CORRECTION SLIP

Title: Ofqual annual report for the period 1 April 2015 to 31 March

2016

Session: Vocational and other qualifications / Regulatory action

HC 350 2016-17

Print ISBN: 9781474132015 Web ISBN: 9781474132022

Ordered by the House of Commons to be printed 11 July 2016

Correction:

Text currently reads:

During 2015 we accepted one undertaking, from City & Guilds, which recognised that it had failed to comply with our Conditions in respect of its arrangements for the endorsement of course materials. We imposed Special Conditions on eight occasions. Four of these were in respect of our ongoing research into GCSE maths, and two were issued to awarding organisations to ensure they retained documents in advance of a potential investigation. The other two were issued to awarding organisations to provide quarterly statements of compliance, following their annual statement of compliance returns. While we did issue a number of Special Conditions in 2015, we took the view that their publication would be neither necessary nor proportionate. We did not issue any fines during 2015.

Text should read:

We gave one direction between 1 April 2015 and 31 March 2016, requiring Industry Qualifications to take specific steps to rectify adverse effects it had caused by actions it had taken which breached our Conditions. In the same period we accepted seven undertakings from awarding organisations which admitted that they had breached the Conditions and which had a credible plan to secure compliance. We also imposed special conditions on four occasions. Two were issued to awarding organisations to ensure they retained documents in advance of a potential investigation. The other two were issued to awarding organisations to provide quarterly statements of compliance, following their annual statement of compliance returns.

We published the direction given to Industry Qualifications and the majority of the undertakings accepted. Where we decided not to publish an undertaking, this was

because of compelling exceptional reasons. We did not publish the special conditions because we did not think it was necessary or proportionate to do so.

We did not impose any fines in the relevant period, and nor did we withdraw recognition from any awarding organisation.