

Application SCR evaluation template

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Name of activity, address and NGR | Hook 2 Sisters Limited Whitley Wood Farms Poultry Unit, Whitley Wood Farm, Whitley Lane, Ashcott, Bridgewater, Somerset, TA7 9QW NGR: ST 44602 37097 |
|-----------------------------------|---|

| | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Document reference of application SCR | Application Site Report Template (Dated November 2006) |
|---------------------------------------|--|

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Date and version of application SCR | Site Condition Report for Whitley Wood Poultry Unit (Dated November 2017) |
|-------------------------------------|--|

1.0 Site details

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?

Site plans showing site layout, drainage, surfacing, receptors, sources of emissions/releases and monitoring points

See original SCR and supporting documents (available on EDRM under reference EPR-DP3934DV). Also see permit and SCR and supporting documents submitted with application S002.

2.0 Condition of the land at permit issue

To be completed by GWCL officers
(Receptor)

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?

- a) Environmental setting including geology, hydrogeology and surface waters
- b) Pollution history including:
 - pollution incidents that may have affected land
 - historical land-uses and associated contaminants
 - visual/olfactory evidence of existing contamination
 - evidence of damage to existing pollution prevention measures
- c) Evidence of historic contamination (i.e. historical site investigation, assessment, remediation and verification reports (where available))
- d) Has the applicant chosen to collect baseline reference data?

See original SCR and supporting documents.

Also see SCR submitted with application S002.

3.0 Permitted activities

(Source)

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?

**Response
(Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)**

- a) Permitted activities
- b) Non-permitted activities undertaken at the site

See original SCR and permit. Or see SCR submitted with application S002.

3.0(a) Environmental Risk Assessment

(Source)

The H1 environmental risk assessment should identify elements that could impact on land and waters, cross-referenced back to documents and plans provided as part of the wider permit application.

See original SCR and supporting documents.

| 3.0(b) Will the pollution prevention measures protect land and groundwater? (Conceptual model) | |
|--|--|
| Are the activities likely to result in pollution of land? | |
| See original SCR and supporting documents. | |
| For dangerous and/or hazardous substances only, are the pollution prevention measures for the relevant activities to a standard that is likely to prevent pollution of land? | See original SCR and supporting documents. |

| Application SCR decision summary | Tick relevant decision |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Sufficient information has been supplied to describe the condition of the site at permit issue | See original SCR |
| Information is missing- the following information must be obtained from the applicant. (Advise the permitting team on what additional information is needed) | |
| Pollution of land and water is unlikely; or | |
| Pollution of land and water is likely (Advise the permitting team on what additional controls/checks may be necessary) | |
| Historical contamination is present- advise operator that collection of background data may be appropriate | |
| Date and name of reviewer: | |

Operational phase SCR evaluation template

Sections 4.0 to 7.0 may be completed annually in line with normal record checks.

| 4.0 Changes to the activities (Source) | |
|---|--|
| Have there been any changes to the following during the operation of the site? | Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any) |
| a) Activity boundaries b) Permitted activities c) "Dangerous substances" used or produced | |
| No changes to any of the above. | |
| Permit was transferred to new operator in January 2017. No conditions changed. | |

| 5.0 Measures taken to protect land To be completed by EM/PPC officers (Pathway) |
|--|
| Has the applicant provided evidence from records collated during the lifetime of the permit, to show that the pollution prevention measures have worked? |
| Operator has stated that since the permit was issued, the site has maintained a sealed drainage system and underground collection tanks for the collection of wash water. Fuel stores have been secondary bunded to reduce the risk of spills. Loading of live haul trailers recovering spent litter has and will continue to be loaded on concrete hard standings with drainage connections to the collection tanks for clean down. |
| Also see original SCR and supporting document " Site Operations and Pollution Prevention Measures" |

| 6.0 Pollution incidents that may have impacted on land and their remediation To be completed by EM/PPC officers (Sources) |
|---|
| Has the applicant provided evidence to show that any pollution incidents which have taken place during the life of the permit and which may have impacted on land or water have been investigated and remediated (where necessary)? |
| There have been no know pollution incidents during the lifetime of the permit. |

| 7.0 Soil gas and water quality monitoring (where relevant) |
|--|
| Where soil gas and/or water quality monitoring has been undertaken, does this demonstrate that there has been no change in the condition of the land? Has any change that has occurred been investigated and remediated? |
| N/A |

Surrender SCR Evaluation Template

If you haven't already completed previous sections 4.0 to 7.0, do so now before assessing the surrender.

| 8.0 Decommissioning and removal of pollution risk |
|--|
| To be completed by EM/PPC officers |
| Has the applicant demonstrated that decommissioning works have been undertaken and that all pollution risks associated with the site have been removed? Has any contamination of land that has occurred during these activities been investigated and remediated? |
| <p>The operator has stated that as of September 2017 the site was fully decommissioned by the operator. All fuels, oils and slurries were removed from the site.</p> <p>The asbestos roof has been removed and disposed of by licenced contractors as part of the demolition works.</p> <p>The operator has submitted a Decommissioning plan with application S002, which has been followed and was completed 03/10/2017. The operator has confirmed that they have completed the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• All reaming stored and portable items have been removed from site (including any chemicals, medication, vaccines, electrical items, white goods, along with any/ all bird associated items (i.e. weighers, pens, paperwork, etc.).• Fuel stores have been emptied, storage facility remains in place, pipework capped, and relevant waste disposal documentation left for inspection.• Site standby generator has been isolated from the mains and removed from site.• Any bunds around fuel stores have been washed out and emptied with relevant waste disposal documentation left for inspection.• LPG vessels have been emptied and disconnected from the farm infrastructure and capped off. Storage tanks have been removed from site.• Mains water has been isolated from the farm stop tap and capped off.• All water storage tanks and associated pipework has been drained.• Dirty water collection tanks have been emptied and flushed and emptied again to ensure any residual build up is removed.• Electric supply has been isolated from all the farm buildings at the main distribution board.• All general recycling and builders waste skips have been emptied and removed from site as per contract agreement with relevant waste disposal documentation left for inspection.• All buildings have been securely locked.• Site ID/ emergency contact sign has been updated and made visible from the site entrance.• Itinerary of all portable and fixed equipment removed from site where applicable or removed to other sites. <p>The local Area officer has confirmed in an email (dated 15/12/17) that they have sent photographs of the site are satisfied that the site is being properly decommissioned and has no objections to the permit surrender progressing.</p> |

| 9.0 Reference data and remediation (where relevant) |
|---|
| To be completed by GWCL officers |
| Has the applicant provided details of any surrender reference data that they have collected and any remediation that they have undertaken? |
| <p>(Reference data for soils must meet the requirements of policy 307_03 Chemical test data on contaminated soils – quantification requirements). If the surrender reference data shows that the condition of the land has changed as a result of the permitted activities, the applicant will need to undertake remediation to return the condition of the land back to that at permit issue. You should not require remediation of historic contamination or contamination arising from non-permitted activities as part of the permit surrender.</p> |
| N/A |

10.0a Statement of site condition

To be completed by EM/PPC officers

Has the applicant provided a statement, backed up with evidence, confirming that the permitted activities have ceased, decommissioning works are complete and that pollution risk has been removed and that the land and waters at the site are in a satisfactory state?

See section 8 of this document for details of actions taken during decommissioning of the site.

The operator has also noted that by August 2017, the site was removed from production and closed. By September 2017 the site was decommissioned by the operator and by October 2017, the mains services were disconnected by the suppliers, and the site was demolished and cleared ready for re-development.

Further to recent planning approval, the site is to be completely re-built as a new modern 34,000 bird Breeder Layer unit.

10.0b Statement of site condition

To be completed by GWCL officers

Has the applicant provided a statement, backed up with evidence, confirming that the permitted activities have ceased, decommissioning works are complete and that pollution risk has been removed and that the land and waters at the site are in a satisfactory state?

As above.

Surrender SCR decision summary

To be completed by GWCL officers and returned to NPS

**Tick
relevant
decision**

Sufficient information has been supplied to show that pollution risk has been removed and that the site is in a satisfactory state – accept the application to surrender the permit; or

✓

Insufficient information has been supplied to show that pollution risk has been removed or that the site is in a satisfactory state – do not accept the application to surrender the permit. The following information must to be obtained from the applicant before the permit is determined:

Date and name of reviewer

Christine
Sellers
(Permitting
Officer)

21/12/2017