

## Application SCR evaluation template

(To be completed by NPS, GWCL and EM/PPC officers).

Name of activity, address and NGR	<b>CHESTERFIELD POULTRY LTD THE HAVEN FARM POULTRY ABATTOIR SURRENDER SITE CONDITION REPORT EPR/LP3233CG/SCR2</b>
-----------------------------------	---

Document reference of application SCR	The Haven site is located at National Grid Reference SE 08123 35466 in West Yorkshire. The site is located approximately 300 metres to the SW of the settlement of Harecroft approximately equidistant between the villages of Cullingworth and Wilsden.
---------------------------------------	--

Date and version of application SCR	Late 2016
-------------------------------------	-----------

<b>1.0 Site details</b> To be completed by NPS (Source)	
<b>Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?</b>	<b>Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)</b>
Site plans showing site layout, drainage, surfacing, receptors, sources of emissions/releases and monitoring points	No site plans provided

<b>2.0 Condition of the land at permit issue</b> To be completed by GWCL officers (Receptor)	
<b>Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?</b>	<b>Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)</b>
a) Environmental setting including geology, hydrogeology and surface waters b) Pollution history including:	A very general discussion of the geology has been provided.

<b>2.0 Condition of the land at permit issue</b>	
To be completed by GWCL officers (Receptor)	
<b>Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?</b>	<b>Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• pollution incidents that may have affected land</li> <li>• historical land-uses and associated contaminants</li> <li>• visual/olfactory evidence of existing contamination</li> <li>• evidence of damage to existing pollution prevention measures</li> </ul> <p>c) Evidence of historic contamination (i.e. historical site investigation, assessment, remediation and verification reports (where available))</p> <p>d) Has the applicant chosen to collect baseline reference data?</p>	<p>Stated that no pollution incidents before or during permitted period.</p> <p>Historical land uses are described.</p> <p>No assessment is provided</p> <p>No baseline data provided</p>

<b>3.0 Permitted activities</b>	
To be completed by NPS officers (Source)	
<b>Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?</b>	<b>Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)</b>
<p>a) Permitted activities</p> <p>b) Non-permitted activities undertaken at the site</p>	<p>Review summary provided by the applicant and confirm it does give a brief outline of the permitted activities, drawn from other parts of the application and cross-referenced to site plans.</p>

<b>3.0 Permitted activities</b> To be completed by NPS officers (Source)	
<b>Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?</b>	<b>Response</b> (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)
<b>3.0(a) Environmental Risk Assessment</b> To be completed by NPS officers (Source)	
The H1 environmental risk assessment should identify elements that could impact on land and waters, cross-referenced back to documents and plans provided as part of the wider permit application.	Review summary provided by the applicant under H1 and confirm if it is an appropriate environmental risk assessment for the site. Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any

<b>3.0(b) Will the pollution prevention measures protect land and groundwater?</b> To be completed by EM/PPC officers (Conceptual model)	
Are the activities likely to result in pollution of land? (Information on pollution prevention measures will be in another part of the application – Part B)	If Yes, specify what additional controls/checks may be necessary
For dangerous and/or hazardous substances only, are the pollution prevention measures for the relevant activities to a standard that is likely to prevent pollution of land?  (If the answer is no, briefly explain how you arrive at your conclusion)	(This may consist of improved infrastructure, targeted surveillance monitoring by the operator and/or inspections by compliance teams)

<b>Application SCR decision summary</b> To be completed by GWCL officer and returned to NPS	<b>Tick relevant decision</b>
Sufficient information has been supplied to describe the condition of the site at permit issue; or	
Information is missing- the following information must be obtained from the applicant. (Advise the permitting team on what additional information is needed)	

Pollution of land and water is unlikely; or (Pollution prevention measures just need to be reviewed during operation of the site)	
Pollution of land and water is likely (Advise the permitting team on what additional controls/checks may be necessary)	
Historical contamination is present- advise operator that collection of background data may be appropriate	
Date and name of reviewer	

## Operational phase SCR evaluation template

(To be completed by EM/PPC and GWCL officers).

Sections 4.0. to 7.0 may be completed annually in line with normal record checks.

<b>4.0 Changes to the activities</b> To be completed by EM/PPC officers (Source)	
<b>Have there been any changes to the following during the operation of the site?</b>	<b>Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)</b>
a) Activity boundaries b) Permitted activities c) "Dangerous substances" used or produced	This information will be needed to surrender the permit and should therefore cover all of the land on which any of the activities covered by the permit may take place.

<b>5.0 Measures taken to protect land</b> To be completed by EM/PPC officers (Pathway)	
Has the applicant provided evidence from records collated during the lifetime of the permit, to show that the pollution prevention measures have worked?	If no, specify why

<b>6.0 Pollution incidents that may have impacted on land and their remediation</b> To be completed by EM/PPC officers (Sources)	
Has the applicant provided evidence to show that any pollution incidents which have taken place during the life of the permit and which may have impacted on land or water have been investigated and remediated (where necessary)?	N/A

## 7.0 Soil gas and water quality monitoring (where relevant)

To be completed by GWCL officers

Where soil gas and/or water quality monitoring has been undertaken, does this demonstrate that there has been no change in the condition of the land? Has any change that has occurred been investigated and remediated?

There is a borehole within 26 m (see Table 2). This has not been monitored.

Soils in a reed bed have been sampled. This shows that there are elevated levels of iron and heavy metals. It is not clear how it was determined what contaminants should be tested.

The report states that levels of contaminants are elevated – presumably above baseline. Yet there is no discussion about why these soils should remain (assuming they do).

The assessment of reed bed quality focusses only on human health risks and not the risk to controlled waters. It is not clear for example why leachability testing has not been done.

## Surrender SCR Evaluation Template

If you haven't already completed previous sections 4.0 to 7.0, do so now before assessing the surrender.

<b>8.0 Decommissioning and removal of pollution risk</b> To be completed by EM/PPC officers	
Has the applicant demonstrated that decommissioning works have been undertaken and that all pollution risks associated with the site have been removed? Has any contamination of land that has occurred during these activities been investigated and remediated?	See comment above regarding the reed bed
<b>10.0 Statement of site condition</b> To be completed by EM/PPC officers	
Has the applicant provided a statement, backed up with evidence, confirming that the permitted activities have ceased, decommissioning works are complete and that pollution risk has been removed and that the land and waters at the site are in a satisfactory state?	-
<b>9.0 Reference data and remediation (where relevant)</b> To be completed by GWCL officers	
Has the applicant provided details of any surrender reference data that they have collected and any remediation that they have undertaken?  (Reference data for soils must meet the requirements of policy 307_03 Chemical test data on contaminated soils – quantification requirements). If the surrender reference data shows that the condition of the land has changed as a result of the permitted activities, the applicant will need to undertake remediation to return the condition of the land back to that at permit issue. You should not require remediation of historic contamination or contamination arising from non-permitted activities as part of the permit surrender.	No monitoring data is provided. A sample should be taken from surface water ditches or water courses in the vicinity of the permit and tested for determinands likely to be representative of the polluting potential from the site. Also the nearby abstraction borehole should be sampled and tested for all key pollutants that might be released from the site.

**10.0 Statement of site condition**

To be completed by GWCL officers

Has the applicant provided a statement, backed up with evidence, confirming that the permitted activities have ceased, decommissioning works are complete and that pollution risk has been removed and that the land and waters at the site are in a satisfactory state?

No because soils from a reed bed may be present.

Also an abstraction well is not monitored.

**Surrender SCR decision summary**

To be completed by GWCL officers and returned to NPS

**Tick relevant decision**

Sufficient information has been supplied to show that pollution risk has been removed and that the site is in a satisfactory state – accept the application to surrender the permit; or

No

Insufficient information has been supplied to show that pollution risk has been removed or that the site is in a satisfactory state – do not accept the application to surrender the permit. The following information must to be obtained from the applicant before the permit is determined:

No

Date and name of reviewer 21/2/17 Edwrad Wrathmell