Enclosure PHE/16/06



Protecting and improving the nation's health

Minutes

Title of meeting Date Time Venue	Quality and Clinical Governance Committee Monday 9 November 2015 09:30 – 11:00 Wellington House, 133-155 Waterloo Road, London SE1 8UG		
Present	Rosie Glazebrook (Chair) Viv Bennett George Leahy Kishor Mistry Liz Scott Imogen Stephens Pauline Watts Mike Yates	Non-executive member of the PHE Board Director of Nursing Deputy Director for Health Protection Deputy Director, Corporate Risk and Assurance ** Sound Foundations Programme Lead Consultant in Public Health Strategy ** Deputy Director, Nursing Directorate Corporate Affairs Directorate (Secretary) ** joined by teleconference	
Apologies	Paul Cosford Yvonne Doyle Kevin Fenton George Griffin Anthony Kessel John Newton Alex Sienkiewicz	Medical Director Regional Director, London Director for Health and Wellbeing Non-executive PHE Board member Director of International Public Chief Knowledge Officer Director of Corporate Affairs	

Welcome, introduction and apologies

- 15/001 The Chair welcomed those in attendance to the first meeting of the Committee.
- 15/002 Apologies had been noted from a number of members. The Chair expressed some concerns about the level of attendance and hoped that future attendance would be better. The question of deputies attending meetings on members' behalf was raised as part of the item covering terms of reference for the Committee.

Terms of reference and modus operandi

15/003 The draft terms of reference were considered.

Introduction

- 15/004 It was generally felt that the introductory paragraphs could be improved. The Action: Mike reference to the Group Internal Audit report need not be referenced and the introduction generally should be more forward looking than retrospective. The Secretary was asked to review.
 15/005 It was also suggested that more be added to make it explicit that this Action: Mike
- 15/005 It was also suggested that more be added to make it explicit that this Action Committee was there to provide assurance to the PHE Board on matters Yates relating to quality and clinical governance.

Additional clarification

15/006 The Chair suggested that footnotes and annexes, where appropriate, be Action: Mike added to clarify some of the terms (e.g. 'Quality Units' and 'Quality elements'; Yates what they do and what they represent) and illustrate more clearly the Sound Foundations landscape, governance, programme management and reporting.

Reporting

- 15/007 At each meeting of the Committee, once the quality and clinical governance programme had been embedded, there would be a 'deep-dive' into a group of quality units (the aim being to cover them all at least once a year). Although there would be quite a few quality units, those associated with Corporate functions were likely to be less complex and resource-intensive, and could probably be handled as desktop exercises, and all be covered together in a future meeting deep dive.
- 15/008 In terms of getting regular periodic reports from quality units, this should be Action: Trish done as proportionately as possible, reporting by exception on those areas of highest risk or greatest concern. A dashboard should be developed to show Rushdy clearly how each quality unit compared with others in terms of development.
- 15/009 A full reporting programme, including deep-dive presentations, should be mapped out for the year, discussed and agreed with the QCG Steering Group once established. The Early Implementer Sites would be focused on first.
- 15/010On reporting and assurance for the programme, the Deputy Director,
Corporate Risk and Assurance offered the services of his newly appointed
Assurance Adviser to help translate the information coming from the quality
units. He would put him in touch with those in the team dealing with this.Action: Kishor
Mistry with Liz
Scott and
Trisha Hymas

Roles and responsibilities

- 15/011 On the roles and responsibilities section, the 3rd last and penultimate bullet Action: Mike points duplicated each other, so one to be removed. Yates
- 15/012 Reference needed to be made here to confirm that the quality model had been agreed by the Management Committee.
- 15/013 At paragraph 4.5.3, information should be added to clarify the role of the Action: Mike Quality and Clinical Governance Steering Group and its relationship with the Yates Quality and Clinical Governance Committee.

Action: Mike

Action; Mike

Yates

Scott

Yates and Liz

15/014	It was decided that the terms of reference, with amendments as suggested, probably covered the work of the Committee adequately going forward and the modus operandi document should be renamed and used as a, 'Interim Operational Paper'.	Action: Liz Scott
	Membership and attendance	
15/015	There would be two non-executive board members, and one external adviser, but it was not thought necessary to have a vice-chair at present. Amend terms of reference.	
15/016	For the external adviser post, this should go through the same type of recruitment process as for the Audit and Risk Committee external adviser. A job specification would need to be drawn up with clear role and responsibilities. This would be agreed at the January meeting of the committee.	Action: Mike Yates, Liz Scott
15/017	The Regional Director, London, had confirmed that she would be unable to attend Committee meetings due to significant work pressures and had suggested a deputy. The Committee felt that a regional director representative was needed and others should be invited to join. Failing that, the deputy suggested by the Regional Director, London, would be invited because of her clinical governance and quality role. The Secretary would take forward.	Action: Mike Yates
15/018	On attendance, the paragraph in the terms of reference would be changed to include members or their deputies in making the meeting quorate. A paragraph would also be added to say that deputies could attend meetings in the event that the member was unable to, but attendance by a deputy needed to be agreed before the meeting with the Committee's secretariat. As far as possible, members should commit to attending meetings.	Action: Mike Yates
15/019	Members of the core Sound Foundations team would attend Committee meetings according to the business being conducted.	
	Standing items	
15/020	Programme documentation would be presented to the Committee in the short-term (up to the end of March 2016). Terms of reference to be amended accordingly.	Action: Mike Yates
	Sound Foundations Task and Finish Group Progress Report	
15/021	A brief report was given on recent and activity and next steps. Work had been done to identify early Implementer Sites Matters, and a workshop was being held with staff involved that afternoon	
15/022	With reference to paragraph 4.4.1 of the paper that accompanied this item, the Chair suggested that there should be a strong emphasis on sharing good practice examples.	Action: Pauline Watts

	Committee as an information paper. Pertinent issues for the Audit and Risk Committee's views would be presented separately for discussion.	
15/026	There was also good cross-over between the two committees in terms of membership (both the Chair and the Director of Corporate Affairs were also members of the Audit and risk Committee, so the work of the Quality and Clinical Governance Committee would be well represented).	
15/027	Several areas of the Quality and Clinical Governance Committee's work were currently also the subject of scrutiny by the Audit and Risk Committee (e.g. the Strategic Risk Register; serious incident reporting, Internal Audit reports and actions). Actions arising from Quality and Clinical Governance Committee meetings would be shared quickly with leads for these areas to ensure the views and suggestions of Committee members could be taken account of prior to reports on similar subjects being presented to the Audit and Risk Committee.	Action: Mike Yates
15/028	Meetings of the two Committees and those for the Quality and Clinical Governance Steering Group and the Management Committee (see below) needed to be planned carefully to allow the sharing of information suggested. The secretary would suggest dates and agree these with the Chair (see action under 'Future Meeting Dates' below).	
	Management Committee	
15/029	The Secretary of the Committee explained that for governance requirements to be met, the Management Committee needed to be informed and note the work of the Quality and Clinical Governance Committee. The agenda for each Quality and Clinical Governance Committee meeting would be shared with the Management Committee meeting immediately preceding it, for information. Any issues relating to the Quality and Clinical Governance Committee that require a view from the Management Committee should be raised orally by either the Director of Nursing or the Director of Corporate Affairs, both of whom are members of both committees.	Action: Mike Yates

- 15/023 A paper on alignment between the Quality and Clinical Governance Committee and the Audit and Risk Committee was presented to the group and discussed.
- 15/024 Achieving alignment between the two committees would benefit from having the same secretariat and secretary.

As well as sharing the minutes of the Quality and Clinical Governance

public), the full minutes would also be shared with the Audit and Risk

Committee with the PHE Board, appropriately redacted (as they are made

15/025

15/030 There was also a discussion about the Integrated Governance Report currently submitted to the Audit and Risk Committee, and whether the

Action: Mike Yates, with

Action: Mike

Yates

content of this needed to change in light of the work of the new Committee (the Integrated Governance report covers several of the quality 'elements' that will shape the '*Sound Foundations*' programme, including serious incidents, clinical governance and information governance). It was suggested that for the time being, the Integrated Governance Report should continue to be presented to the Audit and Risk Committee, and reflect as far as possible the work of the Quality and Clinical Governance Committee. Once information starts to be received from quality units, a review of the content (and possibly need) of the Integrated Governance Report would be reviewed.

Internal Audit reviews and actions

- 15/031 5 reviews on quality and clinical audit had been undertaken by Group Internal Audit and were still live. Actions arising from the reviews relating specifically to clinical governance and quality were now being taken forward as part of the 'Sound Foundations' work.
- 15/032 All actions had recently been reviewed through the TrackWise system, and the team was content that those actions still open, even if overdue for the reason given above, should remain open for the time being.
- 15/033 It was agreed that although the scope of the 'Sound Foundations' covered a number of quality elements, it would be impractical at the moment to cover Yates Internal audit reviews covering all of those areas. For the moment, the Quality and Clinical Governance Committee would just scrutinize those covering specifically clinical governance and quality. This may be reviewed at some stage in the future. The terms of reference of the Committee would be amended accordingly.

Transfer of outstanding Quality and Improvement Assurance Board (QIAB) actions

15/034 The Chair thanked the author for the paper and the Committee noted its contents.

Quality and Clinical Governance Steering Group – Progress Report

15/035 The membership of the Group was being agreed and it was hoped that the Action: Mike first meeting of the Group would take place early in the new year. The terms Yates of reference would be shared with the Quality and Clinical Governance Committee in January. The Group, its remit and its roles and responsibilities would be discussed at 15/036 Action: Viv the PHE Senior Leaders Forum on 9th December. Bennett 15/037 A full map and pathway for the Group's business and reporting would be Action: Mike drawn up and alignment with the Management Committee would be Yates and Liz

Strategic Risk Register

considered and agreed.

15/038 The Committee reviewed the quality and clinical governance elements of the current Strategic Risk Register. The Chair suggested that the topics were

Scott

Kishor Mistry (in due course) under-represented and asked how they might feature more prominently.

- 15/039 Two general suggestions were made: existing risks take account of quality and clinical governance issues (e.g. the risk relating to workforce might include something on mandatory training); a new risk covering the breadth of the 'Sound Foundations' programme be added.
- 15/040 Members suggested the latter was likely to be the most effective option, but efforts should also be made to ensure existing risks reflected the programme wherever appropriate. A risk definition would be drafted and taken to the Risk Leads Group for discussion on11th November. This might only need to be a short-term risk that can be removed in April 2016 when the programme should then be taken forward as business-as-usual.

Future meeting dates

- 15/041 The Chair suggested that aligning meeting dates of this Committee with those for the Audit and Risk Committee, Management Committee and the Quality and Clinical Governance Steering Group would be tricky, but we should try and get a best fit.
- 15/042 Something that would help and was probably a necessity for the programme until it is established, was to have five meetings in 2016. The first two should ideally be in January and March.
- 15/043 Dates would be agreed with the Chair and circulated to members as soon as Action: Mike possible. Yates, with Rachel Scott

Any other business

Report to the PHE Board

15/044 Short summary report would be provided, with information on quality units, for the Chair to update the board. This would be presented to the Board Watts, Liz meeting in January, either as a verbal update or a as a brief summary paper Scott for information to be provided with Board papers.

Mike Yates *Quality and Clinical Governance Committee Secretary* November 2015 Action: Mike Yates and Pauline Watts, with Kishor Mistry