>	Married / in a civil partnership	64	44	47
	In a long term / established relationship	18	27	26
	Other	18	30	28
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 082	8 394	12 476
<b>RAF</b>	Married / in a civil partnership	68	51	55
	In a long term / established relationship	17	27	25
	Other	14	22	21
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 239	5 425	7 664

"Married / in a civil partnership" includes those who indicated they were separated, but still legally married or in a civil partnership.

"Other" refers to personnel who indicated they were single, divorced, widowed or preferred not to say.

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 1 About you**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**1.07 Are you legally married/in a civil partnership?**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Married / in a civil partnership	65	44	48
	Not married	35	56	52
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 994	16 308	24 302
<b>RN/RM</b>	Married / in a civil partnership	64	39	44
	Not married	36	61	56
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 673	2 489	4 162
<b>Army</b>	Married / in a civil partnership	64	44	47
	Not married	36	56	53
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 082	8 394	12 476
<b>RAF</b>	Married / in a civil partnership	68	51	55
	Not married	32	49	45
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 239	5 425	7 664

"Married / in a civil partnership" includes those who indicated they were separated, but still legally married or in a civil partnership.

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 1 About you**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**1.08 Do you live with any child/children aged under 18, or 18-23 in full time education?**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Yes	50	41	43
	No	50	59	57
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 994	16 308	24 302
<b>RN/RM</b>	Yes	49	40	42
	No	51	60	58
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 673	2 489	4 162
<b>Army</b>	Yes	49	41	42
	No	51	59	58
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 082	8 394	12 476
<b>RAF</b>	Yes	52	42	45
	No	48	58	55
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 239	5 425	7 664

The definition of 'live with' includes those who live with their children over weekends or during holidays only.

**FAM Section 1 About you**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**1.09 What is your current family status? (Derived)**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Has children	50	41	43
	Married / in a civil partnership - no children	18	12	13
	Not married - no children	32	47	44
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 994	16 308	24 302
<b>RN/RM</b>	Has children	49	40	42
	Married / in a civil partnership - no children	18	11	12
	Not married - no children	33	49	46
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 673	2 489	4 162
<b>Army</b>	Has children	49	41	42
	Married / in a civil partnership - no children	18	11	12
	Not married - no children	34	47	45
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 082	8 394	12 476
<b>RAF</b>	Has children	52	42	45
	Married / in a civil partnership - no children	20	16	17
	Not married - no children	28	42	39
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 239	5 425	7 664

This table has been derived by combining questions 'What is your current personal status?' (see Table 1.05) and 'Do you live with any child/children aged under 18, or 18-23 in full time education?' (see Table 1.08).

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 1 About you**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**1.10 How many children live with you?**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Does not have children	50	59	57
	1	15	17	17
	2	26	17	19
	3	7	5	6
	4	1	1	1
	5 or more	0	0	0
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>7 994</b>	<b>16 308</b>	<b>24 302</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	Does not have children	51	60	58
	1	15	17	17
	2	26	17	19
	3	6	5	5
	4	1	1	1
	5 or more	0	0	0
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 673</b>	<b>2 489</b>	<b>4 162</b>
<b>Army</b>	Does not have children	51	59	58
	1	15	17	17
	2	26	17	18
	3	7	6	6
	4	1	1	1
	5 or more	0	0	0
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 082</b>	<b>8 394</b>	<b>12 476</b>
<b>RAF</b>	Does not have children	48	58	55
	1	17	18	17
	2	27	19	21
	3	7	5	5
	4	1	1	1
	5 or more	0	0	0
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 239</b>	<b>5 425</b>	<b>7 664</b>

**FAM Section 1 About you**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**1.11 How many of the children who live with you are aged 18-23 and in full time education?**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Does not have children	50	59	57
	None	37	34	34
	1	7	4	5
	2	5	2	3
	3 or more	1	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>7 994</b>	<b>16 308</b>	<b>24 302</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	Does not have children	51	60	58
	None	35	31	32
	1	7	5	6
	2	5	3	3
	3 or more	2	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 673</b>	<b>2 489</b>	<b>4 162</b>
<b>Army</b>	Does not have children	51	59	58
	None	35	34	35
	1	7	4	4
	2	5	2	3
	3 or more	1	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 082</b>	<b>8 394</b>	<b>12 476</b>
<b>RAF</b>	Does not have children	48	58	55
	None	40	35	36
	1	6	5	5
	2	5	2	3
	3 or more	1	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 239</b>	<b>5 425</b>	<b>7 664</b>



**FAM Section 1 About you**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**1.12 Do you or your partner/spouse have any caring responsibilities for disabled or elderly adult(s)?**

Percentages

		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	No	96	96	96
	Yes	4	4	4
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 994	16 308	24 302
<b>RN/RM</b>	No	95	96	96
	Yes	5	4	4
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 673	2 489	4 162
<b>Army</b>	No	96	96	96
	Yes	4	4	4
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 082	8 394	12 476
<b>RAF</b>	No	97	97	97
	Yes	3	3	3
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 239	5 425	7 664

**FAM Section 1 About you**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**1.13 Which type of accomodation do you live in during the working week?**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Service Family Accommodation (SFA)	34	30	31
	Service Family Accommodation (SSFA)	2	1	1
	Single Living Accommodation (SLA)	33	49	46
	Substitute Service Single Accommodation (SSSA)	6	2	3
	On-board ship or submarine (RN/RM only)	2	2	2
	Property I own	20	11	12
	Privately rented accommodation	2	3	3
	In a relative's home	0	1	0
	Other	1	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>7 994</b>	<b>16 308</b>	<b>24 302</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	Service Family Accommodation (SFA)	20	18	19
	Service Family Accommodation (SSFA)	2	1	1
	Single Living Accommodation (SLA)	34	43	41
	Substitute Service Single Accommodation (SSSA)	9	3	4
	On-board ship or submarine (RN/RM only)	7	11	10
	Property I own	25	18	19
	Privately rented accommodation	2	4	3
	In a relative's home	0	1	1
	Other	1	2	2
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 673</b>	<b>2 489</b>	<b>4 162</b>
<b>Army</b>	Service Family Accommodation (SFA)	39	34	35
	Service Family Accommodation (SSFA)	2	1	1
	Single Living Accommodation (SLA)	35	54	51
	Substitute Service Single Accommodation (SSSA)	5	2	3
	On-board ship or submarine (RN/RM only)	0	0	0
	Property I own	16	5	7
	Privately rented accommodation	2	3	3
	In a relative's home	0	0	0
	Other	1	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 082</b>	<b>8 394</b>	<b>12 476</b>
<b>RAF</b>	Service Family Accommodation (SFA)	36	32	33
	Service Family Accommodation (SSFA)	3	1	1
	Single Living Accommodation (SLA)	30	42	39
	Substitute Service Single Accommodation (SSSA)	5	2	3
	On-board ship or submarine (RN/RM only)	0	0	0
	Property I own	23	19	19
	Privately rented accommodation	2	4	4
	In a relative's home	0	0	0
	Other	1	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 239</b>	<b>5 425</b>	<b>7 664</b>

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 1 About you**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**1.14 Which type of accomodation do you live in during the working week?**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Service Family Accommodation (SFA)/(SSFA)	35	31	32
	Single Living Accommodation (SLA)/)SSSA)	39	52	49
	On-board ship or submarine (RN/RM only)	2	2	2
	Property I own	20	11	12
	Other	3	5	4
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>7 994</b>	<b>16 308</b>	<b>24 302</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	Service Family Accommodation (SFA)/(SSFA)	22	19	20
	Single Living Accommodation (SLA)/)SSSA)	43	46	45
	On-board ship or submarine (RN/RM only)	7	11	10
	Property I own	25	18	19
	Other	3	7	6
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 673</b>	<b>2 489</b>	<b>4 162</b>
<b>Army</b>	Service Family Accommodation (SFA)/(SSFA)	41	34	35
	Single Living Accommodation (SLA)/)SSSA)	40	56	54
	On-board ship or submarine (RN/RM only)	0	0	0
	Property I own	16	5	7
	Other	3	4	4
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 082</b>	<b>8 394</b>	<b>12 476</b>
<b>RAF</b>	Service Family Accommodation (SFA)/(SSFA)	39	33	34
	Single Living Accommodation (SLA)/)SSSA)	35	44	42
	On-board ship or submarine (RN/RM only)	0	0	0
	Property I own	23	19	19
	Other	3	5	4
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 239</b>	<b>5 425</b>	<b>7 664</b>

"Other" refers to personnel who indicated they lived in privately rented accommodation, in a relative's home or in another type of accommodation.

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 1 About you**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**1.15 Do you live in different accommodation at the weekend?**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	No	69	67	67
	Yes	31	33	33
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 994	16 308	24 302
<b>RN/RM</b>	No	56	60	59
	Yes	44	40	41
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 673	2 489	4 162
<b>Army</b>	No	72	68	69
	Yes	28	32	31
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 082	8 394	12 476
<b>RAF</b>	No	74	72	72
	Yes	26	28	28
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 239	5 425	7 664

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 1 About you**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**1.16 Which of the following best describes the accommodation you live in at the weekend?**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Service Family Accommodation (SFA)	6	4	4
	Service Family Accommodation (SSFA)	0	0	0
	Single Living Accommodation (SLA)	1	1	1
	Substitute Service Single Accommodation (SSSA)	0	0	0
	On-board ship or submarine (RN/RM only)	..	0	0
	Property I own	66	40	45
	Privately rented accommodation	7	14	13
	In a relative's home	15	36	32
	Other	5	5	5
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 304</b>	<b>4 630</b>	<b>6 934</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	Service Family Accommodation (SFA)	7	5	5
	Service Family Accommodation (SSFA)	..	0	0
	Single Living Accommodation (SLA)	0	0	0
	Substitute Service Single Accommodation (SSSA)	..	0	0
	On-board ship or submarine (RN/RM only)	..	..	..
	Property I own	68	50	54
	Privately rented accommodation	6	12	11
	In a relative's home	15	28	25
	Other	3	4	4
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>704</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>1 651</b>
<b>Army</b>	Service Family Accommodation (SFA)	6	4	4
	Service Family Accommodation (SSFA)	..	0	0
	Single Living Accommodation (SLA)	1	2	2
	Substitute Service Single Accommodation (SSSA)	0	0	0
	On-board ship or submarine (RN/RM only)	..	..	..
	Property I own	61	35	39
	Privately rented accommodation	8	16	15
	In a relative's home	17	39	36
	Other	6	4	4
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 022</b>	<b>2 322</b>	<b>3 344</b>
<b>RAF</b>	Service Family Accommodation (SFA)	5	3	3
	Service Family Accommodation (SSFA)	0	0	0
	Single Living Accommodation (SLA)	1	2	1
	Substitute Service Single Accommodation (SSSA)	0	0	0
	On-board ship or submarine (RN/RM only)	..	0	0
	Property I own	71	42	48
	Privately rented accommodation	6	9	9
	In a relative's home	12	37	32
	Other	4	7	6
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>578</b>	<b>1 361</b>	<b>1 939</b>

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Yes' to 'Do you live in different accommodation at the weekend?' (see Table 1.15) [33%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 1 About you**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**1.17 Which type of accomodation do you live in?**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	SLA/SSSA- Full week	12	22	20
	SLA/SSSA- Week only	28	30	29
	Ship/submarine-Full week	0	1	1
	Ship/submarine-Week only	1	1	1
	SFA/SSFA - Full week	34	30	31
	SFA/SSFA - Week only	2	1	1
	Property I own	20	11	12
	Privately rented accommodation	2	3	3
	In a relative's home	0	1	0
	Other	1	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>7 994</b>	<b>16 308</b>	<b>24 302</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	SLA/SSSA- Full week	6	14	12
	SLA/SSSA- Week only	36	32	33
	Ship/submarine-Full week	2	5	4
	Ship/submarine-Week only	6	6	6
	SFA/SSFA - Full week	21	18	19
	SFA/SSFA - Week only	1	1	1
	Property I own	25	18	19
	Privately rented accommodation	2	4	3
	In a relative's home	0	1	1
	Other	1	2	2
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 673</b>	<b>2 489</b>	<b>4 162</b>
<b>Army</b>	SLA/SSSA- Full week	15	26	25
	SLA/SSSA- Week only	25	30	29
	Ship/submarine-Full week	..	0	0
	Ship/submarine-Week only	0	..	0
	SFA/SSFA - Full week	39	33	34
	SFA/SSFA - Week only	2	1	1
	Property I own	16	5	7
	Privately rented accommodation	2	3	3
	In a relative's home	0	0	0
	Other	1	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 082</b>	<b>8 394</b>	<b>12 476</b>
<b>RAF</b>	SLA/SSSA- Full week	12	17	16
	SLA/SSSA- Week only	24	27	26
	Ship/submarine-Full week	0	0	0
	Ship/submarine-Week only	..	0	0
	SFA/SSFA - Full week	37	32	33
	SFA/SSFA - Week only	2	1	1
	Property I own	23	19	19
	Privately rented accommodation	2	4	4
	In a relative's home	0	0	0
	Other	1	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 239</b>	<b>5 425</b>	<b>7 664</b>

This table has been derived by combining questions 'Which type of accommodation do you live in during the working week?' (see Table 1.13) and 'Which of the following best describes the accommodation you live at the weekend?' (see Table 1.16).

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 1 About you**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**1.18 If you live in SFA/SSFA - what is you CAAS Band?**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	A	3	4	4
	B	9	13	12
	C	11	23	21
	D	9	9	9
	E	4	1	2
	F	6	3	4
	G	4	1	2
	H	2	2	2
	I	1	0	1
	Don't Know	51	43	44
<i>Unweighted count</i>		<b>3 192</b>	<b>6 630</b>	<b>9 822</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	A	5	7	6
	B	11	15	14
	C	10	20	18
	D	10	9	9
	E	4	2	2
	F	5	2	3
	G	3	1	1
	H	1	1	1
	I	..	0	0
	Don't Know	51	44	46
<i>Unweighted count</i>		<b>402</b>	<b>594</b>	<b>996</b>
<b>Army</b>	A	3	4	4
	B	9	13	13
	C	11	23	21
	D	10	8	9
	E	4	1	2
	F	6	3	4
	G	3	1	1
	H	2	2	2
	I	1	0	0
	Don't Know	51	44	45
<i>Unweighted count</i>		<b>1 906</b>	<b>4 001</b>	<b>5 907</b>
<b>RAF</b>	A	3	4	4
	B	8	11	10
	C	12	24	21
	D	6	9	8
	E	4	2	2
	F	7	4	4
	G	5	2	3
	H	2	3	3
	I	2	1	1
	Don't Know	50	40	43
<i>Unweighted count</i>		<b>884</b>	<b>2 035</b>	<b>2 919</b>

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'SFA' or 'SSFA' to 'Which type of accomodation do you live in during the working week?' (see Table 1.13) [32%]**

**FAM Section 1 About you**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**1.19 If you live in SFA/SSFA - how many bedrooms do you have?**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	1	1	0	1
	2	1	25	20
	3	37	63	58
	4	57	11	20
	5	3	0	1
	More than 5	1	0	0
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>3 192</b>	<b>6 630</b>	<b>9 822</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	1	1	0	1
	2	2	19	15
	3	45	69	64
	4	50	11	20
	5	2	1	1
	More than 5	0	0	0
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>402</b>	<b>594</b>	<b>996</b>
<b>Army</b>	1	1	1	1
	2	1	27	22
	3	31	61	56
	4	62	11	20
	5	4	0	1
	More than 5	2	0	0
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 906</b>	<b>4 001</b>	<b>5 907</b>
<b>RAF</b>	1	0	0	0
	2	0	22	16
	3	43	67	61
	4	52	11	22
	5	2	0	1
	More than 5	1	0	0
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>884</b>	<b>2 035</b>	<b>2 919</b>

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'SFA' or 'SSFA' to 'Which type of accommodation do you live in during the working week?' (see Table 1.13) [32%]**



**FAM Section 1 About you**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**1.20 If you do NOT live in Service Accommodation - how many bedrooms do you have?**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	1	16	7	9
	2	18	30	26
	3	24	40	36
	4	32	18	22
	5	8	3	4
	More than 5	2	3	3
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 418</b>	<b>3 587</b>	<b>6 005</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	1	18	7	10
	2	19	29	26
	3	23	38	34
	4	32	17	21
	5	7	3	4
	More than 5	1	5	4
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>657</b>	<b>839</b>	<b>1 496</b>
<b>Army</b>	1	16	9	11
	2	19	35	31
	3	24	37	33
	4	29	13	18
	5	9	3	4
	More than 5	2	3	3
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 057</b>	<b>1 199</b>	<b>2 256</b>
<b>RAF</b>	1	12	4	6
	2	16	23	21
	3	27	45	40
	4	35	24	27
	5	9	3	4
	More than 5	1	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>704</b>	<b>1 549</b>	<b>2 253</b>

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who did not answer 'SFA', 'SSFA', 'SLA' or 'ship or submarine' to 'Which type of accomodation do you live in during the working week?' (see Table 1.13) [20%]**

**FAM Section 1 About you**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**1.21 At what age would you want to buy a home?**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	18-21	0	2	1
	22-25	4	12	11
	26-30	14	22	21
	31-35	7	15	14
	36-40	4	9	8
	41-45	3	4	4
	46 or older	3	1	2
	I already own one or more properties	61	30	36
	I don't intend to buy a home	1	1	1
	I don't intend to buy a home under the FAM package	3	3	3
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>7 994</b>	<b>16 308</b>	<b>24 302</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	18-21	1	1	1
	22-25	6	13	11
	26-30	13	20	19
	31-35	5	11	10
	36-40	3	6	6
	41-45	2	3	3
	46 or older	2	1	1
	I already own one or more properties	66	41	46
	I don't intend to buy a home	0	1	1
	I don't intend to buy a home under the FAM package	2	2	2
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 673</b>	<b>2 489</b>	<b>4 162</b>
<b>Army</b>	18-21	0	2	2
	22-25	4	13	11
	26-30	15	24	23
	31-35	8	18	16
	36-40	4	11	10
	41-45	4	4	4
	46 or older	3	1	1
	I already own one or more properties	57	23	28
	I don't intend to buy a home	1	1	1
	I don't intend to buy a home under the FAM package	4	4	4
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 082</b>	<b>8 394</b>	<b>12 476</b>
<b>RAF</b>	18-21	0	1	1
	22-25	3	9	7
	26-30	12	20	18
	31-35	8	13	12
	36-40	4	8	8
	41-45	3	4	4
	46 or older	3	3	3
	I already own one or more properties	64	38	44
	I don't intend to buy a home	1	1	1
	I don't intend to buy a home under the FAM package	2	3	3
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 239</b>	<b>5 425</b>	<b>7 664</b>

## FAM Section 2 Package Options

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

### 2.01 How important are the following to you? [1] Being able to choose where I live

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Important	87	89	88
	Neither important nor unimportant	7	6	7
	Unimportant	5	4	4
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	1	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>7 994</b>	<b>16 308</b>	<b>24 302</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	Important	92	90	91
	Neither important nor unimportant	5	6	5
	Unimportant	3	3	3
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	0	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 673</b>	<b>2 489</b>	<b>4 162</b>
<b>Army</b>	Important	83	87	86
	Neither important nor unimportant	9	7	8
	Unimportant	7	5	5
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	1	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 082</b>	<b>8 394</b>	<b>12 476</b>
<b>RAF</b>	Important	88	92	91
	Neither important nor unimportant	7	5	5
	Unimportant	4	3	3
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	1	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 239</b>	<b>5 425</b>	<b>7 664</b>

The percentage for "Important" has been aggregated from "Very important" and "Fairly important".

The percentage for "Unimportant" has been aggregated from "Very unimportant" and "Fairly unimportant".

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 2 Package Options**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**2.02 How important are the following to you? [2] Being able to relocate easily when I am required to**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Important	86	88	88
	Neither important nor unimportant	7	7	7
	Unimportant	4	3	3
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	2	2	2
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>7 994</b>	<b>16 308</b>	<b>24 302</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	Important	84	85	85
	Neither important nor unimportant	8	8	8
	Unimportant	6	4	4
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	2	4	4
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 673</b>	<b>2 489</b>	<b>4 162</b>
<b>Army</b>	Important	86	88	88
	Neither important nor unimportant	8	7	7
	Unimportant	4	3	3
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	2	2	2
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 082</b>	<b>8 394</b>	<b>12 476</b>
<b>RAF</b>	Important	90	90	90
	Neither important nor unimportant	6	6	6
	Unimportant	3	2	3
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	2	2	2
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 239</b>	<b>5 425</b>	<b>7 664</b>

The percentage for "Important" has been aggregated from "Very important" and "Fairly important".

The percentage for "Unimportant" has been aggregated from "Very unimportant" and "Fairly unimportant".

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 2 Package Options**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**2.03 How important are the following to you? [3] Living with my family/partner during the working week as well as when I am off duty**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Important	83	80	80
	Neither important nor unimportant	7	8	8
	Unimportant	5	6	5
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	6	7	6
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>7 994</b>	<b>16 308</b>	<b>24 302</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	Important	79	75	76
	Neither important nor unimportant	9	11	11
	Unimportant	6	6	6
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	6	7	7
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 673</b>	<b>2 489</b>	<b>4 162</b>
<b>Army</b>	Important	82	79	80
	Neither important nor unimportant	7	8	8
	Unimportant	5	6	6
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	6	6	6
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 082</b>	<b>8 394</b>	<b>12 476</b>
<b>RAF</b>	Important	87	85	86
	Neither important nor unimportant	5	5	5
	Unimportant	3	3	3
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	5	6	6
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 239</b>	<b>5 425</b>	<b>7 664</b>

The percentage for "Important" has been aggregated from "Very important" and "Fairly important".

The percentage for "Unimportant" has been aggregated from "Very unimportant" and "Fairly unimportant".

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 2 Package Options**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**2.04 How important are the following to you? [4] My family/partner being able to stay in one place for jobs and schools**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Important	71	77	76
	Neither important nor unimportant	11	8	8
	Unimportant	9	5	6
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	9	10	10
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>7 994</b>	<b>16 308</b>	<b>24 302</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	Important	78	79	79
	Neither important nor unimportant	7	6	6
	Unimportant	5	4	5
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	9	11	10
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 673</b>	<b>2 489</b>	<b>4 162</b>
<b>Army</b>	Important	68	76	75
	Neither important nor unimportant	12	8	9
	Unimportant	11	5	6
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	9	10	10
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 082</b>	<b>8 394</b>	<b>12 476</b>
<b>RAF</b>	Important	70	78	77
	Neither important nor unimportant	12	8	9
	Unimportant	9	4	5
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	9	10	10
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 239</b>	<b>5 425</b>	<b>7 664</b>

The percentage for "Important" has been aggregated from "Very important" and "Fairly important".

The percentage for "Unimportant" has been aggregated from "Very unimportant" and "Fairly unimportant".

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 2 Package Options**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**2.05 How important are the following to you? [5] Being able to buy a home.**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Important	77	84	83
	Neither important nor unimportant	10	7	7
	Unimportant	7	3	4
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	7	6	6
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>7 994</b>	<b>16 308</b>	<b>24 302</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	Important	82	86	85
	Neither important nor unimportant	8	5	6
	Unimportant	3	1	2
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	6	8	7
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 673</b>	<b>2 489</b>	<b>4 162</b>
<b>Army</b>	Important	75	84	82
	Neither important nor unimportant	10	7	8
	Unimportant	8	4	4
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	7	5	6
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 082</b>	<b>8 394</b>	<b>12 476</b>
<b>RAF</b>	Important	76	84	82
	Neither important nor unimportant	10	7	8
	Unimportant	7	3	4
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	7	6	6
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 239</b>	<b>5 425</b>	<b>7 664</b>

The percentage for "Important" has been aggregated from "Very important" and "Fairly important".

The percentage for "Unimportant" has been aggregated from "Very unimportant" and "Fairly unimportant".

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

## FAM Section 2 Package Options

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

### 2.06 How important are the following to you? [6] Living in a military community

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Important	34	22	24
	Neither important nor unimportant	27	34	33
	Unimportant	38	42	42
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	1	2	2
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>7 994</b>	<b>16 308</b>	<b>24 302</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	Important	18	14	15
	Neither important nor unimportant	26	32	31
	Unimportant	55	51	52
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	1	3	2
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 673</b>	<b>2 489</b>	<b>4 162</b>
<b>Army</b>	Important	42	26	28
	Neither important nor unimportant	26	34	33
	Unimportant	31	39	37
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	1	2	2
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 082</b>	<b>8 394</b>	<b>12 476</b>
<b>RAF</b>	Important	35	20	23
	Neither important nor unimportant	31	34	33
	Unimportant	34	45	42
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	0	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 239</b>	<b>5 425</b>	<b>7 664</b>

The percentage for "Important" has been aggregated from "Very important" and "Fairly important".

The percentage for "Unimportant" has been aggregated from "Very unimportant" and "Fairly unimportant".

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.



**FAM Section 2 Package Options**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**2.07 How important are the following to you? [7] Living in a civilian community**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Important	27	35	34
	Neither important nor unimportant	42	42	42
	Unimportant	30	20	22
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	1	2	2
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>7 994</b>	<b>16 308</b>	<b>24 302</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	Important	34	36	36
	Neither important nor unimportant	41	41	41
	Unimportant	24	21	22
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	1	2	2
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 673</b>	<b>2 489</b>	<b>4 162</b>
<b>Army</b>	Important	24	36	34
	Neither important nor unimportant	41	42	42
	Unimportant	34	20	22
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	1	3	2
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 082</b>	<b>8 394</b>	<b>12 476</b>
<b>RAF</b>	Important	26	33	31
	Neither important nor unimportant	45	46	46
	Unimportant	28	20	22
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	1	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 239</b>	<b>5 425</b>	<b>7 664</b>

The percentage for "Important" has been aggregated from "Very important" and "Fairly important".

The percentage for "Unimportant" has been aggregated from "Very unimportant" and "Fairly unimportant".

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 2 Package Options**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**2.08 How important are the following to you? [8] Living in low cost accommodation.**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Important	61	71	69
	Neither important nor unimportant	23	19	19
	Unimportant	14	8	9
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	2	2	2
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>7 994</b>	<b>16 308</b>	<b>24 302</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	Important	53	62	60
	Neither important nor unimportant	27	21	22
	Unimportant	18	13	14
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	2	4	3
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 673</b>	<b>2 489</b>	<b>4 162</b>
<b>Army</b>	Important	65	74	72
	Neither important nor unimportant	21	18	18
	Unimportant	12	7	8
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	2	2	2
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 082</b>	<b>8 394</b>	<b>12 476</b>
<b>RAF</b>	Important	64	70	68
	Neither important nor unimportant	23	20	20
	Unimportant	12	8	9
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	1	2	2
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 239</b>	<b>5 425</b>	<b>7 664</b>

The percentage for "Important" has been aggregated from "Very important" and "Fairly important".

The percentage for "Unimportant" has been aggregated from "Very unimportant" and "Fairly unimportant".

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 2 Package Options**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**2.09 How important are the following to you? [9] Living in good quality accommodation.**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Important	97	97	97
	Neither important nor unimportant	2	2	2
	Unimportant	1	0	1
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	1	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>7 994</b>	<b>16 308</b>	<b>24 302</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	Important	98	96	96
	Neither important nor unimportant	2	3	2
	Unimportant	0	0	0
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	0	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 673</b>	<b>2 489</b>	<b>4 162</b>
<b>Army</b>	Important	96	97	97
	Neither important nor unimportant	2	2	2
	Unimportant	1	1	1
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	1	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 082</b>	<b>8 394</b>	<b>12 476</b>
<b>RAF</b>	Important	98	98	98
	Neither important nor unimportant	1	1	1
	Unimportant	0	0	0
	Not applicable/Don't know/Missing	0	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 239</b>	<b>5 425</b>	<b>7 664</b>

The percentage for "Important" has been aggregated from "Very important" and "Fairly important".

The percentage for "Unimportant" has been aggregated from "Very unimportant" and "Fairly unimportant".

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

## FAM Section 2 Package Options

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

### 2.10 Which Future Accommodation Model (FAM) package would you choose?

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Single living accommodation	14	17	16
	Renting near work	25	21	21
	Owning near work	34	35	35
	Owning away from work	27	28	28
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 994	16 308	24 302
<b>RN/RM</b>	Single living accommodation	12	15	14
	Renting near work	18	16	16
	Owning near work	37	39	39
	Owning away from work	33	30	31
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 673	2 489	4 162
<b>Army</b>	Single living accommodation	16	19	19
	Renting near work	28	23	23
	Owning near work	30	31	31
	Owning away from work	26	28	27
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 082	8 394	12 476
<b>RAF</b>	Single living accommodation	13	12	12
	Renting near work	26	21	22
	Owning near work	39	42	41
	Owning away from work	23	25	24
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 239	5 425	7 664

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 2 Package Options**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**2.11 If you chose the 'Renting near work' FAM package, how many bedrooms would you choose?**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Shared house	3	7	7
	One bedroom	6	13	12
	Two bedrooms	23	35	32
	Three bedrooms	31	36	35
	Four bedrooms	37	9	15
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 058	3 282	5 340
<b>RN/RM</b>	Shared house	6	8	7
	One bedroom	9	13	12
	Two bedrooms	28	38	36
	Three bedrooms	30	31	31
	Four bedrooms	28	10	14
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	311	363	674
<b>Army</b>	Shared house	3	7	7
	One bedroom	6	15	13
	Two bedrooms	23	34	32
	Three bedrooms	30	36	35
	Four bedrooms	38	8	14
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 169	1 845	3 014
<b>RAF</b>	Shared house	2	7	6
	One bedroom	5	9	8
	Two bedrooms	20	35	31
	Three bedrooms	33	39	38
	Four bedrooms	39	10	18
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	578	1 074	1 652

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who chose the 'Renting near work' package (see Table 2.10) [21%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**2.12 If you chose the 'Owning near work' FAM package, how many bedrooms would you choose?**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	One bedroom	1	2	1
	Two bedrooms	12	22	21
	Three bedrooms	38	57	54
	Four bedrooms	49	19	24
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 808	6 486	9 294
<b>RN/RM</b>	One bedroom	1	2	2
	Two bedrooms	16	25	24
	Three bedrooms	36	53	50
	Four bedrooms	47	19	25
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	638	1 097	1 735
<b>Army</b>	One bedroom	1	2	2
	Two bedrooms	13	23	21
	Three bedrooms	39	59	56
	Four bedrooms	48	17	21
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 292	2 970	4 262
<b>RAF</b>	One bedroom	0	1	1
	Two bedrooms	9	18	16
	Three bedrooms	37	58	53
	Four bedrooms	53	24	30
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	878	2 419	3 297

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who chose the 'Owning near work' package (see Table 2.10) [35%].**

## FAM Section 2 Package Options

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

### 2.13 If you chose the 'Owning away from work' FAM package, how many bedrooms would you choose?

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	One bedroom	1	2	2
	Two bedrooms	13	26	24
	Three bedrooms	33	54	50
	Four bedrooms	53	17	24
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 095	4 405	6 500
<b>RN/RM</b>	One bedroom	1	2	2
	Two bedrooms	13	30	27
	Three bedrooms	31	50	46
	Four bedrooms	54	18	26
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	535	702	1 237
<b>Army</b>	One bedroom	2	3	3
	Two bedrooms	15	27	25
	Three bedrooms	34	54	51
	Four bedrooms	49	16	21
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 057	2 343	3 400
<b>RAF</b>	One bedroom	1	2	2
	Two bedrooms	7	20	17
	Three bedrooms	31	59	53
	Four bedrooms	60	20	28
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	503	1 360	1 863

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who chose the 'Owning away from work' package (see Table 2.10) [28%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**2.14 If Service Family Accommodation (SFA) was available to you at the same cost as the renting package, would you want to live in SFA instead?**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	No	61	63	63
	Yes	39	37	37
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 994	16 308	24 302
<b>RN/RM</b>	No	72	71	71
	Yes	28	29	29
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 673	2 489	4 162
<b>Army</b>	No	55	58	57
	Yes	45	42	43
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 082	8 394	12 476
<b>RAF</b>	No	60	70	68
	Yes	40	30	32
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 239	5 425	7 664

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.



## FAM Section 2 Package Options

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

### 2.15 Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose (including SFA)?

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Single living accommodation	8	9	9
	Renting near work	11	10	10
	Owning near work	23	24	24
	Owning away from work	19	21	20
	Service Families Accommodation	39	37	37
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 994	16 308	24 302
<b>RN/RM</b>	Single living accommodation	8	9	9
	Renting near work	10	9	9
	Owning near work	28	28	28
	Owning away from work	26	25	25
	Service Families Accommodation	28	29	29
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 673	2 489	4 162
<b>Army</b>	Single living accommodation	9	10	9
	Renting near work	11	10	10
	Owning near work	19	19	19
	Owning away from work	16	19	19
	Service Families Accommodation	45	42	43
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 082	8 394	12 476
<b>RAF</b>	Single living accommodation	7	6	6
	Renting near work	11	12	12
	Owning near work	26	32	31
	Owning away from work	16	20	19
	Service Families Accommodation	40	30	32
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 239	5 425	7 664

This table has been derived by combining questions 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' (see Table 2.10) and 'If Service Family Accommodation (SFA) was available to you at the same cost as the renting package, would you want to live in SFA instead?' (see Table 2.14).

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 2 Package Options**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**2.16 If you would want to live in SFA instead, why? [1] Location/commuting distance**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	73	70	71
	Not ticked	27	30	29
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	3 093	5 295	8 388
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	63	67	66
	Not ticked	37	33	34
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	448	628	1 076
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	75	70	70
	Not ticked	25	30	30
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 794	3 165	4 959
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	74	75	75
	Not ticked	26	25	25
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	851	1 502	2 353

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Yes' to 'If SFA was available to you at the same cost as the renting package, would you want to live in SFA instead?' (see Table Excludes missing responses [5%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 2 Package Options**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**2.17 If you would want to live in SFA instead, why? [2] Convenience of allocation**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	53	48	49
	Not ticked	47	52	51
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	3 093	5 295	8 388
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	52	46	47
	Not ticked	48	54	53
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	448	628	1 076
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	54	47	48
	Not ticked	46	53	52
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 794	3 165	4 959
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	51	53	52
	Not ticked	49	47	48
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	851	1 502	2 353

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Yes' to 'If SFA was available to you at the same cost as the renting package, would you want to live in SFA instead?' (see Table Excludes missing responses [5%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 2 Package Options**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**2.18 If you would want to live in SFA instead, why? [3] Community provided by Service accommodation**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	50	24	29
	Not ticked	50	76	71
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	3 093	5 295	8 388
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	41	22	26
	Not ticked	59	78	74
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	448	628	1 076
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	52	24	28
	Not ticked	48	76	72
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 794	3 165	4 959
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	52	26	33
	Not ticked	48	74	67
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	851	1 502	2 353

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Yes' to 'If SFA was available to you at the same cost as the renting package, would you want to live in SFA instead?' (see Table Excludes missing responses [5%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 2 Package Options**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**2.19 If you would want to live in SFA instead, why? [4] The support services available e.g. welfare, maintenance**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	44	46	46
	Not ticked	56	54	54
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	3 093	5 295	8 388
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	50	46	47
	Not ticked	50	54	53
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	448	628	1 076
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	43	45	45
	Not ticked	57	55	55
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 794	3 165	4 959
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	41	49	47
	Not ticked	59	51	53
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	851	1 502	2 353

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Yes' to 'If SFA was available to you at the same cost as the renting package, would you want to live in SFA instead?' (see Table Excludes missing responses [5%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 2 Package Options**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**2.20 If you would want to live in SFA instead, why? [5] Security**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	26	38	36
	Not ticked	74	62	64
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	3 093	5 295	8 388
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	23	33	31
	Not ticked	77	67	69
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	448	628	1 076
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	25	41	38
	Not ticked	75	59	62
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 794	3 165	4 959
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	29	32	31
	Not ticked	71	68	69
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	851	1 502	2 353

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Yes' to 'If SFA was available to you at the same cost as the renting package, would you want to live in SFA instead?' (see Table Excludes missing responses [5%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 2 Package Options**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**2.21 If you would want to live in SFA instead, why? [6] Quality of house**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	10	28	25
	Not ticked	90	72	75
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	3 093	5 295	8 388
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	10	33	28
	Not ticked	90	67	72
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	448	628	1 076
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	10	30	26
	Not ticked	90	70	74
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 794	3 165	4 959
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	10	18	15
	Not ticked	90	82	85
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	851	1 502	2 353

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Yes' to 'If SFA was available to you at the same cost as the renting package, would you want to live in SFA instead?' (see Table Excludes missing responses [5%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 2 Package Options**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**2.22 If you would not want to live in SFA instead, why? [1] Quality of house**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	76	73	73
	Not ticked	24	27	27
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 564	10 318	14 882
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	73	67	68
	Not ticked	27	33	32
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 144	1 740	2 884
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	76	73	73
	Not ticked	24	27	27
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 110	4 814	6 924
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	79	78	78
	Not ticked	21	22	22
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 310	3 764	5 074

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'No' to 'If SFA was available to you at the same cost as the renting package, would you want to live in SFA instead?' (see Table Excludes missing responses [4%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.



**FAM Section 2 Package Options**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**2.23 If you would not want to live in SFA instead, why? [2] Maintenance of SFA**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	53	47	48
	Not ticked	47	53	52
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 564	10 318	14 882
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	47	38	40
	Not ticked	53	62	60
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 144	1 740	2 884
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	56	49	50
	Not ticked	44	51	50
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 110	4 814	6 924
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	57	52	53
	Not ticked	43	48	47
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 310	3 764	5 074

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'No' to 'If SFA was available to you at the same cost as the renting package, would you want to live in SFA instead?' (see Table Excludes missing responses [4%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 2 Package Options**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**2.24 If you would not want to live in SFA instead, why? [3] Restrictions on who you can live with**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	29	39	37
	Not ticked	71	61	63
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 564	10 318	14 882
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	28	37	35
	Not ticked	72	63	65
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 144	1 740	2 884
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	30	41	40
	Not ticked	70	59	60
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 110	4 814	6 924
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	27	36	34
	Not ticked	73	64	66
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 310	3 764	5 074

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'No' to 'If SFA was available to you at the same cost as the renting package, would you want to live in SFA instead?' (see Table Excludes missing responses [4%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 2 Package Options**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**2.25 If you would not want to live in SFA instead, why? [4] Restrictions on where you can live**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	64	61	62
	Not ticked	36	39	38
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 564	10 318	14 882
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	70	71	71
	Not ticked	30	29	29
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 144	1 740	2 884
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	64	60	60
	Not ticked	36	40	40
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 110	4 814	6 924
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	58	57	57
	Not ticked	42	43	43
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 310	3 764	5 074

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'No' to 'If SFA was available to you at the same cost as the renting package, would you want to live in SFA instead?' (see Table Excludes missing responses [4%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 2 Package Options**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**2.26 If you would not want to live in SFA instead, why? [5] Restrictions on the size of your house**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	25	36	34
	Not ticked	75	64	66
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 564	10 318	14 882
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	25	36	34
	Not ticked	75	64	66
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 144	1 740	2 884
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	23	35	33
	Not ticked	77	65	67
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 110	4 814	6 924
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	28	40	38
	Not ticked	72	60	62
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 310	3 764	5 074

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'No' to 'If SFA was available to you at the same cost as the renting package, would you want to live in SFA instead?' (see Table Excludes missing responses [4%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.1 If you were offered more money towards renting under FAM ('Renting near work') would you still choose Single Living Accommodation (SLA)?**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Yes, I would still choose SLA	81	78	78
	No, I would choose Renting near work	19	22	22
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 033	2 135	3 168
<b>RN/RM</b>	Yes, I would still choose SLA	80	76	77
	No, I would choose Renting near work	20	24	23
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	327	516
<b>Army</b>	Yes, I would still choose SLA	82	78	79
	No, I would choose Renting near work	18	22	21
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	564	1 236	1 800
<b>RAF</b>	Yes, I would still choose SLA	81	77	78
	No, I would choose Renting near work	19	23	22
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	280	572	852

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who chose 'Single Living Accommodation' when asked 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose? [16%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.2** If you were offered more money towards the 'Renting near work' package and would choose to rent under FAM instead of SLA, how many bedrooms would you choose?

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Shared house	23	25	25
	One bedroom	34	24	25
	Two bedrooms	30	33	32
	Three bedrooms	9	16	15
	Four bedrooms	4	3	3
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Shared house	23	20	20
	One bedroom	37	21	23
	Two bedrooms	20	42	39
	Three bedrooms	17	16	16
	Four bedrooms	2	2	2
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Shared house	25	26	26
	One bedroom	32	25	26
	Two bedrooms	33	30	31
	Three bedrooms	4	16	14
	Four bedrooms	5	3	3
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Shared house	20	26	25
	One bedroom	33	25	26
	Two bedrooms	30	30	30
	Three bedrooms	12	16	15
	Four bedrooms	5	4	4
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET:** Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.3 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [1] Good schools**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	14	20	19
	Not ticked	86	80	81
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	7	19	17
	Not ticked	93	81	83
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	15	21	20
	Not ticked	85	79	80
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	19	16	17
	Not ticked	81	84	83
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.4 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [2] Good childcare**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	0	6	5
	Not ticked	100	94	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	..	5	5
	Not ticked	100	95	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	..	6	6
	Not ticked	100	94	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	2	6	5
	Not ticked	98	94	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**



**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.5 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [3] Good sporting/gym facilities**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	39	36	36
	Not ticked	61	64	64
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	39	27	29
	Not ticked	61	73	71
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	44	38	39
	Not ticked	56	62	61
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	29	38	36
	Not ticked	71	62	64
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.6 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [4] A hospital or medical centre**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	4	13	12
	Not ticked	96	87	88
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	2	6	6
	Not ticked	98	94	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	6	16	15
	Not ticked	94	84	85
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	2	8	7
	Not ticked	98	92	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.7 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [5] Convenient public transport**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	40	26	28
	Not ticked	60	74	72
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	36	35	35
	Not ticked	64	65	65
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	45	22	25
	Not ticked	55	78	75
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	34	34	34
	Not ticked	66	66	66
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.8 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [6] A vibrant nightlife**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	16	25	24
	Not ticked	84	75	76
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	9	28	25
	Not ticked	91	72	75
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	19	23	23
	Not ticked	81	77	77
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	13	30	26
	Not ticked	87	70	74
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.9 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [7] An urban location**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	16	13	13
	Not ticked	84	87	87
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	21	13	14
	Not ticked	79	87	86
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	10	13	12
	Not ticked	90	87	88
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	24	14	16
	Not ticked	76	86	84
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.10 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [8] A rural location**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	21	16	16
	Not ticked	79	84	84
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	19	11	12
	Not ticked	81	89	88
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	20	18	18
	Not ticked	80	82	82
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	25	10	13
	Not ticked	75	90	87
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.11 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [9] A close civilian community**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	6	9	9
	Not ticked	94	91	91
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	7	3	3
	Not ticked	93	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	8	12	11
	Not ticked	92	88	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	..	7	5
	Not ticked	100	93	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.12 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [10] A family-oriented location**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	9	14	13
	Not ticked	91	86	87
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	11	10	10
	Not ticked	89	90	90
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	7	16	15
	Not ticked	93	84	85
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	10	12	12
	Not ticked	90	88	88
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**



**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.13 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [11] A military community**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	13	11	11
	Not ticked	87	89	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	16	8	9
	Not ticked	84	92	91
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	10	9	9
	Not ticked	90	91	91
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	16	21	20
	Not ticked	84	79	80
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.14 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [12] Employment opportunites of partner**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	25	28	28
	Not ticked	75	72	72
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	25	37	35
	Not ticked	75	63	65
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	28	27	27
	Not ticked	72	73	73
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	17	25	24
	Not ticked	83	75	76
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.15 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [13] A safe neighbourhood**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	50	49	49
	Not ticked	50	51	51
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	52	48	48
	Not ticked	48	52	52
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	41	49	48
	Not ticked	59	51	52
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	67	50	54
	Not ticked	33	50	46
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.16 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [14] Other**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	13	4	6
	Not ticked	87	96	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	24	5	8
	Not ticked	76	95	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	11	3	4
	Not ticked	89	97	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	9	7	8
	Not ticked	91	93	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.17 Under 'Renting near work', who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [1] Spouse/partner**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	44	54	52
	Not ticked	56	46	48
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	50	54	53
	Not ticked	50	46	47
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	38	53	51
	Not ticked	62	47	49
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	49	58	56
	Not ticked	51	42	44
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.18 Under 'Renting near work', who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [2] Child(ren)**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	13	20	19
	Not ticked	87	80	81
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	11	17	16
	Not ticked	89	83	84
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	8	22	20
	Not ticked	92	78	80
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	24	20	21
	Not ticked	76	80	79
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.19 Under 'Renting near work', who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [3] With other dependents**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	..	2	1
	Not ticked	100	98	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	..	..	..
	Not ticked	100	100	100
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	..	2	2
	Not ticked	100	98	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	..	1	1
	Not ticked	100	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.20 Under 'Renting near work', who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [4] House share - with other Service Personnel**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	32	34	34
	Not ticked	68	66	66
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	16	26	24
	Not ticked	84	74	76
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	40	36	36
	Not ticked	60	64	64
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	31	40	38
	Not ticked	69	60	62
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**



**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.21 Under 'Renting near work', who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [5] House share - with civilians**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	4	7	6
	Not ticked	96	93	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	..	3	3
	Not ticked	100	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	3	7	6
	Not ticked	97	93	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	9	11	10
	Not ticked	91	89	90
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.22 Under 'Renting near work', who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [6] House share - not sure who with**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	8	8	8
	Not ticked	92	92	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	7	10	9
	Not ticked	93	90	91
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	7	7	7
	Not ticked	93	93	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	9	13	12
	Not ticked	91	87	88
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.23 Derived: Under 'Renting near work', who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [7] Houseshare**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	38	39	39
	Not ticked	62	61	61
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	24	34	33
	Not ticked	76	66	67
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	42	40	40
	Not ticked	58	60	60
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	40	44	43
	Not ticked	60	56	57
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**

This table has been derived by combining responses that ticked either 'House share - with other Service Personnel' (see Table SC.20), 'House share - with civilians' (see Table SC.21) or 'House share - not sure who with' (see Table SC.22).

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.24 Under 'Renting near work', who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [8] Pet(s)**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	37	29	30
	Not ticked	63	71	70
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	34	19	21
	Not ticked	66	81	79
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	41	32	33
	Not ticked	59	68	67
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	33	29	30
	Not ticked	67	71	70
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.25 Under 'Renting near work', who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [9] On my own**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	7	4	4
	Not ticked	93	96	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	7	8	8
	Not ticked	93	92	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	5	3	3
	Not ticked	95	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	10	2	4
	Not ticked	90	98	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.26** How do you commute to base currently? If you use more than one method of transport, please select your main one.

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	By car/motorbike	33	27	28
	By public transport	3	1	1
	On foot	15	14	14
	By bike	10	9	9
	I live on base so do not commute	39	49	48
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	188	447	635
<b>RN/RM</b>	By car/motorbike	29	23	24
	By public transport	4	2	2
	On foot	9	3	4
	By bike	4	12	10
	I live on base so do not commute	54	60	59
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	By car/motorbike	33	28	29
	By public transport	2	0	0
	On foot	15	15	15
	By bike	11	8	8
	I live on base so do not commute	39	49	48
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	By car/motorbike	38	29	31
	By public transport	2	..	0
	On foot	21	20	20
	By bike	14	12	13
	I live on base so do not commute	25	38	36
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	51	121	172

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**

Excludes missing responses [<1%]

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.27 Under 'Renting near work', what would be your preferred method of transport for your daily commute?**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	By car/motorbike	42	64	61
	By public transport	3	3	3
	On foot	14	9	10
	By bike	40	23	25
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	188	444	632
<b>RN/RM</b>	By car/motorbike	39	61	57
	By public transport	2	11	10
	On foot	18	6	8
	By bike	41	22	25
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	67	104
<b>Army</b>	By car/motorbike	41	66	63
	By public transport	5	1	2
	On foot	12	11	11
	By bike	42	23	25
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	257	357
<b>RAF</b>	By car/motorbike	47	64	61
	By public transport	2	1	1
	On foot	17	8	10
	By bike	34	26	28
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	51	120	171

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**

Excludes missing responses [1%]

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.28 When living off base, whilst 'Renting near work', what would be the maximum one-way commute time you would consider?**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Up to 15 minutes	11	14	14
	Between 15 and 30 minutes	53	53	53
	Between 31 and 45 minutes	23	24	24
	Between 46 and 60 minutes	10	8	8
	Between 61 and 90 minutes	2	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	189	448	637
<b>RN/RM</b>	Up to 15 minutes	..	11	10
	Between 15 and 30 minutes	61	58	58
	Between 31 and 45 minutes	23	25	25
	Between 46 and 60 minutes	11	4	5
	Between 61 and 90 minutes	5	2	2
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	37	68	105
<b>Army</b>	Up to 15 minutes	17	15	15
	Between 15 and 30 minutes	49	52	52
	Between 31 and 45 minutes	24	24	24
	Between 46 and 60 minutes	9	8	8
	Between 61 and 90 minutes	1	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	100	258	358
<b>RAF</b>	Up to 15 minutes	10	14	13
	Between 15 and 30 minutes	55	53	53
	Between 31 and 45 minutes	22	22	22
	Between 46 and 60 minutes	10	12	11
	Between 61 and 90 minutes	3	..	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	52	122	174

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose SLA, but subsequently chose the 'Renting near work' package [4%].**



**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.29 If you were offered more money towards the 'Renting near work' package, would you still choose 'Owning near work' under FAM?**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	No, I would choose Renting near work	18	16	17
	Yes, I would still choose Owning near work	82	84	83
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 804	6 475	9 279
<b>RN/RM</b>	No, I would choose Renting near work	16	13	14
	Yes, I would still choose Owning near work	84	87	86
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	637	1 094	1 731
<b>Army</b>	No, I would choose Renting near work	20	18	18
	Yes, I would still choose Owning near work	80	82	82
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 290	2 966	4 256
<b>RAF</b>	No, I would choose Renting near work	18	16	16
	Yes, I would still choose Owning near work	82	84	84
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	877	2 415	3 292

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who chose 'Owning near work' when asked 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose? [35%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.30** If you were offered more money towards the 'Renting near work' package and would choose to rent under FAM instead of 'Owning near work', how many bedrooms w choose?

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Shared house	2	2	2
	One bedroom	3	6	6
	Two bedrooms	20	28	27
	Three bedrooms	40	50	48
	Four bedrooms	35	13	17
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	508	1 019	1 527
<b>RN/RM</b>	Shared house	1	..	0
	One bedroom	5	9	8
	Two bedrooms	29	30	30
	Three bedrooms	33	45	42
	Four bedrooms	33	15	19
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	99	131	230
<b>Army</b>	Shared house	2	3	3
	One bedroom	3	7	6
	Two bedrooms	17	30	28
	Three bedrooms	44	49	48
	Four bedrooms	34	11	15
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	253	509	762
<b>RAF</b>	Shared house	1	2	2
	One bedroom	1	3	3
	Two bedrooms	19	24	23
	Three bedrooms	40	55	52
	Four bedrooms	38	16	21
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	156	379	535

**SUBSET:** Results based on respondents who initially chose the 'Owning near work' package and then subsequently chose 'Renting near work' [6%].

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.31** If you were offered more money towards the 'Renting near work' package, but would still choose 'Owning near work', how many bedrooms would you choose?

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	One bedroom	1	1	1
	Two bedrooms	11	21	19
	Three bedrooms	37	57	54
	Four bedrooms	51	20	26
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 296	5 456	7 752
<b>RN/RM</b>	One bedroom	1	2	2
	Two bedrooms	14	25	23
	Three bedrooms	37	53	50
	Four bedrooms	49	20	25
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	538	963	1 501
<b>Army</b>	One bedroom	1	2	1
	Two bedrooms	12	21	20
	Three bedrooms	37	59	56
	Four bedrooms	50	18	23
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 037	2 457	3 494
<b>RAF</b>	One bedroom	0	1	1
	Two bedrooms	8	16	14
	Three bedrooms	37	57	53
	Four bedrooms	55	26	32
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	721	2 036	2 757

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose the 'Owning near work' package and then subsequently chose 'Owning near work' [29%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.32 If you were offered more money towards the 'Renting near work' package, would you still choose 'Owning away from work' ?**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	No, I would choose Renting near work	11	10	10
	No, I would choose Owning near work	4	7	7
	Yes, I would still choose Owning away from work	86	83	84
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 089	4 399	6 488
<b>RN/RM</b>	No, I would choose Renting near work	11	7	8
	No, I would choose Owning near work	4	5	5
	Yes, I would still choose Owning away from work	85	87	87
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	533	702	1 235
<b>Army</b>	No, I would choose Renting near work	10	11	11
	No, I would choose Owning near work	4	9	8
	Yes, I would still choose Owning away from work	86	81	81
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 054	2 340	3 394
<b>RAF</b>	No, I would choose Renting near work	11	9	9
	No, I would choose Owning near work	3	6	5
	Yes, I would still choose Owning away from work	87	85	85
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	502	1 357	1 859

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who chose 'Owning away from work' when asked 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose? [28%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.33** If you were offered more money towards the 'Renting near work' package and would choose to rent under FAM instead of 'Owning away from work', how many bedrooms would you choose?

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Shared house	2	5	4
	One bedroom	16	8	9
	Two bedrooms	21	35	32
	Three bedrooms	34	43	41
	Four bedrooms	28	10	13
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	222	408	630
<b>RN/RM</b>	Shared house	3	4	4
	One bedroom	22	2	8
	Two bedrooms	22	44	37
	Three bedrooms	34	38	37
	Four bedrooms	19	12	14
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	55	45	100
<b>Army</b>	Shared house	2	6	5
	One bedroom	13	8	9
	Two bedrooms	22	34	32
	Three bedrooms	37	43	42
	Four bedrooms	26	9	11
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	111	242	353
<b>RAF</b>	Shared house	..	3	2
	One bedroom	13	12	12
	Two bedrooms	16	28	25
	Three bedrooms	30	48	43
	Four bedrooms	41	9	17
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	56	121	177

**SUBSET:** Results based on respondents who initially chose 'Owning away from work' and then subsequently chose 'Renting near work' [3%].

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.34** If you were offered more money towards the 'Renting near work' package but would choose 'Owning near work' instead of 'Owning away from work', how many bedrooms would you choose?

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	One bedroom	..	2	2
	Two bedrooms	16	29	28
	Three bedrooms	50	53	52
	Four bedrooms	34	16	17
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	72	297	369
<b>RN/RM</b>	One bedroom	~	..	..
	Two bedrooms	~	30	27
	Three bedrooms	~	59	59
	Four bedrooms	~	11	13
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	21	35	56
<b>Army</b>	One bedroom	..	3	2
	Two bedrooms	14	32	31
	Three bedrooms	43	50	49
	Four bedrooms	43	16	18
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	39	182	221
<b>RAF</b>	One bedroom	~	3	3
	Two bedrooms	~	17	18
	Three bedrooms	~	60	58
	Four bedrooms	~	21	22
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	12	80	92

~ denotes that estimates were based on fewer than 30 respondents and therefore suppressed.

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose 'Owning away from work' and then subsequently chose 'Owning near work' [2%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.35** If you were offered more money towards the 'Renting near work' package but would still choose 'Owning away from work', how many bedrooms would you choose?

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	One bedroom	1	3	2
	Two bedrooms	12	25	23
	Three bedrooms	32	54	50
	Four bedrooms	55	18	25
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 795	3 694	5 489
<b>RN/RM</b>	One bedroom	1	4	3
	Two bedrooms	12	29	25
	Three bedrooms	31	49	46
	Four bedrooms	56	18	26
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	457	622	1 079
<b>Army</b>	One bedroom	2	2	2
	Two bedrooms	14	26	24
	Three bedrooms	33	54	51
	Four bedrooms	51	18	23
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	904	1 916	2 820
<b>RAF</b>	One bedroom	1	2	2
	Two bedrooms	8	20	18
	Three bedrooms	31	57	52
	Four bedrooms	61	21	29
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	434	1 156	1 590

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose 'Owning away from work' and then subsequently chose 'Owning away from work' [23%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.36 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [1] Good schools**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	9	5	6
	Not ticked	91	95	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	222	408	630
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	9	4	5
	Not ticked	91	96	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	55	45	100
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	11	5	5
	Not ticked	89	95	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	111	242	353
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	6	6	6
	Not ticked	94	94	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	56	121	177

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose 'Owning away from work' and then subsequently chose 'Renting near work' [3%].**



**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.37 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [2] Good childcare**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	18	20	19
	Not ticked	82	80	81
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	222	408	630
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	17	17	17
	Not ticked	83	83	83
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	55	45	100
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	19	19	19
	Not ticked	81	81	81
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	111	242	353
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	18	22	21
	Not ticked	82	78	79
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	56	121	177

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose 'Owning away from work' and then subsequently chose 'Renting near work' [3%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.38 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [3] Good sporting/gym facilities**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	3	12	10
	Not ticked	97	88	90
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	222	408	630
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	2	14	11
	Not ticked	98	86	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	55	45	100
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	2	13	11
	Not ticked	98	87	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	111	242	353
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	7	7	7
	Not ticked	93	93	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	56	121	177

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose 'Owning away from work' and then subsequently chose 'Renting near work' [3%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.39 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [4] A hospital or medical centre**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	8	6	6
	Not ticked	92	94	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	222	408	630
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	5	..	1
	Not ticked	95	100	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	55	45	100
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	13	8	9
	Not ticked	87	92	91
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	111	242	353
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	4	2	2
	Not ticked	96	98	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	56	121	177

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose 'Owning away from work' and then subsequently chose 'Renting near work' [3%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.40 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [5] Convenient public transport**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	28	15	18
	Not ticked	72	85	82
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	222	408	630
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	29	10	15
	Not ticked	71	90	85
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	55	45	100
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	28	17	19
	Not ticked	72	83	81
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	111	242	353
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	28	14	17
	Not ticked	72	86	83
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	56	121	177

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose 'Owning away from work' and then subsequently chose 'Renting near work' [3%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.41 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [6] A vibrant nightlife**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	50	49	49
	Not ticked	50	51	51
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	222	408	630
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	49	37	40
	Not ticked	51	63	60
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	55	45	100
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	41	51	49
	Not ticked	59	49	51
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	111	242	353
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	68	54	57
	Not ticked	32	46	43
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	56	121	177

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose 'Owning away from work' and then subsequently chose 'Renting near work' [3%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.42 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [7] An urban location**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	6	13	12
	Not ticked	94	87	88
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	222	408	630
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	11	25	21
	Not ticked	89	75	79
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	55	45	100
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	3	11	10
	Not ticked	97	89	90
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	111	242	353
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	5	9	8
	Not ticked	95	91	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	56	121	177

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose 'Owning away from work' and then subsequently chose 'Renting near work' [3%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.43 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [8] A rural location**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	11	12	12
	Not ticked	89	88	88
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	222	408	630
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	19	20	20
	Not ticked	81	80	80
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	55	45	100
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	9	10	10
	Not ticked	91	90	90
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	111	242	353
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	5	12	11
	Not ticked	95	88	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	56	121	177

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose 'Owning away from work' and then subsequently chose 'Renting near work' [3%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.44 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [9] A close civilian community**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	27	20	22
	Not ticked	73	80	78
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	222	408	630
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	26	34	32
	Not ticked	74	66	68
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	55	45	100
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	27	16	17
	Not ticked	73	84	83
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	111	242	353
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	27	24	25
	Not ticked	73	76	75
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	56	121	177

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose 'Owning away from work' and then subsequently chose 'Renting near work' [3%].**



**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.45 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [10] A family-oriented location**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	48	45	45
	Not ticked	52	55	55
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	222	408	630
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	45	36	39
	Not ticked	55	64	61
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	55	45	100
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	52	44	45
	Not ticked	48	56	55
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	111	242	353
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	43	55	52
	Not ticked	57	45	48
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	56	121	177

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose 'Owning away from work' and then subsequently chose 'Renting near work' [3%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.46 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [11] A military community**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	7	10	10
	Not ticked	93	90	90
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	222	408	630
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	5	16	13
	Not ticked	95	84	87
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	55	45	100
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	4	9	8
	Not ticked	96	91	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	111	242	353
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	14	10	11
	Not ticked	86	90	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	56	121	177

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose 'Owning away from work' and then subsequently chose 'Renting near work' [3%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.47 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [12] Employment opportunites of partner**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	41	39	39
	Not ticked	59	61	61
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	222	408	630
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	42	33	36
	Not ticked	58	67	64
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	55	45	100
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	39	40	40
	Not ticked	61	60	60
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	111	242	353
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	43	41	42
	Not ticked	57	59	58
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	56	121	177

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose 'Owning away from work' and then subsequently chose 'Renting near work' [3%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.48 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [13] A safe neighbourhood**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	20	26	25
	Not ticked	80	74	75
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	222	408	630
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	26	33	31
	Not ticked	74	67	69
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	55	45	100
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	21	26	25
	Not ticked	79	74	75
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	111	242	353
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	12	21	19
	Not ticked	88	79	81
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	56	121	177

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose 'Owning away from work' and then subsequently chose 'Renting near work' [3%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.49 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [14] Other**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	5	3	3
	Not ticked	95	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	222	408	630
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	5	6	6
	Not ticked	95	94	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	55	45	100
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	5	2	3
	Not ticked	95	98	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	111	242	353
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	7	2	4
	Not ticked	93	98	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	56	121	177

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose 'Owning away from work' and then subsequently chose 'Renting near work' [3%].**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.50** How do you commute to base currently? If you use more than one method of transport, please select your main one.

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	By car/motorbike	55	56	56
	By public transport	4	2	3
	On foot	8	11	10
	By bike	15	10	11
	I live on base so do not commute	19	20	20
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	222	404	626
<b>RN/RM</b>	By car/motorbike	47	47	47
	By public transport	5	5	5
	On foot	12	20	18
	By bike	4	11	9
	I live on base so do not commute	32	17	21
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	55	45	100
<b>Army</b>	By car/motorbike	60	58	59
	By public transport	5	2	3
	On foot	7	8	8
	By bike	18	10	11
	I live on base so do not commute	10	21	19
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	111	239	350
<b>RAF</b>	By car/motorbike	54	59	58
	By public transport	2	1	1
	On foot	3	9	7
	By bike	23	10	13
	I live on base so do not commute	18	21	20
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	56	120	176

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose 'Owning away from work' and then subsequently chose 'Renting near work' [3%].**

Excludes missing responses [1%]

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.51 Under 'Renting near work', what would be your preferred method of transport for your daily commute?**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	By car/motorbike	50	66	63
	By public transport	3	2	2
	On foot	9	8	8
	By bike	37	24	27
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	222	406	628
<b>RN/RM</b>	By car/motorbike	37	60	54
	By public transport	3	..	1
	On foot	12	8	9
	By bike	48	32	37
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	55	45	100
<b>Army</b>	By car/motorbike	52	68	66
	By public transport	5	2	3
	On foot	10	8	8
	By bike	32	22	24
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	111	241	352
<b>RAF</b>	By car/motorbike	63	64	64
	By public transport	..	1	1
	On foot	3	10	8
	By bike	34	25	27
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	56	120	176

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose 'Owning away from work' and then subsequently chose 'Renting near work' [3%]. Excludes missing responses [1%]**

**FAM Section 2 Package Options Second Choice**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SC.52 When living off base, whilst 'Renting near work', what would be the maximum one-way commute time you would consider?**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Up to 15 minutes	8	11	10
	Between 15 and 30 minutes	35	36	36
	Between 31 and 45 minutes	32	31	31
	Between 46 and 60 minutes	21	15	17
	Between 61 and 90 minutes	4	6	6
	Over 90 minutes	..	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	222	408	630
<b>RN/RM</b>	Up to 15 minutes	5	8	7
	Between 15 and 30 minutes	37	51	47
	Between 31 and 45 minutes	34	27	29
	Between 46 and 60 minutes	23	10	14
	Between 61 and 90 minutes	2	4	3
	Over 90 minutes	..	..	..
<i>Unweighted count</i>	55	45	100	
<b>Army</b>	Up to 15 minutes	10	14	13
	Between 15 and 30 minutes	33	34	34
	Between 31 and 45 minutes	35	31	31
	Between 46 and 60 minutes	19	15	15
	Between 61 and 90 minutes	3	6	6
	Over 90 minutes	..	1	1
<i>Unweighted count</i>	111	242	353	
<b>RAF</b>	Up to 15 minutes	7	4	4
	Between 15 and 30 minutes	37	29	31
	Between 31 and 45 minutes	25	34	32
	Between 46 and 60 minutes	24	23	23
	Between 61 and 90 minutes	7	9	9
	Over 90 minutes	..	1	1
<i>Unweighted count</i>	56	121	177	

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who initially chose 'Owning away from work' and then subsequently chose 'Renting near work' [3%].**



**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Single Living Accommodation (SLA)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SLA.3.01 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [1] It's the most financially attractive to me**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	56	69	67
	Not Ticked	44	31	33
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 004	2 011	3 015
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	51	64	62
	Not Ticked	49	36	38
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	181	317	498
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	57	70	68
	Not Ticked	43	30	32
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	547	1 131	1 678
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	57	72	68
	Not Ticked	43	28	32
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	276	563	839

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Single Living Accommodation' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [16%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Single Living Accommodation (SLA)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SLA.3.02** Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [2] It's the simplest option (easiest for me to take up)

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	54	55	55
	Not Ticked	46	45	45
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 004	2 011	3 015
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	64	58	59
	Not Ticked	36	42	41
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	181	317	498
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	52	54	54
	Not Ticked	48	46	46
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	547	1 131	1 678
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	52	56	55
	Not Ticked	48	44	45
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	276	563	839

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Single Living Accommodation' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [16%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Single Living Accommodation (SLA)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SLA.3.03 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [3] It allows me to live in a Service Personnel community community**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	30	18	20
	Not Ticked	70	82	80
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 004	2 011	3 015
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	19	11	12
	Not Ticked	81	89	88
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	181	317	498
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	32	19	21
	Not Ticked	68	81	79
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	547	1 131	1 678
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	33	21	24
	Not Ticked	67	79	76
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	276	563	839

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Single Living Accommodation' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [16%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Single Living Accommodation (SLA)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SLA.3.04 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [4] It allows me to live in a civilian community**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	1	2	2
	Not Ticked	99	98	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 004	2 011	3 015
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	0	2	1
	Not Ticked	100	98	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	181	317	498
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	1	2	2
	Not Ticked	99	98	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	547	1 131	1 678
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	..	1	1
	Not Ticked	100	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	276	563	839

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Single Living Accommodation' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [16%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Single Living Accommodation (SLA)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SLA.3.05** Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [5] It allows me to choose a location near what is important to me (e.g. schools, work for my spouse, etc.)

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	6	7	7
	Not Ticked	94	93	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 004	2 011	3 015
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	9	8	8
	Not Ticked	91	92	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	181	317	498
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	5	7	7
	Not Ticked	95	93	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	547	1 131	1 678
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	6	5	6
	Not Ticked	94	95	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	276	563	839

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Single Living Accommodation' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [16%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Single Living Accommodation (SLA)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SLA.3.06** Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [6] It allows me the most flexibility to move with Service requirements

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	50	35	38
	Not Ticked	50	65	62
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 004	2 011	3 015
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	52	38	40
	Not Ticked	48	62	60
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	181	317	498
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	46	33	35
	Not Ticked	54	67	65
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	547	1 131	1 678
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	56	41	44
	Not Ticked	44	59	56
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	276	563	839

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Single Living Accommodation' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [16%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Single Living Accommodation (SLA)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SLA.3.07 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [7] It will give me the lifestyle I want**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	21	16	17
	Not Ticked	79	84	83
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 004	2 011	3 015
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	14	20	19
	Not Ticked	86	80	81
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	181	317	498
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	26	16	17
	Not Ticked	74	84	83
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	547	1 131	1 678
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	16	12	13
	Not Ticked	84	88	87
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	276	563	839

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Single Living Accommodation' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [16%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Single Living Accommodation (SLA)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SLA.3.08** Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [8] It allows me to live with my partner everyday

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	5	8	7
	Not Ticked	95	92	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 004</b>	<b>2 011</b>	<b>3 015</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	3	5	5
	Not Ticked	97	95	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>181</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>498</b>
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	7	9	9
	Not Ticked	93	91	91
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>547</b>	<b>1 131</b>	<b>1 678</b>
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	5	6	5
	Not Ticked	95	94	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>276</b>	<b>563</b>	<b>839</b>

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Single Living Accommodation' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [16%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.



**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Single Living Accommodation (SLA)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SLA.3.09 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [9] It allows me to live with friends**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	8	10	10
	Not Ticked	92	90	90
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 004	2 011	3 015
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	2	7	6
	Not Ticked	98	93	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	181	317	498
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	12	12	12
	Not Ticked	88	88	88
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	547	1 131	1 678
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	3	6	5
	Not Ticked	97	94	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	276	563	839

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Single Living Accommodation' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [16%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Single Living Accommodation (SLA)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SLA.3.10** Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [10] It allows me to live with my family everyday

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	7	8	8
	Not Ticked	93	92	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 004	2 011	3 015
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	7	4	4
	Not Ticked	93	96	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	181	317	498
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	7	10	9
	Not Ticked	93	90	91
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	547	1 131	1 678
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	8	9	8
	Not Ticked	92	91	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	276	563	839

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Single Living Accommodation' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [16%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Single Living Accommodation (SLA)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SLA.3.11 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [11] It provides the most stable home for my family**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	10	8	9
	Not Ticked	90	92	91
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 004	2 011	3 015
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	19	13	14
	Not Ticked	81	87	86
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	181	317	498
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	7	7	7
	Not Ticked	93	93	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	547	1 131	1 678
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	10	8	8
	Not Ticked	90	92	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	276	563	839

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Single Living Accommodation' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [16%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Single Living Accommodation (SLA)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SLA.3.12 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [12] It allows me to base myself /family near our relatives/our hometown**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	3	3	3
	Not Ticked	97	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 004</b>	<b>2 011</b>	<b>3 015</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	9	5	6
	Not Ticked	91	95	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>181</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>498</b>
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	2	3	2
	Not Ticked	98	97	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>547</b>	<b>1 131</b>	<b>1 678</b>
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	2	4	3
	Not Ticked	98	96	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>276</b>	<b>563</b>	<b>839</b>

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Single Living Accommodation' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [16%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Single Living Accommodation (SLA)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SLA.3.13 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [13] It allows me to own a property**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	8	7	8
	Not Ticked	92	93	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 004</b>	<b>2 011</b>	<b>3 015</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	8	11	11
	Not Ticked	92	89	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>181</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>498</b>
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	7	6	7
	Not Ticked	93	94	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>547</b>	<b>1 131</b>	<b>1 678</b>
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	9	7	7
	Not Ticked	91	93	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>276</b>	<b>563</b>	<b>839</b>

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Single Living Accommodation' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [16%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Single Living Accommodation (SLA)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SLA.3.14 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [14] Buying is not practical for me at the moment**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	11	25	22
	Not Ticked	89	75	78
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 004	2 011	3 015
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	12	21	20
	Not Ticked	88	79	80
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	181	317	498
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	12	24	22
	Not Ticked	88	76	78
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	547	1 131	1 678
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	9	30	25
	Not Ticked	91	70	75
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	276	563	839

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Single Living Accommodation' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [16%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Single Living Accommodation (SLA)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SLA.3.15** Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [15] It allows me to choose where I live and for how long

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	5	4	4
	Not Ticked	95	96	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 004</b>	<b>2 011</b>	<b>3 015</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	9	6	6
	Not Ticked	91	94	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>181</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>498</b>
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	4	4	4
	Not Ticked	96	96	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>547</b>	<b>1 131</b>	<b>1 678</b>
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	3	4	4
	Not Ticked	97	96	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>276</b>	<b>563</b>	<b>839</b>

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Single Living Accommodation' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [16%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Single Living Accommodation (SLA)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SLA.3.16** Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [16] It allows me to choose the size and type of property I want

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	1	2	2
	Not Ticked	99	98	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 004	2 011	3 015
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	1	2	2
	Not Ticked	99	98	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	181	317	498
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	1	2	2
	Not Ticked	99	98	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	547	1 131	1 678
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	1	1	1
	Not Ticked	99	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	276	563	839

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Single Living Accommodation' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [16%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.



**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Single Living Accommodation (SLA)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**SLA.3.17 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [17] Other**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	14	8	9
	Not Ticked	86	92	91
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 004</b>	<b>2 011</b>	<b>3 015</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	11	10	10
	Not Ticked	89	90	90
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>181</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>498</b>
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	13	7	8
	Not Ticked	87	93	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>547</b>	<b>1 131</b>	<b>1 678</b>
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	17	8	10
	Not Ticked	83	92	90
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>276</b>	<b>563</b>	<b>839</b>

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Single Living Accommodation' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [16%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.01 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [1] It's the most financially attractive to me**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	27	36	34
	Not Ticked	73	64	66
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 031	3 169	5 200
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	31	36	35
	Not Ticked	69	64	65
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	306	351	657
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	27	36	34
	Not Ticked	73	64	66
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 153	1 755	2 908
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	25	36	33
	Not Ticked	75	64	67
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	572	1 063	1 635

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.02 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [2] It's the simplest option (easiest for me to take up)**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	21	14	16
	Not Ticked	79	86	84
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 031	3 169	5 200
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	20	12	14
	Not Ticked	80	88	86
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	306	351	657
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	23	15	17
	Not Ticked	77	85	83
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 153	1 755	2 908
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	18	14	15
	Not Ticked	82	86	85
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	572	1 063	1 635

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.03 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [3] It allows me to live in a Service Personnel community**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	8	4	5
	Not Ticked	92	96	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 031	3 169	5 200
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	3	2	2
	Not Ticked	97	98	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	306	351	657
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	10	6	7
	Not Ticked	90	94	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 153	1 755	2 908
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	8	3	4
	Not Ticked	92	97	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	572	1 063	1 635

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.04 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [4] It allows me to live in a civilian community**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	7	16	14
	Not Ticked	93	84	86
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 031	3 169	5 200
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	11	19	17
	Not Ticked	89	81	83
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	306	351	657
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	6	16	14
	Not Ticked	94	84	86
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 153	1 755	2 908
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	6	13	11
	Not Ticked	94	87	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	572	1 063	1 635

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.05** Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [5] It allows me to choose a location near what is important to me (e.g. schools, work for my spouse, etc.)

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	25	25	25
	Not Ticked	75	75	75
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 031	3 169	5 200
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	25	22	23
	Not Ticked	75	78	77
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	306	351	657
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	23	26	26
	Not Ticked	77	74	74
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 153	1 755	2 908
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	28	25	25
	Not Ticked	72	75	75
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	572	1 063	1 635

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.06 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [6] It allows me the most flexibility to move with Service requirements**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	46	18	24
	Not Ticked	54	82	76
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 031	3 169	5 200
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	40	22	26
	Not Ticked	60	78	74
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	306	351	657
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	46	17	22
	Not Ticked	54	83	78
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 153	1 755	2 908
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	49	20	27
	Not Ticked	51	80	73
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	572	1 063	1 635

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.07 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [7] It will give me the lifestyle I want**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	23	35	33
	Not Ticked	77	65	67
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 031	3 169	5 200
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	30	36	35
	Not Ticked	70	64	65
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	306	351	657
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	22	36	33
	Not Ticked	78	64	67
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 153	1 755	2 908
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	22	34	31
	Not Ticked	78	66	69
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	572	1 063	1 635

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.



**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.08** Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [8] It allows me to live with my partner everyday

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	32	37	35
	Not Ticked	68	63	65
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 031	3 169	5 200
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	31	35	34
	Not Ticked	69	65	66
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	306	351	657
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	31	37	36
	Not Ticked	69	63	64
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 153	1 755	2 908
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	32	37	35
	Not Ticked	68	63	65
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	572	1 063	1 635

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.09 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [9] It allows me to live with friends**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	3	7	6
	Not Ticked	97	93	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 031	3 169	5 200
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	6	8	8
	Not Ticked	94	92	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	306	351	657
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	3	7	6
	Not Ticked	97	93	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 153	1 755	2 908
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	2	6	5
	Not Ticked	98	94	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	572	1 063	1 635

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.10 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [10] It allows me to live with my family everyday**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	31	24	26
	Not Ticked	69	76	74
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 031	3 169	5 200
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	23	21	21
	Not Ticked	77	79	79
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	306	351	657
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	33	25	27
	Not Ticked	67	75	73
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 153	1 755	2 908
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	32	23	25
	Not Ticked	68	77	75
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	572	1 063	1 635

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.11 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [11] It provides the most stable home for my family**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	7	12	11
	Not Ticked	93	88	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 031	3 169	5 200
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	8	10	10
	Not Ticked	92	90	90
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	306	351	657
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	7	13	11
	Not Ticked	93	87	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 153	1 755	2 908
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	7	10	10
	Not Ticked	93	90	90
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	572	1 063	1 635

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.12 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [12] It allows me to base myself /family near our relatives/our hometown**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	1	4	3
	Not Ticked	99	96	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 031	3 169	5 200
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	1	6	5
	Not Ticked	99	94	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	306	351	657
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	1	4	3
	Not Ticked	99	96	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 153	1 755	2 908
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	1	3	3
	Not Ticked	99	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	572	1 063	1 635

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.13 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [13] It allows me to own a property**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	2	4	4
	Not Ticked	98	96	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 031	3 169	5 200
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	3	4	4
	Not Ticked	97	96	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	306	351	657
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	2	4	4
	Not Ticked	98	96	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 153	1 755	2 908
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	2	3	3
	Not Ticked	98	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	572	1 063	1 635

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.14 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [14] Buying is not practical for me at the moment**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	9	17	16
	Not Ticked	91	83	84
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 031	3 169	5 200
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	11	18	16
	Not Ticked	89	82	84
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	306	351	657
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	8	16	14
	Not Ticked	92	84	86
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 153	1 755	2 908
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	11	21	19
	Not Ticked	89	79	81
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	572	1 063	1 635

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.15 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [15] It allows me to choose where I live and for how long**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	13	14	13
	Not Ticked	87	86	87
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 031	3 169	5 200
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	18	19	19
	Not Ticked	82	81	81
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	306	351	657
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	11	12	12
	Not Ticked	89	88	88
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 153	1 755	2 908
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	12	15	14
	Not Ticked	88	85	86
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	572	1 063	1 635

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.



**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.16 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [16] It allows me to choose the size and type of property I want**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	25	19	20
	Not Ticked	75	81	80
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 031	3 169	5 200
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	24	18	19
	Not Ticked	76	82	81
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	306	351	657
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	25	18	19
	Not Ticked	75	82	81
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 153	1 755	2 908
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	25	24	25
	Not Ticked	75	76	75
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	572	1 063	1 635

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.17 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [17] Other**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	12	8	9
	Not Ticked	88	92	91
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 031	3 169	5 200
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	8	7	7
	Not Ticked	92	93	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	306	351	657
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	12	7	8
	Not Ticked	88	93	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 153	1 755	2 908
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	13	10	11
	Not Ticked	87	90	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	572	1 063	1 635

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.18 When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [1] A garden**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	49	51	51
	Not ticked	51	49	49
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 041	3 210	5 251
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	44	50	48
	Not ticked	56	50	52
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	308	355	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	52	52	52
	Not ticked	48	48	48
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 158	1 790	2 948
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	47	50	49
	Not ticked	53	50	51
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 065	1 640

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.19 When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [2] A garage**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	23	22	22
	Not ticked	77	78	78
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 041	3 210	5 251
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	25	19	21
	Not ticked	75	81	79
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	308	355	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	20	22	22
	Not ticked	80	78	78
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 158	1 790	2 948
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	25	22	23
	Not ticked	75	78	77
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 065	1 640

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.2 When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [3] Size of living space**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	76	68	70
	Not ticked	24	32	30
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 041	3 210	5 251
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	77	71	73
	Not ticked	23	29	27
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	308	355	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	75	66	68
	Not ticked	25	34	32
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 158	1 790	2 948
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	76	74	75
	Not ticked	24	26	25
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 065	1 640

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.21 When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [4] A spare bedroom**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	31	24	26
	Not ticked	69	76	74
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 041	3 210	5 251
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	29	28	28
	Not ticked	71	72	72
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	308	355	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	33	22	24
	Not ticked	67	78	76
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 158	1 790	2 948
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	30	28	28
	Not ticked	70	72	72
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 065	1 640

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.22 When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [5] Pet friendly**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	22	25	24
	Not ticked	78	75	76
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 041	3 210	5 251
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	21	23	23
	Not ticked	79	77	77
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	308	355	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	23	26	25
	Not ticked	77	74	75
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 158	1 790	2 948
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	21	23	22
	Not ticked	79	77	78
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 065	1 640

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.23 When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [6] Low price**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	30	50	46
	Not ticked	70	50	54
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 041	3 210	5 251
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	28	46	42
	Not ticked	72	54	58
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	308	355	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	31	53	49
	Not ticked	69	47	51
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 158	1 790	2 948
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	29	45	41
	Not ticked	71	55	59
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 065	1 640

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.



**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.24 When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [7] A flat**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	2	5	4
	Not ticked	98	95	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 041	3 210	5 251
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	3	7	6
	Not ticked	97	93	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	308	355	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	1	6	5
	Not ticked	99	94	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 158	1 790	2 948
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	2	2	2
	Not ticked	98	98	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 065	1 640

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.25 When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [8] A terrace/semi-detached house**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	3	8	7
	Not ticked	97	92	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 041	3 210	5 251
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	2	10	8
	Not ticked	98	90	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	308	355	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	3	8	7
	Not ticked	97	92	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 158	1 790	2 948
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	2	7	6
	Not ticked	98	93	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 065	1 640

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.26 When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [9] A detached house**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	18	9	11
	Not ticked	82	91	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 041	3 210	5 251
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	18	6	9
	Not ticked	82	94	91
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	308	355	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	16	10	11
	Not ticked	84	90	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 158	1 790	2 948
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	20	8	11
	Not ticked	80	92	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 065	1 640

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.27 When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [10] The quality of fixtures and fittings**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	31	29	30
	Not ticked	69	71	70
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 041	3 210	5 251
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	35	32	33
	Not ticked	65	68	67
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	308	355	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	29	28	28
	Not ticked	71	72	72
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 158	1 790	2 948
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	32	32	32
	Not ticked	68	68	68
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 065	1 640

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.28 When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [11] Other**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	10	4	5
	Not ticked	90	96	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 041	3 210	5 251
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	10	5	6
	Not ticked	90	95	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	308	355	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	9	3	4
	Not ticked	91	97	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 158	1 790	2 948
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	11	6	7
	Not ticked	89	94	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 065	1 640

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.29 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [1] Good schools**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	34	37	37
	Not ticked	66	63	63
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 037	3 194	5 231
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	30	36	34
	Not ticked	70	64	66
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	307	356	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	33	40	39
	Not ticked	67	60	61
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 155	1 776	2 931
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	37	31	33
	Not ticked	63	69	67
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 062	1 637

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.30 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [2] Good childcare**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	8	11	10
	Not ticked	92	89	90
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 037	3 194	5 231
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	6	8	8
	Not ticked	94	92	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	307	356	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	7	12	11
	Not ticked	93	88	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 155	1 776	2 931
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	10	10	10
	Not ticked	90	90	90
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 062	1 637

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.31 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [3] Good sporting/gym facilities**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	19	25	24
	Not ticked	81	75	76
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 037	3 194	5 231
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	19	26	24
	Not ticked	81	74	76
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	307	356	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	19	25	24
	Not ticked	81	75	76
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 155	1 776	2 931
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	18	24	22
	Not ticked	82	76	78
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 062	1 637

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.



**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.32 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [4] A hospital or medical centre**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	4	12	10
	Not ticked	96	88	90
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 037	3 194	5 231
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	7	8	8
	Not ticked	93	92	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	307	356	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	4	14	12
	Not ticked	96	86	88
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 155	1 776	2 931
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	3	8	6
	Not ticked	97	92	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 062	1 637

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.33 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [5] Convenient public transport**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	26	25	25
	Not ticked	74	75	75
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 037	3 194	5 231
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	31	30	30
	Not ticked	69	70	70
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	307	356	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	27	23	23
	Not ticked	73	77	77
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 155	1 776	2 931
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	21	28	26
	Not ticked	79	72	74
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 062	1 637

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.34 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [6] A vibrant nightlife**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	7	13	12
	Not ticked	93	87	88
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 037	3 194	5 231
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	9	15	14
	Not ticked	91	85	86
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	307	356	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	8	13	12
	Not ticked	92	87	88
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 155	1 776	2 931
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	6	11	9
	Not ticked	94	89	91
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 062	1 637

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.35 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [7] An urban location**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	11	13	12
	Not ticked	89	87	88
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 037	3 194	5 231
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	14	10	11
	Not ticked	86	90	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	307	356	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	10	13	12
	Not ticked	90	87	88
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 155	1 776	2 931
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	10	15	14
	Not ticked	90	85	86
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 062	1 637

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.36 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [8] A rural location**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	19	15	16
	Not ticked	81	85	84
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 037	3 194	5 231
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	20	20	20
	Not ticked	80	80	80
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	307	356	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	19	13	14
	Not ticked	81	87	86
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 155	1 776	2 931
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	20	16	17
	Not ticked	80	84	83
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 062	1 637

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.37 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [9] A close civilian community**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	6	8	8
	Not ticked	94	92	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 037	3 194	5 231
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	5	5	5
	Not ticked	95	95	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	307	356	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	7	8	8
	Not ticked	93	92	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 155	1 776	2 931
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	6	10	9
	Not ticked	94	90	91
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 062	1 637

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.38 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [10] A family-oriented location**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	23	20	20
	Not ticked	77	80	80
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 037	3 194	5 231
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	22	22	22
	Not ticked	78	78	78
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	307	356	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	24	19	20
	Not ticked	76	81	80
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 155	1 776	2 931
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	22	20	20
	Not ticked	78	80	80
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 062	1 637

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.39 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [11] A military community**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	21	9	11
	Not ticked	79	91	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 037	3 194	5 231
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	7	6	6
	Not ticked	93	94	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	307	356	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	28	10	13
	Not ticked	72	90	87
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 155	1 776	2 931
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	18	7	10
	Not ticked	82	93	90
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 062	1 637

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.



**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.40 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [12] Employment opportunitis of partner**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	43	44	44
	Not ticked	57	56	56
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 037	3 194	5 231
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	41	38	39
	Not ticked	59	62	61
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	307	356	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	44	45	45
	Not ticked	56	55	55
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 155	1 776	2 931
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	42	45	44
	Not ticked	58	55	56
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 062	1 637

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.41 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [13] A safe neighbourhood**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	59	58	58
	Not ticked	41	42	42
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 037	3 194	5 231
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	69	62	64
	Not ticked	31	38	36
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	307	356	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	54	55	55
	Not ticked	46	45	45
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 155	1 776	2 931
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	63	63	63
	Not ticked	37	37	37
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 062	1 637

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.42 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [14] other**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	7	3	4
	Not ticked	93	97	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 037	3 194	5 231
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	8	4	5
	Not ticked	92	96	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	307	356	663
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	6	3	3
	Not ticked	94	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 155	1 776	2 931
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	9	4	5
	Not ticked	91	96	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	575	1 062	1 637

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.43 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [1] Spouse/partner**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	89	81	83
	Not ticked	11	19	17
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 058	3 282	5 340
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	84	75	77
	Not ticked	16	25	23
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	311	363	674
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	88	83	84
	Not ticked	12	17	16
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 169	1 845	3 014
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	92	82	84
	Not ticked	8	18	16
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	578	1 074	1 652

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.44 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [2] Child(ren)**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	51	43	45
	Not ticked	49	57	55
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 058	3 282	5 340
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	42	40	41
	Not ticked	58	60	59
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	311	363	674
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	52	44	46
	Not ticked	48	56	54
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 169	1 845	3 014
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	54	42	45
	Not ticked	46	58	55
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	578	1 074	1 652

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.45 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [3] With other dependents (such as older relatives I care for)**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	4	3	3
	Not ticked	96	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 058	3 282	5 340
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	4	1	2
	Not ticked	96	99	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	311	363	674
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	3	4	4
	Not ticked	97	96	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 169	1 845	3 014
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	4	3	3
	Not ticked	96	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	578	1 074	1 652

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.46 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [4] House share - with other Service Personnel**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	6	11	10
	Not ticked	94	89	90
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 058	3 282	5 340
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	7	13	12
	Not ticked	93	87	88
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	311	363	674
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	6	10	10
	Not ticked	94	90	90
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 169	1 845	3 014
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	6	9	9
	Not ticked	94	91	91
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	578	1 074	1 652

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.47 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [5] House share - with civilians**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	3	3	3
	Not ticked	97	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 058	3 282	5 340
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	4	4	4
	Not ticked	96	96	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	311	363	674
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	3	3	3
	Not ticked	97	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 169	1 845	3 014
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	4	4	4
	Not ticked	96	96	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	578	1 074	1 652

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.



**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.48 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [6] House share - not sure who with**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	2	3	3
	Not ticked	98	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 058	3 282	5 340
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	3	3	3
	Not ticked	97	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	311	363	674
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	2	3	3
	Not ticked	98	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 169	1 845	3 014
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	3	3	3
	Not ticked	97	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	578	1 074	1 652

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.49 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [7] Pet(s)**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	35	34	34
	Not ticked	65	66	66
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 058	3 282	5 340
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	26	30	29
	Not ticked	74	70	71
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	311	363	674
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	38	35	35
	Not ticked	62	65	65
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 169	1 845	3 014
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	35	35	35
	Not ticked	65	65	65
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	578	1 074	1 652

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.50 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [8] On my own**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	9	12	12
	Not ticked	91	88	88
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 058	3 282	5 340
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	11	16	15
	Not ticked	89	84	85
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	311	363	674
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	9	11	11
	Not ticked	91	89	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 169	1 845	3 014
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	7	12	11
	Not ticked	93	88	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	578	1 074	1 652

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.51 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [9] I don't know**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	1	2	2
	Not ticked	99	98	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 058	3 282	5 340
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	1	3	2
	Not ticked	99	97	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	311	363	674
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	1	2	2
	Not ticked	99	98	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 169	1 845	3 014
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	1	2	1
	Not ticked	99	98	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	578	1 074	1 652

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Renting near work (RNW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**RNW.3.52 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [derived] Houseshare - any**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	9	14	13
	Not ticked	91	86	87
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 058	3 282	5 340
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	11	16	15
	Not ticked	89	84	85
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	311	363	674
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	9	14	13
	Not ticked	91	86	87
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 169	1 845	3 014
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	8	13	12
	Not ticked	92	87	88
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	578	1 074	1 652

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Renting near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [21%]**

This table has been derived by combining responses that ticked either 'House share - with other Service Personnel' (see Table 3.46), 'House share - with civilians' (see Table 3.47) or 'House share - not sure who with' (see Table 3.48).

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.01 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [1] It's the most financially attractive to me**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	23	25	25
	Not Ticked	77	75	75
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 766	6 253	9 019
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	21	27	26
	Not Ticked	79	73	74
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	626	1 070	1 696
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	25	26	26
	Not Ticked	75	74	74
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 275	2 811	4 086
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	22	22	22
	Not Ticked	78	78	78
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	865	2 372	3 237

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.02 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [2] It's the simplest option (easiest for me to take up)**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	3	5	4
	Not Ticked	97	95	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 766	6 253	9 019
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	4	6	6
	Not Ticked	96	94	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	626	1 070	1 696
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	3	5	4
	Not Ticked	97	95	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 275	2 811	4 086
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	2	3	3
	Not Ticked	98	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	865	2 372	3 237

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.03 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [3] It allows me to live in a Service Personnel community**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	2	1	2
	Not Ticked	98	99	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 766	6 253	9 019
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	1	1	1
	Not Ticked	99	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	626	1 070	1 696
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	4	2	2
	Not Ticked	96	98	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 275	2 811	4 086
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	1	1	1
	Not Ticked	99	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	865	2 372	3 237

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.



**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.04 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [4] It allows me to live in a civilian community**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	5	9	8
	Not Ticked	95	91	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 766	6 253	9 019
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	5	7	7
	Not Ticked	95	93	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	626	1 070	1 696
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	4	10	9
	Not Ticked	96	90	91
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 275	2 811	4 086
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	4	9	8
	Not Ticked	96	91	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	865	2 372	3 237

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.05** Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [5] It allows me to choose a location near what is important to me (e.g. schools, work for my spouse, etc.)

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	43	39	40
	Not Ticked	57	61	60
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 766	6 253	9 019
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	43	39	40
	Not Ticked	57	61	60
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	626	1 070	1 696
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	43	39	40
	Not Ticked	57	61	60
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 275	2 811	4 086
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	44	38	39
	Not Ticked	56	62	61
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	865	2 372	3 237

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.06** Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [6] It allows me the most flexibility to move with Service requirements

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	6	3	4
	Not Ticked	94	97	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 766	6 253	9 019
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	5	2	3
	Not Ticked	95	98	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	626	1 070	1 696
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	8	4	5
	Not Ticked	92	96	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 275	2 811	4 086
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	5	3	3
	Not Ticked	95	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	865	2 372	3 237

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.07 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [7] It will give me the lifestyle I want**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	24	24	24
	Not Ticked	76	76	76
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 766	6 253	9 019
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	26	25	25
	Not Ticked	74	75	75
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	626	1 070	1 696
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	22	23	23
	Not Ticked	78	77	77
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 275	2 811	4 086
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	24	24	24
	Not Ticked	76	76	76
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	865	2 372	3 237

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.08 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [8] It allows me to live with my partner everyday**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	28	32	31
	Not Ticked	72	68	69
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 766	6 253	9 019
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	26	33	31
	Not Ticked	74	67	69
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	626	1 070	1 696
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	28	30	30
	Not Ticked	72	70	70
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 275	2 811	4 086
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	28	34	33
	Not Ticked	72	66	67
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	865	2 372	3 237

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.09 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [9] It allows me to live with friends**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	1	1	1
	Not Ticked	99	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 766	6 253	9 019
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	1	1	1
	Not Ticked	99	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	626	1 070	1 696
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	1	1	1
	Not Ticked	99	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 275	2 811	4 086
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	1	1	1
	Not Ticked	99	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	865	2 372	3 237

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.10 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [10] It allows me to live with my family everyday**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	31	28	29
	Not Ticked	69	72	71
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 766	6 253	9 019
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	30	29	29
	Not Ticked	70	71	71
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	626	1 070	1 696
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	29	28	28
	Not Ticked	71	72	72
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 275	2 811	4 086
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	34	29	30
	Not Ticked	66	71	70
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	865	2 372	3 237

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.11 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [11] It provides the most stable home for my family**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	33	35	34
	Not Ticked	67	65	66
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 766	6 253	9 019
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	35	35	35
	Not Ticked	65	65	65
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	626	1 070	1 696
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	33	36	35
	Not Ticked	67	64	65
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 275	2 811	4 086
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	32	32	32
	Not Ticked	68	68	68
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	865	2 372	3 237

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.



**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.12 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [12] It allows me to base myself /family near our relatives/our hometown**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	4	7	6
	Not Ticked	96	93	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 766	6 253	9 019
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	5	8	7
	Not Ticked	95	92	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	626	1 070	1 696
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	4	7	7
	Not Ticked	96	93	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 275	2 811	4 086
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	3	6	5
	Not Ticked	97	94	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	865	2 372	3 237

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.13 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [13] It allows me to own a property**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	52	54	54
	Not Ticked	48	46	46
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 766	6 253	9 019
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	54	53	53
	Not Ticked	46	47	47
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	626	1 070	1 696
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	52	52	52
	Not Ticked	48	48	48
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 275	2 811	4 086
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	52	57	56
	Not Ticked	48	43	44
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	865	2 372	3 237

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.14 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [14] Buying is not practical for me at the moment**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	1	1	1
	Not Ticked	99	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 766	6 253	9 019
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	1	1	1
	Not Ticked	99	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	626	1 070	1 696
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	1	2	2
	Not Ticked	99	98	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 275	2 811	4 086
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	0	1	1
	Not Ticked	100	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	865	2 372	3 237

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.15 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [15] It allows me to choose where I live and for how long**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	15	13	13
	Not Ticked	85	87	87
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 766	6 253	9 019
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	17	14	15
	Not Ticked	83	86	85
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	626	1 070	1 696
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	13	11	12
	Not Ticked	87	89	88
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 275	2 811	4 086
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	15	14	14
	Not Ticked	85	86	86
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	865	2 372	3 237

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.16 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [16] It allows me to choose the size and type of property I want**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	22	17	18
	Not Ticked	78	83	82
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 766	6 253	9 019
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	23	15	16
	Not Ticked	77	85	84
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	626	1 070	1 696
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	20	17	17
	Not Ticked	80	83	83
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 275	2 811	4 086
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	23	20	21
	Not Ticked	77	80	79
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	865	2 372	3 237

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.17 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [17] Other**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	4	3	3
	Not Ticked	96	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 766	6 253	9 019
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	3	2	2
	Not Ticked	97	98	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	626	1 070	1 696
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	5	3	3
	Not Ticked	95	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 275	2 811	4 086
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	4	3	3
	Not Ticked	96	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	865	2 372	3 237

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.18 When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [1] A garden**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	58	62	61
	Not ticked	42	38	39
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 782	6 339	9 121
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	58	63	62
	Not ticked	42	37	38
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	631	1 082	1 713
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	58	62	62
	Not ticked	42	38	38
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 279	2 874	4 153
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	58	60	60
	Not ticked	42	40	40
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	872	2 383	3 255

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.19 When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [2] A garage**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	25	26	26
	Not ticked	75	74	74
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 782	6 339	9 121
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	25	29	28
	Not ticked	75	71	72
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	631	1 082	1 713
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	26	25	25
	Not ticked	74	75	75
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 279	2 874	4 153
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	25	26	26
	Not ticked	75	74	74
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	872	2 383	3 255

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.



**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.20 When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [3] Size of living space**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	78	74	75
	Not ticked	22	26	25
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 782	6 339	9 121
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	78	75	76
	Not ticked	22	25	24
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	631	1 082	1 713
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	77	73	73
	Not ticked	23	27	27
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 279	2 874	4 153
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	81	75	76
	Not ticked	19	25	24
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	872	2 383	3 255

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.21 When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [4] A spare bedroom**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	27	23	24
	Not ticked	73	77	76
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 782	6 339	9 121
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	30	25	26
	Not ticked	70	75	74
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	631	1 082	1 713
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	27	20	22
	Not ticked	73	80	78
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 279	2 874	4 153
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	25	27	27
	Not ticked	75	73	73
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	872	2 383	3 255

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.22 When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [5] Pet friendly**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	15	17	17
	Not ticked	85	83	83
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 782	6 339	9 121
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	14	17	16
	Not ticked	86	83	84
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	631	1 082	1 713
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	18	18	18
	Not ticked	82	82	82
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 279	2 874	4 153
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	12	17	16
	Not ticked	88	83	84
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	872	2 383	3 255

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.23 When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [6] Low price**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	16	32	29
	Not ticked	84	68	71
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 782	6 339	9 121
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	12	25	22
	Not ticked	88	75	78
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	631	1 082	1 713
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	20	38	35
	Not ticked	80	62	65
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 279	2 874	4 153
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	16	26	24
	Not ticked	84	74	76
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	872	2 383	3 255

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.24 When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [7] A flat**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	1	1	1
	Not ticked	99	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 782	6 339	9 121
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	2	2	2
	Not ticked	98	98	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	631	1 082	1 713
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	0	1	1
	Not ticked	100	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 279	2 874	4 153
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	1	1	1
	Not ticked	99	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	872	2 383	3 255

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.25 When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [8] A terrace/semi-detached house**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	3	9	8
	Not ticked	97	91	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 782	6 339	9 121
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	4	12	11
	Not ticked	96	88	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	631	1 082	1 713
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	3	10	9
	Not ticked	97	90	91
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 279	2 874	4 153
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	3	6	6
	Not ticked	97	94	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	872	2 383	3 255

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.26 When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [9] A detached house**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	34	21	24
	Not ticked	66	79	76
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 782	6 339	9 121
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	32	20	22
	Not ticked	68	80	78
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	631	1 082	1 713
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	32	21	23
	Not ticked	68	79	77
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 279	2 874	4 153
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	38	24	27
	Not ticked	62	76	73
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	872	2 383	3 255

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.27 When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [10] The quality of fixtures and fittings**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	28	25	26
	Not ticked	72	75	74
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 782	6 339	9 121
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	27	21	22
	Not ticked	73	79	78
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	631	1 082	1 713
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	27	26	26
	Not ticked	73	74	74
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 279	2 874	4 153
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	31	28	28
	Not ticked	69	72	72
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	872	2 383	3 255

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.



**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.28 When looking for a property, what are the most important features for you in the actual property you select? [11] Other**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	9	5	6
	Not ticked	91	95	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 782	6 339	9 121
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	13	7	8
	Not ticked	87	93	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	631	1 082	1 713
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	8	3	4
	Not ticked	92	97	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 279	2 874	4 153
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	7	5	6
	Not ticked	93	95	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	872	2 383	3 255

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.29 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [1] Good schools**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	52	55	54
	Not ticked	48	45	46
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 777	6 330	9 107
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	53	51	52
	Not ticked	47	49	48
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	629	1 078	1 707
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	52	58	57
	Not ticked	48	42	43
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 278	2 871	4 149
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	50	51	50
	Not ticked	50	49	50
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	870	2 381	3 251

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.30 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [2] Good childcare**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	6	11	10
	Not ticked	94	89	90
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 777	6 330	9 107
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	5	9	8
	Not ticked	95	91	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	629	1 078	1 707
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	5	11	11
	Not ticked	95	89	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 278	2 871	4 149
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	9	11	11
	Not ticked	91	89	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	870	2 381	3 251

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.31 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [3] Good sporting/gym facilities**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	14	16	15
	Not ticked	86	84	85
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 777	6 330	9 107
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	11	16	15
	Not ticked	89	84	85
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	629	1 078	1 707
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	15	17	17
	Not ticked	85	83	83
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 278	2 871	4 149
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	14	13	13
	Not ticked	86	87	87
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	870	2 381	3 251

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.32 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [4] A hospital or medical centre**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	4	12	11
	Not ticked	96	88	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 777	6 330	9 107
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	3	9	8
	Not ticked	97	91	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	629	1 078	1 707
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	6	16	15
	Not ticked	94	84	85
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 278	2 871	4 149
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	4	8	7
	Not ticked	96	92	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	870	2 381	3 251

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.33 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [5] Convenient public transport**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	21	19	19
	Not ticked	79	81	81
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 777	6 330	9 107
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	25	18	19
	Not ticked	75	82	81
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	629	1 078	1 707
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	20	19	19
	Not ticked	80	81	81
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 278	2 871	4 149
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	19	19	19
	Not ticked	81	81	81
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	870	2 381	3 251

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.34 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [6] A vibrant nightlife**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	5	5	5
	Not ticked	95	95	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 777	6 330	9 107
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	8	6	6
	Not ticked	92	94	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	629	1 078	1 707
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	4	5	5
	Not ticked	96	95	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 278	2 871	4 149
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	4	6	6
	Not ticked	96	94	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	870	2 381	3 251

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.35 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [7] An urban location**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	9	7	8
	Not ticked	91	93	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 777	6 330	9 107
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	12	8	9
	Not ticked	88	92	91
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	629	1 078	1 707
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	7	7	7
	Not ticked	93	93	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 278	2 871	4 149
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	8	8	8
	Not ticked	92	92	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	870	2 381	3 251

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.



**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.36 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [8] A rural location**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	25	18	19
	Not ticked	75	82	81
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 777	6 330	9 107
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	22	21	21
	Not ticked	78	79	79
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	629	1 078	1 707
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	27	15	17
	Not ticked	73	85	83
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 278	2 871	4 149
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	25	21	22
	Not ticked	75	79	78
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	870	2 381	3 251

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.37 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [9] A close civilian community**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	9	8	8
	Not ticked	91	92	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 777	6 330	9 107
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	9	7	7
	Not ticked	91	93	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	629	1 078	1 707
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	9	7	7
	Not ticked	91	93	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 278	2 871	4 149
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	10	9	10
	Not ticked	90	91	90
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	870	2 381	3 251

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.38 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [10] A family-oriented location**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	23	26	26
	Not ticked	77	74	74
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 777	6 330	9 107
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	25	29	28
	Not ticked	75	71	72
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	629	1 078	1 707
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	21	26	26
	Not ticked	79	74	74
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 278	2 871	4 149
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	26	23	23
	Not ticked	74	77	77
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	870	2 381	3 251

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.39 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [11] A military community**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	7	3	4
	Not ticked	93	97	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 777	6 330	9 107
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	3	3	3
	Not ticked	97	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	629	1 078	1 707
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	10	3	4
	Not ticked	90	97	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 278	2 871	4 149
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	8	3	4
	Not ticked	92	97	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	870	2 381	3 251

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.40 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [12] Employment opportunities of partner**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	52	50	50
	Not ticked	48	50	50
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 777	6 330	9 107
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	46	44	44
	Not ticked	54	56	56
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	629	1 078	1 707
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	58	51	52
	Not ticked	42	49	48
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 278	2 871	4 149
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	49	52	51
	Not ticked	51	48	49
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	870	2 381	3 251

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.41 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [13] A safe neighbourhood**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	57	61	60
	Not ticked	43	39	40
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 777	6 330	9 107
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	61	68	66
	Not ticked	39	32	34
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	629	1 078	1 707
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	53	56	56
	Not ticked	47	44	44
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 278	2 871	4 149
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	59	62	62
	Not ticked	41	38	38
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	870	2 381	3 251

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.42 What would you be looking for in the local area when selecting a property? [14] Other**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	6	3	4
	Not ticked	94	97	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 777	6 330	9 107
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	5	4	4
	Not ticked	95	96	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	629	1 078	1 707
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	6	2	3
	Not ticked	94	98	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 278	2 871	4 149
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	6	5	5
	Not ticked	94	95	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	870	2 381	3 251

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.43 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [1] Spouse/partner**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	93	90	90
	Not ticked	7	10	10
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 808	6 486	9 294
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	91	87	88
	Not ticked	9	13	12
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	638	1 097	1 735
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	93	90	91
	Not ticked	7	10	9
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 292	2 970	4 262
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	92	91	91
	Not ticked	8	9	9
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	878	2 419	3 297

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.



**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.44 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [2] Child(ren)**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	57	53	54
	Not ticked	43	47	46
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 808	6 486	9 294
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	54	49	50
	Not ticked	46	51	50
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	638	1 097	1 735
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	58	55	55
	Not ticked	42	45	45
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 292	2 970	4 262
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	57	54	54
	Not ticked	43	46	46
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	878	2 419	3 297

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.45 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [3] With other dependents (such as older relatives I care for)**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	5	5	5
	Not ticked	95	95	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 808	6 486	9 294
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	3	3	3
	Not ticked	97	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	638	1 097	1 735
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	5	6	6
	Not ticked	95	94	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 292	2 970	4 262
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	6	3	4
	Not ticked	94	97	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	878	2 419	3 297

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.46 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [4] House share - with other Service Personnel**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	3	3	3
	Not ticked	97	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 808	6 486	9 294
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	3	3	3
	Not ticked	97	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	638	1 097	1 735
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	3	4	4
	Not ticked	97	96	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 292	2 970	4 262
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	3	2	3
	Not ticked	97	98	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	878	2 419	3 297

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.47 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [5] House share - with civilians**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	2	2	2
	Not ticked	98	98	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 808	6 486	9 294
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	1	3	3
	Not ticked	99	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	638	1 097	1 735
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	2	2	2
	Not ticked	98	98	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 292	2 970	4 262
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	1	2	2
	Not ticked	99	98	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	878	2 419	3 297

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.48 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [6] House share - not sure who with**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	1	1	1
	Not ticked	99	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 808	6 486	9 294
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	1	1	1
	Not ticked	99	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	638	1 097	1 735
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	1	2	2
	Not ticked	99	98	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 292	2 970	4 262
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	1	1	1
	Not ticked	99	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	878	2 419	3 297

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.49 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [7] Pet(s)**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	35	31	32
	Not ticked	65	69	68
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 808	6 486	9 294
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	31	29	29
	Not ticked	69	71	71
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	638	1 097	1 735
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	36	30	31
	Not ticked	64	70	69
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 292	2 970	4 262
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	36	36	36
	Not ticked	64	64	64
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	878	2 419	3 297

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.50 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [8] On my own**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	7	9	8
	Not ticked	93	91	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 808	6 486	9 294
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	9	11	11
	Not ticked	91	89	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	638	1 097	1 735
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	6	8	7
	Not ticked	94	92	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 292	2 970	4 262
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	7	8	8
	Not ticked	93	92	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	878	2 419	3 297

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.51 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [9] I don't know**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	1	1	1
	Not ticked	99	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 808	6 486	9 294
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	1	2	2
	Not ticked	99	98	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	638	1 097	1 735
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	1	1	1
	Not ticked	99	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 292	2 970	4 262
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	1	1	1
	Not ticked	99	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	878	2 419	3 297

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.



**FAM - Section 3 Preferences Owning near work (ONW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**ONW.3.52 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [derived] Houseshare - any**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	4	5	5
	Not ticked	96	95	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 808	6 486	9 294
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	5	6	5
	Not ticked	95	94	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	638	1 097	1 735
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	5	5	5
	Not ticked	95	95	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 292	2 970	4 262
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	4	4	4
	Not ticked	96	96	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	878	2 419	3 297

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning near work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [34%]**

This table has been derived by combining responses that ticked either 'House share - with other Service Personnel' (see Table 3.46), 'House share - with civilians' (see Table 3.47) or 'House share - not sure who with' (see Table 3.48).

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.01 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [1] It's the most financially attractive to me**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	14	19	18
	Not Ticked	86	81	82
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 057	4 212	6 269
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	11	17	16
	Not Ticked	89	83	84
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	528	681	1 209
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	17	20	20
	Not Ticked	83	80	80
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 033	2 199	3 232
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	12	15	14
	Not Ticked	88	85	86
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	496	1 332	1 828

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.02** Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [2] It's the simplest option (easiest for me to take up)

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	7	5	5
	Not Ticked	93	95	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 057	4 212	6 269
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	7	4	5
	Not Ticked	93	96	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	528	681	1 209
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	8	5	5
	Not Ticked	92	95	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 033	2 199	3 232
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	5	4	4
	Not Ticked	95	96	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	496	1 332	1 828

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.03 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [3] It allows me to live in a Service Personnel community**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	1	1	1
	Not Ticked	99	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 057	4 212	6 269
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	1	..	0
	Not Ticked	99	100	100
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	528	681	1 209
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	2	1	1
	Not Ticked	98	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 033	2 199	3 232
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	2	1	1
	Not Ticked	98	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	496	1 332	1 828

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.04 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [4] It allows me to live in a civilian community**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	4	13	11
	Not Ticked	96	87	89
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 057	4 212	6 269
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	5	14	12
	Not Ticked	95	86	88
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	528	681	1 209
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	3	13	12
	Not Ticked	97	87	88
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 033	2 199	3 232
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	4	11	9
	Not Ticked	96	89	91
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	496	1 332	1 828

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.05** Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [5] It allows me to choose a location near what is important to me (e.g. schools, work for my spouse, etc.)

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	59	48	50
	Not Ticked	41	52	50
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 057	4 212	6 269
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	60	49	51
	Not Ticked	40	51	49
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	528	681	1 209
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	57	47	48
	Not Ticked	43	53	52
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 033	2 199	3 232
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	59	51	53
	Not Ticked	41	49	47
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	496	1 332	1 828

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.06 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [6] It allows me the most flexibility to move with Service requirements**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	13	6	7
	Not Ticked	87	94	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 057	4 212	6 269
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	12	6	7
	Not Ticked	88	94	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	528	681	1 209
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	13	6	7
	Not Ticked	87	94	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 033	2 199	3 232
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	13	7	8
	Not Ticked	87	93	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	496	1 332	1 828

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.07 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [7] It will give me the lifestyle I want**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	18	23	22
	Not Ticked	82	77	78
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 057	4 212	6 269
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	19	27	25
	Not Ticked	81	73	75
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	528	681	1 209
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	18	22	22
	Not Ticked	82	78	78
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 033	2 199	3 232
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	17	20	20
	Not Ticked	83	80	80
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	496	1 332	1 828

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.



**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.08 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [8] It allows me to live with my partner everyday**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	4	11	10
	Not Ticked	96	89	90
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 057	4 212	6 269
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	3	9	8
	Not Ticked	97	91	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	528	681	1 209
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	4	11	10
	Not Ticked	96	89	90
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 033	2 199	3 232
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	5	12	10
	Not Ticked	95	88	90
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	496	1 332	1 828

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.09 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [9] It allows me to live with friends**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	1	2	2
	Not Ticked	99	98	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 057	4 212	6 269
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	1	3	3
	Not Ticked	99	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	528	681	1 209
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	1	2	2
	Not Ticked	99	98	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 033	2 199	3 232
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	0	1	1
	Not Ticked	100	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	496	1 332	1 828

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.10 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [10] It allows me to live with my family everyday**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	4	7	6
	Not Ticked	96	93	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 057	4 212	6 269
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	3	7	6
	Not Ticked	97	93	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	528	681	1 209
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	4	7	7
	Not Ticked	96	93	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 033	2 199	3 232
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	4	7	7
	Not Ticked	96	93	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	496	1 332	1 828

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.11 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [11] It provides the most stable home for my family**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	54	42	44
	Not Ticked	46	58	56
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 057	4 212	6 269
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	57	40	43
	Not Ticked	43	60	57
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	528	681	1 209
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	52	42	44
	Not Ticked	48	58	56
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 033	2 199	3 232
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	54	42	45
	Not Ticked	46	58	55
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	496	1 332	1 828

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.12 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [12] It allows me to base myself /family near our relatives/our hometown**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	17	29	27
	Not Ticked	83	71	73
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 057	4 212	6 269
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	19	33	30
	Not Ticked	81	67	70
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	528	681	1 209
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	16	26	25
	Not Ticked	84	74	75
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 033	2 199	3 232
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	18	31	28
	Not Ticked	82	69	72
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	496	1 332	1 828

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.13 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [13] It allows me to own a property**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	45	51	50
	Not Ticked	55	49	50
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 057	4 212	6 269
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	44	46	45
	Not Ticked	56	54	55
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	528	681	1 209
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	45	53	52
	Not Ticked	55	47	48
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 033	2 199	3 232
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	47	49	48
	Not Ticked	53	51	52
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	496	1 332	1 828

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.14 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [14] Buying is not practical for me at the moment**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	0	1	1
	Not Ticked	100	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 057	4 212	6 269
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	0	1	1
	Not Ticked	100	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	528	681	1 209
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	0	1	1
	Not Ticked	100	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 033	2 199	3 232
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	..	1	1
	Not Ticked	100	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	496	1 332	1 828

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.15 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [15] It allows me to choose where I live and for how long**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	28	23	24
	Not Ticked	72	77	76
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 057	4 212	6 269
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	30	25	26
	Not Ticked	70	75	74
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	528	681	1 209
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	26	21	22
	Not Ticked	74	79	78
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 033	2 199	3 232
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	30	25	26
	Not Ticked	70	75	74
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	496	1 332	1 828

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.



**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.16 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [16] It allows me to choose the size and type of property I want**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	19	14	15
	Not Ticked	81	86	85
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 057	4 212	6 269
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	18	13	14
	Not Ticked	82	87	86
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	528	681	1 209
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	20	14	15
	Not Ticked	80	86	85
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 033	2 199	3 232
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	18	15	16
	Not Ticked	82	85	84
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	496	1 332	1 828

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.17 Please select the top three most important reasons why you chose this package. [17] Other**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	7	4	5
	Not Ticked	93	96	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 057	4 212	6 269
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	6	4	5
	Not Ticked	94	96	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	528	681	1 209
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	8	5	5
	Not Ticked	92	95	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 033	2 199	3 232
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	8	4	5
	Not Ticked	92	96	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	496	1 332	1 828

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.18 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [1] Spouse/partner**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	88	82	83
	Not ticked	12	18	17
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 095	4 405	6 500
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	87	79	81
	Not ticked	13	21	19
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	535	702	1 237
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	88	83	83
	Not ticked	12	17	17
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 057	2 343	3 400
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	90	83	85
	Not ticked	10	17	15
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	503	1 360	1 863

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.19 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [2] Child(ren)**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	51	44	45
	Not ticked	49	56	55
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 095	4 405	6 500
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	51	38	41
	Not ticked	49	62	59
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	535	702	1 237
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	50	46	46
	Not ticked	50	54	54
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 057	2 343	3 400
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	54	45	47
	Not ticked	46	55	53
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	503	1 360	1 863

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.20 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [3] With other dependents (such as older relatives I care for)**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	4	4	4
	Not ticked	96	96	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 095	4 405	6 500
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	3	3	3
	Not ticked	97	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	535	702	1 237
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	4	4	4
	Not ticked	96	96	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 057	2 343	3 400
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	5	3	4
	Not ticked	95	97	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	503	1 360	1 863

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.21 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [4] House share - with other Service Personnel**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	5	4	5
	Not ticked	95	96	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 095	4 405	6 500
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	6	2	3
	Not ticked	94	98	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	535	702	1 237
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	4	6	5
	Not ticked	96	94	95
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 057	2 343	3 400
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	3	4	4
	Not ticked	97	96	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	503	1 360	1 863

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.22 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [5] House share - with civilians**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	3	4	4
	Not ticked	97	96	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 095	4 405	6 500
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	4	4	4
	Not ticked	96	96	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	535	702	1 237
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	4	4	4
	Not ticked	96	96	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 057	2 343	3 400
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	1	3	3
	Not ticked	99	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	503	1 360	1 863

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.23 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [6] House share - not sure who with**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	1	2	2
	Not ticked	99	98	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 095	4 405	6 500
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	1	1	1
	Not ticked	99	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	535	702	1 237
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	2	2	2
	Not ticked	98	98	98
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 057	2 343	3 400
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	1	1	1
	Not ticked	99	99	99
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	503	1 360	1 863

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.



**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.24 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [7] Pet(s)**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	32	28	29
	Not ticked	68	72	71
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 095	4 405	6 500
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	31	25	27
	Not ticked	69	75	73
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	535	702	1 237
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	33	28	29
	Not ticked	67	72	71
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 057	2 343	3 400
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	29	31	31
	Not ticked	71	69	69
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	503	1 360	1 863

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.25 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [8] On my own**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	12	15	15
	Not ticked	88	85	85
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 095	4 405	6 500
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	15	18	17
	Not ticked	85	82	83
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	535	702	1 237
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	12	14	14
	Not ticked	88	86	86
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 057	2 343	3 400
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	10	15	14
	Not ticked	90	85	86
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	503	1 360	1 863

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.26 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [9] I don't know**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	2	4	3
	Not ticked	98	96	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 095	4 405	6 500
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	3	5	4
	Not ticked	97	95	96
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	535	702	1 237
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	2	3	3
	Not ticked	98	97	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 057	2 343	3 400
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	2	4	3
	Not ticked	98	96	97
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	503	1 360	1 863

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM Section 3 Preferences Owning away from work (OAW)**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**OAW.3.27 Under FAM, who, if anybody, would you most likely live with? [derived] Houseshare - any**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Ticked	7	8	8
	Not ticked	93	92	92
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 095	4 405	6 500
<b>RN/RM</b>	Ticked	9	6	7
	Not ticked	91	94	93
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	535	702	1 237
<b>Army</b>	Ticked	7	9	9
	Not ticked	93	91	91
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 057	2 343	3 400
<b>RAF</b>	Ticked	4	6	6
	Not ticked	96	94	94
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	503	1 360	1 863

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who answered 'Owning away from work' to 'Which Future Accommodation Model package would you choose?' [28%]**

This table has been derived by combining responses that ticked either 'House share - with other Service Personnel' (see Table 3.21), 'House share - with civilians' (see Table 3.22) or 'House share - not sure who with' (see Table 3.23).

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences: Commuting**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**S3.C.1 How do you commute to base currently? If you use more than one method of transport, please select your main one.**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	By car/motorbike	56	57	57
	By public transport	4	2	2
	On foot	8	10	10
	By bike	18	11	12
	I live on base so do not commute	14	20	19
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 859</b>	<b>9 751</b>	<b>14 610</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	By car/motorbike	59	61	60
	By public transport	5	3	4
	On foot	6	7	7
	By bike	16	11	12
	I live on base so do not commute	13	18	17
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>947</b>	<b>1 457</b>	<b>2 404</b>
<b>Army</b>	By car/motorbike	53	56	56
	By public transport	4	2	2
	On foot	10	11	11
	By bike	19	10	12
	I live on base so do not commute	13	21	20
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 458</b>	<b>4 804</b>	<b>7 262</b>
<b>RAF</b>	By car/motorbike	56	57	57
	By public transport	4	1	2
	On foot	7	9	9
	By bike	17	13	14
	I live on base so do not commute	16	20	19
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 454</b>	<b>3 490</b>	<b>4 944</b>

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who chose the package 'Renting near work' or 'Owning near work' [56%].**

Excludes missing responses [<1%]

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences: Commuting**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**S3.C.2 Under the Future Accommodation Model, what would be your preferred method of transport for your daily commute?**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	By car/motorbike	49	66	63
	By public transport	3	2	2
	On foot	12	9	9
	By bike	36	23	26
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 858	9 739	14 597
<b>RN/RM</b>	By car/motorbike	48	63	60
	By public transport	5	4	4
	On foot	10	7	8
	By bike	37	25	28
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	948	1 456	2 404
<b>Army</b>	By car/motorbike	45	66	62
	By public transport	4	2	2
	On foot	14	9	10
	By bike	37	23	26
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 456	4 794	7 250
<b>RAF</b>	By car/motorbike	55	69	66
	By public transport	2	1	1
	On foot	9	8	8
	By bike	34	22	25
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 454	3 489	4 943

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who chose the package 'Renting near work' or 'Owning near work' [56%].**

Excludes missing responses [<1%]

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences: Commuting**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**S3.C.3 When living off base, what would be the maximum one-way commute time you would consider?**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Up to 15 minutes	7	11	10
	Between 15 and 30 minutes	35	36	36
	Between 31 and 45 minutes	35	30	31
	Between 46 and 60 minutes	20	18	18
	Between 61 and 90 minutes	3	4	4
	Over 90 minutes	0	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 866	9 768	14 634
<b>RN/RM</b>	Up to 15 minutes	4	6	6
	Between 15 and 30 minutes	29	37	35
	Between 31 and 45 minutes	41	33	35
	Between 46 and 60 minutes	23	19	20
	Between 61 and 90 minutes	4	4	4
	Over 90 minutes	0	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	949	1 460	2 409
<b>Army</b>	Up to 15 minutes	9	15	14
	Between 15 and 30 minutes	38	38	38
	Between 31 and 45 minutes	32	28	28
	Between 46 and 60 minutes	18	14	15
	Between 61 and 90 minutes	3	4	4
	Over 90 minutes	0	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 461	4 815	7 276
<b>RAF</b>	Up to 15 minutes	6	6	6
	Between 15 and 30 minutes	34	32	32
	Between 31 and 45 minutes	34	35	35
	Between 46 and 60 minutes	23	23	23
	Between 61 and 90 minutes	3	5	4
	Over 90 minutes	0	0	0
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 456	3 493	4 949

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who chose the package 'Renting near work' or 'Owning near work' [56%].**

**FAM - Section 3 Preferences: Commuting**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**S3.C.4 When commuting weekly, what is the maximum amount of time you would be prepared to travel one way?**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Under 2 hours	21	43	39
	From 2 up to 3 hours	30	24	25
	From 3 up to 4 hours	28	16	18
	From 4 up to 5 hours	11	8	8
	From 5 up to 6 hours	3	4	4
	6+ hours	6	6	6
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 095	4 405	6 500
<b>RN/RM</b>	Under 2 hours	14	27	24
	From 2 up to 3 hours	24	22	22
	From 3 up to 4 hours	30	17	20
	From 4 up to 5 hours	16	15	15
	From 5 up to 6 hours	4	8	7
	6+ hours	11	12	12
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	535	702	1 237
<b>Army</b>	Under 2 hours	26	50	46
	From 2 up to 3 hours	31	24	25
	From 3 up to 4 hours	27	14	16
	From 4 up to 5 hours	9	5	6
	From 5 up to 6 hours	3	2	3
	6+ hours	4	4	4
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 057	2 343	3 400
<b>RAF</b>	Under 2 hours	19	39	35
	From 2 up to 3 hours	37	29	30
	From 3 up to 4 hours	27	19	21
	From 4 up to 5 hours	10	7	7
	From 5 up to 6 hours	3	3	3
	6+ hours	3	4	4
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	503	1 360	1 863

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who chose the package 'Owning away from work' [28%].**



**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.01 Thinking about the information provided about FAM in this survey: [1]Overall how attractive is FAM to you personally?**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Attractive	43	57	54
	Neither attractive nor unattractive	21	21	21
	Unattractive	37	22	25
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 820	15 759	23 579
<b>RN/RM</b>	Attractive	47	54	53
	Neither attractive nor unattractive	23	24	24
	Unattractive	30	21	23
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 633	2 405	4 038
<b>Army</b>	Attractive	40	58	55
	Neither attractive nor unattractive	19	20	20
	Unattractive	41	22	25
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 004	8 092	12 096
<b>RAF</b>	Attractive	43	57	54
	Neither attractive nor unattractive	22	21	21
	Unattractive	36	22	25
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 183	5 262	7 445

The percentage for "Attractive" has been aggregated from "Very attractive" and "Fairly attractive".

The percentage for "Unattractive" has been aggregated from "Not very attractive" and "Not at all attractive".

Excludes don't know & missing responses [4%]

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.02 Thinking about the information provided about FAM in this survey: [2] How attractive is the 'Renting near work' package to you?**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Attractive	37	41	40
	Neither attractive nor unattractive	18	20	20
	Unattractive	45	38	40
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 857	15 820	23 677
<b>RN/RM</b>	Attractive	39	37	37
	Neither attractive nor unattractive	19	21	20
	Unattractive	42	42	42
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 642	2 415	4 057
<b>Army</b>	Attractive	36	43	42
	Neither attractive nor unattractive	16	21	20
	Unattractive	48	37	39
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 014	8 100	12 114
<b>RAF</b>	Attractive	37	42	41
	Neither attractive nor unattractive	19	20	20
	Unattractive	43	38	40
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 201	5 305	7 506

The percentage for "Attractive" has been aggregated from "Very attractive" and "Fairly attractive".

The percentage for "Unattractive" has been aggregated from "Not very attractive" and "Not at all attractive".

Excludes don't know & missing responses [3%]

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.03 Thinking about the information provided about FAM in this survey: [3] How attractive is the 'Owning near work' package to you?**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Attractive	46	53	51
	Neither attractive nor unattractive	16	19	19
	Unattractive	38	28	30
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 865	15 826	23 691
<b>RN/RM</b>	Attractive	52	54	53
	Neither attractive nor unattractive	17	19	18
	Unattractive	31	28	28
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 644	2 425	4 069
<b>Army</b>	Attractive	42	50	49
	Neither attractive nor unattractive	15	20	19
	Unattractive	43	30	32
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 015	8 094	12 109
<b>RAF</b>	Attractive	47	58	56
	Neither attractive nor unattractive	17	17	17
	Unattractive	36	24	27
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 206	5 307	7 513

The percentage for "Attractive" has been aggregated from "Very attractive" and "Fairly attractive".

The percentage for "Unattractive" has been aggregated from "Not very attractive" and "Not at all attractive".

Excludes don't know & missing responses [3%]

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.04 Thinking about the information provided about FAM in this survey: [4] How attractive is the 'Owning (or renting) away from work' package to you?**

Percentages

		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Attractive	45	52	51
	Neither attractive nor unattractive	18	20	20
	Unattractive	37	27	29
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 877	15 829	23 706
<b>RN/RM</b>	Attractive	51	52	51
	Neither attractive nor unattractive	21	20	20
	Unattractive	28	29	29
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 645	2 407	4 052
<b>Army</b>	Attractive	43	53	52
	Neither attractive nor unattractive	16	20	20
	Unattractive	41	26	29
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 022	8 122	12 144
<b>RAF</b>	Attractive	43	51	49
	Neither attractive nor unattractive	19	21	20
	Unattractive	38	29	31
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 210	5 300	7 510

The percentage for "Attractive" has been aggregated from "Very attractive" and "Fairly attractive".

The percentage for "Unattractive" has been aggregated from "Not very attractive" and "Not at all attractive".

Excludes don't know & missing responses [3%]

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.05 Thinking about the information provided about FAM in this survey: [5] How attractive is Single Living Accommodation to you?**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Attractive	31	28	29
	Neither attractive nor unattractive	24	27	27
	Unattractive	46	44	45
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 785	15 668	23 453
<b>RN/RM</b>	Attractive	34	30	31
	Neither attractive nor unattractive	30	30	30
	Unattractive	36	40	39
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 635	2 418	4 053
<b>Army</b>	Attractive	31	30	30
	Neither attractive nor unattractive	21	27	26
	Unattractive	48	43	44
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	3 963	8 009	11 972
<b>RAF</b>	Attractive	27	21	22
	Neither attractive nor unattractive	24	25	25
	Unattractive	50	54	53
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 187	5 241	7 428

The percentage for "Attractive" has been aggregated from "Very attractive" and "Fairly attractive".

The percentage for "Unattractive" has been aggregated from "Not very attractive" and "Not at all attractive".

Excludes don't know & missing responses [3%]

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.06 You said that 'Renting near work' is fairly or very attractive. Why do you say that? Please select your top reason.**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Choice of the type and/or size of home	19	16	17
	Choice of where to live	40	29	31
	Choice of who I can live with	20	29	27
	Good financial value of the package	21	26	26
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 758	5 894	8 652
<b>RN/RM</b>	Choice of the type and/or size of home	15	14	14
	Choice of where to live	42	24	28
	Choice of who I can live with	17	25	23
	Good financial value of the package	26	37	34
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	610	805	1 415
<b>Army</b>	Choice of the type and/or size of home	21	15	16
	Choice of where to live	39	30	32
	Choice of who I can live with	20	31	29
	Good financial value of the package	19	24	23
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 343	3 032	4 375
<b>RAF</b>	Choice of the type and/or size of home	19	19	19
	Choice of where to live	41	28	31
	Choice of who I can live with	21	28	27
	Good financial value of the package	19	25	24
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	805	2 057	2 862

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who said that 'Renting near work' is fairly or very attractive (see Table 4.02) [40%]**

Excludes missing responses [2%]

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.07 You said that 'Renting near work is not very attractive or not at all attractive. Why do you say that? Please select your top reason.**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Concerned about how far I will have to live from base	5	5	5
	Concerned about the cost	37	40	39
	Don't see how this would work when I'm required to move so frequently	28	32	32
	Don't want the hassle of living in the private sector	15	16	15
	Want to live within Service community and the support it offers	14	7	8
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>3 456</b>	<b>6 009</b>	<b>9 465</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	Concerned about how far I will have to live from base	4	6	6
	Concerned about the cost	37	36	36
	Don't see how this would work when I'm required to move so frequently	30	37	35
	Don't want the hassle of living in the private sector	22	18	19
	Want to live within Service community and the support it offers	7	3	4
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>655</b>	<b>930</b>	<b>1 585</b>
<b>Army</b>	Concerned about how far I will have to live from base	6	5	5
	Concerned about the cost	34	38	38
	Don't see how this would work when I'm required to move so frequently	30	33	33
	Don't want the hassle of living in the private sector	13	15	14
	Want to live within Service community and the support it offers	17	8	10
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 886</b>	<b>3 122</b>	<b>5 008</b>
<b>RAF</b>	Concerned about how far I will have to live from base	5	5	5
	Concerned about the cost	42	48	47
	Don't see how this would work when I'm required to move so frequently	24	25	25
	Don't want the hassle of living in the private sector	13	16	15
	Want to live within Service community and the support it offers	15	6	8
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>915</b>	<b>1 957</b>	<b>2 872</b>

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who said that 'Renting near work' is not very attractive or not at all attractive (see Table 4.02) [40%]**

Excludes missing responses [6%]

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.08 You said that 'Owning near work' is fairly or very attractive. Why do you say that? Please select your top reason.**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Choice of where to live	12	10	10
	Choice of who I can live with	5	7	7
	Good financial value of the package	11	7	8
	Opportunity for a stable base	24	16	17
	Opportunity to own my own home	48	60	58
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>3 451</b>	<b>8 198</b>	<b>11 649</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	Choice of where to live	11	10	10
	Choice of who I can live with	5	6	6
	Good financial value of the package	12	9	9
	Opportunity for a stable base	26	16	18
	Opportunity to own my own home	47	60	57
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>830</b>	<b>1 271</b>	<b>2 101</b>
<b>Army</b>	Choice of where to live	11	9	10
	Choice of who I can live with	6	7	7
	Good financial value of the package	11	7	7
	Opportunity for a stable base	25	16	17
	Opportunity to own my own home	47	61	59
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 598</b>	<b>3 913</b>	<b>5 511</b>
<b>RAF</b>	Choice of where to live	14	10	11
	Choice of who I can live with	4	7	6
	Good financial value of the package	10	8	8
	Opportunity for a stable base	22	16	17
	Opportunity to own my own home	50	59	57
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 023</b>	<b>3 014</b>	<b>4 037</b>

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who said that 'Owning near work' is fairly or very attractive. (see Table 4.03) [51%]**

Excludes missing responses [2%]

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.



**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.09 You said that 'Owning near work' is not very attractive or not at all attractive. Why do you say that? Please select your top reason.**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Already own one or more properties	35	29	30
	Concerned about how far I will have to live from base	1	3	3
	Cost of buying a home is too high	8	20	17
	Don't see how this would work when I'm required to move so frequently	46	36	38
	Don't think the package is any different to what we have now	3	6	5
	Don't want the hassle of living in the private sector	1	3	2
	Want to live within Service community and the support it offers	6	4	4
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	3 038	4 440	7 478
<b>RN/RM</b>	Already own one or more properties	49	45	46
	Concerned about how far I will have to live from base	0	1	1
	Cost of buying a home is too high	6	14	12
	Don't see how this would work when I'm required to move so frequently	39	27	30
	Don't think the package is any different to what we have now	3	9	7
	Don't want the hassle of living in the private sector	1	2	2
	Want to live within Service community and the support it offers	2	2	2
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	515	635	1 150
<b>Army</b>	Already own one or more properties	29	21	23
	Concerned about how far I will have to live from base	2	4	3
	Cost of buying a home is too high	7	21	18
	Don't see how this would work when I'm required to move so frequently	50	40	42
	Don't think the package is any different to what we have now	3	5	5
	Don't want the hassle of living in the private sector	1	4	3
	Want to live within Service community and the support it offers	8	5	6
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 732	2 502	4 234
<b>RAF</b>	Already own one or more properties	35	34	35
	Concerned about how far I will have to live from base	1	2	2
	Cost of buying a home is too high	12	23	19
	Don't see how this would work when I'm required to move so frequently	42	33	36
	Don't think the package is any different to what we have now	3	5	4
	Don't want the hassle of living in the private sector	1	2	1
	Want to live within Service community and the support it offers	6	2	3
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	791	1 303	2 094

**SUBSET: Results based on respondents who said that 'Owning near work' is not very attractive or not at all attractive (see Table 4.03) [30%]**

Excludes missing responses [3%]

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.10 Would the 'Owning near work' package be more attractive if you were to receive all the money as a one off payment (rather than £2,000 a year toward mortgage payments, reducing after five years)?**

Percentages

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	No	43	31	33
	Yes	37	42	41
	Don't know	20	27	26
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 976	16 264	24 240
<b>RN/RM</b>	No	42	34	35
	Yes	37	40	40
	Don't know	21	26	25
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 667	2 482	4 149
<b>Army</b>	No	45	30	32
	Yes	37	42	42
	Don't know	18	28	26
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 071	8 367	12 438
<b>RAF</b>	No	40	32	33
	Yes	38	41	41
	Don't know	22	27	26
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 238	5 415	7 653

Excludes missing responses [<1%]

**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.11 Based on the information you have read in this survey, would you say:**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	FAM is much fairer than the current MOD accommodation offer	18	32	30
	FAM is a little fairer than the current MOD accommodation offer	23	20	21
	FAM is as fair as the current MOD accommodation offer	11	9	9
	The current MOD accommodation offer is a little fairer than FAM	10	6	7
	The current MOD accommodation offer is much fairer than FAM	22	10	12
	Don't know	16	23	21
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>7 976</b>	<b>16 262</b>	<b>24 238</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	FAM is much fairer than the current MOD accommodation offer	22	32	30
	FAM is a little fairer than the current MOD accommodation offer	25	22	23
	FAM is as fair as the current MOD accommodation offer	11	9	9
	The current MOD accommodation offer is a little fairer than FAM	9	4	5
	The current MOD accommodation offer is much fairer than FAM	14	6	8
	Don't know	19	26	25
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 669</b>	<b>2 483</b>	<b>4 152</b>
<b>Army</b>	FAM is much fairer than the current MOD accommodation offer	16	32	30
	FAM is a little fairer than the current MOD accommodation offer	20	18	19
	FAM is as fair as the current MOD accommodation offer	11	9	9
	The current MOD accommodation offer is a little fairer than FAM	12	6	7
	The current MOD accommodation offer is much fairer than FAM	27	12	14
	Don't know	14	22	21
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 073</b>	<b>8 369</b>	<b>12 442</b>
<b>RAF</b>	FAM is much fairer than the current MOD accommodation offer	17	31	28
	FAM is a little fairer than the current MOD accommodation offer	25	24	24
	FAM is as fair as the current MOD accommodation offer	11	9	9
	The current MOD accommodation offer is a little fairer than FAM	11	6	7
	The current MOD accommodation offer is much fairer than FAM	20	9	12
	Don't know	16	21	20
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 234</b>	<b>5 410</b>	<b>7 644</b>

Excludes missing responses [<1%]

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.12 Based on the information you have read in this survey, would you say:**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	FAM is fairer than the current MOD accommodation offer	41	53	50
	FAM is as fair as the current MOD accommodation offer	11	9	9
	The current MOD accommodation offer is fairer than FAM	33	16	19
	Don't know	16	23	21
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>7 976</b>	<b>16 262</b>	<b>24 238</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	FAM is fairer than the current MOD accommodation offer	48	55	53
	FAM is as fair as the current MOD accommodation offer	11	9	9
	The current MOD accommodation offer is fairer than FAM	22	10	13
	Don't know	19	26	25
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 669</b>	<b>2 483</b>	<b>4 152</b>
<b>Army</b>	FAM is fairer than the current MOD accommodation offer	37	51	49
	FAM is as fair as the current MOD accommodation offer	11	9	9
	The current MOD accommodation offer is fairer than FAM	39	18	21
	Don't know	14	22	21
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 073</b>	<b>8 369</b>	<b>12 442</b>
<b>RAF</b>	FAM is fairer than the current MOD accommodation offer	42	55	52
	FAM is as fair as the current MOD accommodation offer	11	9	9
	The current MOD accommodation offer is fairer than FAM	31	15	19
	Don't know	16	21	20
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 234</b>	<b>5 410</b>	<b>7 644</b>

Excludes missing responses [<1%]

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.13 And in terms of buying a home, would you say:**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	I am a lot more likely to be able to buy a home under FAM than the current MOD offer	16	32	29
	I am a little more likely to be able to buy a home under FAM than under the current MOD offer	28	25	26
	There is no difference between FAM and the current MOD offer in terms of helping me buy a home	49	35	37
	I am a little less likely to be able to buy a home under FAM than the current MOD offer	2	3	3
	I am a lot less likely to be able to buy a home under FAM than the current MOD offer	5	5	5
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>7 890</b>	<b>16 030</b>	<b>23 920</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	I am a lot more likely to be able to buy a home under FAM than the current MOD offer	17	29	27
	I am a little more likely to be able to buy a home under FAM than under the current MOD offer	28	25	26
	There is no difference between FAM and the current MOD offer in terms of helping me buy a home	51	40	42
	I am a little less likely to be able to buy a home under FAM than the current MOD offer	1	2	2
	I am a lot less likely to be able to buy a home under FAM than the current MOD offer	3	3	3
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 639</b>	<b>2 421</b>	<b>4 060</b>
<b>Army</b>	I am a lot more likely to be able to buy a home under FAM than the current MOD offer	18	34	31
	I am a little more likely to be able to buy a home under FAM than under the current MOD offer	26	24	24
	There is no difference between FAM and the current MOD offer in terms of helping me buy a home	47	32	34
	I am a little less likely to be able to buy a home under FAM than the current MOD offer	3	3	3
	I am a lot less likely to be able to buy a home under FAM than the current MOD offer	6	6	6
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 026</b>	<b>8 259</b>	<b>12 285</b>
<b>RAF</b>	I am a lot more likely to be able to buy a home under FAM than the current MOD offer	13	29	26
	I am a little more likely to be able to buy a home under FAM than under the current MOD offer	30	28	29
	There is no difference between FAM and the current MOD offer in terms of helping me buy a home	51	37	40
	I am a little less likely to be able to buy a home under FAM than the current MOD offer	2	2	2
	I am a lot less likely to be able to buy a home under FAM than the current MOD offer	4	4	4
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 225</b>	<b>5 350</b>	<b>7 575</b>

Excludes missing responses [1%]

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.14 And in terms of buying a home, would you say:**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	I am more likely to be able to buy a home under FAM than under the current MOD offer	44	57	55
	There is no difference between FAM and the current MOD offer in terms of helping me buy a home	49	35	37
	I am less likely to be able to buy a home under FAM than the current MOD offer	7	8	8
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 890	16 030	23 920
<b>RN/RM</b>	I am more likely to be able to buy a home under FAM than under the current MOD offer	45	54	53
	There is no difference between FAM and the current MOD offer in terms of helping me buy a home	51	40	42
	I am less likely to be able to buy a home under FAM than the current MOD offer	4	5	5
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 639	2 421	4 060
<b>Army</b>	I am more likely to be able to buy a home under FAM than under the current MOD offer	44	58	56
	There is no difference between FAM and the current MOD offer in terms of helping me buy a home	47	32	34
	I am less likely to be able to buy a home under FAM than the current MOD offer	10	10	10
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 026	8 259	12 285
<b>RAF</b>	I am more likely to be able to buy a home under FAM than under the current MOD offer	43	58	54
	There is no difference between FAM and the current MOD offer in terms of helping me buy a home	51	37	40
	I am less likely to be able to buy a home under FAM than the current MOD offer	6	5	5
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 225	5 350	7 575

Excludes missing responses [1%]

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.15 Before today, how much, if anything had you heard about FAM?**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	A great deal	3	2	2
	A fair amount	16	7	8
	Just a little	37	26	28
	Heard of, know nothing about	20	19	19
	Never heard of	23	46	42
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>7 975</b>	<b>16 264</b>	<b>24 239</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	A great deal	2	1	1
	A fair amount	10	5	6
	Just a little	35	21	24
	Heard of, know nothing about	22	18	19
	Never heard of	30	54	49
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 669</b>	<b>2 484</b>	<b>4 153</b>
<b>Army</b>	A great deal	4	2	2
	A fair amount	21	7	9
	Just a little	39	27	29
	Heard of, know nothing about	18	18	18
	Never heard of	18	46	42
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 072</b>	<b>8 368</b>	<b>12 440</b>
<b>RAF</b>	A great deal	3	1	1
	A fair amount	12	6	8
	Just a little	35	31	32
	Heard of, know nothing about	22	22	22
	Never heard of	27	39	37
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 234</b>	<b>5 412</b>	<b>7 646</b>

Excludes missing responses [<1%]

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.

**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.16 How clear was the information you read about FAM in this survey?**

		Percentages		
		Officers (r)	Other Ranks (r)	Total (r)
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Very clear	23	23	23
	Fairly clear	60	57	58
	Neither clear nor unclear	8	11	11
	Not very clear	8	7	7
	Not at all clear	1	2	2
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>7 949</b>	<b>16 191</b>	<b>24 140</b>
<b>RN/RM</b>	Very clear	21	24	23
	Fairly clear	61	57	58
	Neither clear nor unclear	9	10	10
	Not very clear	8	8	8
	Not at all clear	1	2	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>1 661</b>	<b>2 473</b>	<b>4 134</b>
<b>Army</b>	Very clear	24	23	23
	Fairly clear	60	56	57
	Neither clear nor unclear	6	12	11
	Not very clear	8	7	7
	Not at all clear	1	2	2
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>4 061</b>	<b>8 327</b>	<b>12 388</b>
<b>RAF</b>	Very clear	22	22	22
	Fairly clear	59	60	60
	Neither clear nor unclear	10	10	10
	Not very clear	8	7	7
	Not at all clear	1	1	1
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	<b>2 227</b>	<b>5 391</b>	<b>7 618</b>

Excludes missing responses [1%]

r Revised: These figures have been revised from the provisional results published in January 2017. These revisions are due to improvements to the weighting methodology.



**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.17 How do you think information about FAM should be shared with you and your family? Tick all that apply. [1] Through my Chain of Command**

Percentages

		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Not ticked	55	63	61
	Ticked	45	37	39
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 994	16 308	24 302
<b>RN/RM</b>	Not ticked	55	61	60
	Ticked	45	39	40
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 673	2 489	4 162
<b>Army</b>	Not ticked	47	59	57
	Ticked	53	41	43
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 082	8 394	12 476
<b>RAF</b>	Not ticked	66	74	72
	Ticked	34	26	28
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 239	5 425	7 664

**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.18 How do you think information about FAM should be shared with you and your family? Tick all that apply. [2] On the Defence Intranet**

Percentages

		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Not ticked	51	63	60
	Ticked	49	37	40
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 994	16 308	24 302
<b>RN/RM</b>	Not ticked	47	59	56
	Ticked	53	41	44
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 673	2 489	4 162
<b>Army</b>	Not ticked	54	67	65
	Ticked	46	33	35
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 082	8 394	12 476
<b>RAF</b>	Not ticked	48	54	52
	Ticked	52	46	48
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 239	5 425	7 664

**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.19 How do you think information about FAM should be shared with you and your family? Tick all that apply. [3] On a dedicated Internet website for FAM**

Percentages

		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Not ticked	44	58	56
	Ticked	56	42	44
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 994	16 308	24 302
<b>RN/RM</b>	Not ticked	47	58	56
	Ticked	53	42	44
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 673	2 489	4 162
<b>Army</b>	Not ticked	46	61	59
	Ticked	54	39	41
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 082	8 394	12 476
<b>RAF</b>	Not ticked	40	50	48
	Ticked	60	50	52
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 239	5 425	7 664

**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.20 How do you think information about FAM should be shared with you and your family? Tick all that apply. [4] By email**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Not ticked	58	58	58
	Ticked	42	42	42
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 994	16 308	24 302
<b>RN/RM</b>	Not ticked	57	55	55
	Ticked	43	45	45
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 673	2 489	4 162
<b>Army</b>	Not ticked	61	61	61
	Ticked	39	39	39
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 082	8 394	12 476
<b>RAF</b>	Not ticked	53	53	53
	Ticked	47	47	47
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 239	5 425	7 664

**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.21 How do you think information about FAM should be shared with you and your family? Tick all that apply. [5] In writing**

Percentages

		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Not ticked	61	62	62
	Ticked	39	38	38
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 994	16 308	24 302
<b>RN/RM</b>	Not ticked	59	62	61
	Ticked	41	38	39
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 673	2 489	4 162
<b>Army</b>	Not ticked	61	62	62
	Ticked	39	38	38
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 082	8 394	12 476
<b>RAF</b>	Not ticked	62	60	60
	Ticked	38	40	40
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 239	5 425	7 664

**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.22 How do you think information about FAM should be shared with you and your family? Tick all that apply. [6] Face-to-face**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Not ticked	65	60	61
	Ticked	35	40	39
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 994	16 308	24 302
<b>RN/RM</b>	Not ticked	70	66	67
	Ticked	30	34	33
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 673	2 489	4 162
<b>Army</b>	Not ticked	63	57	57
	Ticked	37	43	43
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 082	8 394	12 476
<b>RAF</b>	Not ticked	62	63	63
	Ticked	38	37	37
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 239	5 425	7 664

**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.23 How do you think information about FAM should be shared with you and your family? Tick all that apply. [7] Over the British Forces Broadcasting Services**

Percentages

		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Not ticked	83	85	84
	Ticked	17	15	16
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 994	16 308	24 302
<b>RN/RM</b>	Not ticked	88	87	88
	Ticked	12	13	12
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 673	2 489	4 162
<b>Army</b>	Not ticked	78	83	82
	Ticked	22	17	18
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 082	8 394	12 476
<b>RAF</b>	Not ticked	85	87	86
	Ticked	15	13	14
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 239	5 425	7 664

**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.24 How do you think information about FAM should be shared with you and your family? Tick all that apply. [8] Through Family Federations**

Percentages

		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Not ticked	69	79	77
	Ticked	31	21	23
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 994	16 308	24 302
<b>RN/RM</b>	Not ticked	74	79	78
	Ticked	26	21	22
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 673	2 489	4 162
<b>Army</b>	Not ticked	65	80	78
	Ticked	35	20	22
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 082	8 394	12 476
<b>RAF</b>	Not ticked	70	76	75
	Ticked	30	24	25
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 239	5 425	7 664



**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.25 How do you think information about FAM should be shared with you and your family? Tick all that apply. [9] On posters**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Not ticked	65	65	65
	Ticked	35	35	35
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 994	16 308	24 302
<b>RN/RM</b>	Not ticked	66	67	67
	Ticked	34	33	33
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 673	2 489	4 162
<b>Army</b>	Not ticked	65	67	67
	Ticked	35	33	33
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 082	8 394	12 476
<b>RAF</b>	Not ticked	62	60	61
	Ticked	38	40	39
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 239	5 425	7 664

**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.26 How do you think information about FAM should be shared with you and your family? Tick all that apply. [10] Other**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Not ticked	96	97	97
	Ticked	4	3	3
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 994	16 308	24 302
<b>RN/RM</b>	Not ticked	96	97	97
	Ticked	4	3	3
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 673	2 489	4 162
<b>Army</b>	Not ticked	95	97	96
	Ticked	5	3	4
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 082	8 394	12 476
<b>RAF</b>	Not ticked	97	97	97
	Ticked	3	3	3
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 239	5 425	7 664

**FAM - Section 4 Attitudes towards FAM**

Source: Defence Statistics (Surveys)

**4.27 How do you think information about FAM should be shared with you and your family? Tick all that apply. [11] I don't want to hear about it**

		Percentages		
		Officers	Other Ranks	Total
<b>Tri-Service</b>	Not ticked	99	97	97
	Ticked	1	3	3
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	7 994	16 308	24 302
<b>RN/RM</b>	Not ticked	98	97	97
	Ticked	2	3	3
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	1 673	2 489	4 162
<b>Army</b>	Not ticked	99	97	97
	Ticked	1	3	3
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	4 082	8 394	12 476
<b>RAF</b>	Not ticked	99	98	98
	Ticked	1	2	2
	<i>Unweighted count</i>	2 239	5 425	7 664