

# **O-247-17**

## **ORDER under the Companies Act 2006**

**In the matter of application**

**No. 1309 by State Street Corporation**

**For a change of company name of registration**

**No. 09939493**

On 14 March 2017 I issued an Order under the Companies Act 2006 in relation to company number 09939493. It has come to my attention that that order was sent to the wrong address (1<sup>st</sup> Floor, 41 Chalton Street, London, NW1 1JD). Companies House register indicates that the registered office address for company number 09939493 was changed on 20 February 2017 from 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, 41 Chalton Street, London, NW1 1JD to Lower Ground Floor, One George Yard, London, EC3V 9DF.

In accordance with Rule 10 of The Company Names Adjudicator Rules 2008 ('correction of irregularities in procedure'), I hereby rescind the order issued on 14 March 2017 which was sent to the wrong address and replace it with this order which will be sent to Lower Ground Floor, One George Yard, London, EC3V 9DF . The appeal period is also amended accordingly.

## **ORDER**

The company name STATE STREET GLOBAL INT'L MANAGEMENT LTD has been registered since 07 January 2016 under number 09939493.

By an application filed on 08 November 2016, State Street Corporation applied for a change of name of this registration under the provisions of section 69(1) of the Companies Act 2006 (the Act).

A copy of the application was sent by recorded delivery to the primary respondent's registered office on 22 November 2016, in accordance with rule 3(2) of the Company Names Adjudicator Rules 2008. On 10 January 2017, the parties were advised that as no defence had been received to the application, the adjudicator may treat the application as not being opposed. The parties were granted a period of 14 days to request a hearing in relation to this matter, if they so wished. No request for a hearing was made.

The primary respondent did not file a defence within the one month period specified by the adjudicator under rule 3(3). Rule 3(4) states

"The primary respondent, before the end of that period, shall file a counter-statement on the appropriate form, otherwise the adjudicator may treat it as not opposing the application and may make an order under section 73(1)."

Under the provisions of this rule, the adjudicator may exercise discretion so as to treat the respondent as opposing the application. In this case I can see no reason to exercise such discretion and, therefore, decline to do so.

As the primary respondent has not responded to the allegations made, it is treated as not opposing the application. Therefore, in accordance with section 73(1) of the Act I make the following order:

- (a) STATE STREET GLOBAL INT'L MANAGEMENT LTD shall change its name **within one month** of the date of this order to one that is not an offending name<sup>i</sup>;
- (b) STATE STREET GLOBAL INT'L MANAGEMENT LTD shall:
  - (i) take such steps as are within its power to make, or facilitate the making, of that change;
  - (ii) not cause or permit any steps to be taken calculated to result in another company being registered with a name that is an offending name.

If no such change is made within one month of the date of this order, I will determine a new company name as per section 73(4) of the Act and will give notice of that change under section 73(5) of the Act.

State Street Corporation has been successful as the application has not been defended. Accordingly, I have considered whether it is appropriate to make an award of costs in their favour. The following question is asked at box 7 of the statutory application form (CNA1):

“Did you contact the company/limited liability partnership in relation to this matter prior to filing the application? If so, when did you do so and what did you say to the company/limited liability partnership?”

The following answer is given to this question:

“A letter was sent by recorded delivery to the professional representatives of the Respondent recorded on the UK Register of trade marks...on 28 June 2016....

A number of requests were made in the letter, including that the Respondent change its name to one that does not offend.

No reply was ever received.”

This answer gives no indication that State Street Corporation informed the respondent of their intention to file the subject application with the Company Names Tribunal if the name was not voluntarily changed. That being so, State Street Corporation is not entitled to an award of costs.

Any notice of appeal against this decision to order a change of name must be given within one month of the date of this order. Appeal is to the High Court in England, Wales and Northern Ireland and to the Court of Session in Scotland.

The company adjudicator must be advised if an appeal is lodged, so that implementation of the order is suspended.

Dated this 22<sup>ND</sup> day of May 2017

Beverley Hedley  
Company Names Adjudicator

---

<sup>i</sup>An "offending name" means a name that, by reason of its similarity to the name associated with the applicant in which he claims goodwill, would be likely to be the subject of a direction under section 67 (power of Secretary of State to direct change of name), or to give rise to a further application under section 69.