

## Annex 2

### List of questions to help establish impacts of the proposed revised Code

<b>Qu. 1</b>	<p><i>Do you agree with the approach outlined in paragraph 8 of the consultation letter, with regard to future reviews of this Code?</i></p> <p><i>If not, please say why.</i></p>
<b>Qu. 2</b>	<p><i>Do you consider that, overall, the revised Code (Edition 2) supports the industry by:-</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>applying more proportionate requirements that are better focussed on the probable level/s of risk for a given ship's operation/s;</i></li> <li>• <i>lightening those requirements where appropriate, particularly for ships operating in lower risk environments.</i></li> </ul> <p><i>If not, please say why.</i></p>
<b>Qu. 3</b>	<p>On the spreadsheet, there are several changes for which it has not been possible to indicate savings or costs, chiefly because of the variable factors between ships of different sizes and types. Seven significant examples of such items are:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <u>6.2</u> saving from reduction in coaming height requirement for weatherdecks;</li> <li>2. <u>8.2.2(3)</u> savings from reduction of Emergency Power duration from 60 to 30 minutes;</li> <li>3. <u>10.2.1</u> design and construction savings from relaxation of damage stability requirements for category C and D ships carrying up to 60 passengers;</li> <li>4. <u>10.2.6(c)(ii)</u> and <u>10.2.6(e)</u> design and construction savings from relaxation of damage stability requirements for catamarans;</li> <li>5. <u>15.5</u> cost of visual (in addition to audible) alarm for fire detection in machinery spaces;</li> <li>6. <u>15.6.1</u> saving from reduction in fire insulation requirements for low-risk areas; and,</li> <li>7. <u>19.1</u> saving from reduction of navigational equipment carriage requirements for ships in category A and B waters.</li> </ol> <p><i>Do you have information or experience that will help in identifying these savings or costs? If so, your input will be much appreciated.</i></p>
<b>Qu. 4</b>	<p><i>Do you agree that the savings and costs indicated in the spreadsheet are fair and realistic?</i></p> <p><i>If not, please could you say what you consider the approximate figures should be for the item/s concerned, particularly equipment costs.</i></p>
<b>Qu. 5</b>	<p>Regarding Annex 8 of the Code – Passenger Ship Safety Certificates etc.</p> <p><i>The survey forms reproduced in Annex 8 of the Code take up considerable room, can only be used for reference, and can all be viewed on-line. Do you find this Annex helpful as currently laid out, or would you be content if it were reduced in size; showing only the main certification forms?</i></p>