

# Permitting decisions

## Surrender

---

We have decided to accept the surrender of the permit for Harrys Farm Poultry Unit operated by E & S Mayman Ltd.

The permit number is [EPR/UP3335WA/S002](#).

We are satisfied that the necessary measures have been taken to avoid any pollution risk and to return the site to a satisfactory state. We consider in reaching that decision we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements.

### Purpose of this document

This decision document provides a record of the decision making process. It summarises the decision making process in the decision checklist to show how all relevant factors have been taken in to account.

This decision document provides a record of the decision making process. It:

- highlights [key issues](#) in the determination
- summarises the decision making process in the [decision checklist](#) to show how all relevant factors have been taken into account

Unless the decision document specifies otherwise we have accepted the applicant's proposals.

Read the permitting decisions in conjunction with the environmental permit and the surrender notice. The introductory note summarises what the notice covers.

### Key issues of the decision

E & S Mayman Ltd have applied to surrender the Environmental Permit [EPR/UP3335WA](#).

The operator obtained a permit for 80,000 broiler chickens at Harrys Farm Poultry Unit, in 2015. During the construction phase the operator decided to rear broiler turkeys instead of broiler chickens. The two houses constructed both have a maximum of 7,500 turkey places, giving a total of 15,000 places, well below the 40,000 permitting threshold as defined under the Environmental Permitting Regulations.

The site has been constructed to the original permit application specifications with all of the pollution prevention measures in place. The only variation is to the internal equipment, this has been changed to accommodate the rearing of turkeys.

Due to the permitted activity not commencing and the current activity being below the permitting threshold, the site has not been decommissioned. The site has been inspected twice by the Environment Agency in 2016, no issues or concerns have been raised.

We are satisfied that permit conditions have been met and no pollution incidences have occurred during the lifetime of the permit.

## Decision checklist

Aspect considered	Decision
<b>Receipt of application</b>	
Confidential information	A claim for commercial or industrial confidentiality has not been made.
Identifying confidential information	<p>We have not identified information provided as part of the application that we consider to be confidential.</p> <p>The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance on confidentiality.</p>
<b>The site</b>	
Pollution risk	We are satisfied that the necessary measures have been taken to avoid a pollution risk resulting from the operation of the regulated facility.
Satisfactory state	<p>We are satisfied that the necessary measures have been taken to return the site of the regulated facility to a satisfactory state.</p> <p>In coming to this decision we have had regard to the state of the site before the facility was put into operation.</p>