

HIGHWAYS SUB-GROUP of the HS2 PLANNING FORUM

Title:	Phase One Planning Forum, Highways Sub-Group (North and South) #13	
Date & Time	North and South Meeting 2 nd February 2016 12:30 – 16:00 Warwick Hilton	
Independent Chair:	Ted Allett	
Promoter Attendees:	Richard Adam Peter Tomlin John Woodhouse Adam Ruane James Fearnley Hudson Taivo Mike Kelly Yogita Maini	HS2 Ltd HS2 Ltd HS2 Ltd HS2 Ltd HS2 Ltd HS2 Ltd HS2 Ltd HS2 Ltd
Highway Authority Attendees:	Oliver Spratley Muthiah Gunnarajah Adrian Malcom Keith Davenport Adrian Matthews David Grindley Lee Palser Martin Steward Paul Hillman Andrew Savage Chris Young Kevin Hicks Lucy Ryan Simon Weaver Joanne Arder	Oxfordshire County Council Hertfordshire County Council London Borough Camden Warwickshire County Council Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council Northamptonshire County Council Staffordshire County Council Highways England Highways England Warwickshire County Council Birmingham City Council Birmingham City Council Transport for London Transport for London Warwickshire County Council

Item		Action Owner
1	Welcome and introductions Introductions were made.	
2	Review of notes and actions from last meeting Action: TfL requested that “in accordance with assurances given” is added to the end of first sentence of the paragraph under item 2 relating to the CoCP. Agreed. Action: TfL requested that mass haul / logistics be brought forward to the March meeting. HS2 Ltd agreed to initially cover the topic at a higher level in the March Subgroup, followed	HS2 Ltd HS2 Ltd

HIGHWAYS SUB-GROUP of the HS2 PLANNING FORUM

	<p>by a more detailed agenda item once the HS2 mass haul / logistics strategy is finalised (estimated to be June).</p> <p>WCC asked if bridge structure / route reviews would be shared with Highways Authorities. HS2 Ltd reported that the data was being looked at and the next steps, where issues were identified, were being considered. Action: Contact will be made with the affected Authorities in the Spring.</p> <p>The outstanding actions table was gone through by the Chair and the following additional points raised:</p> <p>Oct 15 Item 5: Approval mechanisms for highway structures for temporary construction – HS2 Ltd confirmed that the principle was covered by BD 2 but the procedure will be discussed as a future agenda item.</p> <p>Oct 15 Item 6: S.100 highway drainage and whether it is provided for in the Bill – HS2 Ltd presented this as an AOB item.</p> <p>Nov 15 Item 5: Design Engagement. Chair informed the Subgroup that HS2 design approaches are being shared over the next few months at Planning Forum. Action: HS2 Ltd to share any relevant information with the Subgroup.</p> <p>Nov 15 Item 10: Highways England LIDAR agenda item. Highways England expressed that this item could be presented once HS2 Ltd had presented on the HS2 highways condition survey methodology.</p> <p>Dec 15 Item 5: WCC confirmed that Buckinghamshire County Council legal comments on the Highways Maintenance Agreement would be sent to HS2 Ltd following the meeting.</p>	<p>HS2 Ltd</p> <p>HS2 Ltd</p> <p>Chair to note</p> <p>Bucks CC</p>
3	<p>Route Wide Traffic Management Plan feedback</p> <p>HS2 Ltd invited any feedback / clarifications on HS2 Ltd's response to Highway Authority comments on the Route Wide Traffic Management Plan.</p> <p>Comments were received in the meeting and the following actions arose:</p> <p>NCC, WCC and TfL asked whether HS2 Ltd would be using the DfT ETON system and be putting notices on Highway Authority systems. HS2 Ltd noted that during the consultation on the RTMP, only Warwickshire and Hertfordshire had raised this point. HS2 Ltd confirmed that they are not planning to do this.</p> <p>Action: HS2 Ltd to provide more detail and clarity on the reasons for their position at the next meeting.</p> <p>TfL, LBC and WCC requested that LHAs should have the opportunity to influence the document further. HS2 Ltd clarified that the document had been shared for comment and not agreement and that the current version is final subject to potential changes as a result of undertakings and assurances, changes to the CoCP or the need for any further clarifications.</p>	<p>HS2 Ltd</p>

HIGHWAYS SUB-GROUP of the HS2 PLANNING FORUM

	<p>Action: Individual Highway Authorities to email any further requests for clarifications directly to HS2 Ltd.</p> <p>Feedback in the meeting was recorded by HS2 Ltd and any resulting changes to be incorporated into further revision to the document.</p>	Authorities
4	<p>Technical Standards feedback (Session 2)</p> <p>HS2 Ltd presented a summary of their feedback on comments provided by Highway Authorities on two HS2 technical standards.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highway and Access Drainage • Errant Vehicle Protection <p>HS2 Ltd explained (Action) that the comments tables for both technical standards would be circulated following the meeting.</p> <p>The following additional actions arose:</p> <p>Highways England expressed that they did not make the comment quoted on slide 13 (which was made by Solihull MBC). Action: HS2 Ltd to make changes to the slide before they are issued.</p> <p>Action: HS2 Ltd to make minor changes to slides 23 and 25 to address issues with content.</p> <p>HS2 Ltd reminded LHAs that HS2 technical standards had been issued for comment but that ultimately authorities would approve highway design under Schedule 4 of the HS2 Act.</p>	<p>HS2 Ltd</p> <p>HS2 Ltd</p> <p>HS2 Ltd</p>
5	<p>Consents and approvals feedback</p> <p>HS2 Ltd presented a summary of their feedback on comments provided by LHAs on the consents and approvals strategy for permanent highway works. HS2 Ltd also provided an initial quantification of the number of consents and certificates.</p> <p>HS2 Ltd explained (Action) that the comments table for consents and approvals would be circulated following the meeting.</p> <p>WCC asked what assumptions had been made to quantify consents. Action: HS2 Ltd agreed to provide a summary of assumptions and issue to the Subgroup following the meeting.</p>	<p>HS2 Ltd</p> <p>HS2 Ltd</p>
6	<p>Highways boundary and embankment ownership</p> <p>HS2 Ltd presented an overview of initial thoughts on potential scenarios for highways boundary and embankment ownership. The following actions arose:</p> <p>WCC / NCC asked whether a technical note will be produced for this matter. HS2 Ltd confirmed that a note explaining the highway boundary scenarios will be produced, in a similar way to the proposed note explaining the rationale for 'demarcation lines' around highway structures, with both intended to be read alongside the Highways Maintenance Agreement. Action: To be future agenda item.</p>	HS2 Ltd

HIGHWAYS SUB-GROUP of the HS2 PLANNING FORUM

	<p>Chair asked whether HS2 Ltd are considering Highways Subgroup Notes (similar to Planning Forum Notes) to provide guidance on matters such as this.</p> <p>Action: HS2 Ltd to take away to consider.</p>	HS2 Ltd
7	<p>Local authority funded public transport (Action from AOB, meeting #12)</p> <p>HS2 Ltd provided an update, explaining that a meeting had been held with BCC on 05.01.16 and that draft assurances from WCC / BCC were being considered by HS2 Ltd / DfT.</p>	
8	<p>Forward Plan, Tracker and Programme</p> <p>HS2 Ltd shared the Forward Plan for Highways Subgroup. It was agreed that the next meeting would be on the afternoon of 16th March in London.</p>	
9	<p>AOB</p> <p>HS2 Ltd presented a summary of its position on Section 100 of Highways Act (1980) (see slides).</p> <p>HS2 Ltd provided an overview of the recent update to Information Paper E15 – Green Bridges. https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/493337/E15 - Green Bridges v1.5.pdf</p> <p>WCC asked if a programme for design development will be shared. Action: HS2 Ltd to look into this and feedback at the next meeting.</p> <p>LBC asked when Traffic Liaison Group (TLG) meetings will start. HS2 Ltd indicated that they are likely to start towards the end of the year.</p>	HS2 Ltd