

## Site Condition Report Evaluation Template

Name of activity, address and NGR	<p><i>Environmental Permit: EPR/UP3330UX</i></p> <p><i>Mr John Walmsley ("the operator")</i>  <i>Grey Gables Farm</i>  <i>Brindle Road</i>  <i>Bamber Bridge</i>  <i>Preston</i>  <i>PR5 6YL</i></p>
-----------------------------------	--

Document reference of application SCR	<p><i>Application Reference: UP3330UX (dated, 01/02/2007)</i>  <i>Application Site Report</i></p>
---------------------------------------	---

Date and version of application SCR	<p><i>Surrender application EPR/UP3330UX/S002 (08/05/2015)</i></p>
-------------------------------------	--

<b>Application SCR decision summary</b>	<b>Tick relevant decision</b>
Sufficient information has been supplied to describe the condition of the site at permit issue	Accepted at permit determination (19/10/2007)
Pollution of land and water is unlikely	Accepted at permit determination (19/10/2007)
Date and name of reviewer: (15/05/2015)	<i>M J Derbyshire</i>

## Operational phase SCR evaluation template

<b>1.0 Changes to the activities</b> (Source)	
<b>Have there been any changes to the following during the operation of the site?</b>	<b>Response</b> <b>(Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)</b>
a) Activity boundaries b) Permitted activities c) "Dangerous substances" used or produced	
<i>No changes have taken place with regard to the activity boundaries, permitted activities or dangerous substances used or produced on site.</i>	
<b>2.0 Measures taken to protect land</b> (Pathway)	
Has the applicant provided evidence from records collated during the lifetime of the permit, to show that the pollution prevention measures have worked?	
<i>Records produced by the Environment Agency, from our regulatory team, and supporting information from the operator show that operational measures and procedures, together with decommissioning actions have not led to any environmental impact and have protected the environment as far as practicable. Pollution prevention measures have been effective and protected the state of the site.</i>	
<b>3.0 Pollution incidents that may have impacted on land and their remediation</b> (Sources)	
Has the applicant provided evidence to show that any pollution incidents which have taken place during the life of the permit and which may have impacted on land or water have been investigated and remediated (where necessary)?	
<i>During the lifetime of the permit the Operator has stated that there have been no pollution incidents or accidents likely to have affected the soil or groundwater conditions beneath the site. No incidents have been recorded.</i>	
<b>4.0 Soil gas and water quality monitoring (where relevant)</b>	
Where soil gas and/or water quality monitoring has been undertaken, does this demonstrate that there has been no change in the condition of the land? Has any change that has occurred been investigated and remediated?	
<i>Not applicable. Due to the low risk nature of the activities, and pollution prevention measures in place on site, monitoring was not a requirement at permit application or during operations under environmental permit EPR/UP3330UX.</i>	

## Surrender SCR Evaluation Template

<b>5.0 Decommissioning and removal of pollution risk</b>
Has the applicant demonstrated that decommissioning works have been undertaken and that all pollution risks associated with the site have been removed? Has any contamination of land that has occurred during these activities been investigated and remediated?
<i>All permitted activities have ceased (and poultry numbers have been reduced to below that of the required threshold (40,000) to be regulated under Scheduled Activity S6.9 A(1). Sources of potential pollution risk have been removed and/or cleaned during decommissioning in support of their application for surrender the applicant supplied a statement of site condition, details of site closure and decommissioning and litter/waste removals.</i>

<b>6.0 Reference data and remediation (where relevant)</b>
Has the applicant provided details of any surrender reference data that they have collected and any remediation that they have undertaken?
<i>Not applicable or relevant.</i>

<b>7.0 Statement of site condition</b>
Has the applicant provided a statement, backed up with evidence, confirming that the permitted activities have ceased, decommissioning works are complete and that pollution risk has been removed and that the land and waters at the site are in a satisfactory state?
<i>The site has reduced the number of poultry places to below that of the permitting threshold (40,000). The site has been decommissioned as far as practicable with having a requirement to still hold and upkeep some livestock. The operation of the site has been well managed with no environmental incidents or accidents. We, the Environment Agency, are happy with the returned state of the site.</i>

<b>Surrender SCR decision summary</b>	<b>Tick relevant decision</b>
To be completed by GWCL officers and returned to NPS	
Sufficient information has been supplied to show that pollution risk has been removed and that the site is in a satisfactory state – accept the application to surrender the permit	Yes
Date and name of reviewer (15/05/2015)	<i>M J Derbyshire</i>