

# Permitting decisions

## Part surrender

---

We have decided to accept the surrender of part of the permit for Tatchells Landfill Site operated by Viridor Waste Management Limited

The permit number is EPR/ZP3035PH.

We are satisfied that the necessary measures have been taken to avoid any pollution risk and to return the site to a satisfactory state. We consider in reaching that decision we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements.

### Purpose of this document

This decision document provides a record of the decision making process. It summarises the decision making process in the decision checklist to show how all relevant factors have been taken into account.

This decision document provides a record of the decision making process. It:

- highlights key issues in the determination
- summarises the decision making process in the decision checklist to show how all relevant factors have been taken into account

Unless the decision document specifies otherwise we have accepted the applicant's proposals.

Read the permitting decisions in conjunction with the environmental permit and the surrender notice. The introductory note summarises what the notice covers.

### Key issues of the decision

#### Low Risk Surrender

We have agreed with the operator that the criteria for a Low Risk Surrender has been satisfied. This is in accordance with Regulatory Guidance Note (RGN) 9. This has been demonstrated by the fact that activities never commenced on the part of the site being surrendered. No site infrastructure is in place on the area, apart from monitoring boreholes, as a consequence no site investigation was required. This was confirmed by the Area Environment Team.

## Decision checklist

Aspect considered	Decision
<b>Receipt of application</b>	
Confidential information	A claim for commercial or industrial confidentiality has not been made.
Identifying confidential information	We have not identified information provided as part of the application that we consider to be confidential. .
<b>The facility</b>	
The regulated facility	The permitted regulated facilities have not changed as a result of the partial surrender.  No conditions have changed as a result of this part surrender other than the site boundary.
<b>The site</b>	
Extent of the surrender application	The operator has provided a plan showing the extent of the site of the facility that is to be surrendered. This is Drawing Reference TAT 078.  We consider this plan to be satisfactory.
Pollution risk	We are satisfied that the necessary measures have been taken to avoid a pollution risk resulting from the operation of the regulated facility.
Satisfactory state	We are satisfied that the necessary measures have been taken to return the site of the regulated facility to a satisfactory state.  In coming to this decision we have had regard to the state of the site before the facility was put into operation.
<b>Permit conditions</b>	
Changes to permit conditions as a consequence of the surrender	The permit conditions have not changed as a result of the partial surrender.  Only the site perimeter has changed as per drawing Ref TAT080.
<b>Growth Duty</b>	
Section 108 Deregulation Act 2015 – Growth duty	We have considered our duty to have regard to the desirability of promoting economic growth set out in section 108(1) of the Deregulation Act 2015 and the guidance issued under section 110 of that Act in deciding whether to

Aspect considered	Decision
	<p>grant this permit surrender.</p> <p>Paragraph 1.3 of the guidance says:</p> <p>“The primary role of regulators, in delivering regulation, is to achieve the regulatory outcomes for which they are responsible. For a number of regulators, these regulatory outcomes include an explicit reference to development or growth. The growth duty establishes economic growth as a factor that all specified regulators should have regard to, alongside the delivery of the protections set out in the relevant legislation.”</p> <p>We have addressed the legislative requirements and environmental standards to be set for this operation in the body of the decision document above. The guidance is clear at paragraph 1.5 that the growth duty does not legitimise non-compliance and its purpose is not to achieve or pursue economic growth at the expense of necessary protections.</p> <p>We consider the requirements and standards we have set in this permit are reasonable and necessary to avoid a risk of an unacceptable level of pollution. This also promotes growth amongst legitimate operators because the standards applied to the operator are consistent across businesses in this sector and have been set to achieve the required legislative standards.</p>