

O-161-17

ORDER under the Companies Act 2006

In the matter of application

No. 1332 by Zurich Insurance Company Ltd

For a change of company name of registration

No. 10359681

DECISION

The company name ZURICH FINANCE & INVESTMENTS LTD was registered on 5 September 2016 under number 10359681. The name of the company was later changed, on 20 September 2016, to ZURICH FINANCE CONSULTANTS LTD.

By an application filed on 12 December 2016, Zurich Insurance Company Ltd applied for a change of name of this registration under the provisions of section 69(1) of the Companies Act 2006 (the Act).

A copy of the application was sent by Royal Mail recorded delivery to the primary respondent's registered office on 06 January 2017, in accordance with rule 3(2) of the Company Names Adjudicator Rules 2008. On the same date, the Tribunal wrote to Mohammed Jabali to inform him that the applicant had requested that he be joined to the proceedings. The letter to Mohammed Jabali was returned marked 'RTS'. It was re-sent by ordinary post. On 21 February 2017, Mohammed Jabali was joined as a co-respondent and the parties were advised that, as no defence had been received to the application, the adjudicator may treat the application as not being opposed. A period of 14 days to request a hearing was allowed in relation to this matter. No request for a hearing was made.

The primary respondent did not file a defence within the one month period specified by the adjudicator under rule 3(3). Rule 3(4) states

"The primary respondent, before the end of that period, shall file a counter-statement on the appropriate form, otherwise the adjudicator may treat it as not opposing the application and may make an order under section 73(1)."

Under the provisions of this rule, the adjudicator may exercise discretion so as to treat the respondent as opposing the application. In this case I can see no reason to exercise such discretion and, therefore, decline to do so.

As the primary respondent has not responded to the allegations made, it is treated as not opposing the application. Therefore, in accordance with section 73(1) of the Act I make the following order:

- (a) ZURICH FINANCE CONSULTANTS LTD.
shall change its name **within one month** of the date of this order to one that is not an offending name¹;
- (b) ZURICH FINANCE CONSULTANTS LTD.
and Mohammed Jabali shall:
 - (i) take such steps as are within their power to make, or facilitate the making, of that change;
 - (ii) not cause or permit any steps to be taken calculated to result in another company being registered with a name that is an offending name.

In accordance with s. 73(3) of the Act, this order may be enforced in the same way as an order of the High Court or, in Scotland, the Court of Session.

In any event, if no such change is made within one month of the date of this order, I will determine a new company name as per section 73(4) of the Act and will give notice of that change under section 73(5) of the Act.

All respondents, including individual co-respondents, have a legal duty under Section 73(1)(b)(ii) of the Companies Act 2006 not to cause or permit any steps to be taken calculated to result in another company being registered with an offending name; this includes the current company. *Non-compliance may result in an action being brought for contempt of court and may result in a custodial sentence.*

Zurich Insurance Company Ltd, having been successful, is entitled to a contribution towards its costs. I order ZURICH FINANCE CONSULTANTS LTD and Mohammed Jabali, being jointly and severally liable, to pay Zurich Insurance Company Ltd costs on the following basis:

Fee for application:	£400
Statement of case:	£400
Total:	£800

This sum is to be paid within seven days of the expiry of the appeal period or within seven days of the final determination of this case if any appeal against this decision is unsuccessful.

Any notice of appeal against this decision to order a change of name must be given within one month of the date of this order. Appeal is to the High Court in England, Wales and Northern Ireland and to the Court of Session in Scotland.

The company adjudicator must be advised if an appeal is lodged, so that implementation of the order is suspended.

Dated this 5th day of April 2017

Beverley Hedley
Company Names Adjudicator

ⁱAn “offending name” means a name that, by reason of its similarity to the name associated with the applicant in which he claims goodwill, would be likely to be the subject of a direction under section 67 (power of Secretary of State to direct change of name), or to give rise to a further application under section 69.