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## Preface

The Report of the National Food Survey Committee for 1961 is the twelfth of an annual series introduced in 1950 to provide information on trends in the food consumption, expenditure and nutrition of private households in Great Britain. Two earlier reports of the Committee dealt with urban household diets in 1940-49.
In this Report, which is somewhat shorter than its immediate predecessors, attention has been directed to continuing trends and to conclusions which can be reached concerning the basic structure of demand: shorter-term variations have also been investigated because this adds to the precision and range of many of the Survey results, but they are not in this Report featured as a subject in themselves.
Subject to this change of emphasis, the text of the Report in Part I follows the same general arrangement as that for earlier years; the main summary tables, however, have been assembled in Part II so as to follow the text and precede the Appendices. Mr. S. Clayton, in consultation with Mr. A. H. J. Baines, prepared the sections on food supplies, expenditure, consumption, prices and demand, and the Appendices. Miss D. F. Hollingsworth was responsible for the sections dealing with the energy value and nutrient composition of the household diet. The special study on the food expenditure and consumption of households containing an expectant mother was originally prepared by the Secretaries for the 1960 Report, but because of the smallness of the sample the Committee considered it desirable to confirm the conclusions by analysis of a further year's data before publication.

During the preparation of this Report the Committee has suffered serious loss through the deaths of Professor E. F. Nash of Aberystwyth, a member of the Committee since its inception in 1948, and of Mr. H. S. Booker, of the London School of Economics, a member since 1953. The National Food Survey is greatly indebted to their experience and advice.
The Committee wish to renew their thanks to the Ministry's Scientific Adviser (Food), the Chief Statistician and the officers of Food Science and Statistics Divisions who were concerned in the preparation of the Report, to the staffs of the Social Survey Division of the Central Office of Information, the British Market Research Bureau, the Combined Tabulating Installation of H.M. Stationery Office and the Data Processing Division of the Ministry, and to the housewives who provided the records on which the Report is based.
J. H. KIRK

Chairman, National Food Survey Committee
August, 1963
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## Introduction

1. The Annual Report for 1961 differs somewhat in arrangement from its predecessors, being divided into two parts and five appendices. In the first part, which includes the main text, a short resumé of changes in incomes, retail prices and food supplies during the year is followed by a discussion of the results of the Survey, including a special study of the food consumption of households containing an expectant mother. The main summary tables of Survey data are grouped in the second part of the Report. A general account of the method of the Survey and of the composition of the sample is given in Appendix A, and further Appendices include tables which present some of the Survey results for Great Britain and for each region and type of area in greater detail than is given in the summary tables.
2. More recent (though less detailed) estimates of expenditure and consumption for the main food groups are published regularly in the Monthly Digest of Statistics for all households, income groups and selected types of family. Unpublished quarterly and annual estimates of average household expenditure, consumption and prices for each of 128 foods itemized in the detailed classification can be supplied for each income group, type of household, region and type of area to special order, on payment of a fee varying according to the amount and nature of the information required. Application should be made to the National Food Survey Branch of the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, Tolcarne Drive, Pinner, Middlesex.
3. In some of the tables in the Report, an apparent slight discrepancy between the total shown and the sum of the component items is due to rounding. The following symbols are used throughout:-

$$
\begin{aligned}
- & =\text { nil } \\
\ldots & =\text { less than half the final digit shown } \\
\text { n.a. } & =\text { not available, or not applicable. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Part I

## PERSONAL INCOME, RETAIL PRICES AND FOOD SUPPLIES, 1961

4. In considering the National Food Survey estimates of household food consumption and expenditure in 1961, it is necessary to distinguish the two distinct phases through which the economy passed during the year. The first half of 1961 was a period of inflationary expansion when total personal disposable income was increasing more rapidly than the indices of industrial production and of retail prices. Even though an appreciable part of the increase in real personal disposable income was absorbed by further growth in savings, personal consumption also rose. In the second half of the year, total personal disposable income rose much less rapidly than it had done in the first (indeed in real terms it declined slightly, since there was a relatively greater rise in the general level of retail prices), and as personal savings continued at a high level, real personal consumption fell a little more than real income, the decrease being mainly in purchases of durable goods.
5. Nevertheless, over the year as a whole, personal disposable income (including savings) per head was $5 \cdot 5$ per cent greater than in 1960, compared with a rise of 3.4 per cent in the Index of Retail Prices, and total consumers' expenditure per head rose in real terms by 0.6 per cent. The latter rise was appreciably less than that recorded in the previous three years, as is shown in Table 1, which summarizes the changes between 1956 and 1961 in incomes, prices and consumers' expenditure. The proportion of total consumers' expenditure devoted to food continued to decline in 1961, but at a diminished rate, and solely because retail food prices rose less than retail prices generally. In real terms the proportion increased from 29.3 per cent to 29.4 per cent, that is, expenditure per head on food, when adjusted to constant prices, increased slightly more than expenditure on all other goods and services.
6. Estimates of the level of per caput supplies of the main foods moving into consumption in the United Kingdom in each year from 1957 to 1961 are shown in Table 2 together with comparative pre-war averages representative of the late thirties. More detailed estimates are given in the Board of Trade Journal, Vol. 185, No. 3464, 9th August, 1963. These estimates, which are not derived from the National Food Survey, relate to the level of supplies at a primary stage in distribution; they include certain items excluded from the Survey, namely, soft drinks, sweets, food consumed in catering establishments and institutions and by H.M. Forces based in the United Kingdom, ships' supplies, and ice-cream and other food purchased by individuals but not entering the household food supply. Also, the estimates relate to the whole of the United Kingdom; the National Food Survey is confined to Great Britain.
7. Although the pattern of food supplies in 1961 was broadly similar to that in the previous year, some clearly defined trends in the level of supply of individual commodities continued and there were also other important changes which were due to fluctuations in home production or in world supplies. Thus,
per caput supplies of liquid milk, cream, cheese and eggs moving into consumption again increased while those of fish and flour continued to decline. Total supplies of meat rose to an annual level of 128 lb . (edible weight) per head, mainly because of increased home production of beef, lamb and bacon, but also owing to an acceleration in 1961 in the rate of expansion of poultry production. A rise in production of butter at home and in the main exporting countries resulted in a level of supply in the United Kingdom which was almost as great as that in 1958, and caused a curtailment of demand for, and production of margarine. A decrease in supplies of lard and compound cooking fats was more than offset by an increase in the quantity of edible oils and other fats. Supplies of potatoes were slightly greater than in the previous year, but those of pulses, legumes, most other fresh vegetables and fresh fruit declined.
8. Estimates of the energy value and nutrient content of the food supplies moving into consumption in the United Kingdom are also shown in Table 2. Like the estimates of supplies they are not directly comparable with results obtained from the National Food Survey, which relate only to Great Britain and to food obtained for consumption within the home. The average energy value per head has remained 3 per cent above the pre-war level. The trend of a slight increase in animal protein and less regular decrease in vegetable protein continued, animal protein accounting for 60 per cent of the total protein supplies in 1961 compared with 55 per cent before the war. Supplies of fat increased in 1961, while those of carbohydrate continued to decline. From 1959 onwards, carbohydrate and vegetable protein have been the only nutrients whose supply was less than in the pre-war period. In other respects there was almost no change compared with 1960; vitamin C declined slightly owing to the decreased supplies of fresh fruit and vegetables other than potatoes, and was only 4 per cent above the pre-war average, but the provision of other vitamins, minerals and animal protein was a fifth or more greater in 1961 than in the pre-war period.

Table 1
Changes in Earnings, Prices and Consumers' Expenditure, 1956-61

$$
(1958=100)
$$

|  | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Index of personal disposable income per head | 92 | 97 | 100 | 105 | 112 | 118 |
| Index of average weekly earnings (a) | 92 | 97 | 100 | 105 | 112 | 119 |
| Index of Retail Prices (all items) | 94 | 97 | 100 | 101 | 102 | 105 |
| Retail food prices: National Food Survey Index | 96 | 99 | 100 | 102 | 101 | 103 |
| London and Cambridge Index (b) | 95 | 98 | 100 | 101 | 100 | 102 |
| Household food expenditure per head (National Food Survey) | 95 | 98 | 100 | 103 | 104 | 108 |
| Total food expenditure per head (a): current prices | 95 | 988 | 100 | 103 | 103 | 106 |
| 1958 prices <br> Total consumers' expenditure per head (a) current prices | 98 91 | 100 96 | 100 | 104 | 108 | 111 |
| 1958 prices | 97 | 98 | 100 | 103 | 106 | 107 |
| Total food expenditure as percentage of total expenditure on goods and services (a): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| current prices . | 31.6 | $31 \cdot 1$ | $30 \cdot 3$ | 29.9 | 29.1 | 28. |
| 1958 prices | 30.9 | $30 \cdot 8$ | $30 \cdot 3$ | 29.8 | $29 \cdot 3$ | 29.4 |

(a) Derived from data in the Monthly Digest of Statistics.
(b) Bulletin of the London and Cambridge Economic Service in The Times Review of Industry, March 1962.

## Table 2

## Changes in National Supplies of Principal Foods moving into Consumption in the United Kingdom, Pre-War and 1957-61


N.B. More detailed estimates were published in the Board of Trade Journal, Vol. 185, No. 3464, 9th August, 1963.
(a) One egg is approximately 2 oz
(b) Includes some quantities of fats also shown under other headings.
(c) Includes sugar in manufactured foods (which is not included elsewhere in the table except for confectionery) but excludes sugar used in brewing and distilling.
(d) The pre-war estimate has been revised as a result of further research on supply and utilization data but it is still an approximate figure. Pre-war consumer surveys suggest that average consumption may have been about 200 lb . per head per annum.
(e) Tomatoes and tomato products have been classified as fruit (in terms of fresh equivalent) to conform with National Food Survey practice.
(f) Ingredients of chocolate and sugar confectionery are also included elsewhere.

Household Food Expenditure and Consumption, 1961

## HOUSEHOLD FOOD EXPENDITURE AND CONSUMPTION, 1961

9. Estimates, obtained from the National Food Survey, of the average weekly expenditure on food for consumption by private households in Great Britain in each quarter of 1960 and 1961 are given in Table 3. Expenditure deviated from the normal seasonal pattern in 1961 by rising to a peak in the third quarter, partly owing to unusually high prices for some fruits and vegetables, and partly because of an increase in purchases of carcase meat; over the year expenditure averaged 30 s . 7 d . per person per week, 11d. ( $3 \cdot 2$ per cent) more than in 1960, the principal increases being in expenditure on meat, potatoes, fresh fruit and bread.

Table 3
Household Food Expenditure, Value of Free Food(a) and Total Value of Food obtained for Household Consumption, 1960 and 1961
(per person per week)

|  | Expenditure on food |  |  | Value of free food |  | Value of consumption |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1960 | 1961 | Percentage change | 1960 | 1961 | 1960 | 1961 | Percentag change |
| 1st Quarter | s. d. <br> 29  <br> 1  | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { s. } & d . \\ 30 & 0\end{array}$ | +3.0 | s. $\begin{array}{r}\text { d. } \\ \\ 6\end{array}$ | s. ${ }^{\text {d. }}$ | s. <br> 29 <br> 8 | $\begin{array}{cc}s . & d . \\ 30 & 6\end{array}$ | $+2 \cdot 8$ |
| 2nd Quarter | 306 | 310 | +1.6 | 8 | 9 | 31 | 319 | $+2.0$ |
| 3rd Quarter | 295 | 31 | $+5 \cdot 7$ | 14 | 14 | 309 | 325 | $+5 \cdot 3$ |
| 4th Quarter | 297 | $30 \quad 4$ | + 2.4 | 11 | 9 | 306 | 311 | +1.8 |
| Yearly average | 298 | 307 | $+3 \cdot 2$ | 10 | 10 | 306 | 315 | $+3 \cdot 0$ |

(a) As defined in paragraph 10.
10. Table 3 also gives estimates of the value o "free food", and further details are shown in Table 4. Free food is food which enters the household without payment, for consumption during the week of participation in the Survey; it includes supplies obtained from a garden, allotment or farm, or from an employer, but not gifts of food from one household in Great Britain to another if such food has been purchased by the donating household. Addition of the value of free supplies to the average expenditure provides estimates of the total value of food obtained for domestic consumption (abbreviated as "value of consumption" in Table 3 and elsewhere in the Report). The value imputed to the free supplies received by a group of households is derived from the average prices currently paid by that group for corresponding purchases. This appears to be the only practicable method of valuing free supplies, though if the households concerned had not had access to such supplies, they would probably not have replaced them fully by purchases at retail prices, and would therefore have spent less than the estimated value of their consumption. School milk and free welfare milk were not valued, and cheap welfare milk was entered at the price paid for it. Cod liver oil and vitamin A and D tablets were excluded from the analysis because of their erratic effect on some of the nutritional estimates. Subject to these reservations, the estimated total value of food obtained for domestic consumption averaged 31s. 5d. per person per week in 1961 compared

Table 4
Value of Free Supplies (a), 1960 and 1961
(pence per person per week)

|  | 1960 |  |  |  |  | 1961 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1st } \\ & \text { Qtr. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2nd } \\ & \text { Qtr. } \end{aligned}$ | 3rd Qtr. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4th } \\ & \text { Qtr. } \end{aligned}$ | Yearly average | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1st } \\ \text { Qtr. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2nd } \\ & \text { Qtr. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3rd } \\ & \text { Qtr. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4th } \\ & \text { Qtr. } \end{aligned}$ | Yearly average |
| Milk and cream | 1.54 | $1 \cdot 27$ | 1.44 | 1.53 | 1.43 | 1.07 | $2 \cdot 14$ | 2.02 | 1.46 | $1 \cdot 67$ |
| Eggs | 1.18 | $1 \cdot 17$ | $1 \cdot 20$ | $1 \cdot 24$ | 1.20 | 1.06 | 1.73 | 1.73 | 1.00 | 1.38 |
| Meat | 0.95 | 0.50 | 0.45 | 1.20 | 0.79 | $0 \cdot 62$ | 0.88 | 0.55 | 0.76 | 0.68 |
| Potatoes. | $0 \cdot 67$ | 0.86 | 2.09 | $1 \cdot 20$ | $1 \cdot 21$ | $0 \cdot 65$ | $0 \cdot 67$ | $2 \cdot 34$ | 1.31 | 1.24 |
| All other vegetables. | 0.96 | 2.02 | 5.99 | $2 \cdot 61$ | $2 \cdot 88$ | $1 \cdot 33$ | 1.53 | $5 \cdot 18$ | $2 \cdot 37$ | $2 \cdot 59$ |
| Fruit . | 0.72 | 1.71 | $4 \cdot 86$ | 2.56 | 2.46 | 1.00 | 1.85 | 3.73 | 1.63 | $2 \cdot 04$ |
| All other foods | 0.27 | $0 \cdot 27$ | 0.44 | $0 \cdot 28$ | 0.33 | 0.20 | $0 \cdot 30$ | $0 \cdot 34$ | 0.31 | $0 \cdot 34$ |
| All foods | $6 \cdot 29$ | $7 \cdot 80$ | $16 \cdot 47$ | $10 \cdot 62$ | $10 \cdot 30$ | 5.93 | 9.10 | $15 \cdot 89$ | 8.84 | 9.94 |

(a) As defined in paragraph 10 .
with 30 s . 6 d . in the previous year. Seasonal variation in the value of consumption was greater than in 1960, and more pronounced than that in expenditure, largely because the peak in expenditure coincided with the peak in free supplies in the third quarter. Nevertheless, the trend over the past decade has been for free supplies to account for a decreasing proportion of the overall value of household food consumption, partly because of the decline in the use of gardens and allotments for food production, and partly because of the increase in the element of food expenditure which is attributable to the ancillary services of processing and packing.

## Seasonal and Convenience Foods

11. The percentage changes in average expenditure on seasonal foods, convenience foods and all other foods in each quarter of 1961 compared with corresponding quarters of the previous year are shown in Table 5. The group of seasonal foods consists of those foods which regularly exhibit a marked seasonal variation in price or in consumption, and comprises liquid milk (full price), cream, eggs, fresh fish, potatoes, fresh vegetables and fresh fruit. Convenience foods may be defined as those processed foods for which the degree of culinary preparation has been carried to an advanced stage by the manufacturer and which may be used as labour-saving alternatives to less highlyprocessed products. Although the Survey classification of foods is not sufficiently detailed to itemize separately all of the foods embraced by this definition, it distinguishes most of them, namely:-cooked and canned meats, meat products, cooked and canned fish, quick-frozen peas and beans, canned vegetables, canned fruit, cakes, pastries, biscuits, breakfast cereals, cereal products, canned and dehydrated soups, puddings, and ice-cream bought to serve with a meal. Expenditure on these convenience foods rose by $5 \cdot 1$ per cent in 1961 (from 5 s .6 d . to 5 s . 10 d . per person per week) compared with increases of 4.9 per cent (from 8 s . 6 d . to 8 s . 11 d .) in expenditure on seasonal foods and of 1.4 per cent (from 15s. 7d. to 15 s . 10d.) in that on all other foods.
12. Table 5 shows the extent to which these changes in expenditure were due to price changes in the "quantity" (or value at constant prices) of food purchases.

The changes in prices are those indicated by a price index of "Fisher Ideal" type, calculated as the geometric mean of two indices with weights appropriate to the earlier and later periods respectively; the changes in the quantity of food purchased were estimated by deflating the index of expenditure by this price index. This apportionment between price and quantity, however, cannot be precise because the classification of items cannot be indefinitely detailed. The average price paid for each item was obtained by dividing the total expenditure on that item by the total quantity purchased; hence a shift in purchases from a cheaper to a dearer variety within the same food item (for example, from a lower to a higher grade of liquid milk) is represented as an increase in the average price paid for the item; conceptually, however, purchase of the more expensive variety should preferably be shown as a rise in the standard of purchases. This type of limitation does not arise when there is a shift in purchases from one item in the classification (i.e. an item for which a price relative is calculated) to another; ceteris paribus, such a shift is recorded as a quantity change and the price index is not affected. Subject to the qualification mentioned above, the increase of 3.2 per cent in household food expenditure in 1961 may be apportioned as a rise of 1.7 per cent in the general level of food prices and a gain of 1.4 per cent in the real value (at constant prices) of food purchases. The rise of 1.7 per cent in the overall level of food prices was due mainly to an increase of 5.6 per cent in the price index for seasonal foods, most of which,

Table 5
Changes in Indices of Expenditure, Average Food Prices and Real Value of Food Purchased: Quarters of 1961 compared with corresponding Quarters of 1960 (percentage changes)

|  | Quarter |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1961 \\ \text { on } \\ 1960 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| Expenditure <br> Seasonal foods (a) | $+5 \cdot 8$ | -0.9 | $-11.8$ | $+2 \cdot 4$ | $+4.9$ |
| Convenience foods (a) | +4.0 | + +3 | + 6.9 | 10.6 $-\quad 6$. | +5.1 |
| All other foods (b) | $+1.1$ | +1.4 | $-\quad 1.9$ | +0.9 | +1.4 |
| All foods | $+3.0$ | $+1 \cdot 6$ | +5.7 | -2.4 | $+3 \cdot 2$ |
| Average Food Prices |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seasonal foods (a) | $+2.8$ | $\cdots 2 \cdot 8$ | $+10 \cdot 2$ | $\therefore 7.0$ | $+5.6$ |
| Convenience foods ( $a$ ) | +1.2 | 2.8 +0.6 | 10.2 $+\quad 2.9$ | - 2.4 -1.5 | $+1.8$ |
| All other foods (b) | $-0.6$ | $+1.0$ | - 0.7 | $-1.5$ | -0.5 |
| All foods (b) | $+0.7$ | $+1 \cdot 5$ | $\bigcirc 3.1$ | $+1.5$ | $-1.7$ |
| Real Value of Food Purchased (c) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seasonal foods (a) | $+2.9$ | $-1 \cdot 8$ | +1.4 | $-4 \cdot 2$ | $-0.6$ |
| Convenience foods (a) | $+2 \cdot 8$ | $+2.8$ | + 3.8 | -4.1 | $+3.2$ |
| All other foods (b) | $+1 \cdot 7$ | +0.4 | +2.7 | $+2.5$ | $+1.9$ |
| All foods (b) | $+2 \cdot 2$ | +0.2 | + $2 \cdot 5$ | -0.9 | $+1.4$ |

(a) As defined in paragraph 11.
(b) Excluding a few miscellaneous items for which the expenditure but not the quantity was recorded.
(c) Calculated by dividing the expenditure index by the corresponding price index.
in turn, was attributable to abnormally high prices for potatoes, fresh vegetables, and fresh fruit in the second half of the year; an increase of 1.8 per cent in the price index for convenience foods accounted for no more than a fifth of the rise in the price index for all foods, and this contribution was almost entirely offset by a decrease of 0.5 per cent in the price index for all other foods. The gain of 1.4 per cent in the real value of food purchases in 1961 was achieved despite a fall of 0.6 per cent in the component relating to seasonal foods. Nearly two-fifths of the overall net gain was contributed by a rise of 3.2 per cent in the real value of purchases of convenience foods and the remainder by a rise of 1.9 per cent in the corresponding component for all other foods; the rise in the latter component was due mainly to increased purchases of meat and butter.
13. Some of these changes in 1961 were thus short-term phenomena, but some were in continuation of already established trends. Differences in the trends in expenditure, prices and consumption of seasonal, convenience and other foods since 1957 are illustrated in Table 6 by annual index numbers, calculated as described in paragraph 12; the adoption of 1958 as a base period for these indices facilitates their comparison with other published statistical series. Although expenditure on seasonal foods exhibited a regularly rising trend throughout the five-year period, annual changes in prices and in consumption of these foods were, as might be expected, dependent on the weather and inversely related to each other. Moreover, these changes were inversely cor-

Table 6
Indices of Expenditure, Prices and Real Value of Food Purchased for Household Consumption, 1957-61

$$
(1958=100)
$$


(a) As defined in paragraph 11.
(b) Excluding a few miscellaneous items for which the expenditure but not the quantity was recorded.
(c) Calculated by dividing the expenditure index by the corresponding price index.
related with the corresponding changes in prices and consumption of foods other than convenience foods, to which consumers have greater recourse when seasonal foods are in limited supply. The possible replacement of seasonal foods by convenience foods under such circumstances is obscured by the rapidly rising trend in consumption of the latter. Indeed, expenditure per head on convenience foods increased by $19 \cdot 2$ per cent between 1957 and 1961, compared with a rise of 4.9 per cent in expenditure on all other non-seasonal foods. Moreover, the contrast between these two groups is heightened when expressed in real terms, because the price index for the former rose only half as much as that for the latter; thus the real value of purchases of convenience foods increased by $17 \cdot 1$ per cent compared with a rise of 1.4 per cent for other nonseasonal foods. Over the same period, the real value of all household food purchases per head rose by 4.4 per cent, convenience foods contributing approximately two-thirds of this rise.
14. Although contrasting changes are thus shown for the three broad categories of "seasonal", "convenience" and "other" foods, this does not imply that all of the foods within each category exhibited a common trend in expenditure, or in consumption, or average price. Some of these differences within categories during the period from 1958 to 1961 are revealed in Table 18 (Part II) which gives indices of expenditure, prices and the real value of purchases for each of the main food groups. More detailed estimates of average expenditure, consumption and prices for each of the foods in the Survey classification are shown for each quarter of 1961, together with averages for the year, in Tables 1-3 of Appendix B; comparable detailed results for earlier years are to be found in previous Annual Reports.

## Milk and Cheese

15. Average household consumption of liquid milk (excluding school milk), which had fallen slightly in 1958 and 1959, subsequently increased at a rate of approximately $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent per annum to $4 \cdot 70$ pints per person per week in 1961. Part of this increase was in consumption of milk bought at the full retail price, and part was due to a growth in purchases of welfare milk. Although this latter growth was largely due to the increase in the birth-rate and the consequent increase in the proportion of the population entitled to welfare milk, part of it was attributable to a shift in demand from cheap welfare dried milk to the more expensive branded dried milks for feeding to infants, and the more frequent exercise of the option to purchase the infant's allowance of cheap welfare milk in liquid form. The increase in consumption of branded dried milk made only a small contribution to the rise of 12 per cent in the index of the real value of purchases of "other" milk and cream between 1958 and 1961 (Table 18); most of this rise was due to the increase in consumption of cream from 0.32 oz . per person per week in 1958 to 0.44 oz . in 1961. Purchases of evaporated milk (which is often used as a cheap substitute for cream) decreased after 1959; previously consumption had been increasing.
16. The indices for cheese which are given in Table 18, illustrate both its low overall price elasticity and the slight upward trend in demand over the fouryear period. The increase in demand was confined to natural cheese; average purchases of processed cheese were fairly steady at nearly 0.4 oz . per person per week.

## Meat and Poultry

17. Over the past few years, the quantity of meat consumed has been determined mainly by the availability of supplies, the price mechanism operating to equate the effective demand to the supply, as is illustrated by the indices of prices and the real value of purchases given in Table 18. Nevertheless, there have also been marked changes in the underlying demand for some kinds of meat which are more clearly revealed by further detailed analysis. For this purpose it is desirable to consider data obtained over as long a period of free market conditions as possible, and since consumers, and suppliers, had not fully adjusted their behaviour to those conditions in 1955, the period chosen for analysis is from 1956 to 1961. Estimates of average consumption of the principal kinds of meat during this period are shown in Table 7.

Table 7
Household Consumption of Meat, 1956-61
(oz. per person per week)

|  | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beef and veal | 10.00 | 10.54 | 9.57 | 8.55 | $8 \cdot 74$ | $9 \cdot 10$ |
| Mutton and lamb | $7 \cdot 16$ | $6 \cdot 28$ | $6 \cdot 04$ | 6.97 | $6 \cdot 63$ | 6.75 |
| Pork | 1.90 | 1.98 | $2 \cdot 13$ | $2 \cdot 01$ | $2 \cdot 02$ | 1.95 |
| Total carcase meat | 19.06 | 18.80 | 17.74 | 17.53 | 17.39 | $17 \cdot 80$ |
| Bacon and ham, uncooked | $5 \cdot 11$ | 5.08 | $5 \cdot 16$ | $5 \cdot 14$ | $5 \cdot 32$ | $5 \cdot 24$ |
| Poultry . . | 0. 59 | $0 \cdot 80$ | 0.97 | $1 \cdot 35$ | 1.68 | $2 \cdot 32$ |
| Other meat and meat products | 10.59 | $10 \cdot 76$ | 11.30 | $11 \cdot 16$ | 11.50 | $11 \cdot 40$ |
| Total carcase and other meat | $35 \cdot 35$ | $35 \cdot 44$ | 35.17 | 35.18 | $35 \cdot 89$ | 36.76 |

18. Total household consumption of meat, which averaged $35 \cdot 4 \mathrm{oz}$. per person per week in 1956-57, fell slightly to $35 \cdot 2 \mathrm{oz}$. in 1958-59 when the decrease in consumption of beef, which was due to reduced imports and home-production, was not fully offset by increased consumption of other kinds of meat. The total increased to 35.9 oz . in 1960 , partly because of greater supplies of beef, but mainly because of increased purchases of poultry, bacon and convenience meats; it attained $36 \cdot 8 \mathrm{oz}$. per person per week in 1961 when home production and total supplies of beef, mutton and lamb, pig-meat and poultry all increased. The disposal of these additional supplies in the home market was not achieved without some fall in retail prices in the second half of the year (Appendix B, Table 3), although averaged over the whole year the prices paid by housewives for beef and for pork were higher than in 1960 (Table 18). However, the latter increases were, at least in part, due to the method of calculating the average prices for the two commodities; this method, in effect, produced average prices identical with those which would be obtained for each year by weighting the average prices for each cut by the quantity of that cut purchased in the same year, and the increase between the two years reflects some shift in purchases from cheaper to dearer cuts and from imported to home-killed meat. Unfortunately, (for purposes of analysis), in many cases, housewives appear to be unable to distinguish reliably between the various cuts of meat, or even between home-killed and imported meat.
19. The four-fold increase in consumption of poultry from $0 \cdot 59 \mathrm{oz}$. per person per week in 1956 to 2.32 oz . in 1961 was greater than the increase in total meat consumption (from $35 \cdot 4 \mathrm{oz}$. to $36 \cdot 8 \mathrm{oz}$.). Between 1956 and 1960, consumption of carcase meat declined from 19.1 to 17.4 oz ., but it rose to 17.8 oz . in 1961. Although the increase in consumption of poultry (which was more than double the increase in consumption of convenience meats) thus more than offset the decrease in purchases of carcase meat (which was itself partly a reflection of the supply position) the question arises whether these changes can be explained by changes in average prices or by changes in consumer demand. Certainly new methods of poultry production were adopted soon after the return to free-market conditions, and the rapid expansion of the broiler industry was accompanied by a fall in unit production costs and margins, which resulted in poultry being offered to housewives at an average price of 3 s .8 d . per lb . in 1961 compared with 5 s . Od. per lb . in 1956, thus placing it within the everyday reach of a wider market which formerly regarded it as a luxury. Over the same period the average price paid by housewives for carcase meat increased from 3s. 4d. per lb. to 3 s .10 d . Poultry thus entered into keener price-competition with carcase meat, but there remains the question whether or not consumer demand has shifted from carcase meat to poultry independently of the respective opposing trends in average price; this is discussed in Appendix E. The conclusions are that there has been a significant decline in the underlying demand for mutton and lamb, probably some increase in that for beef and veal, and an accelerating expansion of demand for poultry. If real incomes had not risen during the period, the demand for mutton and lamb would have declined rather more rapidly and that for poultry would have increased at about two-thirds of the observed rate.
20. Bacon has been omitted from the analysis in Appendix E, partly to keep to a minimum the number of variables involved, but also because it is not considered an important substitute for the carcase meats. The own-price elasticity of demand found for uncooked bacon and ham during the period from 1956 to 1961 was -0.69 (standard error $0 \cdot 12$ ); the fact that this estimate is lower than the corresponding estimates for poultry and each of the carcase meats, given in Appendix E, supports the view that there is less substitution on the basis of price between bacon and the other meats than there is between the separate items making up the latter. Statistically significant annual changes in demand for uncooked bacon and ham were detected throughout the period, but these changes were not in conformity with a clear trend.

## Fish

21. The price indices for fish which are shown in Table 18 slightly exaggerate the rise in average prices between 1958 and 1961. In the base year (1958) chosen for the indices, no distinction was made in the Survey classification of foods between filleted and unfilleted fresh white fish, nor between filleted and unfilleted herrings; in consequence, the price-relatives calculated by the method described in paragraph 12 are affected by the increase in the ratio of filleted to unfilleted fish purchases. Although the indices of the value of purchases of fish at constant prices are also affected, the distortion is not sufficient to nullify the overall decrease in consumption which they reflect after 1959.

## Eggs

22. Although there had been some slight expansion of demand for eggs, independently of any effect of price, between 1957 and 1960, there was no significant change in 1961 in household consumption, which averaged 4.66 eggs per person per week. Prices fluctuated around an average of 4 s . 2 d . per dozen in both 1960 and 1961, but the fluctuations were smaller in the later year. At these levels of prices and consumption, the effective demand responds hardly at all to small changes in price; a price-elasticity of -0.12 (standard error 0.06 ) was estimated after removing seasonal variation from the Survey data for the period from 1956 to 1961.

## Fats

23. Increased supplies of butter in 1961 resulted in a fall in the average price. from 3s. 1d. per lb. in the first quarter of the year, when household purchases averaged 6.00 oz . per person per week, to 2 s .10 d . per lb . in the third quarter when average purchases rose to 6.36 oz . The pressure of supplies eased in the fourth quarter, when the average price increased slightly to 2 s .11 d . per lb . and purchases fell to $6 \cdot 22 \mathrm{oz}$., giving averages for the whole year of 2 s .11 d . per lb . and $6 \cdot 18 \mathrm{oz}$. per person per week respectively, compared with 3 s . 5 d . per lb . and 5.68 oz . in 1960 . Quarterly purchases of margarine tended to vary conversely with those of butter, and the average for the year was 3.30 oz . per person per week compared with 3.66 oz . in 1960 . The average price paid by housewives for margarine was 1 s . $10 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}$. per lb . in both years, with almost negligible variation during the period.
24. Experience since decontrol in 1954 has shown ${ }^{(1)}$ that when the price of butter is increasing after having exhibited a downward trend for a period, consumer demand for butter at a given price tends to be stronger, and that for margarine weaker, than at the equivalent price when the price of butter is falling. As the downward trend in butter prices in 1960-61 was not reversed until November 1961, it was not clear by the end of the year whether or not a shift in demand had occurred. However, an analysis of the monthly Survey data from mid-1957 to mid-1962 has shown significant annual changes in demand for butter and margarine, and has provided estimates of -0.32 for the own-price elasticity of demand for butter, and of +0.43 for the elasticity of demand for margarine with respect to the price of butter; both these estimates have a standard error of 0.06 . Changes in the real price of margarine were negligible over this period, and had no discernible effect on demand. A comparison of the average purchases in each year of the five-year period with the quantities that might have been expected from application of the above priceelasticities to the recorded changes in the "real" or deflated price of butter provides estimates of the extent to which the underlying demand for the two commodities varied throughout the period independently of the changes in price. Such estimates, expressed as percentage deviations from the average demand over the five years are:-

[^0]These estimates indicate that demand shifted from margarine to butter shortly after the downward trend in butter prices was reversed in mid-1958; part, but not all, of this gain was subsequently lost soon after the reversal of the upward trend in butter prices at the end of 1959, but it was restored shortly after the upturn in butter prices at the end of 1961. Part of the change in demand for the two principal fats can be explained by the increase in real income per head over the period. Estimated income-elasticities of demand for butter and margarine were given in the Annual Report for 1960 (p. 161) for the years 1955, 1958 and 1960, and interpolated values have been used to adjust the percentage deviations (shown above) for the rise in real income, with the following results:-


Thus, about one-third of the increase in the underlying demand for butter is accounted for by rising incomes, but only about one-sixth of the decrease in the demand for margarine. The remainder represents a change in consumers' taste and habits which is not explained by price and income changes.
25. Total household consumption of visible fats (including lard and cooking fats), which had risen to $12 \cdot 2 \mathrm{oz}$. per person per week in 1958, was fairly steady about an average of $12 \cdot 0 \mathrm{oz}$. between 1959 and 1961.

## Sugar and preserves

26. Although household purchases of sugar averaged $18 \cdot 1 \mathrm{oz}$. per person per week in 1961 compared with 17.8 oz . in 1960, this does not necessarily imply an upturn in trend; the annual averages fluctuated between $17 \cdot 7 \mathrm{oz}$. and $18 \cdot 6 \mathrm{oz}$. during 1956 to 1961, and these changes appear to be closely related to the level of supplies of soft fruit. The long-term decline in consumption of preserves continued in 1961 when average consumption fell to 3.03 oz . per person per week compared with 3.21 oz . in 1960 and 3.69 oz . in 1956; the rate of decline in consumption has been quite slow for marmalade, somewhat faster for jam, and most rapid for syrup and treacle.

## Vegetables and fruit

27. The estimated household consumption of potatoes increased from 57.2 oz . per person per week in 1960 to $58 \cdot 1$ oz. in 1961, with a relatively greater rise in average expenditure, from 1 s . 0 d . per person per week to 1 s . 2 d . Although the average prices paid by housewives for potatoes in the second half of 1961 were higher by between $\frac{3}{4} \mathrm{~d}$. and 1 dd . per lb . than in the corresponding quarters of the previous year, purchases were almost at the same level in both periods; this apparent inelasticity in the demand was probably due in part to anticipation of a shortage in the spring of 1962, and in part to a fall in free supplies from gardens and allotments which caused some housewives to be more dependent on commercial supplies. Pre-packed potatoes, not previously recorded separately, commanded an average price about $\frac{3}{4} \mathrm{~d}$. per lb . higher than that of other potatoes
in 1961; averaged over the year, 8 per cent by weight of the potatoes bought by housewives were pre-packed, but in January-March the proportion was as high as 13 per cent.
28. Consumption of fresh green vegetables declined from $15 \cdot 8$ oz. per person per week in 1960 to $15 \cdot 1 \mathrm{oz}$. in 1961, largely because of reduced free supplies of fresh peas and beans. Consumption of root and miscellaneous fresh vegetables also decreased (from 10.6 oz . to 9.7 oz .), commercial and free supplies both being less than in 1960. Purchases of canned vegetables and vegetable products, however, increased from $6 \cdot 75 \mathrm{oz}$. to $7 \cdot 23 \mathrm{oz}$.
29. Consumption of canned peas varied little between 1956 and 1961, but purchases of canned beans, other canned vegetables, vegetable products and especially quick-frozen peas and beans all increased during this period, while consumption of dried pulses declined. These trends, together with changes in consumption of fresh peas and beans, are shown in Table 8.

Table 8
Household Consumption of Peas, Beans, Canned Vegetables and Vegetable Products, 1956-61
(oz. per person per week)

|  | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fresh peas | - $\} 3 \cdot 15$ | $3 \cdot 09$ | $3 \cdot 19$ | $3 \cdot 13$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1.51 \\ 2.07\end{array}\right.$ | 1.34 1.39 |
| Fresh beans . | - $\}^{3 \cdot 15}$ | $3 \cdot 09$ | $3 \cdot 19$ | $3 \cdot 13$ | $\{2.07$ | 1.39 |
| Quick-frozen peas | - $\{0.20$ | $0 \cdot 22$ | 0.34 | 0.47 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}0.54 \\ 0.09\end{array}\right.$ | 0.56 0.09 |
| Quick-frozen beans | ${ }_{3}$ | $2 \cdot 94$ | $3 \cdot 18$ | $3 \cdot 24$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}0.09 \\ 3.06\end{array}\right.$ | 0.09 3.34 |
| Canned beans | - $2 \cdot 36$ | $2 \cdot 15$ | $2 \cdot 55$ | $2 \cdot 52$ | - 2.60 | $2 \cdot 70$ |
| Dried pulses | - 0.71 | 0.63 | 0.64 | 0.52 | 0.58 | $0 \cdot 54$ |
| Other canned vegetables | $0 \cdot 32$ | 0.34 | 0.42 | 0.45 | 0.40 | 0.50 |
| Vegetable products | 0.05 | 0. 10 | 0.07 | 0.07 | $0 \cdot 11$ | 0.15 |

30. Consumption of fresh fruit fell from 22.9 oz . per person per week in 1960 to 21.8 oz . in 1961, largely because of an exceptionally poor home crop of apples in the latter year; although this was partly made good by increased imports, the average price paid by housewives for apples in the fourth quarter (1s. $5 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}$. per lb.) was almost double that paid a year earlier. Oranges and other citrus fruits were also dearer and less plentiful than in 1960, and consumption was consequently lower. Consumption of canned and bottled fruit increased slightly, but that of dried fruit declined further. The price of a 6 oz . bottle of welfare orange juice was increased from 5d. to 1s. 6d. on 1st June, 1961, and purchases averaged 0.03 oz . per person per week in the second half of the year compared with 0.07 oz . in the first six months.

## Cereals and miscellaneous foods

31. The downward trend in consumption of bread continued in 1961 ; purchases averaged $45 \cdot 2 \mathrm{oz}$. per person per week compared with 45.5 oz . in the previous year and 51.1 oz . in 1956. Purchases of flour fell from 6.76 oz . to 6.37 oz .; in 1956 the average was 7.89 oz . There were further decreases in the consumption of rice and of oat products in 1961, but purchases of chocolate biscuits, puddings and prepared breakfast cereals again increased. Consumption of tea
increased slightly and there was some further displacement of bean and ground coffee by instant coffee. Purchases of canned soups continued to increase, and averaged 2.48 oz . in 1961 compared with 1.61 oz . in 1956.

## Quick-frozen foods

32. Estimates of average household purchases of quick-frozen foods in 1960 and 1961 are given in Table 9. The estimates relate to the "cabinet trade" ${ }^{(1)}$ in quick-frozen foods and exclude any products which are not readily identifiable as quick-frozen by the housewife. At constant (1960) prices the increase between 1960 and 1961 in the volume of purchases of quick-frozen foods was about 16 per cent; most of this increase was due to quick-frozen poultry, for which the problem of identification is most acute.

Table 9
Quick-frozen Foods:
Household Expenditure, Consumption and Average Prices Paid, 1960 and 1961

|  | Expenditure (pence per person per week) |  | Consumption (oz. per person per week) |  | Average price (per lb.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1960 | 1961 | 1960 | 1961 | 1960 | 1961 |
| White fish (fillets and fingers) | $1 \cdot 31$ | $1 \cdot 36$ | 0.43 | 0.44 | s. ${ }_{4}$ d. | ${ }_{4}{ }_{4}$ d. |
| Peas . | $1 \cdot 26$ | 1.33 | 0.54 | 0.56 | 32 | 32 |
| Poultry (uncooked) | 0.58 | 0.98 | $0 \cdot 17$ | 0.33 |  | 311 |
| Meat products and prepared meat dishes. | $0 \cdot 47$ | 0.54 | $0 \cdot 13$ | $0 \cdot 14$ | 411 | 51 |
| Beans | $0 \cdot 24$ | 0.24 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 38 | 38 |
| Fish cakes and other fish products | $0 \cdot 17$ | 0.16 | $0 \cdot 07$ | 0.06 | 32 | 38 |
| Cakes and pastries . | 0.08 | $0 \cdot 13$ | $0 \cdot 02$ | 0.04 | 48 | 49 |
| Brussels sprouts | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 311 | 39 |
| Processed fat fish | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 310 | 40 |
| All other quick-frozen foods | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.09 | 0.09 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Total . | $4 \cdot 58$ | $5 \cdot 21$ | $1 \cdot 58$ | 1.80 | n.a. | n.a. |

## GEOGRAPHICAL DIFFERENCES IN HOUSEHOLD FOOD EXPENDITURE AND CONSUMPTION, 1961

## Classification

33. For the purpose of considering differences in household food consumption and expenditure between one part of Great Britain and another, two different analyses of the Survey data have been made. The first of these classifies households according to geographical region, the second according to the degree of urbanization of the polling district in which they are located. The two classifications are formally independent of each other and no crossclassification according to degree of urbanization within each region has been attempted, though an important characteristic of each region is of course the

[^1]extent to which its population is concentrated in large towns. In the regional analysis, separate results are given for Wales, for Scotland and for each of the standard regions of England, except that the London conurbation has been treated separately from the remainder of the London and South-Eastern region, which has been combined with the Southern region, giving a total of 11 regions ${ }^{(1)}$ in all. The London conurbation also appears in the analysis by degree of urbanization (type of area), in which it is distinguished from provincial conurbations ${ }^{(2)}$; this analysis also makes a distinction between larger towns ${ }^{(3)}$ and smaller towns ${ }^{(4)}$, and between semi-rural areas ${ }^{(5)}$ and rural areas ${ }^{(6)}$.
34. The Survey is designed to be representative of Great Britain as a whole, but practical restrictions on the size of the sample and on the number and mobility of the fieldworkers place limits on the number of localities that can be included in each regional sub-sample; the sample design, therefore, cannot ensure that the localities selected from any one region in a single year are fully representative of that region. Although variations in the composition of each of the regional sub-samples between one year and another are not without influence on the results, the broad pattern of regional differences in household food consumption and expenditure revealed each year by the Survey has been remarkably consistent since the analysis was first attempted in 1955; moreover, the year-to-year variations in the composition of the sub-samples are sufficiently random to permit the evaluation of trends in consumption and expenditure from the results obtained over a period of several years. Details of the samples selected in 1961 from each region and from each type of area are given in Appendix A.

## Expenditure, prices and free supplies

35. Estimates are given in Table 19 of average domestic food expenditure per person per week in 1960 and 1961 in each region and type of area together with estimates of the value of food obtained for consumption in the home (i.e. purchases plus free supplies). As usual, the analysis by type of area revealed wider differences in average expenditure and in the value of free supplies than the regional analysis; however, the regional variation observed in the average value of food obtained for consumption was greater than that between the different types of area. In each analysis, the differences in average expenditure were, of course, greater than those in the value of consumption, since expenditure tended to be inversely related to the value of free supplies; this inverse relationship was more pronounced in the analysis by type of area than in that by region, but it was weaker in 1961 than in 1960 because the decrease in free supplies of fruit and vegetables in 1961 was not fully offset (particularly in rural areas) by increased purchases. Rural households in consequence recorded not only a lower average food expenditure ( 8.7 per cent below the national average) in
[^2]1961 than households in other types of area, but also a lower average value of consumption ( 1.5 per cent below the national average). In the regional analysis, the lowest average expenditure was again that in the South-West ( 5.8 per cent below the national average), and the lowest average value of consumption that in Scotland ( $5 \cdot 1$ per cent below); both expenditure and value of consumption were again greatest in London (respectively. 8.2 per cent and 6.4 per cent above the national average).
36. Because the estimates of food expenditure which are given in Table 19 relate only to food bought for consumption in the home, it might be expected that the considerable differences between the averages for each region and type of area could be explained at least in part by variations in the number and type of meals eaten outside the home and by the number of meals served to visitors. This, however, does not appear to be the case: when the estimates of average expenditure are each increased in proportion to the number and relative importance of meals eaten outside the home, and further adjusted downwards to allow for meals served to visitors, the regional and area differences are enhanced. The adjusted estimates for 1960 and 1961 are shown as index numbers in Table 19.
37. Table 19 also shows a price index which compares the level of food prices in each region and type of area with the average for Great Britain. The index is of Laspeyres type and has been derived by valuing the national diet at the average prices paid in each region and in each type of area. Prices tended to be lower than the average in London, the Home Counties, East Anglia and the South-West, and higher in Scotland and Wales, ${ }^{(1)}$ but the relative variation was much less than that in expenditure. When the estimates of average food expenditure are adjusted to a uniform level of food prices, they show greater variation between regions and types of area than the unadjusted estimates, so that differences in average food expenditure between one part of Great Britain and another cannot be explained by differences in prices; the main factors are presumably differences in purchasing power and in food habits.
38. A further index number shown in Table 19, the "price of energy" index, measures geographical differences in the relationship between the money value of food obtained for consumption and its energy value; these differences arise partly from variations in the prices paid for food and partly from different dietary patterns. Thus, although housewives in Scotland paid food prices which on average were nearly 4 per cent above those in the whole of Great Britain, they obtained their calories more cheaply because of their greater reliance on the less expensive sources of energy such as potatoes, oatmeal and bread. Conversely, housewives in London had the opportunity to buy many foods at prices slightly below the average, but they also devoted a greater proportion of their expenditure to the more expensive sources of energy such as carcase meat, fresh fruit and green vegetables, so that the cost per calorie of their diet was about 7 per cent above the national average.
39. Regional differences in average household food expenditure per person widened between 1956 and 1961, even when allowance is made for the increase

[^3]in food prices over the period. Over the same period, regional differences in food prices tended to decrease. Mainly because of this decrease in the variability of food prices, the increase in the regional differences in food expenditure was less than the increase in the corresponding differences in the value of food obtained for consumption when adjusted to national prices; the latter differences, however, were affected also by relatively large year-to-year variations in garden or allotment produce without counterbalancing changes in expenditure. These trends are illustrated by the following coefficients of variation ${ }^{(1)}$ which have been calculated from the regional averages in each year:

|  | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1 | $1960$ | 1961 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coefficients of variation in regional averages of: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Household food expenditure per person | $3 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 8$ | $4 \cdot 0$ | ; | $4 \cdot 0$ | $4 \cdot 4$ |
| Food prices ${ }^{(3)}$ | $2 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 4$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | 1.9 |  | 1.9 | $1 \cdot 7$ |
| Value (per person) of food obtained for consumption, adjusted to national prices | $3 \cdot 1$ | 2.9 | $3 \cdot 1$ | $4 \cdot 8$ | i | $3 \cdot 5$ | 5.9 |

40. The widening of regional differences in average food expenditure per head between 1956 and 1961 appears to have been at least in part due to different rates of increase in expenditure in three groups of contiguous regions. Thus, over this period, food expenditure per head increased in London, the South and South-East, the South-West and in Wales at an average rate of approximately 0.7 per cent per annum; in the Midlands, which, however, started from a higher level than the first group in 1956, the average rate of increase was 0.6 per cent per annum, and in Scotland, the North-West, the North-East, the North Midlands and East Anglia, which as a group already had a relatively low a verage food expenditure in 1956, the rate was only about 0.5 per cent. These rates of increase can only be approximate because of variations from one year to another in the composition of the regional sub-samples, but the broad pattern which they reveal may be explained by the greater increase in prosperity in the South than in the North. This widening of broad regional differences in expenditure is confirmed by the coefficients of variation which measure the relative variability between the three groups of regions defined above; in each year from 1956 to 1961 , they are, in order, $1 \cdot 0,0 \cdot 7,1 \cdot 3,2 \cdot 3,1 \cdot 6$ and 1.9 per cent.
41. In contrast to the variation in expenditure between regions discussed above, that between different types of area does not appear to have increased since 1956. The coefficients of variation for each year are successively $4 \cdot 3,5 \cdot 4,4 \cdot 8$, $4 \cdot 4,3 \cdot 3$ and $4 \cdot 4$ per cent. It is shown below (paragraphs 50 and 59) that differences associated with social class and household composition have also not widened, so that the increased regional disparity cannot be thus explained.
42. Geographical variations in average household consumption of each of the main foods or groups of foods in 1961 are summarized in Table 20. Detailed estimates of average consumption of each of the 128 foods itemized in the
[^4]Survey classification are given in Appendix D. The pattern of consumption in each region and type of area in 1961 was broadly similar to that described in paragraphs 116-131 of the Annual Report for 1960.

## HOUSEHOLD FOOD EXPENDITURE AND CONSUMPTION ACCORDING TO SOCIAL CLASS, 1961

## Classification

43. The definition of social class used in the National Food Survey is in terms of the gross weekly income of the head of the household, as stated by the housewife or, if necessary, imputed from occupation or other information. The use of a single criterion for this purpose may result in occasional anomalies in classification, but of all the factors which, taken together, determine the social class of a household, the income of its head appears to be the most relevant for the purpose of studying class-differences in food habits, especially as the distribution of households according to size does not vary much at different income levels except in the lower ranges-in other words, the classifications by income of head and by type of household are nearly orthogonal. In contrast, a classification by family income, or family income per head, would depend very much on differences in household size, so that social class and type of household would be confounded. The joint income of husband and wife has also been suggested as a classificatory variable, but it is dependent on yet another factor, the number of earners per household.
44. Four broad classes are distinguished (and described in descending order of the gross income of the head of the household as Classes A, B, C and D), but Class A is divided into two sub-groups (A1 and A2), and Class D into three, viz. households containing one or more earners (Class D1), those containing no earner (Class D2) and households solely or mainly dependent on old age pensions ${ }^{(1)}$ (abbreviated as O.A.P.). As an exception to the general rule, if the gross weekly income of the head of the household falls within the income range for Class D and the household contains one earner or more, the income of the principal earner is used to determine the social class, even though that earner is not necessarily the head of the household.
45. Because of the continuing rise in money incomes, the income ranges for each class must be re-defined periodically. Moreover, the revision must be made in advance of the field-work for any year, because those housewives who are unwilling or unable to state the exact income of the head of the household will often say in which of the specified income ranges it lies, and such information is better for purposes of classification than estimates imputed from occupation or other factors. The income ranges which were adopted at the beginning of 1961 for use throughout the year were:-

Class A $£ 21$ per week and over (Class A1, $£ 36$ and over).
Class B $£ 12$ 10s. and under $£ 21$.
Class $C^{(2)} \quad £ 810$ s. and under $£ 1210$ s.
Class D Under $£ 810 \mathrm{~s}$.

[^5]The rise in money incomes during 1961, however, proved greater, especially for skilled and semi-skilled manual workers, than had been anticipated when these ranges were determined at the beginning of the year. In consequence, about a sixth of the households which would otherwise have been allocated to Class $\mathbf{C}$ were placed in Class B because the income of the head fell within the range previously determined for that class. The households thus incorrectly preclassified spent rather less on food than households correctly placed in Class B, but a little more than other Class $\mathbf{C}$ households. As a result, the estimates of average food expenditure in both Classes B and C in 1961 are slightly understated; the averages for the sample as a whole and for households grouped according to any other classification are, of course, not affected. The proportion of sampled households falling within each class in each year from 1956 to 1961 is shown in Appendix A, together with the income ranges which were used each year to define the classes.
46. Further details of the composition of each class in 1961 are given in Tables 3 and 4 of Appendix A. As usual, households in each of the three sub-groups of Class D were of much smaller average size ( $2 \cdot 66,1 \cdot 92,1 \cdot 50$ persons) than those in Classes A, B and C ( 3.41 to $3 \cdot 59$ persons). In 54 per cent of the households included in Class D1, the head of the household was not gainfully occupied at the time of participation in the Survey, but at least one other member of the household was earning. Class D1 also included a number of households in which the principal earner was in part-time employment or was an adolescent or a widow; it contained nearly twice as many adult females as adult males, and relatively more women over 60 and men over 65 than the other earning classes, but relatively fewer children, and also relatively fewer than the corresponding sample in the previous year. The small sample of households in Class D2 (without earners) is also heterogeneous in character and its composition inherently unstable. This class consists largely of retired persons whose main source of income is other than the state retirement pension, but it also includes a few totally unemployed families with children. The sample of households from Class D2 in 1961 contained relatively fewer retired men and fewer singleperson households than that in 1960, but more elderly women; the unemployed families in this class, although no more numerous, contained on average more children.

## Expenditure, consumption and prices

47. Estimates are given in Table 21 of average domestic food expenditure per person per week in 1960 and 1961 by households of each class. Average expenditure in 1961 ranged from 38s. 9d. per person per week in Class Al to 28s. 11d. in Classes D1 and D2. The decrease shown for households in Class D2 in 1961 is entirely attributable to the change in the composition of the sample from that class; all other classes showed increases in expenditure, though the increases shown for Classes B and C are slightly understated for the reasons given in paragraph 45 , while the increase shown for Class D1 is partly due to the fortuitous inclusion in that class of fewer children than in 1960. Mainly no doubt because of an increase in their real income ${ }^{(1)}$, old age pensioner households increased their expenditure on food by more than 5 per cent in 1961 compared with an increase of $3 \cdot 2$ per cent for the whole sample; indeed, the

[^6]average expenditure recorded by pensioners has risen by 18 per cent since 1956, while that of all households has increased by little more than 12 per cent, and food prices by less than 7 per cent. Of the increase of 4 s . 7 d . per head in their average weekly food expenditure since $1956,1 \mathrm{~s}$. 5 d . was devoted to meat, $6 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}$. to fruit and 5d. to milk.
48. The range of class differences in the total value of food obtained for consumption in the home was wider than that in average food expenditure because households in Class A not only had the highest expenditure but also obtained more free supplies than households in any other class. Class differences in food expenditure, however, are partly explained by differences in the average prices paid for food by households in each class. The latter differences are illustrated in Table 21 by index numbers which have been calculated by costing the national average food purchases per head at the average prices paid by each class in turn and expressing the result as a percentage of the average domestic food expenditure per head for the whole sample. The index numbers therefore take no account of the actual pattern of purchases in each class, but only of differences in prices paid for the same commodities, presumably because of differences in quality and in the services offered by different shops. Thus the general level of food prices paid by households in Classes A1 and A2 in 1961 were respectively nearly 8 per cent and 3 per cent above the national average, which was the same as that in Class B; in Class C and the three sections of Class D the level was 1-2 $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent below the national average. A "price of energy" index is also shown in Table 21. This index has been obtained by dividing the money value of the food obtained for consumption (purchases plus free supplies) in each class by its energy value and expressing the result as a percentage of the corresponding quotient for all households. The index in 1961 ranged from nearly 27 per cent above the national average in Class A1 to nearly 5 per cent below it in Class $\mathbf{C}$ and in the pensioner households; this range was not very different from the corresponding range in the money value of food obtained for consumption since class differences in the energy value were comparatively small. Furthermore, class differences shown by the price of energy index were attributable far more to different dietary patterns than to differences in food prices, the higher income groups being less dependent on the cheaper sources of energy than those of more limited means.
49. The estimates of average expenditure so far discussed relate only to food bought for consumption in the home. Although the Survey does not obtain information of the amount spent on meals eaten outside the home, it records their number and type; it also records the number and type of meals served to visitors. When the estimates of average expenditure in each class are increased in proportion to the number and relative importance of meals eaten outside the home, and further adjusted downwards to allow for meals served to visitors, the class differences are enhanced, as shown in Table 21, because the proportion of meals taken out varied directly with the income of the head of the household and was almost negligible in pensioner households and households in Class D2.
50. Class differences in the average prices paid for food narrowed between 1956 and 1961, but class differences in the value of food obtained for consumption, when adjusted to national prices, appear to have changed in a less regular manner, and so do those in average food expenditure. These changes
are illustrated by the following coefficients of variation ${ }^{(1)}$ which have been calculated from the averages for each class in each year:

|  | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coefficients of variation in the averages for each class: Household food expenditure per |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $5 \cdot 5$ | $7 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 8$ | $6 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 1$ | $5 \cdot 6$ |
| Food prices ${ }^{(2)}$ - ${ }^{(1)}$ | $2 \cdot 4$ | $2 \cdot 4$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | $1 \cdot 8$ | 1.9 | 1.7 |
| Value (per person) of food obtained for consumption, adjusted to national prices | $4 \cdot 5$ | $5 \cdot 3$ | $4 \cdot 4$ | $5 \cdot 7$ | $4 \cdot 5$ | $4 \cdot 6$ |

The irregularity in the series of coefficients for expenditure and in those for the value of consumption is due partly to the lack of precision in determining the income ranges used to define each class in each year, and partly to year-toyear changes in the composition of households in Classes D1 and D2. Nevertheless, the increase in expenditure by old age pensioner households has brought their average closer to the national average ${ }^{(3)}$; while households in Class A1 have maintained their relative lead over all the other earning classes, the averages of the latter have also, since 1957, tended to move towards the national average.
51. Estimates of average expenditure on each of the main foods in 1961 by households of different class are given in Table 22; corresponding estimates of consumption are shown in Table 23. For most foods, both expenditure and consumption were greatest, as in previous years, in Class A1, and fell with declining income to a minimum, most often found in Classes D1 or D2. The gradation was particularly steep for meat (of all kinds) on which households in Class A1 spent on average 11s. 8d. per head per week while those in Class D2 (with half as many children) spent 7 s . 8d. Although the relative increase in poultry consumption was greater in each of the three sub-groups of Class D than in the highest income group, the absolute increase was smaller, so that the class differences increased, Class A1 recording the highest consumption ( $6 \cdot 1 \mathrm{oz}$. per head per week) and Class D1 the lowest ( 1.6 oz .). Consumption of bread, potatoes and margarine, which are among the cheaper sources of energy was, as formerly, lowest in Class A1 and increased with diminishing income to a maximum in either Class C or Class D1. As in 1960, the proportion of expenditure allocated to convenience foods was greatest in Classes B and C ( 19.4 and 19.6 per cent respectively) and least in the pensioner group ( 14.7 per cent). The latter group of households bought slightly more of most main foods (with the notable exception of bread) than in 1960, and their recorded consumption of most of these foods was either above or very close to the national average. ${ }^{(3)}$

[^7]
## HOUSEHOLD FOOD EXPENDITURE AND CONSUMPTION ACCORDING TO FAMILY COMPOSITION, 1961

## Classification

52. Households participating in the National Food Survey have, since 1954, been divided into eleven types, according to their size and composition. Eight of these, in which the adult element consists of one man and one woman ${ }^{(1)}$ (a "couple"), are described as "classified" (or, where they include minors, as "family households"). Such households accounted in 1961 for 65 per cent of the households surveyed and included 67 per cent of all persons in the sample, 65 per cent of the adolescents (aged 15-20 inclusive) and 80 per cent of the children under 15 . Couples without children are subdivided into "younger" (both adults under 55) and "older" (one or both 55 or over). The remaining "unclassified" households, in which the adult element is other than one man and one woman, are subdivided into three groups, those with adults only, those with adolescents but no children, and those including children with or without adolescents.
53. An analysis of the Survey sample by household composition and social class is given in Table 3 of Appendix A; details of the average number of earners per household in each of the sub-groups are shown in Table 8 of Appendix A. Nearly 60 per cent of the younger childless wives were in paid employment in 1961, compared with 27 per cent of the mothers with one child, 21 per cent of those with two children and nearly 16 per cent of those with three or more children. Younger childless couples continued to enjoy the largest net income per head, but total family income was appreciably higher in families with several children than in those with only one; many of the latter were incomplete families of younger parents with lower earnings, and with lower tax reliefs and no family allowances.

## Expenditure, consumption and prices

54. Table 24 gives the average domestic food expenditure and value of consumption per person per week in 1961 in each of the eleven types of household. Differences in average expenditure between the groups were still found to be much wider than those between households of different social class, the range being from 20 s .8 d . per person per week (or 32 per cent below the national average) in families with four or more children to 42 s . 2 d . per person per week ( 38 per cent above the national average) for younger childless couples. The range in the average value of consumption was closely similar-from 21 s . 2 d . to 43s. 1d. All groups spent more on food in 1961 than in 1960, the greatest increases being those recorded by younger childless couples, families with only one child, or with one or more adolescents but no child, and the unclassified wholly adult households.
55. The estimates of average food expenditure obtained by the Survey relate to food bought for consumption in the home, including food served to visitors. Particulars are not obtained of the amount spent on meals eaten outside the home, but only of their number and type; the number and type of meals served to visitors are also recorded. The relative differences in the average domestic

[^8]food expenditure per head of ten of the eleven types of household distinguished are, however, very little affected by differences in the proportion of meals taken in the home. Indeed, Table 24 shows that when the estimates of average domestic expenditure per head are increased in proportion to the number and relative importance of meals eaten outside the home, and further adjusted downwards to allow for meals served to visitors, the estimates for younger childless couples and families with one child, or with one or more adolescents but no child, all move further above the national average. The estimates for all other household groups move closer to the national average when correspondingly adjusted, but the relative movements are slight except for older couples, who buy very few meals outside the home, and appear to entertain visitors no more frequently than they are themselves similarly entertained; their average expenditure is therefore barely. affected by the adjustment, and the change in its relative position is almost entirely due to the adjustments to the national average and to the averages for all other groups.
56. Table 24 also shows a price index which compares the level of food prices paid by each of the eleven household groups with the average for all households. The index has been derived by costing the national average food purchases per head at the average prices paid by each household group in turn and expressing the results as percentages of the average domestic food expenditure per head for the whole sample. The index therefore takes no account of variation in the pattern of food purchases between the household groups, but only of pricedifferences which are presumably due to differences in quality of otherwise similar commodities or to differences in the services (in the widest sense) offered by different shops. Younger childless couples paid the highest prices ( $3 \cdot 2$ per cent above the national average) and the largest families the lowest ( 3.9 per cent below the average)-a range which is only a tenth of the corresponding range in average food expenditure per head. Very little of the difference in average food expenditure between the eleven groups of households can therefore be explained by differences in the average prices which they paid for food.
57. A "price of energy" index, which is also shown in Table 24, takes account of variation in the pattern of purchases between the different household groups. This index is calculated by dividing the money value of food obtained for consumption in each group by its energy value and expressing the result as a percentage of the corresponding quotient for all households. The price of energy index for younger childless couples was 12.9 per cent above the national average, but only a quarter of this deviation was due to their paying higher prices for comparable foods, the remaining three-quarters being due to their different pattern of food consumption. These younger childless couples devoted a greater proportion of their expenditure to meat, butter, green vegetables and fruit; in contrast, families with four or more children were more dependent on the cheaper sources of energy such as bread, potatoes and margarine, and the price of energy index for these families was $17 \cdot 1$ per cent below the national average. Particulars of the contribution of different foods to the nutrient intake of these contrasted groups are shown in Tables 2 and 3 of Appendix C. It will be seen that the largest families obtained some 43 per cent of their vitamin $\mathbf{C}$ from potatoes, 44 per cent of their vitamin $D$ from margarine, and 23 per cent of their thiamine from white bread; for all households the corresponding proportions were 34, 33 and 18 per cent, and for younger childless couples only 29, 26
and 15 per cent. The second most important source of vitamin $D$ is fat fish, which supplied 34 per cent of the intake in younger two-adult households and 26 per cent in the whole sample, but only 14 per cent in the largest families.
58. Differences in dietary patterns and in the average prices paid for food thus explain only a small part of the variation in average food expenditure per head between the different household groups. The greater part of the variation in expenditure is due to differences in the amount of food required and consumed by persons of different age, sex and level of activity.
59. Differences in average domestic food expenditure per head between the eleven groups have not widened since 1956, but any decrease has been slight. Over the same period, the average food prices paid by the different groups have, however, become more uniform, but the degree of variability between the groups in the value of consumption (expressed at national prices) has, nevertheless, shown no clear trend over the period. These changes are illustrated by the following coefficients of variation ${ }^{(1)}$ between the averages for the eleven groups in each year:-

|  | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coefficients of variation in the averages for each type of household: <br> Household food expenditure per person <br> Food prices (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $18 \cdot 3$ | $17 \cdot 4$ | 16.9 | $18 \cdot 0$ | $17 \cdot 1$ | $17 \cdot 1$ |
|  | $2 \cdot 0$ | $1 \cdot 2$ | $1 \cdot 8$ | $1 \cdot 8$ | $1 \cdot 4$ | $1 \cdot 6$ |
| Value (per person) of food obtained for consumption, adjusted to national prices | $16 \cdot 6$ | $15 \cdot 9$ | $15 \cdot 5$ | $16 \cdot 5$ | 15.9 | $16 \cdot 0$ |

60. Estimates of average expenditure on each of the main foods in 1961 by households of different composition are given in Table 25; corresponding estimates of consumption are shown in Table 26. As in previous years, the estimates of per caput expenditure and consumption for most foods decreased with increasing family size: the gradation was particularly steep for fish, meat, butter, fruit and brown and wholemeal bread, but only slight for white bread and potatoes. Consumption of poultry by the younger childless couples ( $4 \cdot 8 \mathrm{oz}$. per head per week) was nearly six times as great as that in the largest families ( 0.8 oz . per head per week). The only important foods for which consumption per head tended to increase with family size were margarine, oatmeal and other breakfast cereals. Although older couples bought less of most of the main foods than younger couples, mainly because of their smaller needs, they bought rather more carcase meat (especially mutton and lamb), fresh fish and natural cheese-the traditional main-dish animal protein foods. They bought more flour and oatmeal than any other group, but less of processed foods generally than the younger adults.
61. Consumption of liquid milk is one of the most important factors in the nutrition of households with children, and since about 1959 it has tended to

[^9](88491)
increase in all types of family, as is shown in Table 10. The marked rise in the largest families was due to increased purchases both of full-price and welfare milk.

Table 10
Consumption of Liquid Milk (including Welfare and School Milk) in Certain Groups of Households, 1956-61
(pints per person per week)

|  | All households | Households with one man and one woman and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | no other (both under 55) | children only |  |  |  | adolescents only | $\begin{aligned} & \text { adolescents } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { children } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |  |  |
| 1956(a) | $4 \cdot 83$ | $5 \cdot 33$ | $5 \cdot 14$ | 5.07 | $4 \cdot 79$ | $4 \cdot 23$ | $4 \cdot 68$ | $4 \cdot 37$ |
| 1957(b) | $4 \cdot 84$ | $5 \cdot 28$ | 5.13 | 5.04 | $4 \cdot 80$ | $4 \cdot 42$ | $4 \cdot 87$ | $4 \cdot 40$ |
| 1958. | $4 \cdot 80$ | $5 \cdot 24$ | $5 \cdot 16$ | 5.05 | $4 \cdot 64$ | $4 \cdot 10$ | $4 \cdot 63$ | $4 \cdot 35$ |
| 1959 | $4 \cdot 76$ | $5 \cdot 08$ | 5.04 | 4.98 | $4 \cdot 69$ | $4 \cdot 08$ | $4 \cdot 67$ | $4 \cdot 33$ |
| 1960 | $4 \cdot 84$ | $5 \cdot 19$ | 5.01 | $5 \cdot 02$ | $4 \cdot 86$ | $4 \cdot 24$ | $4 \cdot 74$ | $4 \cdot 50$ |
| 1961 | $4 \cdot 90$ | $5 \cdot 34$ | $5 \cdot 25$ | 5.09 | $4 \cdot 62$ | $4 \cdot 50$ | $4 \cdot 73$ | $4 \cdot 49$ |

(a) On 2nd October, 1956, the rates of family allowances were increased to 10s. per head per week for the second and subsequent qualifying children, the rate for the first qualifying child remaining at 8 s . (The first child of a family does not qualify for family allowance.)
(b) The subsidy on welfare milk was reduced in April, 1957.
62. All types of household increased their purchases of butter, and in most groups the annual average exceeded the previous (post-war) high level of 1958, while purchases of margarine fell to their lowest level since 1956 in all but two groups. Only the largest families still bought more margarine ( 3.77 oz . per head per week) than butter ( $3 \cdot 35 \mathrm{oz}$.), compared with $4 \cdot 16 \mathrm{oz}$. and $3 \cdot 20 \mathrm{oz}$. in 1953, the last full year of rationing.
63. The average weekly expenditure in 1961 on convenience foods, as defined in paragraph 11, ranged from 8s. 3d. per head by the younger childless couples to 4 s . 7 d . per head in the largest families. These foods accounted for 20.9 per cent of total domestic food expenditure in households with one child, in which 27 per cent of the mothers were in paid employment. In other classified households with children or adolescents or both the proportion was from 19.2 to 20.4 per cent, and in the corresponding unclassified groups 18.4 and 19.0 per cent. For younger childless couples it was 19.6 per cent, for older couples $15 \cdot 9$ per cent and for unclassified adult households (which include many elderly adults) 17.4 per cent.

## Family Composition and Social Class

64. Since 1955, National Food Survey data have been analysed by family composition within each broad social class, in order to examine the relative effects of the composition of the family and the income of its head upon household food expenditure and consumption and the nutritive value of the diet. Households in Class D2 and those of old age pensioners have been excluded from this analysis because they contain few children. The numbers of households
with children in Classes A1 and D1 in the sample are too small for separate analysis, and, as in previous years, sub-groups in these classes have been combined with the corresponding sub-groups in Classes A2 and C respectively. The analysis is therefore limited to three broad income groups, A, B and C \& D1, and to seven classified types of household, namely, younger childless couples and couples with different numbers of children or with adolescents or with both children and adolescents. Details of the composition of the sample in 1961 by social class and household composition are given in Table 3 of Appendix A. Estimates of the average weekly food expenditure per person and the estimates per household for each of the 21 sub-groups are given in Table 27, and details of consumption per head of the main foods in Table 28. The range of weekly food expenditure was from 49s. Od. per head for younger childless couples in Class A to 19s. 1d. per head in the largest families of Classes C \& D1, compared with 45 s . 9 d . and 17 s . 1d. per head respectively in 1960. In Classes B and C \& Dl the first child occasioned a greater increase in household food expenditure than the second and subsequent children, but in Class A the incremental expenditure associated with an additional child was much the same up to the third child, and may even have increased in still larger families. The main interest of this form of analysis attaches to the larger families in the lower income groups, whose nutritional position is indicated in Tables 11, 33 and 34 and discussed in paragraphs 75 to 77 below.

Table 11
Protein and Calcium Content of the Food Consumption of Large Families in Classes C \& D1, 1956-61

|  | Households with one man and one woman and |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 children |  | 4 or more children |  | children and adolescents |  |
|  | Protein | Calcium | Protein | Calcium | Protein | Calcium |
| Consumption per person per day: | g. | mg. | g. | mg. | g. | mg. |
| 1956 . . . . | 61 | 886 | 59 | 854 | 70 | 917 924 |
| 1957. | 61 | 887 908 | 57 | 836 839 | 68 69 | 924 |
| 1959. | 61 | 932 | 55 | 802 | 68 | 930 |
| 1960 . | 61 | 888 | 56 | 821 | 69 | 937 |
| 1961 . - . | 62 | 917 | 60 | 887 | 70 | 953 |
| As a percentage of recommended allowances: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1956 . . . . | 87 | 87 | 85 | 82 | 81 | 85 |
| 1957. | 87 | 88 | 80 | 79 | 79 | 85 |
| 1958. | 89 | 90 | 83 | 81 | 81 | 88 |
| 1959. | 90 | 93 | 78 | 77 | 79 | 86 |
| 1960. | 90 | 89 | 82 | 80 | 81 | 88 |
| 1961. | 90 | 92 | 87 | 86 | 83 | 90 |

## ENERGY VALUE AND NUTRIENT CONTENT OF HOUSEHOLD FOOD CONSUMPTION, 1961

65. The methods used for estimating the energy value and nutrient content of the food obtained for household consumption are the same as those used in 1960, and discussed in paragraphs 32-34 of the Annual Report for that year. In the accompanying tables of consumption, allowance has been made as before for inedible wastage and for cooking losses of thiamine and vitamin C (Appendix A and Appendix C). In the tables in which the adequacy of the diet has been assessed, by comparison with allowances based on the recommendations of the Committee on Nutrition of the British Medical Association (Appendix A, Table 9), a conventional allowance of 10 per cent has been made for wastage of edible food (Appendix A, paragraph 10); further adjustments are made to allow for meals served to visitors and for meals consumed outside the home.

## All Households (Table 29)

66. The average household food consumption showed no change in energy value in 1961 from the preceding year. There was likewise no change in total protein consumption, though animal protein consumption rose significantly by 2 per cent, following a trend apparent since 1952 (apart from a stationary phase between 1956 and 1959). The greater consumption of animal protein was due to increased consumption of certain animal products, viz. liquid milk, carcase meat, and, particularly, poultry. There were no other significant changes in the nutrient content of the average household food consumption, which met the recommended allowances for energy value and each nutrient.

## Geographical Variations (Table 30)

67. The average household food consumption in all regions and types of area analysed was nutritionally satisfactory, when compared with the recommended allowances: the only nutrient which just failed to reach its allowance was protein, in Wales and the North Midland region.
68. The variation in the average regional nutrient consumption from the average for the whole country conformed in 1961 to the pattern discussed in detail in the Annual Report for 1960 (paragraphs 136 to 138).

## Households of Different Social Class (Table 31)

69. The average consumption of all nutrients for households in Classes B and C was within 5 per cent, and for those in Class D, within 10 per cent of the average for all households. Consumption more than 10 per cent in excess of the national average was recorded for vitamins A and C in Class A2 households, and for most nutrients in Class A1, which as in previous years recorded greater consumption of most main foods, other than potatoes and white bread, than the other classes. For most nutrients the downward gradient in consumption from Classes A1 to D1 or D2 was again observed, and the gradient for carbohydrate consumption was as usual in the reverse direction. The average calorie consumption did not vary regularly with class, so that the percentage of energy derived from protein and from fat showed a downward, and that from carbohydrate an upward, gradient.
70. Compared with 1960 the consumption of calories and of almost all nutrients increased in households of Classes A and D1, and in the old age pensioner
households, following a trend apparent in recent years. However, statistically significant changes were few, and were confined to households in Class D2, which showed decreased consumption of calories and all nutrients: the greatest changes were for vitamin D, riboflavin and nicotinic acid, the reduced consumption of total protein and thiamine being less significant. This reduced consumption in Class D2 (consisting mainly of non-earning elderly adults) was due to reduced consumption of all main foods, particularly potatoes and other vegetables, cheese and fish, which resulted in levels of nutrients much closer to those obtaining in 1959 and the immediately preceding years than to those in 1960 . However, the recorded changes in consumption reflected not so much a change in the habits of Class D2, as a change in its composition (see paragraph 46).
71. The average diet of households of all social classes was nutritionally adequate when compared with allowances based on the British Medical Association's recommendations, the only nutrients for which consumption was so low as to be within $\pm 1$ per cent of the recommended allowances being total protein in households of Classes C and D1, and iron in the old age pensioner households. The gradients discussed in paragraph 69 were also apparent when consumption was expressed as a percentage of the recommended allowances, though in this case a clear downward gradient in the energy value of the diet was observed from Classes A to $\mathbf{C}$ with an upturn in Class D. The position was similar for those nutrients whose allowances are based on energy requirements. A greater proportion of occupations involving heavy energy expenditure is found in Class $\mathbf{C}$ than in the other classes.

## Households of Different Family Composition (Table 32)

72. Since physiological requirements vary widely with age, sex, and level of activity, comparisons between families of different composition are best judged in relation to their needs. The energy value of the food obtained for domestic consumption was about 100 per cent of estimated requirements in all the household groups except the wholly adult households and those containing one child, in which it was 10 to 20 per cent above. The two nutrients whose average consumption failed to reach the recommended allowances were protein in the larger families containing three or more children and in families containing adolescents with or without children, and calcium in the families containing three or more children, and in the classified households with children and adolescents (see paragraph 74). As in previous years, there were downward gradients in the percentages for calories and all nutrients with increasing family size.
73. Compared with 1960 , the changes in the average figures for nutrient consumption and in the percentages of allowances were slight. The increased estimates for total protein and calcium in the families containing one child or four or more children, and in the residual group of households containing children and adolescents, were associated with an increased consumption of meat and liquid milk. The percentage of total protein provided by animal sources increased in all the sub-groups, while in most of them the percentage of energy derived from protein and fat increased, and that from carbohydrate decreased, following the trend discussed in the Annual Report for 1960 (paragraph 85).
74. Chart I illustrates the trend in consumption of protein and calcium between 1956 and 1961 for all households and for the larger families. The trend over the preceding years was discussed in the Annual Report for 1960 (paragraph 84); the rising trend in protein consumption shown by the families with three children after mid-1961 was due to increased consumption of meat in the third quarter of the year, and of liquid milk in early 1962.

## Households of Different Composition within Social Classes (Tables 33 and 34)

75. Previous National Food Survey reports have repeatedly shown that household composition has more influence than social class on the consumption of most nutrients, and in view of the gradients discussed in paragraphs 69 and 72 it would be expected that the most vulnerable households would be the larger families in the lower income groups. The only nutrients for which consumption did not exceed the recommended allowances were protein and calcium in the larger families, and riboflavin in the families with children and adolescents in Classes C \& D1.
76. The protein and calcium consumptions of large families in Classes C \& DI are shown in Table 11 for each year since 1956. In 1961 the level of protein consumption (expressed as a percentage of recommended allowances) in families with three children was maintained and that of calcium increased, owing to greater consumption of cheese and flour and in spite of a slightly reduced consumption of liquid milk and total meat. In the families with four or more children the percentages for both nutrients increased considerably compared with 1960 because of greater consumption of liquid milk, carcase meat, bread and cheese; in those with children and adolescents they increased slightly, greater consumption of bread and cheese offsetting reduced consumption of meat. The trends over the earlier years were discussed in the Annual Report for 1960 (paragraph 95).
77. The consumption of riboflavin has increased each year since 1959 in the most vulnerable households, viz. those containing four or more children, or adolescents with or without children, in Classes B and C \& D1. In 1961 in the families with children and adolescents in Classes C \& D1 this was attributable to a greater consumption of eggs, cheese and potatoes; in the two other groups which in 1960 had fallen short of their allowances (families with children and adolescents in Class B, and those with four or more children in Classes C \& D1), to a greater consumption of meat and liquid milk.
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## CHART I

ESTIMATED INTAKES OF PROTEIN AND CALCIUM IN CERTAIN GROUPS AS PROPORTIONS OF ALLOWANCES BASED ON RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE BRITISH MEDICAL ASSOCIATION

MOVING AVERAGES 1956-61


## FOOD EXPENDITURE AND CONSUMPTION OF HOUSEHOLDS CONTAINING AN EXPECTANT MOTHER, 1960-61

78. Previous Annual Reports have presented analyses of household food expenditure and consumption designed to demonstrate the effect of the age of the housewife, and of her employment; the effect of the number of adolescents and children of school and pre-school age, and the effect of the presence of an infant in the household. Since child welfare begins with the welfare of the expectant mother, this series has been logically extended to an examination of those households in which the housewife stated that she was pregnant at the time of the Survey. Probably most of these women were in the second half of pregnancy, though there are no records of the stage reached. The standard of living of a household is often raised by the housewife taking up paid employment outside the home; a sudden fall in the standard when she ceases to be employed may have a detrimental effect on the diet if the household is unable or unwilling to confine the reduction in its expenditure to items other than food. Such an adverse effect is particularly evident when the housewife ceases to earn because she is pregnant.
79. This study has been extended over two years, 1960 and 1961, in order to obtain a sufficiently large sample to permit the study of families of different size and class, and has been confined to households within social classes A, B, C and D1 consisting of younger childless couples, and couples with children (up to the age of 14 years) but not adolescents. Of a total of 6,775 such households about one in twenty contained an expectant mother (Table 12). This proportion was much the same for all social classes (though possibly smaller in Class A), but varied considerably with household size, being greatest in families with one child ( 6.4 per cent) or four or more children ( $6 \cdot 0$ per cent), and least in families with two children ( 3.6 per cent) or three ( $4 \cdot 0$ per cent). Families with one child are thus the most likely to be about to increase in size, while many families with two or three children are already completed, and many which are childless (in which the incidence of pregnancy was $5 \cdot 3$ per cent) will remain so permanently.
80. The expectant mother households in each class are not distributed among families of different size in the same proportions as are the non-expectant (i.e. the classes are not standardized for household composition); in contrast, the distribution of families by household size is standardized-fortuitously-for social class, and the main analysis which follows is therefore restricted to a comparison of households, with and without an expectant mother, by family size.

## Family Income and Food Expenditure

81. The effect of pregnancy on the average number of earners per household and on the declared net family income is shown in Table 12. On average, one in twelve of the expectant mothers was earning, compared with one in three of the non-expectant women, but whereas the proportion of non-pregnant earning housewives steadily decreased with family size, the proportion of pregnant housewives who were in employment was greater when there were already three or more children than when there were fewer. In the largest families containing four or more children, there was in fact a slightly greater proportion of pregnant mothers in employment, than of mothers who were not pregnant.
82. The declared weekly net income of families containing children, in which the mother was not pregnant, increased with the number of children, although the average number of earners per household decreased; this presumably reflected the increased earning capacity of the husband as he grew older. However, in families in which the mother was pregnant the weekly income of those with three children was less than that of those with two, even though rather more of the housewives were earning; and the income of the largest families was greater than that of the childless couples, because of the high percentage of wives earning, and because the husbands would on average be older.
83. Evidence that the parents in families containing an expectant mother were usually younger than other parents in families of comparable size is provided by average ages of their children (Table 13). In families of all sizes these were lower when the household contained an expectant mother than when it did not, and in the former the average age increased as the family grew larger, while in the latter the age appeared to be independent of the number of children, averaging just less than 7 years.
84. In the families in which the housewife was pregnant, there were relatively fewer earners per household than in other families, and average declared net family income per household was 28 shillings per week ( 9 per cent) less. The largest difference ( 13 per cent) occurred in the families with only one child, which had the lowest declared income; the smallest, ( $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent), in the families containing two, and four or more, children.
85. Total food expenditure per household averaged, over all families, about one-third of the declared net family income. This proportion was little influenced by the presence in the family of an expectant mother, and increased from about a quarter in the childless households to just over two-fifths in those with four or more children. The proportion of the net family income spent on food per head was also little influenced by the pregnancy of the housewife; it ranged from about 25 per cent in the childless households to 45 per cent in the largest. Nevertheless the absolute expenditure on food was smaller in families containing an expectant mother. This reduction was considerably greater in Classes C \& D1 than in Classes A or B; among families of different size, the greatest reduction (2s. 8d. per head per week, or 8 per cent) occurred in those with one child, and the least ( 2 d. , or 1 per cent) in those with four or more children.

## Food Consumption

86. The number of children in the family appears to have a marked influence on the pattern of household food consumption, as modified by pregnancy (Table 14). Families with up to two children consumed more milk (per person) when the housewife was pregnant than when she was not, but those families with three or more children obtained less when the mother was pregnant, even though their consumption of welfare liquid milk was then greater (cf. paragraph 93). The consumption of fruit and eggs was greater when the housewife was pregnant in families with no child or only one; in larger families fruit consumption was less. In contrast, families containing an expectant mother and three or more children consumed more white bread, margarine, sugar and preserves and prepared fish, than corresponding families in which the mother was not pregnant, while in the families with only one or two children the reverse was
the case. The consumption of potatoes tended to be higher in households containing an expectant mother, particularly in the largest families. The consumption of cheese was less in all types of family in which the mother was pregnant; the finding for meat was similar, with a possibly fortuitous exception in families with three children.

## Nutrition

87. Families which included an expectant mother tended to derive a greater proportion of their energy from carbohydrate, and less from fat, than other families of like composition; this was particularly evident in the families with three or more children, which also obtained a smaller proportion from total protein, and especially from animal protein (Table 15). Families with up to two children showed some increase in the percentage of energy derived from protein when the housewife was pregnant, the increase being greatest for childless couples.
88. However, the magnitude of these and of other differences in nutrient consumption is not great, and it is only when intakes are compared with recommended allowances that marked contrasts between the two groups of households emerge. The allowances used by the National Food Survey Committee are based on the recommendations of the Committee on Nutrition of the British Medical Association, and those recommended for pregnancy must influence the assessment of the nutritional adequacy of the food consumption of the households containing an expectant mother. The Committee on Nutrition drew attention to the paucity of data concerning the quantitative aspects of human nutritional requirements on which their recommendations were based, but believed that the allowances recommended were "sufficient to establish and maintain a good nutritional state in representative individuals of the groups concerned". In considering the special needs of pregnancy they are now often held to have been over-generous, and to the extent that this is so will the assessment be spuriously adverse.
89. While it is not possible easily to compare the additional allowances for pregnancy recommended by the British Medical Association with those recommended by the National Research Council of the United States, if this is done for a particular case, for example a moderately active woman aged 25 years, it is found that the additional allowances for calcium, iron, vitamin A and thiamine are the same on each scale; those for calories, and for riboflavin, nicotinic acid, and vitamin C are higher on the American scale; while that for protein is higher on the British scale.
90. When the energy value and nutrient content of the household food consumption are expressed as percentages of allowances based on those recommended by the British Medical Association it is evident that in general the percentages are less for households containing an expectant mother (Table 15). (The same situation must arise whatever scale of allowances is used, if it makes special provision for pregnancy; see paragraph 94.) In fact the only instances in which the percentages were higher were vitamin $A$ in the childless families, and riboflavin in the childless and single-child households. Nevertheless, as judged by the B.M.A. scale, the consumption of all nutrients except protein and calcium was adequate in all types of household, though that of riboflavin was marginal in the largest families containing an expectant mother.
91. While the consumption of protein and calcium by both childless groups of households exceeded the B.M.A. recommendations, the percentage was reduced with the progressive addition of children to the family, so that for families containing three or more children, in which the mother was not pregnant, the average consumption of these nutrients failed to reach the recommended allowances. When the mother was pregnant, however, this situation existed even in families with one child; indeed, in the largest families with an expectant mother consumption was only four-fifths of that recommended, a lower fraction than that found for any other group identified (see paragraph 76).
92. With two exceptions the average consumption of vitamin D was less in households containing an expectant mother, and was in the region of 120 i.u. per person per day (excluding vitamin D obtained from pharmaceutical preparations). There is no agreed allowance of this vitamin for adults; however, the B.M.A. Committee on Nutrition did recommend that women should obtain 600 i.u. daily in the second half of pregnancy and 400 i.u. in the first, and that children should obtain 400 i.u. per day. These amounts will not be provided by the diet alone, but expectant mothers and young children are entitled to certain preparations containing vitamin D under the Welfare Foods Scheme.
93. The contribution made by welfare and school milk to the protein, calcium and riboflavin consumption of families without an expectant mother increased with the number of children in the family, so that in those containing four or more children about a quarter of the calcium and riboflavin came from this source (Table 16). The housewife's pregnancy was associated in all types of family with a greater contribution from welfare milk, but although this increased with the first and second child, it decreased in the larger families. The percentage uptake of welfare (non-school) milk was almost complete in all types of family containing children without an expectant mother; in similar types of family in which the mother was pregnant it was always less, and those containing three or more children obtained only four-fifths of their entitlement. However, even had these large families taken their full entitlement their protein and calcium consumption would not have reached the recommended levels, though their riboflavin consumption would no longer have been marginal (see paragraph 90). It is known that some mothers do not report to their doctors until a late stage in pregnancy, and so do not obtain the certificate which entitles them to Welfare Foods, which may be partly responsible for the low uptakes.
94. In order to demonstrate the influence which the allowances for pregnancy exert on the assessment of the nutritional adequacy of the diets of households containing an expectant mother, these have been re-calculated using instead the allowances for non-pregnant adult women, weighted for degrees of activity in the same proportions as those found in the corresponding control groups. The results are shown in Table 17. In all cases the percentages are, of course, higher than before, though for any one household type they differ from the corresponding control (non-expectant mother households) for, amongst others, two conflicting influences. On the one hand the reduced expenditure on food, and consequent change in pattern of food consumption, tended (but by no means consistently) in the families with one to three children to reduce the absolute consumption of nutrients per head, which would produce lower percentages of adequacy; on the other hand the younger age of the children in the expectant
mother households, particularly in those containing one or two children (see paragraph 83 and Table 13), with their consequently lesser requirements, would, by itself, produce higher percentages. The net result is that for the childless couples and one-child households the adjusted percentages for households with an expectant mother are uniformly higher than those in the control groups, and in all cases are well above 100 . For families with two or more children the two sets of percentages do not differ systematically (except for vitamin A, for which the control values are the higher). This means that the extent and nature of the inadequacy of the diet in these large families would be much the same in both the contrasted groups of households, if the special nutritional needs of pregnancy could be ignored. The view taken of the seriousness of the situation depends essentially on the assessment of those needs.

## Conclusions

95. With these reservations, it would appear that large families with an expectant mother constituted the group in which, of all those identified by the National Food Survey, the intakes of nutrients were lowest in comparison with the present scale of allowances, including the allowance for pregnant women. It is of interest that families containing three or more children and an expectant mother were those with the lowest income per head. Possibly low income explained why the mother was more likely to remain in paid employment, but, in spite of her earnings, income per head remained low. In the smaller families, pregnancy was associated with some improvement in the pattern of the diet; in the larger families it altered the pattern to generally cheaper, more convenient and less well-balanced foods. It was also among these families that the uptake of cheap welfare milk compared least favourably with entitlement.
Table 12
Household Food Expenditure of Families (a) with or without an Expectant Mother
Analyses according to (i) Social Class and (ii) Family Composition, 1960/61

|  | Families including an expectant mother |  |  |  |  | Families not including an expectant mother |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of households |  | Declared net family income per household per week | Average household size | Average number per household | Number of households | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Food } \\ \text { expenditure } \\ \text { per person } \\ \text { per week } \end{array}$ | Declared net family income per household per week | Average size | Average number of earners per household |
| (i) Social Class: |  |  | £ |  |  |  | s. d. | £ |  |  |
| A |  |  | $23 \cdot 1$ | $3 \cdot 19$ | 1.03 | 829 |  | 24.7 | 3.46 | 1.23 |
| ${ }_{\text {B }}$ | 190 116 | 28  <br> 28 1 | 14.7 10.3 | 3.45 3.41 | 1.08 | 3,425 | 28 <br> 28 | 15.8 11.6 | $3 \cdot 54$ 3.49 | 1.32 1.36 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All families (a) | 342 | 27 | 14.1 | $3 \cdot 41$ | 1.08 | 6,433 | 28 | 15.5 | 3.51 | $1 \cdot 32$ |
| (ii) Households containing one man and one woman and: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| no other (both under 55) | 81 136 |  | 15.0 | 2.00 | 1.16 | 1,455 |  | 16.7 | 2.00 | 1.62 |
| 12 child | 136 69 | 29.2 | 12.7 15 | 3.00 4.00 | 1.05 | 1,987 | 31.10 | 14.6 | $3 \cdot 00$ | $1 \cdot 29$ |
| 3 children | 30 | 225 | 14.5 | 5.00 | 1.06 | ${ }^{1} 728$ | 22.11 | 15.5 | 5.00 | 1.17 |
| 4 or more children | 26 | 201 | 15.4 | 6.54 | 1.15 | 409 | 203 | 15.8 | 6.55 | $1 \cdot 14$ |
| All families (a) | 342 | $27 \quad 5$ | 14.1 | $3 \cdot 41$ | 1.08 | 6,433 | 286 | 15.5 | $3 \cdot 51$ | $1 \cdot 32$ |

(a) Younger childless couples and couples with children (but without adolescents) in Classes A to D1 inclusive.

Households containing an Expectant Mother
Table 14
Household Food Consumption of Families (a) with or without an Expectant Mother, 1960/61

Table 14-continued
(oz. per person per week unless otherwise stated)

|  | Households with one man and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | an expectant mother and |  |  |  |  | one woman (not an expectant mother) and |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { no other } \\ & \text { (both under } \\ & \text { 55) } \end{aligned}$ | children only |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { no other } \\ & \text { (both under } \\ & 55 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | children only |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |
| meat: <br> Beef and veal Mution and lamb Pork | $\begin{array}{r} 10.70 \\ 6.68 \\ 2.53 \end{array}$ | 8.04 6.99 1.99 | 6.46 5.31 1.02 | 7.02 5.23 1.62 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.44 \\ & 2.58 \\ & 0.58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.94 \\ 8.27 \\ 3.61 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.90 \\ & 6.73 \\ & 2.01 \end{aligned}$ | 7.59 5.32 1.40 | 5.97 4.42 0.91 | 5.13 4.12 0.88 |
| Total Carcase Meat . | 19.91 | 16.42 | 12.79 | 13.87 | 7.60 | 23.82 | 17.64 | 14.31 | 11.30 | 10.13 |
| Bacon and ham, uncooked Poultry <br> Ohber meat (b) | $\begin{array}{r} 6.41 \\ 1.97 \\ 16.81 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.83 \\ 1.96 \\ 11.95 \end{array}$ | 3.77 1.48 11.45 | $\begin{array}{r} 3.60 \\ 0.43 \\ 10.35 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.89 \\ & 0.56 \\ & 8.77 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.51 \\ 4 \cdot 10 \\ 14.54 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.39 \\ 1.99 \\ 12.74 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.29 \\ 1.39 \\ 10.21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.68 \\ & 0.98 \\ & 9.80 \end{aligned}$ | 3.11 0.71 9.37 |
| Total Meat . . | $45 \cdot 10$ | $35 \cdot 16$ | 29.49 | 28.25 | 19.82 | 49.97 | 37.76 | $30 \cdot 20$ | 25.76 | 23.32 |
|  <br> Freah . <br> Processed and shell (c) <br> Prepared (d) | 3.57 0.62 2.07 | 2.59 0.56 1.71 | 2.15 0.53 1.82 | 1.56 1.02 1.64 | 1.66 0.08 1.98 | $\begin{array}{r}3.73 \\ 1.13 \\ 2.75 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2.75 0.72 2.20 | $\begin{array}{r}2.28 \\ 0.61 \\ 1.74 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1.80 0.53 1.54 | 1.67 0.31 1.14 |
| Total Flsh . . . | 6.26 | 4.86 | 4.50 | $4 \cdot 22$ | $3 \cdot 72$ | 7.61 | 5.67 | 4.63 | $3 \cdot 87$ | $3 \cdot 12$ |
| MEOETABLES: <br> Potatoos (including chips and crisps) Freah green Other vegetables ( $\dot{e}$ ) | 67.18 21.25 24.66 | 65.42 <br> 14.82 <br> 17.41 | 55.49 10.84 16.28 | 57.50 10.15 13.42 | $\begin{aligned} & 64.90 \\ & 7.90 \\ & 12.26 \end{aligned}$ | 61.66 <br> 22.53 <br> 21.52 | $\begin{array}{r}58.63 \\ 15.91 \\ 18.32 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 56.33 12.87 16.42 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5 2 . 3 7} \\ & 9.96 \\ & 14.74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55 \cdot 84 \\ 8.39 \\ 13.82 \end{array}$ |
| Total Vegetables | 113.09 | 97.65 | 82.61 | 81.07 | 85.06 | 105.71 | 92.86 | 85.62 | 77.07 | 78.05 |
| FRUT: <br> Freah fruit Wolfare orange juice Other fruit (S) | $\begin{array}{r} 39.94 \\ 0.67 \\ 9.76 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.85 \\ 0.71 \\ \mathbf{7 . 8 8} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.26 \\ 0.22 \\ 6.70 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.35 \\ 3.61 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.36 \\ 0.18 \\ 4.26 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.49 \\ 9.73 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.47 \\ 0.13 \\ 7.89 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.00 \\ 0.09 \\ 6.48 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.98 \\ 0.09 \\ 5.14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.51 \\ 0.14 \\ 4.24 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Frutt ( s . . . . | 50.37 | 33.44 | 28.18 | 18.96 | 15.80 | 41.22 | 31.49 | 26.57 | 21.21 | 16.89 |
| (b) Includes cooked and canned meats, and meat products. <br> (c) Includes amoked, dried and salted fish, and canned or bottled shelliah. <br> (d) Includes cooked fish, canned or bottled fish, (excluding canned or bottled shellfish) and fish products. <br> (e) Includes dried and canned vegetablea, and vegetable products. <br> $(f)$ Includes dried, canned or bottled fruit. <br> $(g)$ Includea tomatoen. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 14-continued
(oz. per person per week unless otherwise stated)

|  | Households with one man and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | an expectant mother and |  |  |  |  | one woman (not an expectant mother) and |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { no other } \\ & \text { (both under } \\ & \text { 55) } \end{aligned}$ | children only |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { no other } \\ & \text { (both under } \\ & \text { 5s) } \end{aligned}$ | children only |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |
| Cereals: <br> Brown bread White bread Wholewheat and wholemeal bread Other bread ( $h$ ) | 2.09 42.34 2.59 4.22 | 1.77 35.58 0.07 4.41 | 1.12 32.67 0.30 3.12 | 0.93 40.32 0.09 0.10 | 0.58 47.27 0.58 2.00 | 3.30 37.72 1.10 8.99 | 2.05 35.55 0.69 5.59 | 1.80 32.08 0.81 4.50 | 1.37 32.72 0.43 3.79 | 1.11 37.26 0.31 3.46 |
| Total Bread | 51.24 | 41.83 | 37.21 | 43.44 | 50.43 | 51.11 | $43 \cdot 88$ | 39-19 | 38.31 | 42.14 |
|  | 6.42 7.83 7.89 7.29 0.75 2.70 5.47 | 4.93 5.28 5.26 0.26 0.47 2.23 3.28 | 5.13 5.05 5.27 0.94 2.94 3.28 3.72 | 3.10 3.77 3.30 0.30 2.72 3.65 3.66 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 05 \\ & 3.38 \\ & 3.86 \\ & 1.81 \\ & 2.77 \\ & 2.62 \end{aligned}$ | 7.23 8.66 7.29 0.75 1.54 4.31 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.56 \\ & 6.73 \\ & 6.17 \\ & 0.68 \\ & 1.94 \\ & 4.34 \end{aligned}$ | 5.91 5.48 5.73 0.81 2.24 3.88 | 4.56 4.74 5.74 0.84 2.85 2.45 3.46 | 4.37 4.17 4.60 1.18 2.42 2.99 |
| Total Cereals | 81.70 | 63-28 | 59.60 | 62.34 | 68.92 | 80.89 | $70 \cdot 30$ | 63.24 | 59.41 | 61.87 |
| beverages: <br> Tea <br> Coffee <br> Cocoa <br> Branded food drinks | 2.34 0.54 0.32 0.32 0.37 | 2.44 0.38 0.12 0.35 0.35 | 2.57 0.23 0.15 0.21 | 1.97 <br> 0.21 <br> 0.08 | 2.12 <br> 0.32 <br> 0.05 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.82 \\ & 0.55 \\ & 0.20 \\ & 0.39 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2.79 0.37 0.20 0.24 | 2.23 0.31 0.19 0.19 0.17 | 2.06 0.19 0.15 0.15 0.14 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.89 \\ & 0.19 \\ & 0.11 \\ & 0.10 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Beverages | 3.57 | 3.29 | 3.16 | $2 \cdot 26$ | 2.48 | 4.96 | 3.60 | 2.90 | 2.54 | $2 \cdot 29$ |

(h) Includes rolls, fruit bread, sandwiches and milk bread.
(88491)

D
Table 15
Energy Value and Nutrient Content(a) of the Household Food Consumption of Families

|  | Houscholds with one man and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | an expectant mother and |  |  |  |  | one woman (not an expectant mother) and |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { no other } \\ & \text { (both under } \\ & \text { 55) } \end{aligned}$ | children only |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { no otherr } \\ & \text { (both under } \\ & 55 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | children only |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |
| munce rer proson pri day: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enorpy value (Cal) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,404.5 |  | 2,131 59.6 |
| Animal protcin (g.) : | 92.4 55.4 | 24.8 45.8 | 66.2 39.5 | 63.1 35.8 | 60.7 30.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \cdot 1 \\ & 561 \end{aligned}$ | 76.3 46.4 | 68.5 40.6 | 36.4 36.3 |  |
|  | 141.0 | 115.6 | 98.6 | 92.4 | 85.4 | $145 \cdot 3$ | 119.8 | 104.2 | 93.0 | 85.8 |
| Carbohydrate (e). | 402 | 332 | 309 | 317 | 323 | 399 | 352 | 318 | 300 | 299 |
| Calcium (mg.) | 1,288.9 | 1,097 | 989. | 894.7 | 898 | 1,198 | 1,082 | 1,003 | 939. | 885 |
| Iron (mitamin (i.u.) : | 5,9429 | 4,665 ${ }^{14}$ | 3,833 ${ }^{12.4}$ | 3,271 ${ }^{17}$ | 3.011 .6 | 5,539 ${ }^{17.4}$ | 4,597 ${ }^{14.5}$ | 4,095 ${ }^{12.9}$ | 3,594 ${ }^{11.6}$ | 3,115 ${ }^{11}$ |
| Thiamine (mg.) |  | 4,663.26 | 3,833.10 |  | 3,015 1.05 | 5,539 ${ }_{1.56}$ | 4,597. ${ }_{1} \cdot 30$ | 4,093.14 | 3,594.03 | 3,11.01 |
| Ribofinvin (mq) | 2. 14 |  | 1.60 | 1.42 | 1.34 | 2.03 | 1.78 | 1.61 |  |  |
|  | 17.14 | 13.7 61.1 | 11.6 46.1 | 11.5 37.1 | 10.9 38.6 | 17.6 65.4 | 14.3 54.4 | 12.4 48.0 | 11.0 41.3 | 10.5 37.1 |
|  | 78.2 136.2 | 61.1 115.7 | 46.1 120.4 | 37.1 101.6 | 38.6 118.2 | 65.4 150.2 | 54.4 133.8 | 48.0 117.7 | 41.3 113.9 | $37 \cdot 1$ 111.7 |
| mitake per person pir day as a percintaci Of RBCOMOENDED ALLOWANCES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enerry value : | 113 107 | 106 96 | 100 88 | ${ }_{84}^{99}$ | 89 | 119 | 113 | 107 | 103 93 |  |
| Calatum | 112 | ${ }_{96}$ | 87 | 79 | ${ }_{78}^{81}$ | 124 | 116 | 104 | 95 | 87 |
| Iron. | 137 | 118 | 109 | 105 | 103 | 141 | 123 | 116 | 109 | 105 |
| Vitamin 1 | 217 |  |  |  |  | 216 | $\begin{array}{r}203 \\ \hline 17\end{array}$ | 196 |  |  |
| Thiamine: | 141 | 131 | 120 | 117 | 117 | 148 | 137 | 129 | 121 | 120 |
|  | 130 | 124 | 115 | 103 | 98 | 127 | 122 | 118 | 112 | 104 |
| Niootinic acid ${ }^{\text {Vitamin }} \mathrm{C}$. $\quad: \quad: \quad$. | 154 | 142 236 | 127 | 126 158 | 121 164 | 167 316 | 151 265 | 140 240 | 129 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protelin . . | 11.8 | 11.6 | 11.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fat ${ }^{\text {chen }}$ | 40.4 | $40 \cdot 3$ | 38.4 | 36.6 | 34.6 | 41.2 | 39.8 | 39.0 | 37.8 | 36.2 |
| Cerbohydraso | 47.9 | 48.1 | 50.1 | 52.3 | 34.5 | 47.3 | 48.8 | 49.6 | 50.9 | 52.6 |
| Animal protain as percentinge of tockil procela | 59.9 | 61.2 | 59.7 | 56.7 | 50.6 | 61.6 | 60.0 | 59.2 | 58.2 | 54.5 |

H.M.S. (o)., 1960). ${ }^{\text {( }}$.

|  |
| :--- |

Table 17
Energy Value and Nutrient Content of the Food Consumption of Households including an Expectant Mother, 1960/61: Comparison (i) of Intake with Calculated Allowances, ignoring those for Pregnancy(a), and (ii) of Percentages of Adequacy, calculated with and without Allowances for Pregnancy, with Percentages for Households without an Expectant Mother(b)

(a) Using allowances recommended by the B.M.A., except those for pregnancy, for which have been substituted those for a non-pregnant adult female, weighted according to the degree of activity of women in the households where they were not pregnant.
(b) In each case, the percentage estimate of adequacy for the corresponding group of households where the housewife was not pregnant (Table 15) is taken as 100.
(c) Using allowances for pregnancy, i.e. estimates in Table 15
[e.g. energy value for childless couples: $\frac{113}{119}=95$ ].
(d) Ignoring allowances for pregnancy, i.e. using estimates given at top of table, obtained by method set out in footnote (a)
[e.g. energy value for childless couples: $\frac{122}{119}=103$ ]

## Part II

Table 18
Indices of Expenditure, Prices and Real Value of Purchases of
Main Food Groups, 1959-1961

| $(1958=100)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Expenditure |  |  | Prices |  |  | Real Value of Purchases |  |  |
|  | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 |
| Liquid milk (excluding school milk)Other milk and cream | 101-1 | $103 \cdot 2$ | $106 \cdot 9$ | $100 \cdot 3$ | 99.7 | 102.8 | 100-8 | 103.6 | $104 \cdot 0$ |
|  | $104 \cdot 3$ | $106 \cdot 1$ | $109 \cdot 4$ | 99.1 | 98.2 | 97.7 | $105 \cdot 2$ | 108.0 | 112.0 |
| Cheese | $131 \cdot 3$ | $128 \cdot 2$ | $125 \cdot 7$ | $133 \cdot 2$ | 125.2 | 122.5 | 98.6 | $102 \cdot 4$ | 102.6 |
| Milk, cheese and cream | 105.7 | 107-1 | 109.9 | 105.0 | $103 \cdot 3$ | $105 \cdot 2$ | $100 \cdot 8$ | $103 \cdot 7$ | 104.4 |
| Beef and vealMittion and lamb | 94.7 | 98.8 | 104.0 | 105.8 | $108 \cdot 8$ | 109.6 | 89.5 | $90 \cdot 8$ | 94.9 |
|  | 110.0 99 | 110.1 | 110.0 | 96.0 105.8 | $100 \cdot 1$ | $\begin{array}{r}98.3 \\ \hline 118.8\end{array}$ | 114.6 | $110 \cdot 0$ | 111.9 |
| Poriz. Carcase meat | 99.5 | $104 \cdot 3$ |  |  | $110 \cdot 2$ |  | 94.0 | 94.6 | 85-8 |
|  | $100 \cdot 1$ | 103.0 | $105 \cdot 6$ | $102 \cdot 4$ | $106 \cdot 1$ | $106 \cdot 1$ | 97-7 | 97-1 | 99.5 |
| Beoon and ham, uncooked . Poultry Other meat, and meat products | 101.8 | 104.5 | $102 \cdot 2$ | $103 \cdot 1$ | $101 \cdot 6$ | $101 \cdot 0$ | $98 \cdot 8$ | 102.9 | 101.2 |
|  | 131.5 102.9 | $163 \cdot 4$ | 217.0 111.6 | 90.2 | 86.8 | 880.6 | $145 \cdot 8$ | 188.4 | 269.3 |
|  | 102.9 | $107 \cdot 6$ |  | 104.1 | $104 \cdot 8$ | 107.8 | 98-9 | 102.7 | $103 \cdot 5$ |
| Meat other than carcase meat | $104 \cdot 3$ | 109.9 | 114.8 | $102 \cdot 7$ | 102.3 | 102.9 | 101.5 | $107 \cdot 4$ | 111.6 |
| All meat | $102 \cdot 1$ | $106 \cdot 4$ | 110.1 | $102 \cdot 6$ | $104 \cdot 2$ | $104 \cdot 5$ | 99.6 | $102 \cdot 1$ | $105 \cdot 4$ |
| Proch fishOther fish | $109 \cdot 3$ | 115.9 | $117 \cdot 3$ | $104 \cdot 0$ | $110 \cdot 3$ | 118.3 | 105.0 | 105.2 | 99.2 |
|  | 112.1 | $108 \cdot 4$ | $114 \cdot 2$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $102 \cdot 5$ | $105 \cdot 8$ | $112 \cdot 1$ | $105 \cdot 7$ | 108.0 |
| Fish | 110.9 | 111.6 | $115 \cdot 5$ | 101.7 | 105.9 | 111.0 | $109 \cdot 1$ | $105 \cdot 4$ | 104.1 |
| Exes | 96.0 | $108 \cdot 4$ | 107-7 | $92 \cdot 2$ | 99.5 | 99.5 | 104.1 | 108.9 | 108.2 |
| Motter ${ }^{\text {Mararine }}$ : | 129.1 | 117.7 | 110.9 | 136.6 | 125.8 | 108.5 | 94.5 | 93.6 | $102 \cdot 2$ |
|  | 108.4 | 108.4 | 97.3 | 101.0 | 102.6 | $102 \cdot 2$ | $107 \cdot 3$ | 105.7 | 95.2 |
| Oher fats. . . . | $93 \cdot 9$ | 90.7 | $92 \cdot 1$ | $97 \cdot 2$ | $92 \cdot 2$ | 94.8 | 96.6 | 98-3 | $97 \cdot 1$ |
| Fate . . . . . | 118.4 | 111.0 | 104.6 | 121.4 | 114.5 | 104.8 | 97.5 | 97.0 | 99.8 |
| Sagar Preserves : | $103 \cdot 3$ 92.1 | 98.6 88.9 | $100 \cdot 0$ 87.4 | $103 \cdot 3$ 98.0 | 102.8 98.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 102 \cdot 3 \\ & 100 \cdot 5 \end{aligned}$ | 100.0 94.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 95.9 \\ & 90.1 \end{aligned}$ | 97.8 86.9 |
| Potatoes (inctuding chipe and crisps) | 95.9 | 82.6 | 95.0 | 95.9 | 78.8 | 86.8 | 99.9 | 104.9 | 109.5 |
| Fresh green vegetables Other vegetables | 115.6 | 122.4 | 132.6 | 103.9 | $101 \cdot 1$ | 109.9 | 111.3 | 121.1 | $120 \cdot 6$ |
|  | 97.4 | 100.0 | 105.0 | $100 \cdot 4$ | 99.1 | 101.4 | 97.0 | $100 \cdot 9$ | 103.5 |
| Veqetable: | $100 \cdot 4$ | $96 \cdot 6$ | $106 \cdot 1$ | 99.1 | 90.3 | $96 \cdot 6$ | 101 -3 | 107.0 | 109.8 |
| Freah fruit Other fruit | $106 \cdot 8$ | 107.9 | 118.0 | 91.5 | 94.0 | $103 \cdot 3$ | 116.7 | 114.7 | 114.2 |
|  | $101 \cdot 3$ | 97-1 | $101 \cdot 6$ | 98.2 | 94.0 | 94.8 | $103 \cdot 1$ | $103 \cdot 2$ | $107 \cdot 3$ |
| Fruit | $104 \cdot 9$ | 104.2 | $112 \cdot 5$ | $93 \cdot 7$ | 94.0 | 100.5 | $112 \cdot 0$ | $110 \cdot 8$ | 111.9 |
| Bread cereals : : . . | 101.7 99.9 | 101.4 101.6 | $107 \cdot 1$ $102 \cdot 1$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.6 \\ 99.8 \end{array}$ | $104 \cdot 2$ 98.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 110 \cdot 6 \\ & 100 \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 101 \cdot 1 \\ & 100 \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97 \cdot 3 \\ 103 \cdot 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 96 \cdot 9 \\ 102 \cdot 0 \end{array}$ |
| Cereals | $100 \cdot 7$ | 101.5 | $104 \cdot 1$ | $100 \cdot 1$ | $100 \cdot 7$ | 104.2 | 100.5 | $100 \cdot 7$ | 99.9 |
| Heverages . . . . | $97 \cdot 8$ | 98-7 | 98.7 | $100 \cdot 2$ | 100.2 | 98.9 | 97.5 | 98.5 | 99.8 |
| Mincellaneous foods | $106 \cdot 7$ | $112 \cdot 3$ | 118.9 | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 9$ | 102 -8 | $106 \cdot 8$ | $111 \cdot 4$ | 115.6 |
| All foods | $103 \cdot 2$ | $104 \cdot 5$ | 107.7 | 101.7 | $101 \cdot 4$ | 103.0 | $101 \cdot 4$ | $103 \cdot 0$ | 104.5 |

## Table 19

Household Food Expenditure, Value of Consumption and Price Indices according to Region and Type of Area, 1961

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { house- } \\ & \text { holds } \end{aligned}$ | Region or Type of Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Conurb | bations | Other ur | ban areas |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | West Ridings |  |  |  |  |  | Southern | London | Provincial | Larger towns | Smaller towns | ${ }_{\text {raral }}^{\text {areas }}$ | areas |
| 1960 Expenditure , Value of free food. | cc. | cr | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { s. } & \text { d. } \\ 28 & 4 \\ & 10\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { s } & \text { d. } \\ 29 & 6 \\ & 3\end{array}$ | s.  <br> s. d. <br> 31 0 <br>   <br>  7 | $\begin{array}{rr}\text { s. } & \text { d. } \\ 29 & 8 \\ & 4\end{array}$ | S. ${ }_{\text {s. }}$ d. | $\begin{array}{rr} \text { 8. } & \text { d. } \\ 27 & 10 \\ 1 & 7 \end{array}$ |   <br> s.  <br> d.  <br> 30 8 <br> 1 0 | $\begin{array}{rr}\text { s. } & \text { d. } \\ 27 & 6 \\ 2 & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rl}\text { s. } & \text { d. } \\ 29 & 1 \\ 1 & 3\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { s. } & \text { d. } \\ 31 & 4 \\ & 5\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { s. } & \text { d. } \\ 30 & 0 \\ & 2\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}5 . & \text { d. } \\ 29 & 4 \\ & 5\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cr}\text { s. } & \text { d. } \\ 29 & 3 \\ & 11\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}\text { s. } & \text { d. } \\ 29 & 2 \\ 1 & 6\end{array}$ | cr $\begin{array}{cc}\text { s. } & \text { d. } \\ 26 & 10 \\ 4 & 7\end{array}$ |
| Value of consumption | $30 \quad 6$ | 312 | 292 | $29 \quad 9$ | 318 | $30 \quad 0$ | 308 | $29 \quad 5$ | 318 | $30 \quad 2$ | $30 \quad 3$ | 319 | $30 \quad 2$ | 2910 | $30 \quad 2$ | 308 | 315 |
| 1961 Expenditure. Value of free food. | 30 7 <br>   <br>   <br> 10  | $\begin{array}{rr}29 & 3 \\ 2 & 2\end{array}$ | $29 \quad 1$ | $\begin{array}{r}30 \quad 8 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3131 <br>  <br>  <br> 6 | $\begin{array}{ll}30 & 4 \\ & 3\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}30 & 1 \\ 1 & 2\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}29 & 0 \\ 1 & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}31 & 2 \\ & 9\end{array}$ | 2810 1 | $30 \begin{array}{r}11 \\ 11\end{array}$ | $33 \quad$3 | 30 | 30 | $\begin{array}{rr}30 & 7 \\ & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}29 & 1 \\ 2 & 1\end{array}$ | 27 2711 3 |
| Value of consumption | 315 | 315 | 2910 | $31 \quad 2$ | 318 | $30 \quad 7$ | 313 | 308 | 3110 | $30 \quad 6$ | 3110 | 335 | $30 \quad 3$ | 313 | $31 \quad 4$ | $31 \quad 2$ | 3011 |
| Expenditure as percentage of that in all households 1960 | 100 100 | 104.0 95.5 | $95-7$ $95-1$ | $99 \cdot 4$ $100 \cdot 2$ | 104.7 101.6 | $100 \cdot 2$ 99.3 | 100.9 98.5 | $93 \cdot 9$ 94.8 | 103.4 101.9 | $92 \cdot 7$ $94 \cdot 2$ | $98 \cdot 0$ 101.2 | $105 \cdot 6$ 108.2 | 101.3 98.4 | $99 \cdot 0$ 100.5 | $98 \cdot 7$ $100 \cdot 1$ | $98 \cdot 3$ $95 \cdot 1$ | $90 \cdot 6$ 91.3 |
| Value of consumption as percentage of that in all households . <br> 1960 -1961 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 102 \cdot 3 \\ 99.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \cdot 6 \\ & 94 \cdot 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97.5 \\ & 99 \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 103.8 \\ & 100.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98.5 \\ & 97.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \cdot 5 \\ & 99.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \cdot 4 \\ & 97 \cdot 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 103 \cdot 7 \\ & 101 \cdot 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \cdot 0 \\ & 97 \cdot 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99 \cdot 3 \\ 101 \cdot 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 104 \cdot 0 \\ & 106 \cdot 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \cdot 0 \\ & 96.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \cdot 7 \\ & 99 \cdot 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98.9 \\ & 99.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \cdot 5 \\ 99 \cdot 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103.1 \\ 98.5 \end{array}$ |
| Expendituro adjusted to a uniform incidence of meals taken in the home expressed as a percentage of that in all households (a) 1960 1961 | 100 100 | 102.4 93.4 | $93 \cdot 2$ $93 \cdot 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \cdot 0 \\ & 99.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 105 \cdot 6 \\ & 102.0 \end{aligned}$ | $100 \cdot 6$ $100 \cdot 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99.7 \\ & 96 \cdot 9 \end{aligned}$ | $92 \cdot 9$ $93 \cdot 3$ | $103-1$ 101.0 | $91 \cdot 2$ 93.7 | $\begin{array}{r} 97.6 \\ 101.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 110 \cdot 3 \\ & 111.6 \end{aligned}$ | 101.0 98.7 | $98 \cdot 0$ 100.0 | 97.7 98.8 | $97 \cdot 3$ 94.7 | 88.6 89.6 |
| Price index (all foods) 1960 1961 | 100 100 | 102.6 102.1 | $104 \cdot 1$ $103 \cdot 6$ | $100 \cdot 5$ $101 \cdot 6$ | 103.3 99.4 | 99.4 101.7 | $100 \cdot 2$ $100 \cdot 4$ | 98.9 98.0 | $101 \cdot 1$ $100 \cdot 9$ | 98.4 97.8 | $97 \cdot 9$ 98.8 | 99.7 99.0 | 99.4 100.7 | $100-1$ $99-3$ | $100 \cdot 1$ $100 \cdot 7$ | $101 \cdot 3$ $101 \cdot 1$ | $100 \cdot 6$ $101-1$ |
| index (all foods) (b) 1960 1961 | 100 100 | $\begin{array}{r}100.4 \\ 97.4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 97.9 <br> 96.4 | $96-9$ $99-2$ | 105.7 98.0 | 97.3 98.1 | 94.6 97.6 | 96.4 97.1 | $\begin{array}{r}100.9 \\ 99.8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $97 \cdot 1$ $96 \cdot 7$ | $100 \cdot 3$ <br> 104.6 | $\begin{array}{r}107.9 \\ 107.3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 98.9 98.5 | 100.0 99.2 | 98.9 99.9 | 97.4 97.6 | 96.0 <br> 92.8 |

Table 20
Geographical Variations in Household Consumption of the Main Food Groups, 1961
(Expressed as Percentage Deviations from the National Average)

| More than 5 per cent above the national average |  | Between 95 and 105 per cent of the national average | More than 5 per cent below the national average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wales |  |  |  |
| Butter | +43 | Liquid milk | Fresh fruit - 6 |
| Mutton and lamb | +24 | Eggs | "Other" cereals -6 |
| Bacon and ham | +15 | Preserves | Cooking fat $\quad-10$ |
| Bread | +12 | Poultry | Margarine -11 |
| Sugar | +8 | Potatoes | Fish -11 |
| "Other" vegetables | $+6$ | "Other" fruit Tea | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Flour } \\ \text { "Other" meat } & -11 \\ & -12\end{array}$ |
|  |  |  | Fresh green vegetables -15 |
|  |  |  | Cakes and biscuits $\quad-20$ |
|  |  |  | Cheese - 22 |
|  |  |  | Beef and veal -23 |
|  |  |  | Suet and dripping -34 |
| SOOTLAND |  |  |  |
| Cakes and biscuits | +28 | "Other" vegetables | Cheese -5 |
| "Other" meat | +27 |  | Liquid milk $\quad-6$ |
| Beef and veal | +21 |  | Butter - $\quad-7$ |
| Bread | +17 |  | Sugar - $\quad 13$ |
| Preserves | +15 |  | Tea -13 |
| "Other" cereals | $+13$ |  | Fish -14 |
| Potatoes | +12 |  | Fresh fruit -23 |
| Eggs | +8 |  | "Other" fruit -29 |
| Margarine | +7 |  | Bacon and ham -32 |
| Suet and dripping | $+5$ |  | Cooking fat -43 |
|  |  |  | Flour $\quad-46$ |
|  |  |  | Mutton and lamb ${ }^{\text {Poultry }}$-52 -53 |
|  |  |  | Poultry ${ }^{\text {Fresh green vegetables }} \mathbf{- 5 3}$ |
|  |  |  | Pork -73 |
| NORTHERN |  |  |  |
| Suet and dripping | +89 | Cooking fat | "Other" fruit -7 |
| Flour | +41 | Preserves | Butter -8 |
| Fish | $+22$ | Potatoes | Fresh fruit $\quad-9$ |
| Cakes and biscuits | $+18$ | Bread | Liquid milk $\quad-12$ |
| "Other" meat | $+17$ | "Other" cereals | Sugar - 12 |
| Bacon and ham | $+16$ | Tea | Cheese $\quad-25$ |
| Eges | +9 |  | Pork -31 |
| Margarine | +8 |  | Poultry -31 |
| Beef and veal | +7 |  | Fresh green vegetables -32 |
| "Other" vegetables | $+6$ |  | Mutton and lamb -35 |
| EAST AND WEST RIDINGS 4 Sugat 49 Fresh frit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Margarine | +45 | Beef and veal | "Other" cereals -11 |
| Suet and dripping | $+34$ | Fresh green vegetables | Liquid milk $\quad-12$ |
| Fish | $+30$ | Potatoes | Cheese $\quad-19$ |
| Cooking fat | +29 | "Other", vegetables | Butter $\quad-20$ |
| Bacon and ham | +18 | "Other" fruit | Mutton and lamb -28 |
| Preserves | $+16$ | Bread | Poultry -30 |
| Cakes and biscuits "Other" meat | +14 +10 | Tea |  |
| Pork | +9 |  |  |
| Eges | $+6$ |  |  |

Table 20-continued


Table 20-continued


Table 20-continued


Part II
Table 21 Price

|  |
| :--- |

[^10]Domestic Food Consumption and Expenditure, 1961


Part II
Table 22-continued

(d) Includes dried and cannod vegetables, and vegolable products. ( $f$ ) locludes tomatoes. (e) Includes dried, canned or botlled fruit.

(i) Spreads and dressings, meat and vegetable extracts, pickles and sauces, table jellies, salt, invalid
and infant foods and items on which expenditure only was recorded.
(g) Includes rolls, fruit bread, sandwiches and milk bread.
Part II
Table 23
Household Food Consumption according to Social Class, 1961

Table 23-continued
(oz. per person per week except where otherwise stated)


[^11]Table 23-continued

Table 24
Household Food Expenditure, Value of Consumption and Price Indices

|  | Households with one man and one woman and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other households with |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | no other |  | children only |  |  |  | adolescentsonly | adolescents and children | adultsonly | adolescents but no children | one or more children with or without adolescents |
|  | one or both adults aged 55 or over | $\begin{gathered} \text { both } \\ \text { adults } \\ \text { under } 55 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (per person per week) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1960 <br> Expenditure <br> Value of free food | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { s. } \\ 36 \\ 1 & \text { d } \\ 1\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}\text { s. } \\ 40 \\ 40 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}$ | s. $\begin{gathered}\text { d. } \\ 30 \\ \\ \\ 10\end{gathered}$ | s. $\begin{array}{r}\text { d } \\ 26 \\ \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { s. } \\ 22 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\stackrel{s .9}{19} \underset{7}{d}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { s. } & \text { d. } \\ 34 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | 3. $\begin{gathered}\text { d. } \\ 26 \\ 8\end{gathered}$ | 3. 3 3 1 1 | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { 3. } & \text { d. } \\ 32 \\ 1 & 3\end{array}$ | 3. <br>  <br> 26 <br>  <br>  <br> 9 |
| Value of consumption | 372 | 412 | 316 | 267 | 230 | 203 | 354 | 275 | 342 | 339 | 273 |
| 1961 <br> Expenditure <br> Value of free food | 3610 1 | $42 \mathrm{r}{ }_{11}$ | $32 \mathbf{6}$ | 2610 | $23 \quad 5$ | $\begin{array}{ll}20 & 8 \\ & 6\end{array}$ | 3510 | $27 \begin{array}{r}5 \\ 9\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rrr}34 & 8 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}32 & 11 \\ 1 & 5\end{array}$ | 278 |
| Value of consumption . . | 380 | 431 | 333 | 27 |  | 212 | 369 | 282 | 359 | 344 | 282 |
| Expenditure as percentage of that in all <br> households <br> . | 121.8 120.6 | 135.7 137.9 | 103.4 106.4 | 87.7 87.9 | 75.4 76.5 | 66.6 67 | 115.2 117.2 | 90.0 89.7 | 111.4 113.4 | 109.6 107.6 | 89.3 89.6 |
| Value of consumption as percentage of that in all households . . . 1960 | 121.9 121.0 | 135.1 137.2 | 103.3 105.9 | 87.2 87.8 | 75.3 76.4 | 66.5 67.4 | 115.8 116.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 90.0 \\ & 89.8 \end{aligned}$ | 112.0 13.8 | 110.6 109.3 | 89.2 89.8 |
| Expenditure adjusted to a uniform incidence of meals taken in the home expressed as | 114.7 113.4 | 139.4 139.2 | 104.3 107.1 | 88.6 88.9 | $76 \cdot 2$ 77.3 | 67.4 67.8 | $\begin{array}{r}115.4 \\ 118.6 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 91.9 92.0 | 109.7 111.3 | 110.4 107.6 | 89.8 90.5 |
|  | 100.5 100.3 105.8 105.2 | 103.8 103.2 112.5 112.9 | 100.6 100 100.1 102.2 | 98.9 98.0 95.5 96.0 | 98.7 98.1 90.2 90.0 | 96.8 96.1 82.1 82.9 | 10.2 100.1 103.4 106.0 | 98.6 98.1 92.4 92.5 | 101.0 101.1 107.3 106.8 | 99.7 100.4 100.9 102.6 | 99.2 99.6 97.1 96.8 |

[^12]Household Food Expenditure according to Household Composition, 1961

|  |  |  |  | Houscholds with one man and one woman and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other households with |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | no other |  | children only |  |  |  | adolescents only | adolescents and children | adults only | adolescents but no children | one or more children with or without adolescents |
|  |  |  |  | one or both adults aged 55 or over | both adults under 55 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |  |  |  |  |  |
| MILK AND CREAM: Liquid milk-full price Liquid milk-welfare | : | , | : | 42-09 | 41.87 0.87 | $31 \cdot 16$ $5 \cdot 04$ | 26.40 6.10 | 21.34 6.58 | 17.09 7.08 | 37.54 0.05 | 29.50 1.51 | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \cdot 10 \\ 0 \cdot 09 \end{array}$ | 34.97 0.26 | $\begin{array}{r} 27.50 \\ 3 \cdot 41 \end{array}$ |
| Total Liquid Milk . . | . | * | , | 42.09 | 42.74 | 36-20 | 32.50 | 27.92 | $24 \cdot 17$ | 37.59 | 31-01 | 40-19 | $35 \cdot 23$ | 30.91 |
| Condensed milk Dried and other milk Cream | $:$ | : | , | 1.53 0.07 1.82 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.71 \\ & 0.07 \\ & 2.98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.52 \\ & 1.44 \\ & 1.52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.31 \\ & 1-14 \\ & 1-09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \cdot 30 \\ & 2 \cdot 06 \\ & 0.61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.90 \\ & 1.92 \\ & 0.34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.66 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 1.80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.35 \\ & 0.45 \\ & 1.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.56 \\ & 0.10 \\ & 1.88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.31 \\ & 0.03 \\ & 1.93 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.24 \\ & 1.23 \\ & 1.14 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Milk and Cream . | . | . | . | 45-5I | 47.50 | $40 \cdot 68$ | 36.04 | 31.89 | 27.33 | $41 \cdot 13$ | 33.89 | $43 \cdot 73$ | 38.50 | 34.52 |
| ChEESE: <br> Natural Processed . | $\cdots$ | : | - | 9-20 $1-28$ | 9.04 1.67 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \cdot 32 \\ & 1 \cdot 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 18 \\ & 1 \cdot 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.54 \\ & 1.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.02 \\ & 1.08 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.86 \\ & 1.33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.92 \\ & 1.29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.80 \\ & 1.51 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 10 \\ & 1 \cdot 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 28 \\ & 1 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Cheese . . . | - | . | . | 10.48 | 10.71 | $7 \cdot 62$ | 6.38 | $5 \cdot 67$ | $5 \cdot 10$ | 9.19 | 7-21 | $9 \cdot 31$ | $8 \cdot 26$ | 6.46 |
| MFAT: <br> Beef and yeal Mutton and lamb Pork | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | 38.70 26.60 8.30 | $41 \cdot 74$ $22 \cdot 02$ $12 \cdot 12$ | $\begin{array}{r}29.48 \\ 17.41 \\ 6.79 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 23.90 12.58 4.22 | $\begin{array}{r}18.42 \\ 10.47 \\ 3.55 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 15.80 8.92 2.84 | 34.61 20.61 7.70 | 23.04 13.08 4.45 | $\begin{array}{r}31.67 \\ 22.34 \\ 7.64 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 36.60 17.93 8.01 | $26 \cdot 88$ 14.20 5.01 |
| Total Carcase Meat | , | , | . | $73 \cdot 60$ | $75 \cdot 88$ | 53.68 | $40 \cdot 70$ | 32.44 | 27.56 | 62.92 | $40 \cdot 57$ | 61.65 | $62 \cdot 54$ | 46.09 |
| Bacon and ham, uncooked Poultry <br> Other meat ( $a$ ) | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | 20.74 8.54 33.90 | 21.98 12.72 44.46 | $\begin{array}{r} 16.08 \\ 6.04 \\ 35.26 \end{array}$ | 12.37 4.58 26.95 | $\begin{array}{r} 10.76 \\ 2.81 \\ 24.51 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.81 \\ 2.04 \\ 22.26 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \cdot 85 \\ 7 \cdot 12 \\ 39 \cdot 45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \cdot 98 \\ 4 \cdot 19 \\ 29 \cdot 77 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \cdot 18 \\ 7 \cdot 90 \\ 34 \cdot 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.76 \\ 6.95 \\ 35.73 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \cdot 38 \\ 5 \cdot 15 \\ 28 \cdot 45 \end{array}$ |
| Total Meat . . . | - | , | - | 136.78 | 155.04 | 111.06 | 84.60 | $70 \cdot 52$ | $60 \cdot 67$ | 129.34 | 87.51 | 122.95 | 121.98 | 93.07 |
| ```FISH: Fresh Processed and shell (b) Prepared (c)``` | $\vdots$ | ; | - | $\begin{array}{r} 11.24 \\ 2.71 \\ 8.65 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}9.80 \\ 2.91 \\ 12.08 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.66 \\ & 1.54 \\ & 8.21 \end{aligned}$ | 5.53 1.25 6.15 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.50 \\ & 1.22 \\ & 5.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.05 \\ & 0.67 \\ & 3.79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \cdot 00 \\ & 1.61 \\ & 9 \cdot 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.28 \\ & 1.17 \\ & 6.98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.80 \\ & 2.44 \\ & 8.67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.00 \\ & 1.63 \\ & 8.47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.77 \\ & 1.25 \\ & 6.63 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Fish . . . | , | . | . | 22.60 | 24.79 | $16 \cdot 41$ | 12.93 | $10 \cdot 80$ | 8.51 | $19 \cdot 20$ | $13 \cdot 43$ | 20.91 | $18 \cdot 10$ | 13.65 |

[^13](88491)
B 2
TABLE 25-contind

|  |  |  | Households with one man and one woman and |  |  |  |  |  | Other houscholds with |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | no other |  |  | children only |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { adolescents } \\ \text { only } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { adolescents } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { children } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { adults } \\ & \text { only } \end{aligned}$ | adolescents but no children | one or mor children with or without adolescent |
|  | one or both adults aged 55 or over | $\begin{gathered} \text { both } \\ \text { adults } \\ \text { under } 5 \$ \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |  |  |  |  |  |
| EgGs | 21.28 | $24 \cdot 22$ | 18.83 | 16.94 | 15.79 | 13.57 | 19.86 | 17.05 | 19.94 | 17.68 | 16.12 |
| FATS: <br> Butter Margarine Lard and compound cooking fat Other fats |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17.96 4.45 | 19.06 4.51 | 13.96 4.09 | $\begin{array}{r}11.58 \\ 4.24 \\ \hline 2.56\end{array}$ | 9.35 4.57 | 7.18 5.04 2 | 15.60 4.86 | 11.64 | 17.52 3.99 | 14.60 | 11.76 |
|  | 2.86 | 3.26 | 2.68 | 2.36 | 2.11 | 1.62 1.62 | 4.86 2.73 | 11.78 2.35 | 3.99 2.46 | 14.94 2.76 | 1.64 2.14 |
|  | 0.89 | 0.97 | 0.81 | 0.62 | 0.56 | 0.52 | 0.69 | 2. 0.62 | - 0.59 | 2.86 0.80 | 2.14 0.79 |
| Total Fats | $26 \cdot 16$ | 27.80 | 21.54 | 18.80 | 16.59 | 14.36 | 23.88 | $20 \cdot 39$ | 24.56 | 23.70 | 19.33 |
| sugar and preserves: <br> Sugar <br> Honey, preserves', syrup and treacie |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10.88 4.79 | 11.23 4.35 | 9.33 3.34 | 8.27 3.02 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.35 \\ & 2.86 \end{aligned}$ | 7.36 2.95 | $\begin{array}{r} 10.10 \\ 3.75 \end{array}$ | 9.06 3.25 | 9.98 4.22 | 9.60 3.40 | 8.36 3.18 |
| Total Sugar and Preserves | 15.67 | 15.58 | 12.67 | 11.29 | 11.21 | 10.31 | 13.85 | 12.31 | 14.20 | 13.00 | 11.54 |
| vegetables: <br> Potatoes (including chips and crisps) Fresh green <br> Other vegetables $(d)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 16.07 15.18 | 15.21 9.72 | 13.41 7.00 | 12.64 5.45 | 13.77 4.20 | 15.40 11.30 | 15.07 6.65 | 12.67 10.83 | 14.68 9.28 |  |
|  | 11.43 |  |  |  |  | 4.20 9.15 | 11.30 13.96 | 6.65 10.68 | 10.83 11.03 | 9.28 11.31 | $\begin{array}{r}7.40 \\ 10.97 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Total Vegetables | $36 \cdot 16$ | $47 \cdot 42$ | 38.22 | 31.07 | 27.91 | 27-12 | 40.66 | 32.40 | 34.53 | 35.27 | 32.53 |
| raurr: <br> Fresh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 23.96 10.15 | 31.48 12.11 | $\begin{aligned} & 22.44 \\ & 11.67 \end{aligned}$ | 18.50 9.50 | 14.70 7.33 | 11.10 5.74 | 27.53 11.15 | 18.98 7.96 | 25.08 10.02 | 23.45 9.57 | 19.09 7.85 |
| Touel Pruct ( ) | 34.11 | 43.59 | 34.11 | 28.00 | 22.03 | 16.84 | 38.68 | 26.94 | $35 \cdot 10$ | 33.02 | 26.94 |

(f) Includes tomatoes.
Part II
Table 25-continued
(pence per person per week)


[^14]Table 26
Household Food Consumption according to Household Composition, 1961

|  | Households with one man and one woman and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other households with |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | no other |  | children only |  |  |  | adolescents only | adolescents and children | adults only | adolescentsbut nochildren | one or more children with or without adolescents |
|  | one or both adolts aged 55 or over | both adults under 55 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |  |  |  |  |  |
| MILK AND CREAM: <br> Liquid milk-full price (pt.) <br> Liquid milk-welfare and school (pt.) | 5-22 | $5 \cdot 12$ $0 \cdot 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.89 \\ & 1.36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3-32 \\ & 1.77 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.65 \\ & 1.97 \end{aligned}$ | $2 \cdot 32$ $2 \cdot 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.65 \\ & 0.07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.78 \\ & 0.72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 06 \\ & 0.02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.71 \\ & 0.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.58 \\ & 1.09 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Liquid Milk (pt.) , . | $5 \cdot 22$ | $5 \cdot 34$ | 5.25 | 5.09 | 4.62 | 4.50 | $4 \cdot 73$ | $4 \cdot 49$ | 5.08 | 4.80 | $4 \cdot 67$ |
| Condensed milk (eq. pt .) Dried and other milk (pt. or eq. pt.) | 0.18 0.02 | $0 \cdot 19$ | $0 \cdot 17$ 0.19 | 0.15 $0 \cdot 16$ | 0.16 0.31 | 0.11 0.31 | $0 \cdot 19$ | 0.16 0.06 | 0.18 0.01 | 0.14 0.01 | 0.15 0.17 |
| Cream (pt.) | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | $0 \cdot 02$ | 0.03 | $0 \cdot 03$ | 0.02 |
| Total Milk and Cream (pt. or eq. pt.) | 5.45 | 5.58 | $5 \cdot 64$ | $5 \cdot 42$ | $5 \cdot 10$ | 4.92 | 4.95 | $4 \cdot 74$ | $5 \cdot 29$ | 4.99 | $5 \cdot 00$ |
| CHerse: $\begin{aligned} & \text { Natural } \\ & \text { Processed }\end{aligned}$ : $\quad$. | 3.83 0.37 | 3. 66 0.48 | 2.63 0.38 | 2.20 0.34 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.92 \\ & 0.34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.67 \\ & 0.31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.29 \\ & 0.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.50 \\ & 0.37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.26 \\ & 0.44 \end{aligned}$ | 2.96 0.32 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.24 \\ & 0.34 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Cheese . . . . . | $4 \cdot 20$ | $4 \cdot 14$ | 3.01 | $2 \cdot 54$ | $2 \cdot 26$ | 1.98 | $3 \cdot 67$ | 2-87 | $3 \cdot 70$ | $3 \cdot 28$ | 2.58 |
| MEAT: <br> Beef and veal Mutton and lamb Pork | 12.45 10.81 2.65 | $\begin{array}{r} 12.55 \\ 8.30 \\ 3.74 \end{array}$ | 9.24 6.77 2.11 | 7.67 5.21 1.37 | 6.13 4.48 1.12 | $5 \cdot 33$ $4 \cdot 00$ 0.98 | $\begin{array}{r} 10.87 \\ 8.03 \\ 2.42 \end{array}$ | 7.54 5.38 1.47 | $\begin{array}{r} 10.06 \\ 8.99 \\ 2.44 \end{array}$ | 11.34 6.94 2.50 | 8.65 5.65 1.62 |
| Total Carcase Meat | 25.91 | 24.59 | $18 \cdot 12$ | 14.25 | 11.73 | $10 \cdot 31$ | 21.32 | 14.39 | 21-49 | 20.78 | 15.92 |
| Bacon and ham, uncooked Poultry Other meat ( $a$ ) | $\begin{array}{r} 7.06 \\ 3.22 \\ 11.66 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \cdot 32 \\ 4 \cdot 77 \\ 14 \cdot 63 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \cdot 35 \\ 2 \cdot 36 \\ 12 \cdot 58 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.26 \\ 1.79 \\ 10.13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \cdot 74 \\ & 1 \cdot 07 \\ & 9 \cdot 80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.22 \\ & 0.84 \\ & 9.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \cdot 50 \\ 2 \cdot 94 \\ 13 \cdot 43 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.56 \\ 1.70 \\ 11.19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.45 \\ 3.02 \\ 11.55 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.48 \\ 2 \cdot 86 \\ 12.78 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.56 \\ 1.94 \\ 10.67 \end{array}$ |
| Total Meat . . . . | 47.85 | 51.31 | 38.41 | 30.43 | 26-34 | 23.75 | 44.19 | 31.84 | 42-51 | 41.90 | 33.09 |
| FISH: <br> Fresh <br> Processed and shell (b) <br> Prepared (c) | $\begin{aligned} & 4.71 \\ & 1.18 \\ & 2 \cdot 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.70 \\ & 1.13 \\ & 2.86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.74 \\ & 0.64 \\ & 2.21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.32 \\ & 0.54 \\ & 1.82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.82 \\ & 0.55 \\ & 1.52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.59 \\ & 0.28 \\ & 1.24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.31 \\ & 0.72 \\ & 2.39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 26 \\ & 0 \cdot 51 \\ & 2 \cdot 09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 04 \\ & 1 \cdot 11 \\ & 2 \cdot 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.46 \\ & 0.79 \\ & 2.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.40 \\ & 0.54 \\ & 1.93 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Fish , | $7 \cdot 91$ | $7 \cdot 69$ | $5 \cdot 59$ | $4 \cdot 68$ | $3 \cdot 89$ | 3.11 | 6.42 | $4 \cdot 86$ | 7-32 | 6.45 | $4 \cdot 87$ |

(a) Includes cooked and canned meats, and meat products.
(b) Includes smoked, dried and salted fish, and canned or botted shellish.
Table 26-continued
(oz. per person per week except where otherwise stated)

|  | Houscholds with one man end ono woman and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ofher houveholds with |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | no other |  | chllatran ouly |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { adoleecente } \\ \text { only } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { adolescents } \\ \text { childrenten } \end{array}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}$ adula |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \text { ono or both } \\ \text { aduta geod } \\ \text { S3 or over } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { both } \\ \text { godutut } \\ \text { under } 55 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.29 4.97 | 5.80 5 | 4.75 4.51 | 4.39 <br> 4.16 | 4.09 3.86 | 3.52 3.40 | 5.04 4.64 | 4.51 4.13 | 4.10 | 4.92 4.18 | ${ }_{3}^{4.28}$ |
| ratr <br> Butter <br> Margarina <br> Lard and compound cooking fat Other fats | $\begin{gathered} 8.10 \\ 3.14 \\ 2: 46 \\ 0.596 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.57 \\ & 3.11 \\ & 2: 66 \\ & 0.59 \end{aligned}$ | 6.42 2.94 2.27 0.57 0.57 | 5.36 3.0 3.0 2.02 0.46 | 4.33 <br> 3.33 <br> 1.78 <br> 0.42 <br>  <br> 18 | $\begin{gathered} 3.35 \\ 3.77 \\ \hline \end{gathered} .70$ | 7.12 <br> 3.47 <br> 3.72 <br> 0.48 | 5.44 <br> 4.168 <br> i. <br> 0.48 <br> .42 | 7.86 2.80 2.08 0.38 0.38 | 6.53 3.87 3.7 0.58 0.58 | 5.42 3.3 3.7 0.79 0.50 |
| Total Fats | 14.29 | 14.93 | 12.20 | 10.91 | 9.86 | 8.94 | 13.39 | 12.00 | 13.09 | 13.30 | 11.04 |
| suoar and prmerves: <br> Sugar <br> Honey, preserves, syrup and treacie | 21.22 <br> 4.10 | 21.90 <br> 3.68 | $\begin{array}{r}18.46 \\ 2.76 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 16.32 <br> 2.60 | 16.37 <br> 2.52 | 14.64 <br> 2.76 | 19.64 $3 \cdot 24$ | 17.80 <br> 2.93 | $\begin{array}{r}19.41 \\ 3.48 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}18.79 \\ 2.95 \\ \hline 21\end{array}$ | 16.47 2.76 |
| Total Sugar and Preserves | 25.32 | 25.58 | 21.22 | 18.92 | 18.89 | 17.40 | 22.88 | 20.73 | 22.89 | 21.74 | 19.23 |
| VEGETABLES <br> Potatoes (including chips and crisps) Other vegetables (d) | 56.85 21 218 18.52 | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \cdot 79 \\ & 22.98 \\ & 22.17 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.95 \\ & 18.784 \\ & 188 \end{aligned}$ | 55.86 <br> 12, <br> 16.27 <br> 8.54 | $\begin{aligned} & 52.35 \\ & 14.87 \\ & 14.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56.71 \\ & 8.01 \\ & 13.66 \end{aligned}$ | 60.02 18 19.64 19.64 | 63.11 12 15.02 18 | 53.15 18.56 16.50 | 63.15 <br> 1774 <br> 16.74 <br> 9.0 | $\begin{array}{r}57.97 \\ 12.36 \\ 15.99 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Total Vegetables | 97.12 | 107.94 | $95 \cdot 37$ | 84.54 | 77.03 | 78.38 | 97.84 | 90.60 | 88.21 | 97.03 | 86.32 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}26.07 \\ 7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 30.46 9.39 | 22.91 8.18 | 19.69 <br> 6.84 <br> 2.54 | 15.59 | 12.07 <br> 4.45 <br> 1 | 27.97 <br> 8.94 <br> 3.51 | 19.49 6.19 | $\underset{\text { 25.89 }}{7.62}$ | $\begin{array}{r}23.97 \\ 7.44 \\ \hline 3.41\end{array}$ | 18.938 |
| Total Fruit ( $\cap$ | 33.94 | 39.85 | 31.09 | 26.53 | 21.06 | 16.52 | 36.51 | 25.68 | 33.51 | 31.41 | 24.91 |

(d) Includes dried and canned vegetables, and vegetable products.
(e) Includes dried, canned or bottled fruit.
Table 26-continued

(g) Includes rolls, fruit bread, sandwiches and milk bread.
Part II
Table 27

Figures in parenthesis are averages based on a sample of only $\mathbf{2 3}$ households.
Table 28
Household Food Consumption by Household Composition Groups within Social Classes, 1961

|  | Class A |  |  |  |  |  |  | Class B |  |  |  |  |  |  | Classes C \& DI |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Households with one man and one woman and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | no other (both under 55) | child | $\underset{\text { child- }}{\stackrel{2}{2}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { child- } \\ \text { ren } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { or } \\ \text { more } \\ \text { child- } \\ \text { ren } \end{gathered}$ | adolescents only | adolescents and children | no other (both under 55) | $\stackrel{1}{\text { child }}$ | $\underset{\substack{2 \\ \text { child- } \\ \text { ren }}}{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { child- } \\ \text { ren } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 4 \\ \text { or } \\ \text { more } \\ \text { ehild- } \\ \text { ren } \end{array}$ | adoles cents only | adolescents and children | no other (both under 55) | $\stackrel{1}{\text { child }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { child- } \\ \text { ren } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { ehild- } \\ \text { ren } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 4 \\ \text { or } \\ \text { more } \\ \text { child- } \\ \text { ren } \end{array}$ | adolescents only | adolescents and children |
| MLLK AND CREAM: <br> Liquid milk-full price (pt.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.97 | 4.42 | 3.94 | 3-54 | 3-12 | 5.55 | $4 \cdot 31$ | $5 \cdot 04$ | $3 \cdot 88$ | 3-36 | $2 \cdot 67$ | $2 \cdot 26$ | $4 \cdot 65$ | $3 \cdot 88$ | $4 \cdot 86$ | $3 \cdot 74$ | 2.95 | $2 \cdot 37$ | $2 \cdot 17$ | 4-33 | 3.42 |
| Liquid milk-full price (pt.) school (pt.) | 0-27 | $1 \cdot 12$ | $1 \cdot 70$ | 1.91 | 2-10 | 0.09 | 0.80 | 0.23 | 1.48 | 1.86 | 1.98 | 2. 26 | 0.08 | 0.73 | 0.19 | 1.27 | 1.64 | 1.96 | 1-99 | 0.06 | $0 \cdot 69$ |
| Total Liquid Milk (pt.) + . | $6 \cdot 24$ | 5.57 | 5.63 | $5 \cdot 44$ | $5 \cdot 22$ | 5.64 | $5 \cdot 11$ | $5 \cdot 27$ | $5 \cdot 36$ | $5 \cdot 22$ | 4.66 | $4 \cdot 52$ | $4 \cdot 73$ | $4 \cdot 60$ | 5.05 | 5.01 | 4.60 | $4 \cdot 32$ | $4 \cdot 16$ | 4.39 | 4.11 |
| Condensed milk (eq, pt.) Dried and other milk (pt. or | 0-16 | 0.13 | 0. 10 | 0.14 | 0.16 | $0 \cdot 20$ | 0.13 | 0.19 | $0 \cdot 18$ | 0.15 | $0 \cdot 18$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | 0.18 | $0 \cdot 15$ | $0 \cdot 19$ | $0 \cdot 18$ | 0.19 | 0-14 | 0.10 | $0 \cdot 20$ | $0 \cdot 18$ |
| eq, pt.) : . | 0.09 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.19 \\ & 0.04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.13 \\ & 0.03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.06 \\ & 0.02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.19 \\ & 0.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.01 \\ & 0.06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.02 \\ & 0.05 \end{aligned}$ | 0.05 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.16 \\ & 0.02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.16 \\ & 0.02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.32 \\ & 0.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.24 \\ & 0.01 \end{aligned}$ | 0.03 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \cdot 04 \\ & 0 \cdot 01 \end{aligned}$ | 0.02 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.37 | $0 \cdot 39$ | 0.01 | 0.12 |
| cam (pr.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0 \cdot 02$ | $0 \cdot 01$ | 0.01 |  | $0 \cdot 01$ | 0.01 |
| Total Milk and Cream (pt. or eq. pt.) | 6.49 | 5.93 | $5 \cdot 89$ | 5-66 | 5-58 | $5 \cdot 90$ | 5.31 | 5-51 | 5.73 | $5 \cdot 54$ | $5 \cdot 16$ | 4-87 | $4 \cdot 94$ | 4-81 | $5 \cdot 26$ | $5 \cdot 45$ | 4.99 | $4 \cdot 84$ | $4 \cdot 65$ | $4 \cdot 61$ | $4 \cdot 42$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Processed | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 16 \\ & 0 \cdot 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.03 \\ & 0.35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.39 \\ & 0.42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.59 \\ & 0.37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.76 \\ & 0.39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \cdot 66 \\ & 0 \cdot 36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.98 \\ & 0.35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.92 \\ & 0.47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2+53 \\ & 0.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.28 \\ & 0.33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.74 \\ & 0.36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.64 \\ & 0.34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.39 \\ & 0.42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 50 \\ & 0.39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.95 \\ & 0.59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.64 \\ & 0.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.95 \\ & 0.33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.98 \\ & 0.28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \cdot 72 \\ & 0 \cdot 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \cdot 03 \\ & 0 \cdot 32 \end{aligned}$ | 2.32 <br> 0.34 |
| Total Cheese | 4.45 | 3-38 | 2.81 | 2.96 | $2 \cdot 15$ | $4 \cdot 02$ | $3 \cdot 33$ | 4-39 | 2.91 | $2 \cdot 61$ | $2 \cdot 10$ | I. 98 | 3.81 | 2-89 | $3 \cdot 54$ | 3.02 | $2 \cdot 28$ | $2 \cdot 26$ | 1.99 | 3-35 | 2.66 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mutton and lamb | $\begin{aligned} & 6.95 \\ & 4.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.92 \\ & 8.04 \\ & 1.93 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.78 \\ & 5.57 \\ & 1.17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.03 \\ & 5.43 \\ & 1.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.25 \\ & 4.03 \\ & 2.15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.79 \\ 10-34 \\ 2.91 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \cdot 14 \\ & 5 \cdot 63 \\ & 2 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \cdot 82 \\ 8.78 \\ 3.69 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \cdot 22 \\ & 6 \cdot 71 \\ & 2 \cdot 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.82 \\ & 5.35 \\ & 1.33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.24 \\ & 4.60 \\ & 1.29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.00 \\ & 4.09 \\ & 1.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.47 \\ 8.19 \\ 2.71 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 82 \\ & 5 \cdot 32 \\ & 1 \cdot 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.97 \\ 7.92 \\ 3.74 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.36 \\ & 6.47 \\ & 1.95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.89 \\ & 4.79 \\ & 1.58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.01 \\ & 3.92 \\ & 0.85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.63 \\ & 3.97 \\ & 0.67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \cdot 81 \\ 6 \cdot 90 \\ 1.80 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \cdot 91 \\ & 5 \cdot 29 \\ & 1 \cdot 00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Pork |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tatal Carcase Meat | 25-75 | 18.89 | 15.52 | $12 \cdot 66$ | $12 \cdot 43$ | 23.04 | 15.95 | $24 \cdot 29$ | 18.20 | 14-50 | $12 \cdot 13$ | 10.09 | 21-37 | 14.74 | $24 \cdot 63$ | 17-78 | 13-26 | $10 \cdot 78$ | $10 \cdot 27$ | 20.51 | 13-20 |
| Bacon and ham, uncooked. Poultry Other meat (a) | $\begin{array}{r} 8.98 \\ 7.28 \\ 14.93 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.20 \\ 4.67 \\ 11.53 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \cdot 40 \\ 2 \cdot 53 \\ 10 \cdot 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.97 \\ & 1.01 \\ & 8.97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 35 \\ & 1 \cdot 28 \\ & 9 \cdot 04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.94 \\ 8.41 \\ 12.84 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \cdot 36 \\ 2.92 \\ 10.93 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \cdot 18 \\ 4 \cdot 38 \\ 14 \cdot 36 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.24 \\ 2.29 \\ 12.81 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.42 \\ & 1.70 \\ & 9.93 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.84 \\ 1.07 \\ 10.09 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.16 \\ & 1.02 \\ & 9.63 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.37 \\ 2.20 \\ 13.31 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.76 \\ 1.85 \\ 11.02 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r} 6.72 \\ 4.31 \\ 14.92 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.23 \\ 1.67 \\ 12.51 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.91 \\ 1.63 \\ 10.43 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.50 \\ & 1.11 \\ & 9.66 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 87 \\ & 0 \cdot 32 \\ & 9 \cdot 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \cdot 19 \\ 1 \cdot 97 \\ 13 \cdot 82 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.94 \\ 1.00 \\ 11.57 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Meat , | 56.94 | 41-29 | 32-48 | 26.61 | $27 \cdot 10$ | 51-23 | 35-16 | 50-21 | 38.54 | $30 \cdot 55$ | $27 \cdot 13$ | $23 \cdot 90$ | 43.45 | $32 \cdot 37$ | 50.58 | 37-19 | 29-23 | 25.05 22.71 42.49 29.71 |  |  |  |

[^15]Part II
Table 28 -continued
(oz. per person per week except where otherwise stated)

|  | Class A |  |  |  |  |  |  | Class B |  |  |  |  |  |  | Classes C \& Di |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Households with one man and one woman and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | no <br> other <br> (both <br> under <br> under <br> $55)$ | child | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{2}{2} \text { child- } \\ \text { ren } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { child- } \\ \text { ren } \end{gathered}$ | 4 of more child ren | $\begin{aligned} & \text { adoles- } \\ & \text { cents } \\ & \text { only } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { adoles- } \\ \text { cents } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { child- } \\ \text { ren } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { no } \\ \text { other } \\ \text { (both } \\ \text { under } \\ 55 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | child | $e_{\text {chilld- }}^{\frac{2}{2}}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{3} \text { child- } \\ \text { ren } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { or } \\ \text { more } \\ \text { child- } \\ \text { ren } \end{gathered}$ | adoles- cents only |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c\|c} \text { no } \\ \text { other } \\ \text { oboth } \\ \text { under } \\ \text { und } \end{array}\right.$ | child | $\begin{gathered} \frac{2}{2} \\ \text { child- } \\ \text { ren } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{3} \begin{array}{c} 3 \\ \text { child- } \\ \text { ren } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | 4 or or child ren | $\begin{aligned} & \text { adoles- } \\ & \text { cents } \\ & \text { only } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { adoles. } \\ & \text { cents } \\ & \text { chnd } \\ & \text { child- } \\ & \text { ren } \end{aligned}$ |
| FISH: <br> Fresh Processed and shell (b) Prepared (c) | $\begin{aligned} & 5.03 \\ & 1.87 \\ & 2.48 \end{aligned}$ | 3.04 <br> 1.03 <br> 1.74 <br> 1.74 | 2.72 0.58 1.46 | 2.61 <br> 0.77 <br> 1.41 <br> 1.4 | 2.58 0.32 1.56 | $4 \cdot 21$ 1.11 $2 \cdot 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 87 \\ & 0.66 \\ & 2.43 \end{aligned}$ | 3.58 <br> 1.00 <br> 2.88 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.67 \\ & 0.57 \\ & 2.28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.41 \\ & 0.60 \\ & 1.92 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.84 \\ & 0.59 \\ & 1.82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.61 \\ & 0.33 \\ & 1.26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \cdot 13 \\ & 0.75 \\ & 2 \cdot 46 \end{aligned}$ | 2.29 0.50 1.82 | $3 \cdot 31$ 1.000 $3 \cdot 03$ | 2.71 0.59 2.31 | 1.98 0.44 1.80 | 1.53 0.40 1.39 | 1.28 <br> 0.21 <br> 1.17 <br> 1 | 3.22 <br> 0.62 <br> 2.41 <br> 6.25 | 2.00 <br> 0.47 <br> 2.31 |
| Total Fish | 9.38 | 5.81 | 4.76 | 4.79 | 4-46 | 7.45 | 5.96 | 7.46 | 5.52 | 4.93 | 4-25 | $3 \cdot 20$ | 6.34 | $4 \cdot 61$ | $7 \cdot 34$ | 5.61 | $4 \cdot 22$ | 3.32 | 2.66 | 6.25 | 4.78 |
| Egos ( $\mathrm{No}$. .) ${ }_{\text {Eggs }}$ purchased ( No .) | 6.44 6.31 | 5.38 5.03 | 4.65 4.38 | 4.91 4.88 | 4.04 4.04 | 5.71 5.22 | 4.86 4.31 | 5.71 <br> 5.41 | $4 \cdot 61$ 4.44 | 4.43 4.27 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 00 \\ & 3.85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.57 \\ & 3.41 \end{aligned}$ | 5.01 4.60 | 4.59 4.16 | $\begin{array}{r} 5.69 \\ 5.41 \end{array}$ | 4.72 4.43 | 4.18 3.83 | 3.99 <br> 3.58 | 3.33 3.18 | 4.85 4.44 | 4.23 4.02 |
| FATS: <br> Butter Margarine Lard and compound cooking | 9.98 2.55 | 7.21 2.21 | $6 \cdot 05$ $2 \cdot 18$ | $4 \cdot 84$ $3 \cdot 20$ | 4.63 2.46 | 8.61 2.67 | 6.88 2.67 | 8.65 3.09 | 6.51 2.78 | 5.45 2.84 | 4-49 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \cdot 42 \\ & 3 \cdot 57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.24 \\ & 3 \cdot 13 \end{aligned}$ | 5.56 4.15 | 7.81 3.38 | 6.03 3.43 | 4.83 3.90 | 3.89 3.50 | $2 \cdot 84$ 4.51 | 6.39 4.17 | 4.65 4.74 |
|  | 2.46 <br> 0.58 | 2.07 0.58 | 1.64 0.41 | 1.79 <br> 0.43 <br> 10. | 1.66 0.45 | 1.72 0.65 | 2.05 0.43 | 2.56 0.60 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.27 \\ & 0.66 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.06 \\ & 0.48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.73 \\ & 0.46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.71 \\ & 0.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.48 \\ & 0.41 \end{aligned}$ | 1.97 0.47 | 2.96 0.56 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.37 \\ & 0.45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 14 \\ & 0 \cdot 45 \end{aligned}$ | 1.86 0.30 | 0.94 0.42 | 2.29 0.54 13.39 | 1.95 0.39 |
| Total Fats | $15 \cdot 57$ | 12.07 | 10.28 | 10.26 | $9 \cdot 20$ | 13.65 | 12.03 | 14.90 | 12.22 | 10.83 | 9.93 | 9.10 | 13-26 | 12.15 | $14 \cdot 71$ | 12.28 | 11.32 | 9.55 | 8.71 | 13.39 | 11.73 |
| stoark and preserves: <br> Sugar <br> Honey, preserves, syrup and treacle | 22.09 4.51 | $15 \cdot 64$ 2.80 | 14.56 2.79 | 15.03 1.92 | 12.69 3.62 | 19.72 2.95 | 16.86 3.49 | 21.40 3.70 | 18.49 2.76 | 16.70 2.66 | 16.66 2.87 | 15.48 2.54 | $20 \cdot 25$ 3.34 | 18.20 2.78 | 22.65 3.22 | 19.61 2.75 | 16.34 2.45 | $16 \cdot 19$ <br> 2.23 | 14.12 2.82 | 18.99 3.18 | $\begin{array}{r}17.45 \\ 2.94 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Total Sugar and Preserves | 26-60 | 18.44 | 17.35 | 16.95 | 16.31 | 22.67 | 20-35 | $25 \cdot 10$ | 21.25 | 19.36 | 19.53 | 18.02 | 23.59 | 20.98 | $25 \cdot 87$ | 22.36 | 18.79 | 18.42 | 16.94 | $22 \cdot 17$ | 20.39 |
| vegetables: Potatoes (including chips and crisps) Fresh green Other vegetables ( $d$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \cdot 62 \\ & 24 \cdot 21 \\ & 24 \cdot 67 \end{aligned}$ | 47.44 18.69 18.25 | $\begin{aligned} & 47.28 \\ & 12.50 \\ & 14.56 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 37 \cdot 20 \\ & 10.96 \\ & 12 \cdot 17 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.73 \\ & 10.75 \\ & 1.52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \cdot 19 \\ & 21 \cdot 07 \\ & 21 \cdot 10 \\ & 21 \cdot 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53.65 \\ & 13.86 \\ & 16.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \cdot 38 \\ & 23 \cdot 47 \\ & 21 \cdot 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64.42 \\ & 15.91 \\ & 18.62 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55.36 \\ & 13.02 \\ & 16.47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51.62 \\ & 10.17 \\ & 15.05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \cdot 68 \\ 7.55 \\ 14 \cdot 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55.63 \\ & 19.40 \\ & 18.90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63.40 \\ & 12.24 \\ & 15.46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \cdot 88 \\ & 21.33 \\ & 23 \cdot 06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59.86 \\ & 15.00 \\ & 18.83 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60.82 \\ & 11.00 \\ & 16.96 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58.42 \\ & 9.07 \\ & 15.32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57.47 \\ & 7.59 \\ & 13.71 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \cdot 18 \\ & 14.92 \\ & 20.06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66.52 \\ & 10.57 \\ & 15.24 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Vegetables | 104. | 84-38 | $74 \cdot 34$ | $60 \cdot 33$ | 70.00 | 93-36 | 83.91 | 105.04 | 98.95 | 84:85 | $76 \cdot 84$ | 80. 33 | 93-93 | 91-10 | $115 \cdot 27$ | 93.69 | 88.78 | 82-81 | 78.77 | $104 \cdot 16$ | 92-33 |

[^16]TABLE 28-continued
(oz. per person per week except where otherwise stated)

|  | Class A |  |  |  |  |  |  | Class B |  |  |  |  |  |  | Classes C \& DI |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Households with one man and one woman and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { no } \\ & \text { other } \\ & \text { (both } \\ & \text { under } \\ & \text { und } \end{aligned}$ | child | $\begin{gathered} \text { child- } \\ \text { ren } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { child- } \\ \text { ren } \end{gathered}$ | 4 or more chill- ren | adolesonly only |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { no } \\ \text { other } \\ \text { (tooth } \\ \text { under } \\ \text { und } \\ 55 \text { ) } \end{array}$ | child | $\begin{gathered} \text { child- } \\ \text { ren } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} c_{3}^{3} \\ \text { child- } \\ \text { ren } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { or } \\ \text { more } \\ \text { child } \\ \text { ren } \end{gathered}$ | adoles only only |  | no other (both under 55 ) | child | $\begin{gathered} c_{2 i l d-}^{2} \\ \text { cen } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{3} \\ \text { child- } \\ \text { ren } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { or } \\ \text { more } \\ \text { chore- } \\ \text { chen } \\ \text { ren } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { adoles } \\ \text { cents } \\ \text { only } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| TREIT: <br> Fresh <br> Other (e). | $\begin{aligned} & 45-55 \\ & 11-84 \end{aligned}$ | 31.64 10.91 | 27.68 7.82 | 24.51 7.19 | $\begin{array}{r}16.95 \\ 7.85 \\ \hline 24.80\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \cdot 14 \\ & 10 \cdot 72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.03 \\ 8.67 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \cdot 32 \\ 9 \cdot 72 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23 \cdot 86 \\ 8.11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.07 \\ 7.07 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 16 \cdot 22 \\ 5.58 \end{array}$ | 12.46 4.62 | $\begin{array}{r} 28.29 \\ 9.43 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \cdot 17 \\ 6 \cdot 43 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.01 \\ 7.71 \end{array}$ | 19 | $\begin{array}{r} 15: 32 \\ 6.01 \end{array}$ | 11.90 4.65 | 9. 54 <br> 3.48 <br> 18 | 22-27 | 14.24 4.89 |
| Toial Fruit (f) | 57.39 | 42.55 | 35.50 | 31.70 | 24-80 | 52.86 | 38.70 | 39.04 | 31.97 | 27-14 | 21.80 | 17.08 | 37-72 | 26.60 | 32.72 | $26 \cdot 4$ | 21.33 | 16.55 | 13.02 | $28 \cdot 71$ | 19.13 |
| CREEAES: <br> Brown bread <br> White bread <br> Wholewheat and wholemeal | - $\begin{array}{r}5.05 \\ 25-44\end{array}$ | 24.58 | 22.38 22.33 | 2.27 | 1.21 | 4.18 26.05 | 2.71 29.50 | 3.77 <br> 38.16 | - $\begin{array}{r}24-20 \\ 34-85\end{array}$ | 1.92 31.47 | ${ }_{33}^{1.48}$ | 0.94 36.89 | ${ }^{2} \mathbf{2} 9.98$ | $1 \cdot 70$ 40.44 | ${ }^{1} \times 1.97$ | 1.86 38.84 | ${ }^{16.58}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.87 \\ 36.36 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.82 \\ 43.96 \end{array}$ | ${ }^{2} \begin{array}{r}2.98 \\ \hline 3.07\end{array}$ | 1.28 48.63 |
| bread Other bread $(g)$. | 2.63 10.20 | 0.62 8.14 | + ${ }_{\text {1.03 }}$ | 1.17 <br> 2.69 <br> 29 | 0.19 <br> 3.73 | $2 \cdot 17$ 7.85 | 0.78 5.70 | 1.05 9.61 | 0.48 5.95 | 0.58 4.78 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.59 \\ & 3.48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.27 \\ & 3 \cdot 02 \end{aligned}$ | 0.93 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.96 \\ & 5.83 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.59 \\ & 8.41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.64 \\ & 4.79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.88 \\ & 3.84 \end{aligned}$ | 0.23 3.85 | 0.15 <br> $3 \cdot 14$ <br> 18 | 0.57 7.41 | 0.26 <br> 4.74 |
| Total Bread | 43.32 | 35-82 | ${ }^{31-39}$ | $29 \cdot 49$ | $31 \cdot 29$ | $40 \cdot 25$ | 38.69 | 52.59 | 43.48 | 38.75 | 38.95 | 41-12 | 49.03 | 48.93 | 53.32 | $46 \cdot 13$ | $42 \cdot 73$ | 41-31 | 48.07 | 54.03 | 54.91 |
| $\stackrel{\text { Flour }}{\text { Cakes }}(t)$ | 7.12 8.05 | 6.63 5.80 | 5.80 5.18 | 5.03 | 3.64 | 7.23 6.99 | $5 \cdot 53$ | ¢.86 | 6-03 | 5.64 | 4.46 | 4. 12 | 6.74 | 5.52 | 8.44 | 6.81 | 5.90 | 4.89 | 4.15 | 7.03 | $5 \cdot 10$ |
| Cakes (b) | 8.05 7.28 | 5.80 6.34 | 5.8 5.92 | 5.37 4.87 | 5.34 4.98 | 6.99 6.49 | 5.22 | 9.16 6.99 | 7.42 6.40 | 5.68 | ${ }_{5}^{4.50}$ | 4.00 5.25 | 7.58 | 5.31 4.95 | 8.42 7.38 | 5.89 6.16 | 5.07 | 4.52 4.36 | 3.86 3.95 l | 8.68 6.23 | 5.47 |
| Oatmeal and oat products : | 0.86 | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.71 | 1.21 | 0.81 | ${ }^{\text {0.65 }}$ | 0.52 | ${ }_{0}^{6.66}$ | 5.79 0 | 0.73 | 5.25 0.80 | 6.17 0.30 | 4.95 0.77 | 7.38 0.53 | 6.16 0.63 | 5.37 | 4.36 0.92 | 3.95 1.46 | 6.23 0.69 | 4.83 0.75 |
| Breakfast cereals Other cercals | 1.52 3.99 | 2.28 4.99 | 2.46 <br> 4.40 | 3.30 4.69 | 2.13 4.26 | 1.84 4.50 | $2 \cdot 12$ | 1.57 | $2 \cdot 01$ | 2.34 | 2.59 | $2 \cdot 63$ | 1.85 | 2.03 | 1.70 | 1.91 | $2 \cdot 42$ | 2.05 | 1.98 | +1.31 | + $\begin{aligned} & 0.75 \\ & 2.04\end{aligned}$ |
| Other cereals . | 3.99 | 4.99 | 4.40 | 4.69 | $4 \cdot 26$ | 4.50 | 3.22 | 4.59 | 4-13 | $3 \cdot 60$ | $3 \cdot 64$ | 2.88 | $3 \cdot 53$ | 3.59 | 3.85 | 4.24 | 3-72 | 3.78 | 2.91 | $4 \cdot 13$ | 3-10 |
| Total Cereals | $72 \cdot 14$ | 62.71 | 55.95 | $54 \cdot 46$ | 52.85 | $68 \cdot 11$ | 60.81 | 82.28 | 70-13 | $62 \cdot 39$ | $60 \cdot 27$ | 60.80 | 75.20 | $71 \cdot 10$ | 83.64 | 71.87 | 65.95 | 61.83 | $66 \cdot 38$ | 82-10 | $76 \cdot 20$ |
| heverages: Tea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coffes | ${ }_{0}^{4.95}$ | 2. 2.51 | 1.91 | 1.73 0.31 | 1.90 0.20 | 3.28 0.79 | 2.41 0.49 | 3.75 0.65 | 2.79 0.36 | $2 \cdot 30$ 0.29 | 2.05 0.17 | 1.98 0.16 | 3.14 0.45 | 2.57 0.37 | 3.99 0.55 | 2.96 0.42 | 2.34 | 2.09 0.26 | 2.01 0.21 | 3.43 0.32 | 2.57 0.29 |
| Cocoa Branded food drinks | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.09 | $0 \cdot 13$ | 0.03 | $0 \cdot 12$ | 0-13 | $0 \cdot 19$ | $0 \cdot 17$ | 0.21 | 0-10 | $0 \cdot 14$ | $0 \cdot 12$ | $0 \cdot 20$ | ${ }_{0} 120$ | 0.22 | ${ }_{0}$ | - 113 | ${ }_{0} 0.08$ | 3.43 0.32 0.09 | - $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0. } 29 \\ & 0.18\end{aligned}$ |
| Tolal Beverager | 0.31 5.50 | 0.35 3.39 |  | 2.26 | 0.28 | - 4.40 | $0 \cdot 17$ $3 \cdot 20$ | 0.29 <br> 4.88 | 0.27 $3 \cdot 59$ | 0.19 2.99 |  |  | - $\begin{aligned} & 0.24 \\ & 3.95\end{aligned}$ | $0 \cdot 12$ $3 \cdot 26$ | 0.62 5.36 | 0.24 3.84 | ¢ $\begin{aligned} & 0.14 \\ & 2.94\end{aligned}$ | 0.10 | 0.06 2.36 | 0.16 4.00 | ( $\begin{aligned} & 0.09 \\ & 3.19\end{aligned}$ |
| EXPENDITURE-ALL FOODS | $\frac{8}{49} \mathrm{~d}_{0}$ | ${ }_{36}{ }^{3} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{1}$ | ${ }^{\text {s. }}$ 29 ${ }^{29}$ | S. ${ }_{\text {s }}$ | ${ }_{24}^{8 .}$ | s. ${ }_{4}{ }_{9}$ | ${ }_{31}{ }^{8} \mathrm{~d}$ | 8. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | ${ }_{3}{ }^{\text {s. }} \mathrm{d}$ | ${ }_{27}{ }_{27}{ }_{5}$ | ${ }_{24}{ }^{\text {5 }}$ d | ${ }_{21}{ }^{\text {s }}$ d | s. 3510 | s. ${ }^{\text {s. }}$ d ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | s. <br> 39 <br> 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { s. d. } \\ & 3010 \end{aligned}$ | 5. ${ }_{24}{ }_{7}$ | ${ }^{\text {s. }} 17$ d | ${ }_{19}{ }_{19}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | ${ }_{33}{ }_{3}{ }^{\text {d }} 7$ | ${ }_{25}^{5} \mathrm{~S}$ d. |

Table 29
Energy Value and Nutrient Content of Household Food Consumption: All Households 1957-1961(a)

|  | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSUMPTION PER PERSON PER DAY: | CONSUMPTION PER PERSON PER |  |  |  |  |
| Energy value (Cal.) | 2,590 | 2,600 | 2,580 | 2,590 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 2,630 | 2,630 |
| Total protein (g.) | 75 | 75 | 74 | 76 |  |
| Animal protein (g.) | 43 | 43 | 43 | 75 44 | 75 |
|  |  |  |  | 44 | 45 |
| Fat (g.) | 110 | 111 | 110 | 112 |  |
|  | 325 | 325 | 324 | 115 320 | 116 |
| Carbohydrate (g.) | 325 | 325 | 324 | 345 | 343 |
| Calcium (mg.) | 1,028 | 1,036 | 1,030 | 1,037 | 1,041 |
| Iron (mg.) , | 14.1 | 14.2 | 13.9 | 14.1 | 14.2 |
| Vitamin A (i.u.) . | 4,290 | 4,350 | 4,280 | 4,360 | 4,320 |
| Thiamine (mg.) | 1.29 | $1 \cdot 25$ | 1.27 | $1 \cdot 27$ | $1 \cdot 26$ |
| Riboflavin (mg.) | 1.66 | 1.64 | 1.65 | 1.70 | $1 \cdot 70$ |
| Nicotinic acid (mg.) | $13 \cdot 8$ | $13 \cdot 6$ | $13 \cdot 8$ | $14 \cdot 0$ | 13.9 |
| Vitamin C (mg.) . | 52 | 49 | 52 | 52 | 51 |
| Vitamin $\mathbf{D}$ (i.u.) | 145 | 133 | 145 | 130 | 128 |
| as a percentage of recommended allowances (b): |  |  |  |  |  |
| Energy value . . . | 103 | 104 | 103 | 105 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 106 | 107 |
| Total protein | 100 | 100 | 99 | 102 |  |
| Calcium | 106 | 107 | 106 | 101 | 102 |
| Iron . | 113 | 115 | 113 | 115 | 116 |
| Vitamin A | 180 | 184 | 181 | 186 | 186 |
| Thiamine | 129 | 126 | 128 | 130 | 130 |
| Riboflavin | 109 | 108 | 109 | 114 | 115 |
| Nicotinic acid | 138 | 137 | 139 | 142 | 143 |
| Vitamin C (b) | 234 | 222 | 235 | 240 | 237 |
| percentage of energy value DERIVED FROM: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protein | $11 \cdot 6$ | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 11.4 | $11 \cdot 4$ |
| Fat | $38 \cdot 1$ | $38 \cdot 3$ | $38 \cdot 3$ | $38 \cdot 9$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | $39 \cdot 3$ | 39.6 |
| Carbohydrate . | $50 \cdot 3$ | 50.2 | $50 \cdot 3$ | 49.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  | $49 \cdot 3$ | $49 \cdot 0$ |
| andmal protern as percentage |  |  |  |  |  |
| of total protern | $57 \cdot 6$ | $58 \cdot 1$ | $58 \cdot 8$ | 58.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 59.1 | 59.8 |

(a) Figures for protein, fat and carbohydrate are based on nutrient equivalents given in The Composition of Foods, by R. A. McCance and E. M. Widdowson (M.R.C. Special Report No. 297; H.M.S.O., 1960). Prior to 1960 these figures were based on nutrient equivalents given in Nutritive Values of Wartime Foods (M.R.C. War Memorandum No. 14; H.M.S.O., 1945). Two figures are given for 1960: the upper obtained on the latter basis, the lower on the former.
(b) Use of the Vitamin C allowances recommended by the National Research Council of the U.S.A., which are over three times those of the British Medical Association, would give much lower figures here and in Tables 15, 17, 30, 31, 32 and 34 ; in particular, that for all households in 1961 would be 71.
Table 30

| Table 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geographical Variations in Energy Value and Nutrient Content (a) of Household Food Consumption, 1961 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Allhouseholds | Wales | Scotland | Northern |  | NorthWestern | NorthMidland | Eastern | Midland | $\begin{aligned} & \text { South } \\ & \text { Western } \end{aligned}$ |  | Conurbations |  | Other urban areas |  | Semi-rural areas | Ruralareas |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | London | $\begin{gathered} \text { Provin- } \\ \text { cial } \end{gathered}$ | Larger towns | Smaller towns |  |  |
|  | Consumption per person per day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Energy value (Cal.) | 2,630 | 2,700 | 2,590 | 2,630 | 2,700 | 2,610 73 | 2,680 | 2,640 | 2,670 | 2,640 | 2,550 | 1,610 | 2,570 | 2,630 | 2,620 | 2,670 | 2,790 |
| Total protein (g.) |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{76}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76 |
| ${ }_{\text {Animat }}$ (e) protein (g.) | 116 | - 120 | - 42 | 44 116 | 120 | 43 114 | 44 117 | 115 | ${ }_{118}^{46}$ | 44 117 | 46 115 | 117 | 43 111 | - 115 | ${ }^{44}$ | $1{ }^{44}$ | 44 122 |
| Carbobydrate (8) | 343 | 352 | 353 | 344 | 350 | 343 | 354 | 348 | 348 | 345 | 325 | 331 | 341 | 343 | 344 | 351 | 371 |
| Calcium (mg.). | 1,041 | 1,051 | 1,021 | 984 | 1,003 | 1,003 | 1,053 | 1,092 | 1,066 | 1,041 | 1,082 | 1,073 | 996 | 1,032 | 1,038 | 1,069 | 1,109 |
|  | $4,320{ }^{14 \cdot 2}$ | 4,940 ${ }^{13}$ | 3,790 ${ }^{14.4}$ | 14.6 4,050 | 4,200 ${ }^{14.6}$ | 4,200 ${ }^{13.5}$ | ${ }^{4,090}$ | 4,570 ${ }^{14 \cdot 2}$ | 4,280 ${ }^{13.9}$ | 4.520 | 4,580 ${ }^{13.8}$ | 4,530 ${ }^{14.4}$ | 3,900 ${ }^{13 \cdot 9}$ | 4,280 ${ }^{14 \cdot 1}$ | 4,460 ${ }^{14 \cdot 1}$ | $4,340^{14}$ | 4,750 |
| Thiamine (mg.) | 4,320 1.26 | 4,940 1.28 | 1.790 | +1.26 | 4,200 1.29 | 4,200 1.23 | 4,28 | 4, 1.27 | 4,280 1.33 | 4,520 1.28 | 4,58122 | 4,29 | 1.23 | 4,280 $1+27$ | 1.25 | 1,347 | 4,1.31 |
| Ribotlavin (mg.) | 1.70 | 1.67 | 1.60 | 1.61 | 1.65 |  |  |  | 1.74 |  |  |  | 1.61 | 1.70 | 1.68 | 1.70 | 1.73 |
| Nicotinic acid (mg.) . | 13.9 | 13.7 | $13 \cdot 3$ | 13.8 | ${ }_{5 .}^{14.1}$ | ${ }_{47} 13$ | ${ }_{53}^{13.7}$ | ${ }_{54}^{13.8}$ | ${ }_{52}^{14.1}$ | ${ }_{53}^{14.3}$ | ${ }_{53}^{13.8}$ | ${ }_{58} 15.0$ | 13.5 | ${ }_{52}^{13.8}$ | ${ }_{50}^{13.8}$ | ${ }_{51}^{13 \cdot 7}$ | ${ }_{49}^{13.9}$ |
| Vitamin $\mathcal{D}$ ( l .a.) | 51 128 | $\begin{array}{r}432 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{122}$ | 48 134 | 55 149 |  | +124 | 54 132 | 52 126 | 53 110 | 53 118 | 12989 | 48 128 | 52 127 | 50 128 | [ $\begin{array}{r}51 \\ 128\end{array}$ | 49 133 |
|  | As a Percentage of Allowances based on British Medical Association's Recommendations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Energy value | 107 | 106 | 104 | 107 | 109 | 108 | 107 | 106 | 107 | 108 | 106 | 110 | 106 | 107 | 106 | 108 | 110 |
| ${ }_{\text {Prosein }}{ }_{\text {Proleium }}$ | 102 | +98 | 100 | 102 | 103 | 101 | +99 | 113 | 101 | 102 | 1103 | 116 | 101 | 101 | 1101 <br> 108 <br> 1 | 111 | 116 |
| ${ }_{\text {Iran }}$ calaimm | 116 | 110 | 118 | 119 | 121 | 113 | 113 | 115 | 113 | 119 | 114 | 122 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 116 | 118 |
| Vitamin A | 186 | 206 | 162 | 173 | 181 | 184 | 174 | 198 | 183 | 194 | 197 | 201 | 170 | 183 | 189 | 187 | 200 |
| Thiamine | 130 | 126 | 122 | 129 | 131 | 128 | 128 | 128 | 134 | 132 | 128 | 137 | 128 | 130 | 127 | 129 | 131 |
| Ribohlavin | 115 | 108 | 106 | 107 | 111 | 109 | 111 | 116 | 115 | 116 | 122 | 128 | 110 | 114 | 112 | 113 | 112 |
| Nicotiaic acid. | 143 | 135 | 135 | 140 | 144 | 139 | 137 | 140 | 142 | 147 | 144 | 160 | 141 | 142 | 140 | 139 | 138 |
| Vitamin C | 237 | 221 | 211 | 219 | 232 | 221 | 241 | 248 | 237 | 243 | 247 | 275 | 222 | 237 | 228 | 235 | 224 |
| Fercentage of Energy Value derived from Protein, Fat and Carbohydrate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protein | 11.4 |  |  | 11.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fat ${ }_{\text {Carbohydrate }}$ : | 39.6 49.0 | 40.0 49.0 | 37.3 51.1 | 39.6 49.0 | 40.1 48.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \cdot 5 \\ & 49 \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.3 \\ 49.6 \end{array}$ | $39 \cdot 2$ $49 \cdot 4$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.8 \\ 48.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.7 \\ 49.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \cdot 7 \\ & 47 \cdot 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \cdot 5 \\ & 47.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38.8 \\ & 49.7 \end{aligned}$ | 39.8 48.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \cdot 5 \\ & 49 \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \cdot 5 \\ & 49 \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$ | 39.2 49.9 |
| Animal Protein as percentage of total protein |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 59.8 | $58 \cdot 6$ | $56 \cdot 1$ | 57.8 | 58.5 | 58.9 | 58.4 | 59.5 | $60 \cdot 0$ | 59.3 | 62.8 | 63.7 | 58.4 | 59.7 | 59.2 | 58.8 | 57.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(a) Figures for protein, fat and carbohydrate are based on nutrient equivalents given in The Composition of Foods, by R. A. McCance and E. M. Widdowson (M.R.C. Special Report
No. 297; H.M.S.O., 1960). Prior to 1960, these figures were based on nutrient equivalents given in Nutritive Values of Wartime Foods (M.R.C. War Mernorandum No. 14; H.M.S.O., 1945).

Table 31
Energy Value and Nutrient Content of Household Food Consumption of Households of Different Social Class, 1961(a)

(a) Pigures for protein, fat and carbohydrate are based on nutrient equivalents given in The Composition of Foods by R. A. McCance and E. M. Widdowson (M.R.C. Special Report No. 297; H.M.S.O., 1960). Prior to 1960, these figurea were based on nutrient equivalents given in Nutritive Values of Wartime Foods (M.R.C. Memorandum No. 14; H.M.S.O., 1945).
Table 32
Energy Value and Nutrient Content of Household Food Consumption of Households of Different Composition, 1961(a)

(a) Figures for protein, fat and carbohydrate are based on nutrient equivalents given in The Composifion of Foods, by R. A. McCance and E. M. Widdowson (M.R.C. Special Report No. 297;
H.M.S.O., 1960). Prior to 1960 , these figures were based on nutrient equivalents given in Nutritive Values of Wartime Foods, (M.R.C. War Memorandum No. 14: H.M.S.O., 1945).

Table 33
Energy Value and Nutrient Content(a) of the Household Food Consumption of Households of Different Composition
within Social Classes, 1961(a)

| Consumption per person per day | Class | Houscholds with one man and one woman and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | no other (both under 55) | children only |  |  |  | adolescents only | adolescents and children |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |  |  |
| Energy value (Cal.) | $\begin{gathered} A \\ C_{8}^{A} D I \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,260 \\ & 3,180 \\ & 3,210 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,640 \\ & 2,740 \\ & 2,740 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 2 0}$ $\mathbf{2 , 4 2 0}$ $\mathbf{2 , 4 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 1 0}$ $\mathbf{2} 260$ $\mathbf{2 , 2 0 0}$ | $(2,140)$ 2,150 2,120 | 2,910 $\mathbf{2 , 8 8 0}$ $\mathbf{2 , 9 1 0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 , 5 3 0} \\ & \mathbf{2 , 5 6 0} \\ & \mathbf{2 , 5 3 0} \end{aligned}$ |
| Total protein (g.) . | $\stackrel{A}{A}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \\ & 92 \\ & 92 \end{aligned}$ | 78 79 77 | 69 69 67 | 63 63 62 | $(61)$ 60 60 | 88 82 83 | 72 72 70 |
| Animal protein (g.) | $\begin{gathered} A \\ \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{D} 1 \end{gathered}$ | 64 57 56 | 50 47 46 | 44 42 38 | 40 37 35 | $(38)$ 33 32 | 57 49 48 | 45 41 38 |
| Fat (g.) | $\begin{gathered} A \\ C \& D_{1} \end{gathered}$ | 157 146 143 | 123 121 119 | 105 105 103 | 100 96 90 | (96) ${ }^{87}$ ( ${ }^{82}$ | 138 130 127 | 115 109 104 |
| Carbohydrate (g.) . | $\begin{gathered} { }^{A} \\ C * D 1 \end{gathered}$ | 388 398 414 | 326 356 361 | 293 318 324 | 282 306 304 | $(274)$ 300 304 | 353 369 383 | 321 345 352 |
| Calcium (mg.) | $\begin{gathered} A \\ \mathbf{A} \\ C \& \mathbf{D I}_{1} \end{gathered}$ | 1,302 1,215 1,175 | 1,121 1,104 1,084 | 1,034 $\mathbf{1 , 0 2 0}$ $\mathbf{9 6 3}$ | 989 942 917 | $(951)$ 895 887 | 1,184 1,094 1,064 | 1.031 985 953 |
| Iron (mg.) . . | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{A}{\mathbf{B}} \\ \mathrm{C} \& \mathrm{D}_{1} \end{gathered}$ | 18.4 17.4 17.7 | 14.7 14.9 14.5 | 12.7 12.9 12.8 | 11.6 11.8 11.5 | $(11 \cdot 0)$ 11.2 11.2 | 16.6 15.5 16.1 | 13.6 13.7 13.6 |
| Vitamin A (i.u.) | $\begin{gathered} A \\ \mathbf{B}_{\&} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{6}, 700$ 5,390 5,240 | 5,010 4,620 4,440 | 4,350 4,010 4,000 | 4.140 3,680 $\mathbf{3 , 3 3 0}$ | $(3,240)$ 3,020 $\mathbf{2 , 9 8 0}$ | 5,600 4,840 4,710 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,600 \\ & 4,100 \\ & 3,840 \end{aligned}$ |
| Thiamine (mg.) . | $\begin{gathered} A \\ C \&{ }^{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{Dl} \end{gathered}$ | 1.65 1.55 1.56 | 1.30 1.32 1.29 | $1 \cdot 12$ 1.14 $1 \cdot 13$ | 1.02 1.05 1.03 | $(1.03)$ 1.02 1.01 | 1.48 1.38 1.39 | 1.22 1.22 1.19 |
| Riboflavin (mg.) . | $\begin{gathered} A \\ \mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{D} 1 \end{gathered}$ | $2 \cdot 30$ 2.03 $2 \cdot 01$ | 1.87 1.82 1.76 | 1.70 1.63 1.52 | 1.58 1.48 1.43 | $(1.50)$ 1.38 1.33 | 2.03 1.80 1.77 | 1.72 1.60 1.51 |
| Nicotinic acid (mg.) | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{DI} \end{gathered}$ | 19.1 17.6 17.9 | 14.9 14.6 14.1 | 12.4 12.4 12.2 | 10.6 11.4 10.8 | $(10.6)$ 10.6 10.5 | 17.6 15.5 15.8 | 13.5 13.3 12.8 |
| Vitamin C (mg.) | $\begin{gathered} A \\ C \&{ }^{\mathbf{B}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81 \\ & 65 \\ & 63 \end{aligned}$ | 60 58 50 | 53 48 44 | 45 42 37 | $(42)$ 37 34 | 72 57 52 | 55 47 42 |
| Vitamin D (i.u.) | $\begin{gathered} A \\ C_{B}^{B} \text { D1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 170 \\ & 154 \\ & 146 \end{aligned}$ | 132 125 136 | 108 117 120 | 106 118 117 | (106) 108 115 | 147 136 134 | 126 125 132 |

(a) Figures for protein, fat and carbohydrate are based on nutrient equivalents given in The Composition of Foods, by R. A. McCance and E. M. Widdowson (M.R.C. Special Report No. 297; H.M.S.O., 1960). Prior to 1960, these figures were based on nutrient equivalents given in Nutritive Values of Wartime Foods, (M.R.C. War Memorandum No. 14; H.M.S.O., 1945).

The figures in brackets are based on a sample of only 23 households.

Table 34
Households of Different Composition within Social Classes, 1961 : Comparison of Energy Value and Nutrient Content(a) of Household Food Consumption with Allowances based on the British Medical Association's Recommendations
(per cent)

|  | Class | Households with one man and one woman and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | no other (both under 55) | children only |  |  |  | adolescents only | adolescents and children |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |  |  |
| Energy value | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} \& \mathbf{D} 1 \end{gathered}$ | 130 119 115 | 119 115 112 | 107 109 105 | 107 105 100 | (103) 101 99 | 107 106 102 | $\begin{array}{r} 102 \\ 99 \\ 96 \end{array}$ |
| Total protein | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} \text { \& } \mathbf{D 1} \end{gathered}$ | 138 124 118 | 118 111 107 | 103 101 96 | 97 93 90 | $(92)$ 88 87 | 105 98 95 | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \\ & 86 \\ & 83 \end{aligned}$ |
| Calcium | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{A} \\ \text { B } \\ \mathbf{C} \text { \& } 1 \end{gathered}$ | 155 143 134 | 123 116 114 | 108 106 99 | 102 95 92 | $(94)$ 87 86 | 119 112 106 | $\begin{array}{r} 101 \\ 94 \\ 90 \end{array}$ |
| Iron | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{A} \\ \text { C \& D1 } \end{gathered}$ | 151 140 139 | 130 127 124 | 116 117 115 | 111 110 107 | $(104)$ 106 106 | 122 117 117 | 109 108 106 |
| Vitamin A . | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\&} \mathrm{D} 1 \end{gathered}$ | 265 210 198 | 229 202 195 | 212 192 191 | 214 185 166 | $(172)$ 160 156 | 222 196 185 | $\begin{aligned} & 216 \\ & 192 \\ & 178 \end{aligned}$ |
| Thiamine | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{B}} \\ \mathrm{C} \text { \& } \mathrm{Dl} \end{gathered}$ | 167 147 141 | 148 140 133 | 131 130 125 | 125 123 118 | $(125)$ 121 119 | 136 127 122 | 123 118 112 |
| Riboflavin | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{B}}^{8} \\ \mathbf{C} \text { D1 } \end{gathered}$ | 153 126 119 | 138 125 119 | 128 120 109 | 125 112 107 | $(118)$ 107 102 | 123 109 103 | 114 102 94 |
| Nicotinic acid | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{A} \\ \text { C \& } \\ \text { \& } 1 \end{gathered}$ | 193 166 161 | 169 154 146 | 144 141 135 | 130 133 125 | (129) 126 124 | 162 142 139 | 136 128 121 |
| Vitamin C . |  | 387 307 288 | 301 275 239 | 264 241 216 | 234 211 186 | $(213)$ 186 168 | $\begin{array}{r} 294 \\ 239 \\ 209 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 236 \\ & 197 \\ & 174 \end{aligned}$ |

(a) Figures for protein, fat and carbohydrate are based on nutrient equivalents given in The Composition of Foods, by R. A. McCance and E. M. Widdowson (M.R.C. Special Report No. 297; H.M.S.O., 1960). Prior to 1960, these figures were based on nutrient equivalents given in Nutritive Values of Wartime Foods (M.R.C. War Memorandum No. 14; H.M.S.O., 1945).

The percentages in brackets are based on a sample of only 23 households.

## APPENDIX A

## Survey Methods ${ }^{(1)}$ and Composition of the Sample

1. The National Food Survey is a continuous inquiry into the domestic food consumption and expenditure of representative samples of private households in Great Britain. The Survey was initiated in July 1940; no preliminary pilot inquiry was undertaken, but much use was made of the experience of the pre-war surveys carried out by Sir William Crawford and Sir Herbert Broadley ${ }^{(2)}$ and by the Carnegie United Kingdom Trust ${ }^{(3)}$. Until January 1950, the main survey was confined to urban working-class households, but thereafter it was extended to all classes and to all parts of Great Britain except the crofting counties of Scotland.
2. Each household which participates in the Survey does so voluntarily, and without payment, for a period of one week. By constant replacement of the sample, information is obtained continuously throughout the year except for a short break at Christmas. Since the object of the Survey is to determine what families, rather than individuals, consume, the informant is the housewife, who, as the family caterer, is responsible for buying food, or obtaining it from garden or farm. Each household is visited by a fieldworker who seeks the housewife's co-operation in the Survey and asks her to provide particulars of the composition of the household. If the housewife agrees to co-operate, the fieldworker, at this first interview, supplies her with a specially designed log-book in which she is asked to keep a record of the description, quantity and cost of all food which enters the household on that and the next six days. The information which the housewife is asked to provide must be within her knowledge. Thus the Survey excludes those items which other members of the family often purchase for themselves, such as chocolates and sugar confectionery, soft drinks and alcoholic drinks, and also ice-cream and fish and chips if obtained to eat outside the home. It further excludes vitamin preparations, the consumption of which by one or more members of the family might distort the general impression of the nutritional value of the family's food. The housewife is asked to give particulars of the number and type of meals obtained and consumed outside the house by each member of the family, but not of the cost or composition of such meals; she is also asked to record the quantity of milk supplied to her children under the School Milk Scheme. At a second visit, the interviewer clears up any difficulties which have arisen, and at the final visit, when the log-book is collected, she obtains if possible certain relevant supplementary data such as the income of the head of the household and of the family. The information obtained from individual housewives is strictly confidential.
[^17]
## Selection of the Sample

3. The National Food Survey sample in 1961 was selected as in previous years by means of a three-stage stratified random sampling scheme. The sampling frame covered the whole of Great Britain, except that the exclusion of the crofting counties of Scotland reduced the number of parliamentary constituencies from 618 to 612 . The first stage involved the selection of constituencies; the second, the selection of polling districts within the chosen constituencies, and the third, the selection of households within these polling districts.
4. The parliamentary constituencies were first stratified according to region and degree of urbanization and were then further classified as follows:-

## Wholly urban constituencies in England and Wales

By the "juror index", i.e. the proportion of the electorate qualified for jury service ${ }^{(1)}$; the constituencies with a high proportion of such persons being listed first.

## Wholly urban constituencies in Scotland

Since no "juror index" was available, by the rateable value (other than industrial and freight transport) per head of population; the constituencies with a high rateable value per person being listed first.

## Mixed urban and rural constituencies

By the proportion of population living in rural districts (the "percentage rural"), those with a high proportion being listed first. Following this stratification, 50 constituencies ${ }^{(2)}$ were selected at the first stage; these are listed in Table 1.
5. Four polling districts per quarter were then chosen from each constituency, with probability proportional to the size of the electorate, so that the chances of any particular household appearing are approximately equalized. In wholly urban constituencies in England and Wales, polling districts were stratified by the juror index, already used at the first stage. In mixed urban and rural constituencies, the percentage rural, used at the first stage, determined how many of the four polling districts should be rural; the urban and rural polling districts of the constituency were then stratified separately by the juror index. In Scotland, polling districts were selected at random, since there, no economic indicator was readily available for polling districts. In some of the more sparsely populated constituencies, it was necessary to take more than four polling districts per quarter, making a total of 898 districts for the year.
6. Finally, approximately 17,000 addresses were selected from the electoral registers of the 898 polling districts, about 85 from each constituency per quarter. Of these addresses, 16,255 were actually visited and were found to contain a total of 16,282 households, of whom 12,912 ( 79 per cent) agreed to keep a record book. 3,716 books ( 23 per cent) were either not completed or were rejected at the editing stage, giving an effective sample of 9,196 and a net response rate of 56 per cent, compared with 57 per cent in 1959 and 1960. In the

[^18]first half of the year, 1,909 households answered questions at the first interview but did not complete a log-book. In respect of social class, household composition and geographical distribution, these partial non-respondents were closely similar to the 4,619 who participated fully during the same period, and on average they gave almost the same answers when asked to recall how much they had spent on food during the preceding week. These results give some support to the representativeness of the effective Survey sample in spite of its comparatively low response rate. (Interviewers are not permitted to substitute another household for one which is not contacted for any reason, or which refuses to participate.)
7. Interviews were made in half the constituencies alternately for periods of three weeks, during which two polling districts within each of these constituencies were sampled for ten days each. A polling district was worked for only one ten-day period at a time. The selected polling districts in a constituency were surveyed systematically so that the sample covered, even in a shorter period than a quarter, should approximate as closely as possible to a representative sample of the whole.

## Information recorded by housewives

8. The log-book contains two pages for each day of the Survey week. On one page are entered the description, quantity and cost of all items of food bought for the household supply; food obtained from an employer, free of payment, is recorded when it enters the household, but free food from any garden or allotment or from a farm or other business owned by a member of the household is recorded only at the time it is consumed. To avoid double counting, gifts of food received from another household in Great Britain are not recorded if they have been purchased by the donating household. On each facing page are entered particulars of the persons present at each meal and of the foods served, so that it is possible over the week to make an approximate check between the food entering the house and the meals provided. Detailed records are not now obtained of changes in larder stocks between the beginning and end of the Survey week, since such recording has been found to involve so much time and trouble as to affect the response rate adversely, to distort the normal pattern of consumption (though not its total volume) and to depress the normal food expenditure by drawing the housewife's attention to her existing stocks; these she thereupon tends to use instead of food which she would otherwise have purchased during the week. The weighing and recording of larder stocks was therefore discontinued in June 1951, with a resulting improvement in Survey results except those for elderly women living alone, ${ }^{(1)}$ who now tend to increase their stocks of certain storable foods, particularly sugar and flour, during the Survey week. There is some evidence that, at least for sugar, this change in their normal buying habits is confined to the first two shopping days of the Survey week. Comparison of Survey results obtained before and after the change of technique provides no evidence that this source of bias extends to other groups: changes in the national averages are consistent with corresponding changes in estimates of food supplies moving into consumption.
9. The Survey thus records the quantity of food entering the household, not the amount actually consumed. Averaged over a sufficiently large number of
${ }^{(1)}$ Cf. Domestic Food Consumption and Expenditure: 1959, paragraph 58. H.M.S.O., 1961.
households, the average quantity obtained will, however, agree with the average quantity consumed (in the widest sense, including the quantity wasted or fed to pets) provided there is no general accumulation or depletion of larder stocks. Such a general change in larder stocks is possible in the short run, or seasonally, but is very unlikely over a longer period of time.

## Nutritional Analysis of Survey Results

10. The energy value and nutrient content of the recorded quantities of food are evaluated using tables of food composition ${ }^{(1)}$ which make automatic allowance for the presence of inedible material such as bones, the skins of fruits and vegetables and the outside leaves of such vegetables as cabbage ${ }^{(2)}$, but not for losses of edible material. Of necessity, the Survey classification of foods must be confined to some 128 categories, to almost all of which separate nutrient conversion factors are applied. These are specially compiled for use in the National Food Survey and are, so far as possible, modified annually to keep them up-to-date. With so limited a number of categories the nutrient analysis for many of them must be weighted according to the best information available, to take account, for example, of the various cuts of meat, measured together as "carcase meat--beef and veal". In addition to making allowance for inedible waste, allowance is also made in the conversion factors for seasonal changes in the energy and nutrient content of certain foods, and for losses of vitamin $\mathbf{C}$ and thiamine in cooking; thiamine is reduced by 15 per cent, the vitamin $\mathbf{C}$ contributions from green vegetables are reduced by 75 per cent, and those from other vegetables by 50 per cent.
11. The estimates, thus obtained, of the energy value and nutrient content of food obtained for consumption are then compared with estimates of nutritional requirements in order to assess the adequacy of the average diet, adjustments being made for meals taken outside the home (see paragraph 12), and on the assumption that 10 per cent ${ }^{(3)}$ of all foods, and hence of all nutrients available for consumption, is not ingested, but is lost through wastage or spoilage in the kitchen or on the plate or is given to domestic pets. The precision with which the adequacy can be estimated depends on the accuracy of the scales of allowances used, and the exactitiude with which these can be applied. The log-book records the sex and age of members of the household and the occupation of working members. From this information an assessment of requirements of calories, protein, calcium, iron and some vitamins, using as a basis the recommendations of the Committee on Nutrition of the British Medical Association (1950), (Table 9), is made on the assumption that occupation determines activity. No adjustment is made, except in old age, for the decrease in activity of adults with increasing age, nor for variations in body weight.
12. Since the main purpose of the Survey is to study the pattern of the diet in the home, its records relate to quantities of food obtained for consumption

[^19]in the home, which are expressed "per person per week"; a "person" was until 1960 defined as an individual eating at least sixteen meals (of a possible twentyeight), and in 1961 at least half of his or her meals, at home during the Survey week, the meals being weighted as in the table below; anyone eating fewer is a "visitor". In comparing this estimate of consumption with an estimate of nutritional need, the nutrient requirements of the household are adjusted to allow for visitors' consumption and for outside consumption by members of the household. It is assumed that the normal meal pattern is that of four meals (breakfast, dinner, tea and supper) each day. A person having all his meals at home during the week is said to have a net balance of 1.00 . When meals are eaten away from home ${ }^{(1)}$, the meal allowances in the table below (which were changed in January 1960) are deducted from 1-00 to give a "net balance" of meals eaten at home by that person. Meals eaten by visitors are given the same weights and are added to the household total, so that a visitor's meal cancels a corresponding meal taken out by a similar person. In 1960, the weight given to breakfast (which is usually taken at home) was reduced, while that for mid-day dinner, which is the meal most commonly taken away from home, was increased; as a result, the average net balance was slightly lower in 1960 and 1961 than in preceding years ${ }^{(2)}$. Nutritional requirements are calculated by reference to the net balance for each person. Thus, if it is assumed that the nutritional value of similar meals eaten at home and elsewhere is the same, it can be said that the nutritional value of food obtained for consumption at home is being related to the nutritional needs of the members of the household when they eat at home; the remainder of their nutritional needs is assumed to be met elsewhere.

Weighting of Meals for the Calculation of Net Balance

(a) These weights are interchangeable, whichever meal is the larger; if only one evening meal is taken, the two weights are combined.

## Reconciliation of Nutritional Results

13. The energy requirement of the British population, calculated according to the recommendations of the British Medical Association, is about 2,400 Calories per day at the physiological level if allowance is made for different degrees of activity in adults. As the total supplies of food available in recent years have been equivalent to more than 3,100 Calories per head per day, this implies that wastage (including food fed to animals) was of the order of 700 Calories per

[^20]head per day, or more than one-fifth of the food supply. These large gaps between supplies and physiological requirements cannot yet be satisfactorily explained, but their occurrence in all well-developed countries is confirmed by comparisons between estimates of the calorie value of food supplies in F.A.O. Food Balance Sheets and of calorie requirements according to F.A.O. recommendations. In the United Kingdom the gap between the total supply estimates at the retail level and domestic consumption recorded by the Survey can be bridged ${ }^{(1)}$. That between either of these estimates of food consumption and estimated physiological requirements cannot, unless the wastage actually occurring is much greater than has yet been assumed.

## Composition of the Sample in 1961

14. The numbers of households surveyed in each quarter of 1961 are given in Table 2: the number of persons per household was slightly greater in 1961 than in 1960 (3.22 compared with 3.12). The increase was greatest in provincial conurbations. The mean household size was again greatest in semi-rural and rural areas ( 3.39 and 3.34 respectively) but in 1961 it was least in the smaller towns (3•11); in previous years it had been leaist in London.
15. The distribution of the sample according to household composition within each social class (Table 3) shows that family households with two children were more numerous than those with one child in both Classes A2 and B. There was also an absolute and relative increase in the representation of the largest families with four or more children in Class C, which had been unusually low in 1960. As usual, the average number of children per household was greatest in Classes A2 and B (1.03 and $1 \cdot 12$ respectively) and the number of adolescents was greatest $(0 \cdot 34)$ in Class A1. The income ranges used to define social classes in each year since 1956 are shown below, together with the proportions of households in each class. The intended proportions were:-

Class A1, $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent; A2, $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent; $B$ and $C$ each 35 per cent; $D$, 20 per cent.

Income Ranges used to define Social Classes, 1956-61

|  |  | Gross weekly income of head of household(a) |  |  |  |  | Percentage of households in sarople |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1956 | 1957 | 1958-9 | 1960 | 1961 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Class: } \\ & \mathbf{A}: \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Al 1 |  | £27 | £30 | ${ }_{5} 132$ | £34 | $£ 36$ | 2.9 | $2 \cdot 6$ | 2.5 | $3 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 4$ | $2 \cdot 2$ |
|  |  | or more | or more | or more | or more | or more |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A2 |  | £16 and under | 18 and under | £19 and | £20 and under | f21 and under | $10 \cdot 1$ | $7 \cdot 7$ | $6 \cdot 6$ | $8 \cdot 4$ | $7 \cdot 6$ | $8 \cdot 7$ |
|  |  | $\underline{¢ 27}$ | ¢ $£ 30$ | ¢132 | ${ }_{5} 514$ | $\mathrm{El36}^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B | - | £10 | f10 10s. | f1110s. | E12 | $\mathrm{fl}_{\substack{\text { and } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { ces }}}$ | $37 \cdot 5$ | $38 \cdot 1$ | $34 \cdot 3$ | $35 \cdot 0$ | $38 \cdot 5$ | 41-8 |
|  |  | under | under | under | under | under |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C (b) | - | $\begin{gathered} \text { £16 } \\ \text { £ } 610 \text {. } \end{gathered}$ | ¢18 <br> ¢ <br> 1 |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }} \mathbf{8 1}$ | £8100. | $33 \cdot 1$ | $32 \cdot 8$ | $38 \cdot 2$ | $35 \cdot 5$ | 32.4 | $28 \cdot 6$ |
|  |  | and under | and under | and under | and under | and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | U10 | fi0 10 s. | Ell 10 s. | $\pm 12$ | £12 10a. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D (b) (c) | - | Under £6 10s. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & £ 7 \end{aligned}$ | Under <br> £7 10s. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & £ 8 \end{aligned}$ | Under £8 10s. | $16 \cdot 5$ | 18.9 | $18 \cdot 4$ | 18.0 | $19 \cdot 2$ | $18 \cdot 7$ |

(a) Or of the principal earner if the gross weekly income of the head of the household was below the upper limit for Class D.
(b) Adult male agricultural workers have been included in Class $\mathbf{C}$ (or a higher class if appropriate) throughout the period, even though their statutory minimum weekly wage rate has sometimes been slightly below the lower imit for Class $\mathbf{C}$.
(c) Sub-divided into D1 (with earners), D2 (without earners), and old age pensioner households.
${ }^{(1)}$ D. F. Hollingsworth and A. H. J. Baines, Family Living Studies, pp. 120-138. International Labour Office, Geneva, 1961.
16. The age and sex distribution of persons in the sample within each social class (Table 4) indicates that there were rather fewer sedentary men in Class A1 than in 1960 and more who were active. About two-thirds of persons of pensionable age were women. The analysis in Table 5 reveals some improvement in the representativeness of the sample compared with previous years: the underrepresentation of larger towns outside conurbations was almost completely corrected, and there was a fairly close agreement between the regional distribution of the Survey sample and the populations recorded in the Census of 1961. The only marked departures were the over-representation of Scotland and the under-representation of the South-Eastern and Southern counties. The average household size was smallest in the South-east and South ( 3.05 persons) and largest, as hitherto, in Scotland (3•50).
17. The age and sex distribution of persons in the samples from each region and type of area is given in Table 6; for the first time, the proportion of sedentary men in the sample was greater in the South-East and South ( 12.8 per cent) than in London ( 12.6 per cent) which, as before, had the smallest proportion of active or very active men ( 2.0 per cent). Elderly men, and also active or very active men, were again relatively most numerous in the rural sample; the proportion of elderly women was greatest in Wales, and of non-sedentary women in London.
18. Table 7 shows that households in Class A, and especially in Class A1, were much more numerous in London than elsewhere. Two-thirds of the households in London were in Classes A and B, but only two-fifths of those in rural areas, where Class $\mathbf{C}$ households were, as usual, relatively most numerous.
19. The inverse relationship between the number of earners per household and the income of the head of the household is again apparent in Table 8; the exception in Class D1 is explained by the smaller number of adults per household in this group, of whom a relatively large proportion were elderly. Within each social class there is a similar inverse relationship between the number of earners and the number of children in the family. There were as usual most earners in households containing adolescents, especially where there were no children.

Table 1
Constituencies Surveyed in 1961

| Region(a) | Constituency* | Region(a) | Constituency* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Northern | Carlisle <br> Darlington <br> $\ddagger$ Sedgefield (Durham) | Eastern | $\ddagger$ Colchester (Essex) <br> Norwich North <br> $\ddagger$ Saffron Walden (Essex) |
| East and West <br> Ridings | $\ddagger$ Goole (Yorkshire, West <br> Riding) <br> $\dagger$ Halifax <br> Sheffield, Brightside <br> York |  |  |

* County constituencies are followed by the name of the county in brackets; the rest are borough constituencies. Constituencies marked $\dagger$ are wholly or partly within conurbations (i.e. the largest areas of continuous urban development as defined by the Registrars-General). Those marked $\ddagger$ contain rural districts.
(a) These are the standard regions as defined by the Registrar-General, except that the London conurbation has been treated separately and the remainder of the London and SouthEastern region has been combined with the Southern region, giving 11 regions, as defined below.


## NORTHERN

Cumberland; Durham; Northumberland; Westmorland, and the North Riding of Yorkshire.

## bast and west ridings

The East and West Ridings of Yorkshire, and the City of York.
NORTH WESTERN
Cheshire; Derbyshire, part (those areas not included in the North Midland Region), and Lancashire.

NORTH MIDLAND
Derbyshire (all except Buxton M.B., Glossop M.B., New Mills U.D., Whaley Bridge U.D. and Chapel en le Frith R.D., which are included in the North Western region); Leicestershire; Lincolnshire; Northamptonshire (including the Soke of Peterborough); Nottinghamshire and Rutand.

## MIDLAND

Herefordshire; Shropshire; Staffordshire; Warwickshire, and Worcestershire.

## LONDON (conurbation)

London (whole county); Middlesex (whole county); Essex, part (county boroughs of East Ham and West Ham, municipal boroughs of Barking, Chingford, Dagenham, Ilford, Leyton, Walthamstow, and Wanstead and Woodford, and the urban districts of Chigwell and Waltham Holy Cross); Hertfordshire, part (urban districts of Barnet, Bushey, Cheshunt and East Barnet, and the rural district of Elstree); Kent, part (municipal boroughs of Beckenham, Bexley, Bromley, and Erith, and the urban districts of Chislehurst and Sidcup, Crayford, Orpington and Penge); Surrey, part (county borough of Croydon, municipal boroughs of Barnes, Beddington and Wallington, Epsom and Ewell, Kingston-upon-Thames, Malden and Coombe, Mitcham, Richmond, Surbiton, Sutton and Cheam, and Wimbledon, and the urban districts of Banstead, Carshalton, Coulsdon and Purley, Esher, and Merton and Morden).

## EASTERN

Bedfordshire; Cambridgeshire (including the Isle of Ely); Essex (except those areas included in the London conurbation); Hertfordshire (except those areas included in the London conurbation); Huntingdonshire; Norfolk, and Suffolk.

SOUTH EASTERN AND SOUTHERN
Berkshire; Buckinghamshire; Dorset, part (Poole M.B. only); Hampshire (including the Isle of Wight); Oxfordshire; Kent (except those areas included in the London conurbation); Surrey (except those areas included in the London conurbation), and Sussex.
sOUTH WESTERN
Cornwall (including the Isles of Scilly); Devon; Dorset (all except Poole M.B.); Gloucestershire; Somerset, and Wiltshire.

## wales

The whole of Wales and Monmouthshire.
SCOTLAND
The whole of Scotland.

Table 2
Composition of the Sample, 1961



Table 4
Age and Sex Distribution of Persons in Households
of Different Social Class, 1961
(per cent)

|  | All households | Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A1 | A2 | B | C | $\begin{gathered} \text { D1 } \\ \text { (with } \\ \text { earners) } \end{gathered}$ | D2 (without carners) | O.A.P. |
| Men, 21-64: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sedentary | $10 \cdot 2$ | 21.6 | 18.4 | 10.8 | $7 \cdot 2$ | 11.4 | $8 \cdot 7$ | $0 \cdot 8$ |
| Moderately active . | $11 \cdot 7$ | $1 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 0$ | 13.8 | 14.5 | 3.9 | - |  |
| Active or very active | $4 \cdot 4$ | $3 \cdot 3$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | $4 \cdot 0$ | 6.9 | $2 \cdot 0$ | - |  |
| Men, 65 and over | $3 \cdot 8$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | $1 \cdot 6$ | $1 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | $6 \cdot 4$ | 16.8 | 30-5 |
| Women, 21-59: Sedentary | 16.9 | 24.4 | $20 \cdot 6$ | 17.8 | 15.8 | $15 \cdot 3$ | 22.5 | $3 \cdot 2$ |
| Moderately active | 7.9 | 3.9 | 6.6 | 7.9 | 9.2 | $14 \cdot 5$ | - | - |
| Active or pregnant | $1 \cdot 6$ | $1 \cdot 3$ | 1.5 | $1 \cdot 5$ | $2 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 2$ | $0 \cdot 2$ | - |
| Women, 60 and over | $8 \cdot 1$ | $5 \cdot 7$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 7$ | $5 \cdot 7$ | 13.8 | $37 \cdot 3$ | $64 \cdot 1$ |
| Adolescents and children: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-20 male | $3 \cdot 8$ | 5.6 | $3 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 7$ | $4 \cdot 3$ | $5 \cdot 2$ | 0.2 | - |
| 15-20 female | $4 \cdot 1$ | $4 \cdot 4$ | $4 \cdot 5$ | $4 \cdot 1$ | $4 \cdot 2$ | $6 \cdot 9$ | 0.9 | $0 \cdot 1$ |
| 5-14 | 17.5 | $19 \cdot 1$ | 19.7 | 19.1 | $17 \cdot 6$ | $14 \cdot 2$ | 9.9 | $1 \cdot 1$ |
| 1-4 | $8 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 3$ | $8 \cdot 2$ | 9.8 | 7.6 | $4 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 3$ | $0 \cdot 1$ |
| Under 1. | $2 \cdot 0$ | $1 \cdot 1$ | 1.9 | $2 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 1$ | 1.5 | $0 \cdot 4$ | $0 \cdot 1$ |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |


| Composition of the Sample: Analysis by Region and Type of Area, 1961 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. of households | No. of persons | Average No. of persons per household | Percentage of all households | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { of all } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Population of area as percentage of total population of Great Britain (based on Census of Population, 1961) |
| Wales | . | 537 | 1,737 | $3 \cdot 23$ | $5 \cdot 8$ | 5.9 | $5 \cdot 2$ |
| Scotland . . . | . | 1,021 | 3,574 | $3 \cdot 50$ | 11.1 | 12.1 | $10 \cdot 1$ |
| Northern ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | . - | - 557 | 1,770 | 3.18 | $6 \cdot 1$ | 6.0 | $6 \cdot 3$ |
| East and West Ridings | . . | 734 | 2,291 | $3 \cdot 12$ | $8 \cdot 0$ | $7 \cdot 7$ | $8 \cdot 1$ |
| North Western . . | . . | 1,200 | 3,889 | $3 \cdot 24$ | $13 \cdot 0$ | $13 \cdot 1$ | $12 \cdot 8$ |
| North Midland | . . | 561 | 1,826 | $3 \cdot 25$ | 6.1 | $6 \cdot 2$ | $7 \cdot 1$ |
| Eastern | . . | 582 | 1,785 | 3.07 | $6 \cdot 3$ | $6 \cdot 0$ | $7 \cdot 3$ |
| Midland . . . | . . | 925 | 2,974 | $3 \cdot 22$ | $10 \cdot 1$ | $10 \cdot 0$ | $9 \cdot 3$ |
| South Western . . | . . | 610 | 2,056 | $3 \cdot 37$ | $6 \cdot 6$ | $6 \cdot 9$ | $6 \cdot 6$ |
| South Eastern and Southern | . | 939 | 2,863 | $3 \cdot 05$ | $10 \cdot 2$ | $9 \cdot 7$ | 11.2 |
| London . . . | . . | 1,530 | 4,864 | $3 \cdot 18$ | $16 \cdot 6$ | 16.4 | 15.9 |
| All households | . . | 9,196 | 29,629 | $3 \cdot 22$ | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| London conurbation . | . . | 1,530 | 4,864 | 3.18 | $16 \cdot 6$ | 16.4 | 15.9 |
| Provincial conurbations: | $\cdots$. | 1,682 | 5,592 | 3. 32 | $18 \cdot 3$ | $18 \cdot 9$ | $20 \cdot 5$ |
| Other urban: Larger towns. | $\cdots$. | 2,283 | 7,210 | $3 \cdot 16$ | $24 \cdot 8$ | $24 \cdot 3$ | 25.0 |
| Smaller towns | . | 1,970 | 6,122 | 3.11 | 21.4 | $20 \cdot 7$ | 18.2 |
| Semi-rural . . . . | , . | 1,257 | 4,259 | $3 \cdot 39$ | $13 \cdot 7$ | 14.4 | $15 \cdot 6$ |
| Rural . . . . | $\cdots$. | 474 | 1,582 | $3 \cdot 34$ | $5 \cdot 2$ | $5 \cdot 3$ | 4.8 |
| All households . . | - . | 9,196 | 29,629 | $3 \cdot 22$ | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Table 6


Table 7
Social Class Distribution of Urban and Rural Samples, 1961
(per cent)

|  | All households | Conurbations |  | Other urban areas |  | Semirural areas | Rural areas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | London | Provincial | Larger towns | Smaller towns |  |  |
|  | Proportion of households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A1 | $2 \cdot 2$ | $4 \cdot 2$ | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 2.9 | $2 \cdot 1$ |
| A2 | 8.7 | $12 \cdot 3$ | $8 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 7$ | $8 \cdot 2$ | $7 \cdot 8$ | 7.0 |
| B | 41.8 | $50 \cdot 1$ | $39 \cdot 4$ | $43 \cdot 8$ | $37 \cdot 3$ | $43 \cdot 8$ | 27.6 |
| C | $28 \cdot 6$ | $20 \cdot 2$ | 31.0 | 27.4 | 31.7 | 28.4 | 39.9 |
| D1 (with earners). | $6 \cdot 3$ | 3.9 | 7.9 | $6 \cdot 2$ | 6.9 | $5 \cdot 8$ | 7.0 |
| D2 (without earners) | 2.6 | $2 \cdot 1$ | 1.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 8.9 | 3.6 12.9 |
| O.A.P. . . | 9.8 | $7 \cdot 2$ | $9 \cdot 6$ | $10 \cdot 6$ | 11.3 | $8 \cdot 5$ | 12.9 |
| All | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| No. of households | 9,196 | 1,530 | 1,682 | 2,283 | 1,970 | 1,257 | 474 |
|  | Proportion of persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A1 | $2 \cdot 4$ | 4.5 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.7 | $3 \cdot 3$ | $2 \cdot 2$ |
| A2 | $19 \cdot 3$ | 13.4 | $9 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 8$ | $8 \cdot 6$ | $8 \cdot 5$ | $8 \cdot 3$ |
| B | $46 \cdot 5$ | $54 \cdot 4$ | $43 \cdot 9$ | 49.6 | $42 \cdot 5$ | $47 \cdot 7$ | $30 \cdot 7$ |
| C : | $30 \cdot 5$ | $20 \cdot 2$ | 33.2 | 29.1 | $34 \cdot 5$ | $30 \cdot 3$ | $43 \cdot 8$ |
| D1 (with earners). | $5 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 9$ | $6 \cdot 7$ | $5 \cdot 2$ | $5 \cdot 6$ | $4 \cdot 6$ | $6 \cdot 3$ |
| D2 (without earners) | $1 \cdot 5$ | $1 \cdot 1$ | 0.9 | $2 \cdot 0$ | 1.7 | $1 \cdot 7$ | $2 \cdot 1$ |
| O.A.P. . . | $4 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | $4 \cdot 2$ | $4 \cdot 9$ | $5 \cdot 4$ | $4 \cdot 1$ | $6 \cdot 5$ |
| All | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| No. of persons | 29,629 | 4,864 | 5,592 | 7,210 | 6,122 | 4,259 | 1,582 |

Table 8
Average Number of Earners per Household: Analysis by Social Class and Family Composition, 1961

|  | $\underset{\text { All }}{\text { households }}$ | Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A |  |  | B | C | D |  |  |
|  |  | A1 | A2 | All |  |  | excluding O.A.P. |  | O.A.P. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { with } \\ \text { earners } \\ \text { (D1) } \end{gathered}$ | without carners (D2) |  |
| Households of one man and one woman and: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No other (both under 55) | 1.59 1.27 | 1.30 1.23 | 1.52 1.21 | 1.48 1.28 1 | 1.61 1.28 1 | 1.65 1.30 1 | 1.36 1.31 1 | 二 | 二 |
| 2 children. | 1.21 | 1.05 | ${ }_{1} \cdot 11$ | 1.11 | 1.28 1.21 | 1.28 1.28 | 1.31 1.20 | - |  |
| 3 children : | $1 \cdot 16$ | 1.00 | $1 \cdot 10$ | 1.09 | 1.15 | $1 \cdot 20$ | 1.27 | - | - |
| 4 or more children. | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.15 | 1.13 | $1 \cdot 13$ | 1.21 | 1.00 | - |  |
| Adolescents only Adolescents and children | 2.38 2.33 | 1.80 1.59 | 2.20 1.99 | 2.08 1.91 | 2.46 <br> 2.25 <br> 1 | 2.45 2.62 1 | 2.21 2.48 | - |  |
| No other (one or both 55 or over) | 0.80 | 0.92 | 1.04 | 1.01 | 1.15 | ${ }_{1}^{2.62}$ | - ${ }_{1}$ | - | 0.05 |
| Other households with: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adults only | 1.04 | 1.33 | 1.62 | 1.55 | 1.82 | 1.62 | $1 \cdot 14$ | - | 0.03 |
| Adolescents but no children | $2 \cdot 66$ | 2.35 | 2.38 | 2.37 | 2.86 | 2.89 | 2.02 |  |  |
| Children . . . | 1.99 | 1.66 | 1.63 | 1.64 | $2 \cdot 10$ | $2 \cdot 23$ | 1.64 | - |  |
| All households | 1.43 | 1.41 | $1 \cdot 49$ | 1.48 | 1.65 | 1.71 | 1.44 | - | 0.03 |



## APPENDIX B

## Tables of Consumption, Expenditure and Prices

Table 1
Domestic Food Expenditure, 1961, All Households
(pence per person per week)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table l-continued

Table 1-continued
(pence per person per week)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1st } \\ \text { Quarter } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { Quarter }}{\text { 2nd }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3rd } \\ \text { Quarter } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{4 \text { th }}{\text { Quarter }}$ | Yearly average | Percentage of all households purchasing each type of food during Survey week |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUGAR AND PRESERVES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sugar . | $9 \cdot 14$ | $9 \cdot 32$ | $9 \cdot 34$ | 9.08 | 9.22 | 88 |
| Jams, jellies and fruit curds | 1.90 | $2 \cdot 01$ | 1.78 | 1.83 | 1.88 | 26 |
| Marmalade . | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.06 | 1.13 | 1.06 | 18 |
| Syrup, treacle and honey | $0 \cdot 62$ | 0.57 | 0.53 | 0.66 | $0 \cdot 60$ | 8 |
| Total Sugar and Preserves | 12.69 | 12.93 | 12.71 | 12.70 | 12.76 |  |
| vegetables: <br> Old potatoes ( 1960 crop) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not pre-packed . | 9.03 | 4.89 | 0.03 | - | $3 \cdot 49$ | 29 (a) |
| Pre-packed 10. | $1 \cdot 82$ | 0.85 | - | - | $0 \cdot 67$ | 6 (a) |
| Old potatoes (1961 crop) (c) |  |  |  | $10 \cdot 13$ | 3.43 |  |
| Not pre-packed Pre-packed | - | - | 3.60 0.20 | $10 \cdot 13$ 1.45 | 3.43 0.41 | 24 3 |
| New potatoes (c) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not pre-packed | 0.73 | 8.88 | 7.34 | - | 4.24 | 30 (a) |
| Pre-packed |  | 0.10 | $0 \cdot 16$ | - 51 | 0.06 | 1 (a) |
| Chips | 1.08 | 1.44 | 1.42 | 1.51 | 1.36 | 23 (b) |
| Crisps | $0 \cdot 36$ | $0 \cdot 37$ | 0.48 | $0 \cdot 39$ | $0 \cdot 40$ | 8 |
| Total Potatoes | 13.02 | 16.53 | $13 \cdot 24$ | 13.48 | 14.07 |  |
| Cabbages | 1.62 | 2.57 | 1.56 | 1.32 | 1.77 | 38 (a) |
| Brussels sprouts | $1 \cdot 84$ | 0.12 | $0 \cdot 26$ | $2 \cdot 20$ | $1 \cdot 10$ | 19 (a) (b) |
| Cauliflower | 1.67 | 1.85 | $1 \cdot 24$ | 1.16 | 1.48 | 26 (a) |
| Leafy salads | 1.43 | $\mathbf{2 . 7 7}$ | 1.74 | 0.72 | 1.66 | 37 (a) |
| Peas, fresh . | . 39 | 0.39 | 1.96 | 0.03 | $0 \cdot 60$ | 8 (a) |
| Peas, quick-frozen | 1.39 | 1.78 | 0.88 | 1.26 | 1.33 0.49 | 16 (a) |
| Beans, fresh . | 0.29 | 0.10 | 1.71 | 0.15 | 0.49 | 7 (a) |
| Beans, quick-frozen . | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.14 | $0 \cdot 18$ | $0 \cdot 24$ | 4 (a) |
| Other fresh green vegetables | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 1 (b) |
| Total Fresh Green Vegetables | $8 \cdot 32$ | 10.07 | 9.54 | 7.07 | 8.75 |  |
| Carrots | 1.12 | 0.96 | 1.05 | $1 \cdot 29$ | $1 \cdot 10$ | 39 (a) |
| Other root vegetables | 0.75 | 0.47 | 0.55 | 0.86 | $0 \cdot 66$ | n.a. |
| Onions, shallots, etc. | 1.47 | 1.50 | $1 \cdot 32$ | 1.31 | $1 \cdot 40$ | 45 (a) |
| Miscellaneous fresh vegetables | 1.26 | $2 \cdot 56$ | $2 \cdot 24$ | 1.69 | 1.94 | 31 (a) (b) |
| Dried pulses | 0.66 | 0.56 | $0 \cdot 40$ | $0 \cdot 66$ | 0.57 | 13 (a) |
| Canned peas | 2.89 | 3.08 | $2 \cdot 21$ | 2.45 | $2 \cdot 66$ | 47 (a) |
| Canned beans . . | $2 \cdot 53$ | 2. 23 | 2.13 | 2.43 | $2 \cdot 33$ | 44 (a) |
| Other canned vegetables | 0.45 | 0. 59 | 0. 58 | 0.53 | 0.54 | 10 (a) |
| Vegetable products | $0 \cdot 36$ | $0 \cdot 26$ | $0 \cdot 22$ | $0 \cdot 34$ | $0 \cdot 30$ | 5 (b) |
| Total Other Vegetables | 11.49 | 12.21 | 10.70 | 11.56 | 11.50 |  |
| Total Vegetables | 32-83 | 38.81 | 33.48 | 32.11 | 34-32 |  |

Table 1-continued (pence per person per week)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1st } \\ \text { Quarter } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2nd } \\ & \text { Quarter } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3rd } \\ \text { Quarter } \end{gathered}$ | Quarter | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yearly } \\ \text { average } \end{gathered}$ | Percentage households purchasing of food during Survey week |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| frufr: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oranges | 3.42 | 2.83 | 1.87 | 1.72 | 2.46 | 33 (a) |
| Other citrus fruit | 0.90 | 0.69 | 0.75 | 0.79 | 0.78 | 13 (a) |
| Apples | 4.75 0.53 0.0 | 5.15 0.62 | 4.87 1.00 | 5.86 0.60 0.8 | 5.16 0.69 | $54(a)$ 10 (a) |
| Pears <br> Stone fruit | 0.53 0.07 | 0.62 0.41 | 1.00 2.22 | 0.60 0.06 | 0.69 0.69 | 10(a) |
| Stone fruit <br> Soft fruit (including quickfrozen) | 0.46 | 1.75 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.46 | 1.75 | 1.81 | ${ }^{0} \cdot 71$ | 1.18 | n7 |
| Other fresh fruit | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.45 | 0.21 0.22 | ( 3.29 |  |
| Tomatoes | 3.93 | 9.11 | 8.55 | 4.04 | $6 \cdot 41$ | 66 (a) |
| Total Fresh Fruit | 17.59 | 24.72 | 25.29 | 17.21 | 21.20 |  |
| Other fruit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomatoes, canned and bottled | 0.77 | 0.70 | 0.46 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 12 (a) |
| Canned peaches, pears and pineapples | 2.93 | $3 \cdot 30$ | 3.76 | 3.05 | $3 \cdot 26$ | 35 |
| Other canned and bottled |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| fruit | 2.28 | 2.68 | 2.87 | 2.63 | 2.62 | 30 |
| Dried vine fruit | 0.63 | 0.78 | 0.79 | 1.26 | 0.86 | 13 (a) |
| Other dried fruit | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.37 | 0.25 |  |
| Nuts and fruit and nut products | 0.48 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 1.62 | 0.70 | 9 |
| Fruit juices | 1.02 | 0.83 | 0.93 | 1.05 | 0.96 | 9 |
| Welfare orange juice | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.09 | $0 \cdot 07$ | 0.07 | 1 |
| Total Other Fruit and Fruit Products | 8.42 | 8.89 | 9.43 | 10.65 | 9.35 |  |
| Total Fruit | 26.01 | 33.61 | 34.72 | 27.86 | $30 \cdot 55$ |  |
| cereals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brown bread, unwrapped | 0.81 | 0.88 | 0.83 | 0.79 | 0.83 | 17 |
| Brown bread, wrapped | 0.56 | 0.58 | 0.76 | 0.62 | 0.63 | 11 |
| White bread, large loav | 4.02 | 4.57 | 4.06 | 3.99 | $4 \cdot 16$ | 31 |
| White bread, large loaves, wrapped. | 10.81 | 10.81 | 10.24 | 10.73 | 10.65 | 57 |
| White bread, small loaves, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| unwrapped | 1.29 | 1.33 | 1.52 | 1.28 | 1.36 | 23 |
| White bread, small loaves, wrapped | 0.62 | 0.66 | 0.81 | 0.61 | 0.68 | 13 |
| Wholewheat and wholemeal bread | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 0.42 | 0.68 |  |
| Malt bread | $0 \cdot 21$ | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.22 | ${ }_{5}$ |
| Other bread | 4.01 | 4.02 | 4.52 | 4.46 | $4 \cdot 25$ | 45 |
| Total Bread | 22.79 | 23.51 | 23.44 | $23 \cdot 14$ | 23.22 |  |

Table 1-continued
(pence per person per week)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1st } \\ \text { Quarter } \end{gathered}$ | 2nd Quarter | 3rd Quarter | 4th Quarter | Yearly average | Percentage of all households purchasing each type of food during Survey week |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CEREALS:-cond. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-raising flour . | $2 \cdot 10$ | $2 \cdot 12$ | $2 \cdot 19$ | $2 \cdot 32$ | $2 \cdot 18$ | 38 |
| Other flour | 0.79 | $0 \cdot 68$ | $0 \cdot 67$ | 0.65 | $0 \cdot 70$ | 13 |
| Buns, scones and teacakes | $2 \cdot 03$ | 1.56 | 1.59 | 2.02 | $1 \cdot 80$ | 32 |
| Cakes and pastries . | 9.24 | 9.75 | 10.31 | $10 \cdot 26$ | 9.89 | 67 (b) |
| Chocolate biscuits | $2 \cdot 25$ | $2 \cdot 61$ | $2 \cdot 27$ | 2.93 | $2 \cdot 52$ | 29 |
| Other biscuits | $6 \cdot 90$ | $7 \cdot 26$ | $7 \cdot 47$ | $7 \cdot 21$ | 7.21 | 76 |
| Puddings . . | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.98 | 1.70 | $1 \cdot 12$ | 17 (b) |
| Ice-cream (served as part of a meal) | $0 \cdot 50$ | $1 \cdot 01$ | 1.33 | 0.63 | 0.87 | 13 (a) |
| Oatmeal and oat products . | $0 \cdot 82$ | 0.54 | 0.48 | 0.99 | 0.71 | 12 (a) |
| Breakfast cereals | $3 \cdot 00$ | 3.41 | 3.37 | $2 \cdot 96$ | $3 \cdot 18$ | 40 (a) |
| Rice | 0.56 | $0 \cdot 52$ | 0.49 | 0.44 | $0 \cdot 50$ |  |
| Cereals, flour base | 1.04 | 1.01 | 1.07 | 1.15 | 1.07 | 19 (b) |
| Other cereals | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.89 | 0.92 | 20 |
| Total Cereals | 53.85 | 55.78 | $56 \cdot 62$ | 57.29 | 55.89 |  |
| beverages: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coffee, bean and ground | 13.74 0.43 | 13.57 0.37 | 13.25 0.49 | 13.74 0.37 | 13.58 0.42 | 89 4 |
| Coffee, powders and crystals. | $2 \cdot 17$ | 2.05 | $2 \cdot 37$ | $2 \cdot 18$ | $2 \cdot 19$ | 21 |
| Coffee, essences | $0 \cdot 57$ | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.58 | $0 \cdot 49$ | 7 |
| Cocoa and drinking chocolate | $0 \cdot 61$ | 0.41 | 0.39 | 0.53 | 0.48 | 7 (a) |
| Branded food drinks | 1.21 | 0.84 | 0.68 | 1.00 | 0.93 | 8 (a) |
| Total Beverages | 18.73 | 17.65 | 17.59 | 18.40 | 18.09 |  |
| miscellaneous: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spreads and dressings | $0 \cdot 25$ | $0 \cdot 67$ | 0.64 | 0.25 | 0.45 | 8 (a) |
| Soups, canned | $3 \cdot 04$ | $2 \cdot 16$ | 1.90 | $3 \cdot 26$ | $2 \cdot 59$ | 32 (a) |
| Soups, dehydrated and powdered | $0 \cdot 42$ | $0 \cdot 24$ | $0 \cdot 20$ | 0.41 | 0.32 | 5 (a) |
| Meat and vegetable extracts | $1 \cdot 42$ | 1.05 | 0.91 | $1 \cdot 32$ | 1.18 | 20 (a) |
| Pickles and sauces . | $1 \cdot 89$ | 1.91 | 1.75 | $2 \cdot 00$ | 1.89 | 26 |
| Table jellies, squares and crystals | 0.51 | $0 \cdot 81$ | 0.89 | $0 \cdot 69$ | 0.72 | 18 (a) |
| Salt. . | 0. 33 | $0 \cdot 30$ | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.32 |  |
| Invalid and infant foods | 0.71 | 0. 54 | 0.58 | 0.74 | 0.64 | 7 |
| Miscellaneous (expenditure only) . . . | $1 \cdot 15$ | $1 \cdot 17$ | $1 \cdot 32$ | $1 \cdot 23$ | $1 \cdot 22$ | 28 |
| Total Miscellaneous Foods | 9.72 | 8.85 | 8.51 | $10 \cdot 23$ | 9.33 |  |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURE | $\begin{aligned} & 359 \cdot 73 \\ & (30 \mathrm{s.0d.}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 371 \cdot 52 \\ & (31 \mathrm{s.0d.}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 372 \cdot 85 \\ & (31 s .1 d .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 363 \cdot 99 \\ & (30 s .4 d .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 367.02 \\ & (30 \mathrm{~s} .7 \mathrm{~d} .) \end{aligned}$ |  |

(a) Details of the proportions of all households purchasing these types of seasonal foods in each quarter of 1961 are given in Table 1A.
(b) Excluding purchases of quick-frozen foods.
(c) Potatoes from the 1961 crop were classificd as "new" until 31st August and as "old" from 1st September onwards.

Table IA
Percentage of All Households Purchasing Seasonal
Types of Food During Survey Week, 1961

(a) Excluding purchases of quick-frozen foods.
(b) Potatoes from the 1961 crop were classified as "new" until 31st August and as "old" from 1st September onwards. Both in July-August and in September, 77 per cent of households purchased potatoes from this crop.

Table 2
Domestic Food Consumption and Purchases, 1961, All Households
(oz. per person per week, except where otherwise stated)

|  | Consumption |  |  |  |  | Purchases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1st } \\ \text { Quarter } \end{gathered}$ | 2nd Quarter | 3rd Quarter | $\stackrel{\text { 4th }}{\text { Quarter }}$ | Yearly average | Yearly average |
| milk and cream: Liquid milk |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full price (pt.) | 3.92 | $4 \cdot 04$ | $4 \cdot 11$ | 3.94 | $4 \cdot 00$ | $3 \cdot 82$ |
| Welfare (pt.) | 0.71 | 0.62 | 0.70 | 0.75 | 0.70 | $0 \cdot 69$ |
| School (pt.) | $0 \cdot 22$ | 0.21 | 0.14 | $0 \cdot 24$ | 0.20 |  |
| Total Liquid Milk | $4 \cdot 86$ | $4 \cdot 87$ | 4.95 | 4.93 | $4 \cdot 90$ | $4 \cdot 51$ |
| Condensed milk Sweetened (eq. pt.) | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Unsweetened (eq. pt.) | 0.13 | 0. 13 | $0 \cdot 17$ | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| Dried milk |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National (eq. pt.) | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| Branded (eq. pt.) | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| Other milk (pt.) Cream (pt.) . | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Total Milk and Cream (pt. or eq.pt.) | $5 \cdot 12$ | $5 \cdot 15$ | 5.29 | $5 \cdot 24$ | $5 \cdot 20$ | $4 \cdot 80$ |
| cheese: <br> Natural | $2 \cdot 69$ | $2 \cdot 70$ | 2.71 | $2 \cdot 70$ | $2 \cdot 70$ | $2 \cdot 70$ |
| Processed | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.41 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.37 |
| Total Cheese | 3.05 | 3.08 | $3 \cdot 12$ | 3.03 | 3.07 | 3.07 |
| meat and meat products: Carcase meat |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beef and veal | $9 \cdot 49$ | 8.36 | 8.63 | 9.90 | $9 \cdot 10$ | 9.08 |
| Mutton and lamb | $6 \cdot 12$ | 6.85 | 7.58 | 6.46 | 6.75 | 6.74 |
| Pork | $2 \cdot 12$ | $1 \cdot 71$ | 1.81 | $2 \cdot 15$ | 1.95 | 1.94 |
| Total Carcase Mear | 17.73 | 16.92 | 18.02 | 18.51 | 17.80 | 17.76 |
| Other meat |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corned meat | 0.59 | 0.69 | $0 \cdot 73$ | $0 \cdot 60$ | $0 \cdot 65$ | 0.65 |
| Bones | 0.31 | 0.15 | 0.13 | $0 \cdot 20$ | 0. 20 | $0 \cdot 20$ |
| Bacon and ham, uncooked | $5 \cdot 23$ | $5 \cdot 26$ | $5 \cdot 21$ | $5 \cdot 26$ | $5 \cdot 24$ | $5 \cdot 22$ |
| Bacon and ham, cooked (including canned) | 0.80 | 1.00 | 1.04 | 0.84 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Cooked chicken . . | 0.09 | $0 \cdot 11$ | 0. 10 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| Other cooked meat (not canned). | 0.69 | 0.84 | 0.76 | $0 \cdot 63$ | 0.73 | 0.73 |
| Other canned meat | 1.15 | $1 \cdot 22$ | 1.45 | 1.26 | $1 \cdot 27$ | 1.27 |
| Liver . - | 0.79 | $0 \cdot 80$ | 0.80 | 0.84 | 0.81 | 0.81 |
| Offals (other than liver) | $0 \cdot 70$ | 0.49 | 0.49 | $0 \cdot 72$ | $0 \cdot 60$ | $0 \cdot 60$ |
| Poultry . . | $2 \cdot 21$ | 2.45 | 2.39 | $2 \cdot 24$ | $2 \cdot 32$ | $2 \cdot 18$ |
| Rabbit, game and other meat | $0 \cdot 17$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | 0.06 | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.09 |
| Sausages, uncooked, pork | $2 \cdot 27$ | $2 \cdot 03$ | 1.96 | 2.24 | $2 \cdot 12$ | $2 \cdot 12$ |
| Sausages, uncooked, beef | 1.53 | 1.44 | $1 \cdot 35$ | 1.55 | 1.47 | 1.47 |
| Other meat products . | $2 \cdot 45$ | $2 \cdot 45$ | $2 \cdot 27$ | 2.43 | $2 \cdot 40$ | $2 \cdot 40$ |
| Total Other Meat and Meat Products | 18.98 | 19.03 | 18.74 | 19.07 | 18.96 | 18.76 |
| Total Meat and Meat Products | 36.71 | 35.95 | 36.76 | 37-58 | 36.76 | $36 \cdot 52$ |

Table 2-continued
(oz. per person per week, except where otherwise stated)

|  | Consumption |  |  |  |  | Purchases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1st Quarter | $\stackrel{\text { 2nd }}{\text { Quarter }}$ | 3rd Quarter | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4th } \\ & \text { Quarter } \end{aligned}$ | Yearly average | Yearly average |
| FISH: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, filleted, fresh | 1.71 | 1.46 | 1.43 | 1.48 | 1.52 | 1.52 |
| White, filleted, quick-frozen | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.44 |
| White, other, fresh . . | 0.86 | 0.76 | 0.67 | 0.54 | 0.71 | 0.70 |
| Herrings, fresh | $0 \cdot 15$ | 0.09 | 0.17 | $0 \cdot 16$ | 0.14 | $0 \cdot 14$ |
| Fat, fresh, other | $0 \cdot 12$ | $0 \cdot 13$ | 0.11 | 0.10 | $0 \cdot 12$ | 0.09 |
| White, processed | 0.44 | $0 \cdot 31$ | $0 \cdot 30$ | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| Fat, processed | 0.35 | $0 \cdot 22$ | $0 \cdot 28$ | 0.42 | 0.32 | 0.32 |
| Shell ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.06 | $0 \cdot 07$ | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| Cooked - | 0.82 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.02 | 0.96 | 0.95 |
| Salmon, canned | 0.47 | 0.58 | 0.63 | 0.44 | $0 \cdot 53$ | 0.53 |
| Canned, other | 0.32 | 0.34 | 0.36 | 0.30 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| Fish products | $0 \cdot 22$ | $0 \cdot 20$ | $0 \cdot 20$ | 0.24 | $0 \cdot 22$ | $0 \cdot 22$ |
| Total Fish | 5.95 | $5 \cdot 60$ | $5 \cdot 66$ | $5 \cdot 49$ | $5 \cdot 69$ | $5 \cdot 64$ |
| eggs (No.) | $4 \cdot 64$ | 4.83 | $4 \cdot 67$ | $4 \cdot 48$ | $4 \cdot 66$ | $4 \cdot 32$ |
| FATS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Butter . | 6.00 | $6 \cdot 18$ | 6.41 | $6 \cdot 22$ | $6 \cdot 20$ | $6 \cdot 18$ |
| Margarine . | $3 \cdot 42$ | $3 \cdot 50$ | $3 \cdot 08$ | $3 \cdot 22$ | $3 \cdot 30$ | $3 \cdot 30$ |
| Lard and compound cooking fat | $2 \cdot 15$ | 1.95 | 2.00 | $2 \cdot 17$ | 2.07 | 2.07 |
| Suet ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.22 | $0 \cdot 14$ | 0.14 |
| Dripping ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - | $0 \cdot 25$ | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.23 | $0 \cdot 24$ | $0 \cdot 24$ |
| Other fats, oils and creams | 0. 10 | $0 \cdot 11$ | $0 \cdot 15$ | 0.08 | $0 \cdot 11$ | $0 \cdot 11$ |
| Total Fats | 12-10 | 12.06 | 11.93 | 12.14 | 12.06 | 12.04 |
| sugar and preserves: Sugar | 18.01 | $18 \cdot 24$ | $18 \cdot 27$ | 17.86 |  |  |
| Jams, jellies and fruit curds | 18.60 | 18.24 1.67 | 18.27 1.51 | 17.86 1.45 | 18.10 1.56 | 18.10 1.49 |
| Marmalade . . | 0.97 | 0.94 | 0.98 | 1.03 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| Syrup, treacle and honey | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.39 | 0.56 | 0.49 | 0.49 |
| Total Sugar and Preserves | 21.09 | 21-36 | 21-15 | 20.90 | 21-13 | 21.06 |
| vegetables: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Old potatoes (1960 crop) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not pre-packed. | 52.46 | 26.94 | $0 \cdot 31$ | - | 19.93 | 18.59 |
| Pre-packed 1961 crop) (a) | $7 \cdot 64$ | $3 \cdot 51$ | - | - | $2 \cdot 79$ | $2 \cdot 78$ |
| Old potatoes (1961 crop) (a) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not pre-packed | - | - | 18.72 | $55 \cdot 19$ | 18.48 | 16.25 |
| Pre-packed <br> New potatoes (a) | - | - | $0 \cdot 81$ | $5 \cdot 75$ | 1.64 | 1.64 |
| Not pre-packed | $1 \cdot 47$ | 21.56 | 31.65 | - | 13.67 | 11.99 |
| Pre-packed |  | $0 \cdot 25$ | 0.49 | - | $0 \cdot 18$ | $0 \cdot 18$ |
| Chips | 1.04 | 1.41 | 1.25 | 1.45 | $1 \cdot 29$ | 1.27 |
| Crisps | 0.09 | $0 \cdot 10$ | $0 \cdot 13$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | $0 \cdot 10$ |
| Total Potatoes | $62 \cdot 70$ | 53.77 | 53.36 | 62.49 | 58.08 | $52 \cdot 80$ |

Table 2-continued
(oz.Jper person per week, except where otherwise stated)

|  | Consumption |  |  |  |  | Purchases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1st Quarter | 2nd Quarter | 3rd Quarter | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4th } \\ & \text { Quarter } \end{aligned}$ | Yearly average | Yearly average |
| Vegetables :-contd. | $4 \cdot 53$ | 6.32 | 5.69 | $5 \cdot 36$ | 5.48 |  |
| Cabbages Brussels sprouts | 4.53 3.72 | 6.32 0.06 | 5.69 0.32 | $5 \cdot 36$ 4.13 | 5.48 2.06 | 4.26 1.78 |
| Brussels sprouts Cauliflower . | 3.72 2.73 | 0.06 $3 \cdot 11$ | 0.32 2.34 | 4.13 2.27 | 2.06 2.61 | 1.78 2.32 |
| Leafy salads | $0 \cdot 60$ | $2 \cdot 01$ | $2 \cdot 11$ | 0.55 | $1 \cdot 32$ | 1.08 |
| Peas, fresh |  | 0.64 | $4 \cdot 68$ | 0.05 | 1.34 | 1.08 |
| Peas, quick-frozen | 0.59 | 0.76 | $0 \cdot 37$ | 0.53 | $0 \cdot 56$ | 0.56 |
| Beans, fresh . | 0.05 | 0. 20 | 4.85 | 0.46 | 1.39 | 0.65 |
| Beans, quick-frozen | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.06 | $0 \cdot 09$ | 0.09 |
| Other fresh green vegetables | $0 \cdot 36$ | $0 \cdot 32$ | $0 \cdot 12$ | $0 \cdot 17$ | $0 \cdot 24$ | $0 \cdot 10$ |
| Total Fresh Green Vegetables | 12.69 | 13.55 | 20.53 | 13.58 | 15.09 | 11.92 |
| Carrots | 3.92 | $2 \cdot 22$ | 2.21 | $3 \cdot 50$ | 2.96 | $2 \cdot 80$ |
| Other root vegetables | 2.83 3.45 | $0 \cdot 99$ | $1 \cdot 69$ | 3.14 | $2 \cdot 16$ | 1.66 |
| Onions, shallots, etc. | 3.45 | $2 \cdot 72$ | $2 \cdot 74$ | $3 \cdot 28$ | 3.05 | $2 \cdot 76$ |
| Miscellaneous fresh vegetables | $0 \cdot 62$ | 1.69 | $2 \cdot 33$ | 1.49 | 1.53 | 1.38 |
| Dried pulses . | 0.63 | 0.53 | 0.37 | $0 \cdot 62$ | 0.54 | 0.54 |
| Canned peas . | 3.64 | $3 \cdot 85$ | $2 \cdot 76$ | $3 \cdot 10$ | $3 \cdot 34$ | $3 \cdot 34$ |
| Canned beans | 2.97 | 2.59 | 2.43 | $2 \cdot 80$ | $2 \cdot 70$ | $2 \cdot 70$ |
| Other canned vegetables | 0.40 | 0.57 | 0.54 | 0.47 | 0. 50 | 0. 50 |
| Vegetable products | 0.18 | 0.16 | $0 \cdot 11$ | 0.14 | $0 \cdot 15$ | $0 \cdot 15$ |
| Total Other Vegetables | 18.64 | 15.32 | 15.18 | 18.54 | 16.93 | $15 \cdot 83$ |
| Total Vegetables | 94.03 | 82.64 | 89.07 | 94.61 | $90 \cdot 10$ | 80.55 |
| fruit: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fresh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oranges | 4.74 |  |  | 1.97 0.75 | 3-16 | $3 \cdot 16$ |
| Other citrus fruit | 1.02 | $0 \cdot 73$ | 0.79 | $0 \cdot 75$ | $0 \cdot 82$ | 0.82 |
| Apples | 7.26 0.59 | $5 \cdot 83$ | $6 \cdot 40$ | $6 \cdot 10$ | $6 \cdot 40$ | $5 \cdot 72$ |
| Pears | 0. 59 | $0 \cdot 58$ | 1.03 | $0 \cdot 62$ | $0 \cdot 70$ | $0 \cdot 67$ |
| Stone fruit | $0 \cdot 04$ | $0 \cdot 29$ | 2.46 | 0.07 | 0.72 | 0.66 |
| Soft fruit (including quickfrozen) | $0 \cdot 22$ | $1 \cdot 50$ | $2 \cdot 12$ | 0. 52 | 1.09 | 0.73 |
| Bananas . | $3 \cdot 36$ | 3.99 | $3 \cdot 80$ | $3 \cdot 11$ | 3.56 | 3.56 |
| Other fresh fruit | $0 \cdot 52$ | $1 \cdot 74$ | 0.98 | 0.24 | $0 \cdot 87$ | 0.39 |
| Tomatoes | $2 \cdot 77$ | 4.90 | 6.98 | $3 \cdot 41$ | $4 \cdot 52$ | $4 \cdot 25$ |
| Total Fresh Fruir | $20 \cdot 52$ | 23.16 | $26 \cdot 89$ | 16.79 | 21.84 | 19.96 |
| Other fruit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomatoes, canned and bottled | $0 \cdot 81$ | 0.73 | 0.46 | $0 \cdot 63$ | 0.66 | $0 \cdot 66$ |
| Canned peaches, pears and pineapples | $2 \cdot 47$ | $2 \cdot 79$ | $3 \cdot 13$ | 2.56 | $2 \cdot 74$ | $2 \cdot 73$ |
| Other canned and bottled fruit | 1.79 | $2 \cdot 07$ | $2 \cdot 06$ | 2.03 | 1.99 | 1.90 |
| Dried vine fruit . . . | 0. 50 | $0 \cdot 60$ | $0 \cdot 60$ | 0.98 | 0.67 | 0.67 |
| Other dried fruit | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.11 | $0 \cdot 21$ | $0 \cdot 15$ | $0 \cdot 15$ |
| Nuts and fruit and nut products. | 0.23 | $0 \cdot 15$ | 0.15 | 0.75 | 0.32 | 0.32 |
| Fruit juices | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.45 | 0.45 |
| Welfare orange juice | $0 \cdot 08$ | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Total Other Fruit and Fruit Products | $6 \cdot 46$ | 6.97 | 7.00 | $7 \cdot 65$ | 7.03 | 6.93 |
| Total Fruit | $26 \cdot 98$ | 30-13 | $33 \cdot 89$ | 24.44 | 28.87 | $26 \cdot 89$ |

Table 2-continued
(oz. per person per week, except where otherwise stated)

|  | Consumption |  |  |  |  | Purchases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1st } \\ \text { Quarter } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\text { 2nd }}{\text { Quarter }}$ | 3rd Quarter | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4th } \\ & \text { Quarter } \end{aligned}$ | Yearly average | Yearly average |
| CEREALS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brown bread, unwrapped | 1.38 | 1.44 | 1.33 | 1.26 | 1.35 | 1.35 |
| Brown bread, wrapped ${ }^{\text {White bread }}$ large loaves, | $0 \cdot 94$ | 0.96 | 1.23 | 1.02 | $1 \cdot 04$ | 1.04 |
| unwrapped | $9 \cdot 33$ | $10 \cdot 42$ | 8.94 | $8 \cdot 80$ | $9 \cdot 37$ | 9.37 |
| White bread, large loaves, wrapped | 23.97 | 23.72 | 21-81 | 22.86 | 23.09 | 23.09 |
| White bread, small loaves, unwrapped | 2.47 | 2.46 | 2.72 | 22.86 2.31 | $2 \cdot 49$ | 2.49 |
| White bread, small loaves, wrapped <br> Wholewheat and wholemeal | 1.08 | $1 \cdot 15$ | $1 \cdot 34$ | 1.02 | $1 \cdot 15$ | $1 \cdot 15$ |
| bread . . | 0.86 | 0.83 | 0.92 | 0.77 | 0.84 | 0.84 |
| Malt bread | 0.22 | $0 \cdot 22$ | $0 \cdot 21$ | $0 \cdot 23$ | $0 \cdot 22$ | $0 \cdot 22$ |
| Other bread | 5.34 | $5 \cdot 22$ | $5 \cdot 98$ | $5 \cdot 96$ | $5 \cdot 62$ | $5 \cdot 62$ |
| Total Bread | 45.58 | 46.42 | 44.49 | 44.22 | $45 \cdot 17$ | $45 \cdot 17$ |
| Self-raising flour . | $4 \cdot 66$ | 4.67 | 4.90 | $5 \cdot 15$ | 4.84 | $4 \cdot 84$ |
| Other flour in scones and teacakes | 1.73 | 1.48 | 1.47 | 1.43 | 1.53 | 1.53 |
| Buns, scones and teacakes | 1.49 | $1 \cdot 14$ | $1 \cdot 17$ | 1.52 | 1.33 | 1.33 |
| Cakes and pastries . | 4.53 0.94 | 4.72 | 4.99 | 4.82 | 4.76 | 4.76 |
| Other biscuits | 4.94 4.44 | 1.06 4.62 | 0.93 4.75 | 1.17 4.53 | 1.02 4.58 | 1.02 4.58 |
| Puddings | 0.81 | 0.85 | 0.93 | 1.43 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ice-cream (served as part of a meal) | $0 \cdot 34$ | 0.73 | 0.92 | 0.43 | $0 \cdot 60$ | $0 \cdot 60$ |
| Oatmeal and oat products | $0 \cdot 90$ | $0 \cdot 59$ | 0.55 | 1.08 | $0 \cdot 78$ | $0 \cdot 78$ |
| Breakfast cereals . . | 1.81 | $2 \cdot 04$ | 2.03 | 1.74 | 1.90 | I. 90 |
| Rice | $0 \cdot 68$ | 0.63 | 0.59 | 0.52 | $0 \cdot 60$ | $0 \cdot 60$ |
| Cereals, flour base | $0 \cdot 86$ | 0.83 | $0 \cdot 84$ | 0.90 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| Other cereals . | $0 \cdot 60$ | 0.53 | 0.58 | 0.57 | $0 \cdot 57$ | 0.57 |
| Total Cereals | $69 \cdot 37$ | $70 \cdot 31$ | 69.14 | 69.51 | $69 \cdot 54$ | 69.54 |
| beverages: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tea | $2 \cdot 89$ | $2 \cdot 84$ | $2 \cdot 76$ | $2 \cdot 87$ | $2 \cdot 84$ | $2 \cdot 84$ |
| Coffee, bean and ground | 0.08 | $0 \cdot 07$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | 0.07 | $0 \cdot 08$ | 0.08 |
| Coffee, powders and crystals | $0 \cdot 16$ | $0 \cdot 15$ | $0 \cdot 17$ | $0 \cdot 16$ | $0 \cdot 16$ | $0 \cdot 16$ |
| Coffee, essences . | 0.17 | $0 \cdot 12$ | $0 \cdot 12$ | 0.17 | $0 \cdot 14$ | $0 \cdot 14$ |
| Cocoa and drinking chocolate | $0 \cdot 20$ | $0 \cdot 13$ | $0 \cdot 13$ | $0 \cdot 17$ | $0 \cdot 16$ | $0 \cdot 16$ |
| Branded food drinks | 0.29 | 0. 20 | $0 \cdot 16$ | $0 \cdot 24$ | $0 \cdot 22$ | 0. 22 |
| Total Beverages | $3 \cdot 79$ | $3 \cdot 51$ | $3 \cdot 44$ | 3.68 | $3 \cdot 60$ | $3 \cdot 60$ |
| muscellaneous: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spreads and dressings | $0 \cdot 10$ | $0 \cdot 28$ | 0.27 | $0 \cdot 11$ | $0 \cdot 19$ | $0 \cdot 19$ |
| Soups, canned | 2.91 | $2 \cdot 04$ | 1.79 | $3 \cdot 18$ | $2 \cdot 48$ | $2 \cdot 48$ |
| Soups, dehydrated and powdered | 0.07 | $0 \cdot 04$ | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| Meat and vegetable extracts | $0 \cdot 15$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | 0.13 | $0 \cdot 12$ | $0 \cdot 12$ |
| Pickles and sauces . | 1.06 | $1 \cdot 07$ | $0 \cdot 99$ | 1.17 | 1.07 | 1.06 |
| Table jellies, squares and crystals (pt.) | 0.07 | $0 \cdot 10$ | $0 \cdot 11$ | 0.08 | 0.09 | $0 \cdot 09$ |
| Salt | 0.87 | 0.75 | 0.85 | $0 \cdot 90$ | $0 \cdot 84$ | $0 \cdot 84$ |
| Invalid and baby foods | 0.41 | $0 \cdot 28$ | 0. 29 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.33 |

(a) Potatoes from the 1961 crop were classified as "new" until 31st August and as "old" from 1st September onwards.

Table 3
Domestic Food Prices, 1961, All Households


Table 3-contimued


Table 3-continued

|  | Average prices paid (a) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { 1st } \\ \text { Quarter } \end{array}$ | 2nd | 3rd Quarter | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4th } \\ \text { Quarter } \end{gathered}$ | Yearly average |
| FRUIT-contd.Other fruit |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomatoes, canned and bottled | 15.5 | $15 \cdot 4$ | $16 \cdot 1$ | $15 \cdot 3$ | $15 \cdot 5$ |
| Canned peaches, pears and pineapples | 19.0 | $19 \cdot 1$ | $19 \cdot 3$ | $19 \cdot 1$ | $19 \cdot 1$ |
| Other canned and bottled fruit . | $22 \cdot 0$ | 21.9 | $22 \cdot 5$ | $21 \cdot 6$ | $22 \cdot 0$ |
| Dried vine fruit | $20 \cdot 2$ | $20 \cdot 8$ | $20 \cdot 9$ | 20.7 | 20.6 |
| Other dried fruit | 25.9 | 25.6 | $26 \cdot 3$ | $28 \cdot 8$ | 26.9 |
| Nuts and fruit and nut products | $33 \cdot 8$ | $34 \cdot 6$ | $37 \cdot 7$ | $34 \cdot 5$ | $34 \cdot 8$ |
| Fruit juices ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | $47 \cdot 2$ | $37 \cdot 5$ | $40 \cdot 3$ | $44 \cdot 1$ | $42 \cdot 3$ |
| Welfare orange juice | $16 \cdot 7$ | 20.4 | 60.6 | $60 \cdot 2$ | 29.5 |
| Crreals: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brown bread, unwrapped | 9.5 | $9 \cdot 7$ | $10 \cdot 0$ | 10.0 | 9.8 |
| Brown bread, wrapped | 9.6 | $9 \cdot 7$ | 9.9 | 9.7 | $9 \cdot 7$ |
| White bread, large loaves, unwrapped | $6 \cdot 9$ | 7.0 | $7 \cdot 3$ | 7.3 | 7-1 |
| White bread, large loaves, wrapped | 7-2 | $7 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 5$ | $7 \cdot 5$ | $7 \cdot 4$ |
| White bread, small loaves, unwrapped | $8 \cdot 4$ | $8 \cdot 7$ | $8 \cdot 9$ | 8.9 | $8 \cdot 7$ |
| White bread, small loaves, wrapped | $9 \cdot 2$ | $9 \cdot 2$ | 9.6 | $9 \cdot 6$ | $9 \cdot 4$ |
| Wholewheat and wholemeal bread | $8 \cdot 2$ | $8 \cdot 4$ | $8 \cdot 6$ | 8.8 | $8 \cdot 5$ |
| Malt bread | $14 \cdot 9$ | $14 \cdot 8$ | $15 \cdot 1$ | $16 \cdot 5$ | $15 \cdot 3$ |
| Other bread | $12 \cdot 0$ | $12 \cdot 3$ | $12 \cdot 1$ | $12 \cdot 0$ | $12 \cdot 1$ |
| Self-raising flour | $7 \cdot 2$ | $7 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 2$ | $7 \cdot 2$ | 7-2 |
| Other flour | $7 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 4$ | $7 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 3$ |
| Buns, scones and teacakes | 22.0 | 21.8 | 21.8 | $21 \cdot 2$ | $21 \cdot 7$ |
| Cakes and pastries | $32 \cdot 7$ | $33 \cdot 1$ | $33 \cdot 1$ | $34 \cdot 2$ | 33-2 |
| Chocolate biscuits | $38 \cdot 2$ | 39.3 | $39 \cdot 2$ | $40 \cdot 1$ | 39-2 |
| Other biscuits | $24 \cdot 9$ | $25 \cdot 2$ | $25 \cdot 2$ | $25 \cdot 4$ | 25-2 |
| Puddings - . - | $18 \cdot 1$ | 17.0 | $16 \cdot 8$ | $19 \cdot 0$ | 17.9 |
| Ice-cream (served as part of a meal) | 23.5 | $22 \cdot 3$ | $23 \cdot 0$ | $23 \cdot 2$ | 22.9 |
| Oatmeal and oat products | $14 \cdot 6$ | $14 \cdot 8$ | $14 \cdot 4$ | 14.7 | $14 \cdot 6$ |
| Breakfast cereals | $26 \cdot 6$ | $26 \cdot 8$ | $26 \cdot 6$ | $27 \cdot 2$ | $26 \cdot 8$ |
| Rice | $13 \cdot 3$ | $13 \cdot 3$ | $13 \cdot 4$ | $13 \cdot 3$ | 13-3 |
| Cereals, flour base | 19.4 | 19.5 | $20 \cdot 5$ | $20 \cdot 4$ | $20 \cdot 0$ |
| Other cereals . | $24 \cdot 2$ | $27 \cdot 2$ | $26 \cdot 3$ | $25 \cdot 0$ | 25-6 |
| beverages: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tea . | $76 \cdot 0$ | $76 \cdot 3$ | $76 \cdot 7$ | $76 \cdot 6$ | 76.4 |
| Coffee, bean and ground | $83 \cdot 5$ | $79 \cdot 6$ | $80 \cdot 3$ | $83 \cdot 6$ | 81-7 |
| Coffee, powders and crystals | 219.6 | 217.4 | $218 \cdot 2$ | 217.8 | $218 \cdot 3$ |
| Coffee, essences . . . | 67.8 | 67.9 | $66 \cdot 4$ | $68 \cdot 6$ | 67.8 |
| Cocoa and drinking chocolate | 49.9 | $50 \cdot 4$ | $48 \cdot 6$ | $49 \cdot 2$ | 49.5 |
| Branded food drinks | $68 \cdot 0$ | $67 \cdot 2$ | $67 \cdot 9$ | $65 \cdot 6$ | 67-2 |
| Mascellaneous: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spreads and dressings | $39 \cdot 2$ | $38 \cdot 3$ | 37.9 | $35 \cdot 6$ | 37.9 |
| Soups, canned ${ }^{\text {d }}$. | $16 \cdot 7$ | $17 \cdot 0$ | 17.0 | 16.4 | $16 \cdot 7$ |
| Soups, dehydrated and powdered | $94 \cdot 0$ | $102 \cdot 2$ | $97 \cdot 9$ | $87 \cdot 2$ | 93.7 |
| Meat and vegetable extracts . | $154 \cdot 5$ | 161.5 | $147 \cdot 4$ | $160 \cdot 0$ | 156.0 |
| Pickles and sauces ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - | $28 \cdot 7$ | $28 \cdot 6$ | $28 \cdot 3$ | $28 \cdot 1$ | 28.4 |
| Table jellies, squares and crystals | $7 \cdot 4$ | 7.9 | 8.0 | $8 \cdot 2$ | $7 \cdot 9$ |
| Salt | $6 \cdot 1$ | $6 \cdot 4$ | $6 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 9$ | $6 \cdot 1$ |
| Invalid and baby foods | 28.0 | $30 \cdot 6$ | 31.8 | $33 \cdot 8$ | $30 \cdot 8$ |

(a) Pence per lb., except pence per pint of milk and cream, pence per pint of fruit juices, welfare orange juice and coffee essences, pence per equivalent pint of condensed and dried milk, pence per shell egg and pence per pint of table jelly made from squares and crystals.
(b) Potatoes from the 1961 crop were classified as "new" until 31st August and as "old" from 1st September onwards.
(c) All the brussels sprouts recorded in this quarter were quick-frozen.
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APPENDIX C
Energy Value and Nutrient Content of Domestic Food Consumption（a）－All Households， 1961
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＇ood Consumption and Expenditure， 1961


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ing quick－frozen Other freah green vegetables Carrots Other root vequiables． Other vegetables \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
4 \\
\cdots \\
2 \\
25 \\
25
\end{array}
$$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \cdot 2 \\
& \because \ddot{0} 1 \\
& 0 \cdot 1 \\
& 1 \cdot 0
\end{aligned}
$$ \& U． 3

$\ldots$
0.1
0.1
1.8 \& v．4
O．
0．1
0.1
2.4 \& 二 \& －
二
－．． \& 5
3
16 \& 0.1
0.5
0.3
1.5 \& 0.1
7.6 \& 0.1
0.4
0.2
4.3 \& 25
612
703 \& 0.6
14.2
7.4 \& 0.03 \& $\dddot{7.4}$
0.2
0.2
2.6 \& $\cdots$
0.02 \& 0.1
0.2
0.2
1.5 \& 0.1
$\dddot{0.2}$ \& 0.5
0.4
1.7 \& 1
1 \& 0.3
0.9
1.3
2.8 \& 二 \& 二 <br>
\hline Toral Vegetables \& 194 \& $7 \cdot 4$ \& $6 \cdot 8$ \& $9 \cdot 1$ \& 0.7 \& 0.6 \& 61 \& 5.9 \& $2 \cdot 6$ \& 18.0 \& 870 \& $20 \cdot 2$ \& 0.28 \& $22 \cdot 1$ \& $0 \cdot 20$ \& 11.6 \& $2 \cdot 6$ \& 18.5 \& 27 \& 52.6 \& － \& － <br>
\hline Oranges \& 3 \& $0 \cdot 1$ \& 0.1 \& 0.1 \& － \& － \& 4 \& 0.4 \& ．．． \& 0.2 \& 10 \& 0.2 \& 0.01 \& 0.5 \& \& $0 \cdot 2$ \& \& 0.3 \& 5 \& $10 \cdot 2$ \& \& <br>
\hline Other citrus fruits \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 0.1 \& \& \& 0.01 \& 0.6 \& \& 0.1 \& $\cdots$ \& 0.1 \& 1 \& 1.5 \& 二 \& <br>
\hline Apples and poars \& 10 \& 0.4 \& $0 \cdot 1$ \& 0.1 \& － \& － \& 1 \& 0.1 \& $0 \cdot 1$ \& $0 \cdot 7$ \& 3 \& $0 \cdot 1$ \& 0.01 \& 0.6 \& 0.01 \& $0 \cdot 4$ \& $0 \cdot 1$ \& $0 \cdot 6$ \& 1 \& $2 \cdot 0$ \& \& <br>
\hline Soht fruit－ \& 2 \& $0 \cdot 1$ \& \& 0.1 \& \& \& 1 \& $0 \cdot 1$ \& ．．． \& $0 \cdot 1$ \& 1 \& ．．． \& ．．． \& $0 \cdot 1$ \& ．．． \& 0．1 \& \& $0 \cdot 1$ \& 2 \& 4.6 \& － \& <br>
\hline Bananas ． \& 6 \& $0 \cdot 2$ \& 0.1 \& 0.1 \& \& \& 3 \& $0 \cdot 3$ \& O． \& $0 \cdot 2$ \& 2 \& \& $0 \cdot 1$ \& $0 \cdot 3$ \& $0 \cdot 1$ \& $0 \cdot 2$ \& $0 \cdot 1$ \& 0.4 \& 1 \& 1.7 \& \& <br>
\hline Fresh tomatoes． \& 3 \& $0 \cdot 1$ \& $0 \cdot 2$ \& 0.3 \& － \& － \& 3 \& $0 \cdot 3$ \& $0 \cdot 1$ \& $0 \cdot 5$ \& 183 \& $4 \cdot 2$ \& 0.01 \& $0 \cdot 7$ \& 0.01 \& $0 \cdot 4$ \& $0 \cdot 1$ \& $0 \cdot 4$ \& 5 \& 8.9 \& － \& <br>
\hline Other fresh fruit \& 27 \& 1.0 \& $\because \cdot .2$ \& $\ddot{0.3}$ \& 0.3 \& $0 \cdot 2$ \& 3
5 \& 0.3
0.5 \& 0.3 \& 0.1
1.8 \& 50 \& $0 \cdot 1$
$1 \cdot 2$ \& 0.01 \& 0.1
0.6 \& 0.01 \& 0.1
0.6 \& $0 \cdot 1$ \& 0.2
0.6 \& 3 \& 0.8
5.0 \& 二 \& <br>
\hline Total Fruil \& 52 \& $2 \cdot 0$ \& 0.8 \& $1 \cdot 1$ \& 0.3 \& 0.2 \& 17 \& $1 \cdot 6$ \& 0.5 \& $3 \cdot 8$ \& 253 \& 5.9 \& 0.04 \& $3 \cdot 4$ \& 0.03 \& 2.0 \& $0 \cdot 4$ \& $2 \cdot 8$ \& 18 \& $34 \cdot 6$ \& － \& － <br>
\hline White bread \& 372 \& $14 \cdot 2$ \& 11.9 \& $15 \cdot 8$ \& $1 \cdot 5$ \& $1 \cdot 3$ \& 144 \& 13.8 \& $2 \cdot 2$ \& 15.7 \& － \& － \& 0.23 \& 18.0 \& 0.04 \& $2 \cdot 4$ \& $2 \cdot 1$ \& 14.8 \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Other bread \& 88 \& $3 \cdot 3$ \& $3 \cdot 0$ \& 3.9 \& $0 \cdot 3$ \& $0 \cdot 4$ \& 32 \& $3 \cdot 1$ \& $0 \cdot 7$ \& $5 \cdot 2$ \& － \& － \& 0.06 \& $5 \cdot 1$ \& 0.02 \& 1.0 \& 0.7 \& $5 \cdot 0$ \& － \& － \& \& <br>
\hline Flour ${ }^{\text {a }}$ \& 92 \& $3 \cdot 3$ \& 2.6 \& $3 \cdot 4$ \& $0 \cdot 3$ \& $0 \cdot 2$ \& 36 \& $3 \cdot 5$ \& 0.6 \& 3.9 \& $\sim$ \& －1 \& 0.06 \& 4.6 \& 0.01 \& 0.6 \& $0 \cdot 5$ \& $3 \cdot 4$ \& － \& － \& － \& <br>
\hline Cukes and pastries \& 90 \& $3 \cdot 4$ \& 1.6 \& $2 \cdot 1$ \& $3 \cdot 0$ \& $2 \cdot 6$ \& 18 \& $1 \cdot 7$ \& 0.4 \& $2 \cdot 7$ \& 47 \& 1.1 \& 0.02 \& 1.8 \& 0.02 \& 1.5 \& $0 \cdot 2$ \& 1.3 \& － \& － \& 3 \& $2 \cdot 2$ <br>
\hline Othenuts cereits \& 116 \& 4.4
3.3 \& 1.6
1.8 \& 2．1 \& 5.8
1.7 \& 5.0
1.5 \& 15 \& 1.9 \& 0.4 \& 2.8
4.0 \& 11 \& \& 0.02
0.03 \& 1.7
2.1 \& \& 0.3
1.6 \& 0.2
0.4 \& 1.8
3.2 \& － \& $\overline{0.1}$ \& － \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Other cereals \& 86 \& $3 \cdot 3$ \& 1.8 \& $2 \cdot 4$ \& $1 \cdot 7$ \& $1 \cdot 5$ \& 15 \& $1 \cdot 4$ \& $0 \cdot 6$ \& $4 \cdot 0$ \& 11 \& $0 \cdot 3$ \& 0.03 \& $2 \cdot 1$ \& 0.03 \& $1 \cdot 6$ \& $0 \cdot 4$ \& $3 \cdot 2$ \& ．．． \& $0 \cdot 1$ \& $\ldots$ \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Total Cereals \& 844 \& 32－1 \& $22 \cdot 3$ \& 29.7 \& $12 \cdot 7$ \& 11.0 \& 265 \& 25.5 \& $4 \cdot 8$ \& $34 \cdot 2$ \& 58 \& $1 \cdot 3$ \& 0.42 \& $33 \cdot 3$ \& 0.13 \& $7 \cdot 4$ \& $4 \cdot 1$ \& 29.6 \& ．．． \& 0.1 \& 3 \& $2 \cdot 5$ <br>
\hline Tea ${ }^{\text {Other beveraces．}}$ \& 9 \& $\overline{0.3}$ \& 0.3 \& 7.4 \& $0 \cdot 2$ \& $\overline{0.2}$ \& 3 \& $\overline{0.3}$ \& $\overline{0.1}$ \& 1.0 \& 2 \& －．． \& ．．． \& 0.2 \& 0.11
0.01 \& 6.2
0.5 \& － \& $\overline{0.2}$ \& 二 \& 二 \& 二 \& － <br>
\hline Total Beverages ． \& 9 \& 0.3 \& 0.3 \& 0.4 \& 0.2 \& 0.2 \& 3 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 \& 1.0 \& 2 \& ．．． \& ．．． \& 0.2 \& 0．11 \& 6.7 \& ．．． \& 0.2 \& － \& － \& － \& － <br>
\hline Other foode（f）． \& 25 \& 1.0 \& 0.7 \& 0.9 \& 0.4 \& 0.3 \& 7 \& 0.7 \& 0.2 \& 1.7 \& 48 \& 1.1 \& 0.01 \& 0.6 \& 0.02 \& 0.9 \& 0.4 \& 3.0 \& 1 \& 1.6 \& 2 \& 1.5 <br>
\hline Toved All Poods \& 2，628 \& 100 \& 75.1 \& 100 \& 115.6 \& 100 \& 1，041 \& 100 \& 14.2 \& 100 \& 4.315 \& 100 \& 1.26 \& 100 \& 1－70 \& 100 \& 13.9 \& 100 \& 51 \& 100 \& 128 \& 100 <br>

\hline | （d）Inchudines ohlye |
| :--- |
| 6）Incloding weifure | \&  \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \&  \& nal \& \[

d
\] \& da \& nn \& od \&  \& \& \& <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}
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TABLE 2

| Energy Value and Nutrient Content of Domestic Food Consumption（a）＿Younger Childless Couples， 1961 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| － | Enerso Valoe |  | Protein |  | Fat |  | Calcium |  | Iron |  | Vitamin A |  | Thiamine（b） |  | Ribognvin |  | Nicotinic acid |  | Vitamin C（b） |  | Vitamin $D$ |  |
|  | Cal． | Per cent of total | g． | Per cent of total | g． | Per cent of total | mg． | Por cent of total | mg． | Por cent of total | 1．u． | Por cent of total | mig． | Per cent of total | mig． | Per cent of otal total | mg． | Per cont of total | mg． | Per cent of total | i．u． | Per cent of total |
| Liquid milk | 282 | $8 \cdot 8$ | 14.5 | －15．7 | 16.0 | 10.9 | 535 | 44.1 | 0.5 | $2 \cdot 6$ | 497 | $9 \cdot 0$ | 0.17 | $10 \cdot 7$ | 0.67 | $32 \cdot 5$ | 0.5 | $2 \cdot 6$ | 5 | 6.8 | 5 | 3.0 |
| Orted milk milk and cream | 17 | $\overline{0.5}$ | $\overline{0.6}$ | $\overline{0.6}$ | $\overline{1.2}$ | $\overline{0.8}$ | 21 | 7.7 | － | $\overline{0.1}$ | －49 | 7.9 | － | $\overline{0.3}$ | 0.03 | $\overline{1.3}$ | $\ldots$ | 0．1 | － | 0．2 | 1 | $\overline{0.6}$ |
| Cheese ．．． | 69 | $2 \cdot 2$ | $4 \cdot 2$ | 4.5 | 5.8 | $4 \cdot 0$ | 136 | 11.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 218 | $3 \cdot 9$ |  | 0.2 | 0.08 | $4 \cdot 0$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | 0.3 |  | － | 3 | 1.6 |
| Total Mulk，Cream and Cheese | 368 | 11.5 | $19 \cdot 3$ | 20.9 | $23 \cdot 0$ | 15.7 | 692 | 57.0 | 0.6 | $3 \cdot 2$ | 764 | 13.8 | 0.18 | 11.1 | 0.78 | 37.9 | 0.5 | $3 \cdot 0$ | 5 | $7 \cdot 0$ | 8 | $5 \cdot 1$ |
| Beef and veal Mutton and lamb | 116 85 | 3.6 2.7 | 8.3 -4.3 | 8.9 4.6 | 9.3 7.6 | $6 \cdot 4$ 5.2 | 6 4 | 0.5 0.3 | 1.9 0.6 | $10 \cdot 9$ 3.3 | 14 | 0.5 0.3 | 0.03 0.04 | 2.2 2.5 | 0.12 0.05 | 5.8 2.4 | 2.3 1.2 | 12.8 6.7 | － | 二 | 二 | 二 |
| Pork ． | 50 | 1.6 | －1．7 | 1.8 | $4 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | 2 | 0.2 | $0 \cdot 1$ | 0.8 | 14 | 0 | 0.08 | $5 \cdot 1$ | 0.02 | $1 \cdot 1$ | 0.5 | 2.6 | － | － | － | － |
| Bacon | 120 | 3.8 | $2 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 1$ | $12 \cdot 1$ | $8-3$ | 3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 148 |  | $0 \cdot 13$ | $8 \cdot 5$ | 0.03 | 1.3 | 0.6 | $3 \cdot 4$ | － | $\square$ | ， | 0.9 |
| Liver | 6 | 0．2 | 0.7 | 0.8 | $0 \cdot 4$ | 0.2 | ， |  | 0.6 | $3 \cdot 5$ | 1，148 | $20 \cdot 7$ | 0.02 | 1.0 | $0 \cdot 13$ | $6 \cdot 4$ | 0.6 | $3 \cdot 3$ | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.9 |
| Poultry | 18 | $0 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 5$ 1.5 | 2.7 1.6 | 1．0 | 0.7 3.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 2.8 |  | － | 0．02 | 1.1 | 0.01 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 6.2 1.9 | － | － | － | － |
| Sausages | 55 94 | 1.7 2.9 | 1.5 5.3 | 1.6 5.7 | $4 \cdot 5$ | 3.0 5.1 | 4 | 0.3 0.7 | 0.2 1.1 | 1.1 6.2 | 37 | 0.7 | 0.04 0.07 | $2 \cdot 7$ 4.5 | 0.01 0.07 | 0.7 3.1 | 0.3 1.1 | 1.9 6.1 | － | $\overline{0.1}$ | － | － |
| Total Meat | 544 | 17.0 | $27 \cdot 0$ | 29.2 | 47.2 | $32 \cdot 2$ | 28 | 2. | $5 \cdot 3$ | 30. | 1.227 | 22.2 | 0.43 | $27 \cdot 6$ | 0.45 | 21.5 | $7 \cdot 6$ | 42.8 |  | $1 \cdot 1$ | 1 | 0.9 |
| Pat fish（c） | 12 | 0.4 | $1 \cdot 5$ | $1 \cdot 6$ | 0.8 | 0.6 | 18 | 1. | 0.2 | 0.9 | 22 | 0.4 | 0.01 | 0.4 | 0.02 | $1 \cdot 1$ | 0.4 | 2.4 | － |  | 52 | 33.9 |
| Other fiah | 22 | 0.7 | $3 \cdot 3$ | 3.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 10 | $0 \cdot 8$ | 0.3 | 1.5 | － | － | 0.01 | 0.6 | 0.02 | 1.1 | $0 \cdot 3$ | 1.9 | － | － |  |  |
| Total Flsh | 34 | 1.1 | $4 \cdot 8$ | 5.2 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 28 | $2 \cdot 3$ | 0.4 | 2.4 | 22 | 0.4 | 0.02 | 1.0 | 0.05 | $2 \cdot 2$ | 0.8 | $4 \cdot 2$ | － | － | 52 | $33 \cdot 9$ |
| Eggs | 65 | $2 \cdot 0$ | $4 \cdot 9$ | $5 \cdot 3$ | 5.0 | 3.4 | 27 | $2 \cdot 2$ | $1 \cdot 2$ | 6.9 | 415 | $7 \cdot 5$ | 0.05 | $3 \cdot 4$ | 0．18 | $8 \cdot 8$ | $\ldots$ | 0.2 | － | － | 25 | 16.2 |
| Butter Margarine | 258 97 | 8.1 3.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 28.7 10.8 | 19.6 7.3 | 5 | 0.4 | $\cdots$ | 0.2 0.2 | 1，042 | 18.8 6.8 | 二 | － | － | 二 | 二 | － | － | － | 21 | 13.6 26.0 |
| Other fats | 116 | $3 \cdot 6$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 12.8 | 8.7 |  | ． | $\ldots$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | 5 | 0．1 |  |  | ．．． | $\ldots$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | 0.3 |  |  |  | 0.2 |
| Total Fats | 471 | 14.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 52.3 | $35 \cdot 6$ | 5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1，425 | 25.7 |  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  | 0.1 | $0 \cdot 3$ | － | － | 61 | 39.8 |
| Sugar and Preserves | 391 | $12 \cdot 2$ |  |  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4 | $0 \cdot 3$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | 0.7 | 2 |  | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $\ldots$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | 1 | $1 \cdot 2$ | － | － |

（b）Welfare fish liver oil and vitamin A and D tablets excluded．
75 and 50 pger cent from the vitamin C contribution from fresh green vegetables and other vegetables respectively．
（c）Includes canned salmon and other canned fish．

| Table 2－continued （per person per day） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Energy Value |  | Protein |  | Fat |  | Calcium |  | Iron |  | Vitamin $\mathrm{A}^{-}$ |  | Thiamine（b） |  | Riboflavin |  | Nicotinic acid |  | Vitamin C（b） |  | Vitamin D |  |
|  | CaI ． | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { total } \end{gathered}$ | g． | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { total } \end{gathered}$ | g． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | mg． | Per cent of total total | mg． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Pent } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { otal } \end{aligned}$ | i．u． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | mg． | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { ootal } \end{gathered}$ | mg ． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | mg． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | mg． | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { total } \end{gathered}$ | i．u． | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { ootal } \end{gathered}$ |
| Potatoes（d） | 164 | $5 \cdot 1$ | 4.2 | 4.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 18 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 8.4 | － | － | 0.21 | 13.4 | 0.14 | 7.0 | 2，2 | $12 \cdot 3$ | 20 | 29.4 | － | － |
| sprouts and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { cauliflower }}$ Leaty salads | 12 | 0．4 | 1.1 0.1 | 1.2 0.1 | ＝ | 二 | 23 4 | 1.9 0.3 | 0．5 | 2．5 0.5 | 75 99 | ${ }_{1}^{1.4}$ | 0.04 0.01 | ${ }_{0}^{2 \cdot 2}$ | 0.03 0.01 | 1.5 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.1 0.2 | 8 | 12.4 2.0 | Z | 二 |
| Fresh legumes includ． ing quick－frozen | 6 | $0 \cdot 2$ | 0.6 | 0.6 | － | － | 3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 25 | 0.5 | 0.03 | 2.0 | 0.02 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.3 | － | － |
| Other fresh green vegetables ． |  |  |  |  | － | － | 1 | 0.1 |  | 0.1 | 29 | 0.5 |  | 0.1 | ．．． | 0.1 |  |  |  | 0.3 | － | － |
| Carrots <br> Other root vegetables． | 3 <br> 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1 \\ & 0.1 \end{aligned}$ | O．1 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1 \\ & 0.1 \end{aligned}$ | ב | 二 | 5 | 0.5 0.4 | 0.1 0.1 | 0.4 0.3 0.3 | 786 1 | 14.2 | 0.01 | O．4 | $0 \% 1$ | 0.2 0.2 | 0．1 | 0.5 0.4 | 1 | 0.9 <br> 1.5 | ＝ | ＝ |
| Other vegetables | 28 | 0.9 | 1.9 | $2 \cdot 1$ | ．．． | II． | 21 | 1.7 | 0.7 |  | 130 | 23 | 0.04 | 2.4 | 0．03 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 2 | 2.9 |  | 二 |
| Total Vegetables | 216 | 6.8 | 8.1 | 8.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 81 | 6.7 | 3.0 | 17．1 | 1.145 | 20.7 | $0 \cdot 33$ | $21 \cdot 1$ | 0．23 | 11.3 | $3 \cdot 0$ | 16.7 | 34 | 50.6 | － | － |
| Oranges． | ， | 0.2 | $0 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | － | － | 1 | 0.5 |  |  |  | 0.3 | 0.01 | 0.6 | 0.01 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 8 | $11 \cdot 3$ |  | － |
| Other citrus fruits | 13 | 0.4 | $0 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | － | ＝ | 1 | 0.1 | $0 \cdot 1$ | 0．1 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 0.1 | 0.01 0.01 | 0.9 0.6 | 0.01 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 0.7 | 1 | 2.1 1.9 | － | － |
| Soft fruit． | 2 | $0 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | ＝ | $=$ | 2 | 0.2 | $0 \cdot 1$ | 0.7 0.2 | 2 | 0 |  | 0.1 | － | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4 | 5.4 |  |  |
| Bamanas： | 8 | 0.3 | －0．1 | 0.1 | － | － | 1 | 0.1 | $\cdots$ | 0．2 | 3 | $0 \cdot 1$ | 0.01 | 0.3 | … | 0.2 | $0 \cdot 1$ | 0.4 | 1 | 1.5 | 二 | 二 |
| Fresh tomatoes | ${ }_{2}^{4}$ | 0.1 0.1 | －0．3 | 0.3 | $=$ | ＝ | 4 | 0.3 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 280 | 5.1 | 0.01 | 0.9 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.5 0.2 | $0 \cdot 1$ | 0．6 | 7 | 10.4 0.9 | － | － |
| Other fruit（e）． | 31 | 1.0 | $0 \cdot 3$ | 0.3 | 0.2 | $0 \cdot 1$ | 5 | 0.4 | $0 \cdot 3$ | 0.2 1.9 | 64 | 1.2 | 0.01 | 0.5 | $0 \% 1$ | ${ }_{0} .6$ | 0．1 | 0.4 | 3 | 4．6 |  | 二 |
| Total Frult | 66 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 24 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 4.2 | 374 | 6.8 | 0.07 | 4.1 | 0.05 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 26 | $38 \cdot 1$ | － | － |
| White bread | 388 | 12．1 | 12.4 | 13.4 | 1.6 | 1.15 | 151 | 12.4 |  |  | － |  | 0.24 | $15 \cdot 1$ | 0.04 |  | 2.2 | $12 \cdot 1$ | － |  |  |  |
| Other bread Flour | 135 105 | ${ }_{3}^{4 \cdot 2}$ | 4.5 3.0 | 4.8 3.2 | 0.7 0.3 | 0.5 0.2 | 51 <br> 42 | ${ }_{3}{ }^{2} \cdot 5$ | 1.1 1.6 0.6 | 6.2 3.6 3 | 二 | － | 0.10 0.07 | 6.1 4.2 | 0.03 0.01 0 | 1.3 0.6 0 | 1.0 0.5 0 | 5.8 3.0 | 二 | ＝ | ＝ | － |
| Cakes and pastries | 127 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 2．9 | 25 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 3.6 <br> 3.1 <br> 1 | 68 | 1.2 | ${ }_{0}^{0.03}$ | $2 \cdot 1$ | 0．04 | 1.7 1.7 | 0.3 | 1.5 | － | 二 | 4 | $2 \cdot 6$ |
| Biscuits－ | 148 86 | 4.6 | $2 \cdot 1$ | 3.3 1.8 | 7.3 1.9 | 5.0 1.3 | 26 17 | 2.1 <br> 1.4 <br> 1 | 0.5 | 2.9 |  |  | ${ }^{0.03}$ | 1.8 | 0.01 | 0.3 <br> 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.8 | － | 0， |  |  |
| Other cereals | 86 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | $1 \cdot 3$ | 17 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 2.8 | 13 | 0.2 | 0.02 | 1.5 | 0.03 | 1.5 | $0 \cdot 4$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | ．．． | $0 \cdot 1$ |  | 0.3 |
| Total Cereals | 959 | 31.0 | $25 \cdot 8$ | 27.9 | 16．1 | 11－a | 312 | 25.7 | 5.6 | 31.7 | 81 | 1.5 | 0.49 | 30.8 | 0．15 | 7.5 | 4.7 | 26.4 | $\cdots$ | 0.1 | 4 | 2.9 |
| Tea， O （her beverages： | 13 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | T． 2 | 5 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.14 \\ & 0.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.0 \\ & 0.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{0.1}$ | 0.3 | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 |
| Total Beverages ． | 13 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | Q． 3 | 0.2 | 6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | $1 \cdot 2$ | 5 | 0.1 | 0.01 | $0 \cdot 4$ | $0 \cdot 16$ | 7.6 | 0.1 | 0.3 | － | － | － | － |
| Other Foods（ $f$ ） | 33 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 10 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 75 | 1.4 | 0.01 | 0.8 | 0.02 | 1.1 | 0.6 | $3 \cdot 1$ | 1 | 1.7 | 2 | 1－1 |
| Total All Foods． | 3，195 | 100 | 92．6 | 100 | $146 \cdot 6$ | 100 | 1，214 | 100 | $17 \cdot 7$ | 100 | 5.536 | 100 | 1.57 | 100 | 2.07 | 100 | 17.8 | 100 | 68 | 100 | 154 | 100 |
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TABLE 3
Energy Value and Nu M Conlent of Domestic Food Consumption（a）－

| $\cdot$ | Enarny Valuo |  | Protetn |  | Fat |  | Calchum |  | Iran |  | Vitamin $A$ |  | Thiamine（b） |  | Ribofinvin |  | Nicotinic acid |  | Vitamin $C(b)$ |  | Vitamin D |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cal． |  | g． |  | g． |  | mes． |  | mg． |  | i．u． |  | mg． | Por cent of total | mg． |  | mg． | Per cent of total | mg． |  | i．u． |  |
| Lkpuid mille | 238 | 11.1 | 12.2 | 20.3 | 13.5 | 15.6 | 450 | 50.1 3.4 | 0.4 |  | 425 | 13.9 1.2 | 0.14 0.01 |  | 0.57 0.04 | 40.9 2.9 | 0.4 | $3 \cdot 6$ | 4 | 10.3 1.1 | 12 |  |
| Dryed mill ${ }^{\text {Other mill }}$ and cream | 17 8 | 0.8 0.4 | 0.9 0.4 | 1.5 0.6 | 0.9 0.4 | 1.0 0.5 | 31 13 | 3.4 1.4 | $\cdots$ | 0.3 0.1 | 37 16 | 1.2 0.5 | 0.01 | 0.6 0.2 | 0.04 0.02 | 2.9 1.1 | ．．． | 0.3 0.1 |  | 1.1 0.3 | 12 | 10.9 |
| Other milk and cream | 8 3 | 0.4 1.6 | 0.4 2.0 | 0.6 3.3 | 0.4 2.8 | 0.5 3.2 | 13 65 | 1．4 | 0.1 | O．1 | 16 104 | 0.5 3.4 | $\ldots$ | O．2 | 0.02 0.04 | 1.1 2.8 | $\ldots$ | 0.1 0.2 | $\cdots$ | $0 \cdot 3$ | $\cdots{ }^{\prime}$ | $0 \cdot 2$ 1.1 |
| Toral Mulk，Cream and Cheese | 296 | 13.9 | 15.5 | 25.8 | $17 \cdot 6$ | $20 \cdot 4$ | 559 | $62 \cdot 2$ | 0.5 | 4.2 | 582 | 19.0 | 0.15 | 14.9 | $0 \cdot 66$ | 47.7 | 0.4 | $4 \cdot 2$ | 4 | 11.7 | 18 | $15 \cdot 8$ |
| Beof and veal | 50 | $2 \cdot 3$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | 5.8 | $4 \cdot 0$ | 4.6 | 2 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 7.3 | 11 | 0.4 | 0.01 | 1.4 | 0.05 | $3 \cdot 7$ | 1.0 | $9 \cdot 2$ | － | － | － | － |
| Mutton and lamb | 41 | 1.9 | $2 \cdot 1$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | $3 \cdot 7$ | $4 \cdot 2$ | 2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 2.5 | 6 | 0.2 | 0.02 | 2.0 | 0.02 | 1.7 | 0.6 | $5 \cdot 4$ | － | － | － | － |
| Pork | 13 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1．2 | 1.4 | 2 | 0． 2 | $\ddot{0} \cdot 1$ | 0.4 | － | － | 0.02 | 2.1 | 0.01 | 0．4 | 0.1 | $1 \cdot 1$ | － | － | － | － |
| Bacon | $\begin{array}{r}13 \\ 3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2.5 | 1.2 0.3 | 2.1 0.6 | 5.4 0.2 | 6.2 0.2 | 2 | $0 \cdot 2$ | 0.1 | 1.1 2.5 | 518 | 17.0 | 0.06 0.01 | 5.8 0.7 | 0.01 0.06 | 0.9 4.3 | 0.3 0.3 | 2.5 | － | $\overline{0.8}$ | 1 | 0.5 |
| Liver | 3 | 0.1 0.1 | 0.3 0.4 | 0.6 0.7 | 0.2 0.2 | 0.2 0.2 | － | － | 0.3 0.1 | 2.3 0.8 | 518 | $17 \cdot 0$ | 0.01 | 0.7 0.3 | 0.06 | 4.3 0.1 | 0.3 0.2 | 2.6 1.8 | … | 0.8 | 1 | 0.5 |
| Sausages | 41 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 2.2 | $3 \cdot 1$ | 3.6 | 3 | $0 \cdot 3$ | 0.2 | 1.9 | 3 | $0 \cdot 1$ | 0.02 | 2.3 | 0.01 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 二 | $\cdots$ | － | － |
| Other meat | 52 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 4.8 | $4 \cdot 1$ | $4 \cdot 7$ | 4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 5.6 | 24 | 0.8 | 0.03 | $3 \cdot 1$ | 0.03 | $2 \cdot 3$ | 0.5 | 4.9 | $\ldots$ | 0.1 | $\ldots$ |  |
| Tosal Meat | 256 | 12.0 | 12.2 | $20 \cdot 4$ | 21.7 | 25.1 | 13 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 22.0 | 562 | 18.4 | 0.18 | $17 \cdot 6$ | 0.20 | 14.5 | $3 \cdot 3$ | 29.9 | $\ldots$ | 0.9 | 1 | 0.5 |
| Fat fish（c） | 10 | 0．2 | 0.4 1.6 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.7 \\ & 2.6 \end{aligned}$ | 0.3 0.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3 \\ & 0.5 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4 \\ & 0.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1 \\ & 0.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4 \\ & 1.1 \end{aligned}$ | － | 0.2 | $\ldots$ | 0.1 0.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.01 \\ & 0.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4 \\ & 0.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{array}$ | 1.1 1.4 | － | 二 | 16 | $14 \cdot 3$ |
| Total Fish | 14 | 0.7 | $2 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 3$ | 0.7 | 0.8 | 8 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 5 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.5 | 0.02 | $1 \cdot 2$ | 0.3 | 2.6 | － | 一 | 16 | $14 \cdot 3$ |
| Edgs | 39 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 5.0 | $3 \cdot 0$ | 3.5 | 16 | 1.8 | $0 \cdot 7$ | 6.6 | 252 | $8 \cdot 2$ | 0.03 | $3 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 11$ | $8 \cdot 0$ | $\ldots$ | $0 \cdot 3$ | － | － | 15 | $13 \cdot 6$ |
| Mutter ${ }^{\text {Margarine }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 101 118 | 4.7 5.5 | 0．1 | $0 \cdot 1$ | 11.2 13.1 | 13.0 15.1 | 1 | 0.2 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 0.4 | 407 | 13.3 15.0 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 二 | － | 8 49 | 7.3 43.7 |
| Other fats | 65 | 3.0 |  |  | $7 \cdot 2$ | $8 \cdot 3$ | ．． |  |  | $0 \cdot 1$ |  | $0 \cdot 1$ |  |  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.2 |  | － |  | $0 \cdot 3$ |
| Total Fats | 284 | $13 \cdot 3$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 31.5 | $36 \cdot 5$ | 3 | $0 \cdot 3$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | 0.6 | 869 | 28.4 | $\ldots$ | ．．． | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ．．． | 0.2 | － | － | 57 | 51.4 |
| Sugar and Preserves | 265 | 12.4 | ．．． | $\cdots$ | ．．． | $\cdots$ | 3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | $1 \cdot 1$ | 1 | ．．． | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ．．． | ．．． | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 1 | $1 \cdot 6$ | － | － |
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| Table 3－continued （per person per week） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Energy Value |  | Protein |  | Fat |  | Calcium |  | Iron |  | Vitamin A |  | Thiamine（b） |  | Riboflavin |  | Nicotinic acid |  | Vitamin C（b） |  | Vitamin D |  |
|  | Cal． | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { total } \end{array}$ | g． | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { total } \end{gathered}$ | g． | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { total } \end{gathered}$ | mg ． | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { total } \end{gathered}$ | mg． | Per cent of lotal | i．u． | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { total } \end{gathered}$ | mg． | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { total } \end{gathered}$ | mg． | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { oftal } \end{gathered}$ | mg ． | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { total } \end{gathered}$ | mg． | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { total } \end{gathered}$ | i．u． | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { ootal } \end{gathered}$ |
| Potatoes（d）${ }^{\text {Cabbages，}}$ ，brussels | 151 | $7 \cdot 1$ | 3.7 | 6.2 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 16 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 11.9 | － | － | 0－19 | 18.5 | 0.13 | 9－2 | $2 \cdot 0$ | 18.4 | 16 | 42.7 | － | － |
| sprouts and cauliflower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leauly salads | ．．．${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 二 | － | ．．．${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $1 \cdot 0$ | 0.2 | 1.6 0.2 | ${ }_{23}^{34}$ | 1.1 <br> 0.8 | 0.01 | 1.3 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.9 0.1 | 3 | 8.9 0.9 | 二 | － |
| Fresh legumes，includ－ ing quick－frozen | ．．． | ．．． | 0.1 | 0.2 | － | － | $\ldots$ | ．．． | ．．． | 0.4 | 6 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.5 | ．．． | $0 \cdot 2$ | ．．． | $0 \cdot 1$ |  | 0.5 | － | － |
| Other fresh green vegetables |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  | ．．． |  | $\ldots$ |  | ．．． |  |  |  |
| Carrots ${ }^{\text {Other }}$ ， |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | － | 二 | 3 | $0 \cdot 3$ | $\cdots$ | 0.4 | 390 | 12．8 | ．．． | 0.3 | ．．． | 0．1 | $\ldots$ | 0.4 | $\ldots$ | 0.8 | － | ＝ |
| Other vegetables | 27 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 3．1 | 0.1 | $0 \cdot 1$ | 16 | 0．28 | 0.6 | 5．2 | 90 | 2.9 | 0.03 | 0．2 | 0.02 | 0.1 1.7 | $0 \cdot 2$ | $0 \cdot 3$ $2 \cdot 3$ |  | 1.1 3.0 | 二 | ＝ |
| Total Vegetables | 186 | 8.7 | $6 \cdot 3$ | 10.4 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 46 | $5 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | $20 \cdot 3$ | 550 | 18.0 | 0.24 | 23.9 | 0.17 | 12．3 | 2.4 | 22.4 | 22 | 58.1 | － | － |
| Oranges | 2 | $0 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | － | － | 2 | 0.2 | $\cdots$ | 0.2 | 6 | 0.2 | $\cdots$ | 0.4 |  | $0 \cdot 1$ | $\ldots$ | 0.2 | 3 | 8.8 | － | － |
| Other citrus fruits | 6 | $0 \cdot 3$ | 0.1 | 0．1 | 二 | － | ．．．． | ．．．． | $0-1$ | 0.5 | 2 | 0.1 | $\ldots$ | 0.2 0.4 | $\ldots$ | 0.3 | 0.1 |  | 1 | 0.7 1.5 1 | － | － |
| Soft fruit ． |  |  |  |  | － | 二 | ．．．． | $\ldots$ |  | 0.5 | ．．． | 01 | … |  | $\ldots$ | $0 \cdot 3$ | ．．． | 0.6 | 1 | 1.5 0.9 |  |  |
| Bananas ： | 4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | － | － | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 0.2 | ${ }^{2}$ | 0．1 | $\ldots$ | $0 \cdot 3$ | $\ldots$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | ．．．． | 0.4 | ＇ | 1.5 | 二 | ＝ |
| Fresh tomatoes， | 1 | ．．． | $0 \cdot 1$ | 0.2 | － | － | $\cdots$ | O．1 | $\cdots$ | 0.4 | 101 | $3 \cdot 3$ | 0.01 | 0.5 | $\ldots$ | 0.3 | $\ldots$ | 0.4 | 3 | 6.7 | 二 | ＝ |
| Other fruit（e）． | 14 | 0.7 | O－1 | 0.2 | $\overline{0.2}$ | 0.2 | 2 | ${ }_{0.1}$ | 0.2 | 1.4 | 43 | 1.4 | 0.01 | 0.5 | 0.01 | 0.4 |  | 0.4 | 2 | 5．1 |  | ＝ |
| Total Fruit | 27 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 6 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 2.7 | 155 | 5.1 | 0.02 | 2.3 | 0.02 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 10 | 25．9 | － | － |
| White bread Other bread | 389 43 | 18.2 2 2 | 12.5 | 20.7 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 151 | $\begin{array}{r}16.9 \\ 1.9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2.3 | 20.9 |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other bread ： | 43 59 | 2.0 2.8 | 1.4 1.6 | 2．4 | 0．2 | 0.3 0.2 | $\begin{array}{r}16 \\ 23 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 1.9 2.6 | 0.3 0.4 | 3.1 3.2 | ＝ | 二 | 0.03 0.04 0 | 3.1 3.5 | 0.01 0.01 | 0.5 0.5 | 0.3 0.3 | 3.2 2.8 | 二 | 二 | ＝ | 二 |
| Cakes and pastries | 60 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 12 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 33 | $\overline{1.1}$ | 0.01 | 1.4 | 0.02 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 1.1 | － | 二 | － 2 | $\overline{1.8}$ |
| Biscuits Other cereals ： | 99 | 4.6 <br> 4.3 | 1.4 <br> 2.0 | 2.2 3.3 | 4.9 1.5 | 5.7 1.8 | 16 | 1.7 | $0 \cdot 3$ | 2.8 | － |  | 0.02 | 1.9 | 0.01 | $0 \cdot 3$ | 0.2 | 2.0 | $=$ | ＝ | 2 | ． |
| Other cereals ． | 91 | 4.3 | 2.0 | $3 \cdot 3$ | 1.5 | 1.8 | 11 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 6.0 | 9 | 0.3 | 0.03 | 3.1 | 0.03 | $2 \cdot 3$ | 0.5 | 5.0 |  | 0.1 | ．．． | $0 \cdot 2$ |
| Total Cereals | 741 | 34.7 | 19.9 | 33.0 | 10.5 | 12.2 | 230 | 25.6 | 4.3 | $38 \cdot 1$ | 42 | 1.4 | 0.37 | $36 \cdot 3$ | 0.11 | 8.0 | 3.6 | 34.4 | ．．． | 0.1 | 2 | 2.0 |
| Tea， O ，${ }^{\text {er beverages：}}$ | 4 | 0．2 | $\overline{0.2}$ | $\overline{0.2}$ | 0．1 | $\overline{0.2}$ | －1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | $\overline{0.7}$ | 1 | －． | －． | $\overline{0.1}$ | 0.07 | 5.3 0.2 | － | $\overline{0.2}$ | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 |
| Total Beverages． | 4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 1 | ．．． | ．．． | 0.1 | 0.07 | 5.6 | ．．． | 0.2 | － | － | － | － |
| Other foods（f）． | 21 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 6 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 36 | $1 \cdot 2$ | 0.01 | 0.7 | 0.02 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 3.5 | 1 | 1.7 | 3 | $2 \cdot 4$ |
| Total All Foods． | 2，136 | 100 | $60 \cdot 1$ | 100 | $86 \cdot 3$ | 100 | 899 | 100 | $11 \cdot 2$ | 100 | 3，056 | 100 | 1.02 | 100 | 1，38 | 100 | $10 \cdot 6$ | 100 | 38 | 100 | 111 | 100 |
| （d）Including chi <br> （e）tnciading wel | $\begin{aligned} & \text { and } \\ & \text { re or } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { risps: } \\ & \text { ngo jo } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Household Food Consumption according to Region and Type of Area, 1961(a)
(oz. per person per week except where otherwise stated)
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| APPENDIX D-continued <br> (oz. per person per week unless otherwise stated) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All households | Wales | Scotland | Northern | East and West Ridings | North Western | North Midland | Eastern | Midland | South Western | South Eastern and Southern | Conurbations |  | Other urban areas |  | Semirural areas | Rural areas |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | London | Provincial | Larger towns | Smaller towns |  |  |
| Eogs (No.)Eggs purchased(No.) | 4.66 | 4.58 | 5.01 | 5.08 | 4.94 | $4 \cdot 10$ | 4.55 | $4 \cdot 86$ | $4 \cdot 45$ | 4.50 | 4.59 | $4 \cdot 78$ | $4 \cdot 40$ | $4 \cdot 56$ | $4 \cdot 69$ | $4 \cdot 81$ | $5 \cdot 06$ |
|  | 4.32 | 3.57 | $4 \cdot 65$ | 4.91 | 4.69 | 4.01 | $4 \cdot 12$ | $4 \cdot 13$ | $4 \cdot 15$ | $3 \cdot 72$ | $4 \cdot 30$ | $4 \cdot 76$ | 4.32 | 4.46 | 4.51 | 3.74 | $3 \cdot 32$ |
| FATS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ButterMargarineLard and compoundcooking fat | 6.20 $3 \cdot 30$ | 8.88 2.94 | $5 \cdot 79$ $3 \cdot 53$ | $5 \cdot 69$ $3 \cdot 56$ | 4.97 4.77 | 5.41 4.35 | $6 \cdot 12$ $3 \cdot 10$ | $6 \cdot 32$ $3 \cdot 18$ | $6 \cdot 14$ $3 \cdot 24$ | 7.14 $2 \cdot 50$ | 6.44 2.68 | 6.46 2.52 | 5.54 3.73 | $6 \cdot 23$ $3 \cdot 16$ | 5.94 3.52 | 6.62 3.43 | 7.41 3.76 |
|  | 2.07 | 1.87 | $1 \cdot 19$ | $2 \cdot 01$ | $2 \cdot 66$ | 2.25 | 3.05 | $2 \cdot 13$ | 2.44 | $2 \cdot 40$ | 1.89 | 1.74 | 1.78 | 2.43 | $2 \cdot 03$ | $2 \cdot 18$ | $2 \cdot 24$ |
| Suet ${ }_{\text {Sripping }}^{\text {Drat }}$ | 0. 14 | 0-08 | 0.04 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.36 | 0.08 | $0 \cdot 17$ | 0.18 | $0 \cdot 16$ | 0.07 | 0.14 | $0 \cdot 14$ | 0.17 | 0.18 |
|  | 0.24 | 0-17 | 0.36 | 0.55 | 0.37 | $0 \cdot 21$ | $0 \cdot 28$ | $0 \cdot 21$ | 0.09 | 0.24 | 0.18 | $0 \cdot 14$ | $0 \cdot 19$ | $0 \cdot 27$ | $0 \cdot 33$ | 0.25 | 0.19 |
| Other fats, oils and creams | 0.11 | 0-10 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.02 | $0 \cdot 18$ | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.35 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.03 |
| Total Fats . . | 12.06 | 14-04 | 10.93 | 11.99 | 12.99 | 12.33 | 12.72 | 12,38 | 12.06 | 12.50 | 11.46 | 11.37 | 11-35 | 12.31 | 12.05 | 12.71 | 13.81 |
| SUGAR AND Priservis: | $18 \cdot 10$ | 19.53 | 15.70 | 15.99 | 17.37 | 18.89 | $19 \cdot 51$ | 18.99 | $20 \cdot 13$ | 17.31 | $17 \cdot 56$ | 18.34 | 17.50 | $18 \cdot 26$ | 17.56 | $18 \cdot 37$ | $19 \cdot 80$ |
| Jams, jellies and | 1.56 | 1.43 | 2.24 | 1.64 0 | 2.05 0.85 | 1.58 | 1.57 | 1.15 | 1.33 0.78 | 1. 20 | 1.39 1.28 | 1.36 | 1.69 | 1.59 | 1.58 | 1.55 0.99 | 1.46 |
|  | 0.98 | 1-14 | $0 \cdot 77$ | $0 \cdot 98$ | 0.85 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 1.13 | 0-78 | 0.92 | 1.28 | 1.06 | 0.87 | 0.98 | 1.04 | 0.99 | 0.92 |
| Syrup, treacle and boney | 0.49 | 0.48 | 0.46 | 0. 50 | 062 | 0.42 | 0.51 | 0.70 | 0.36 | 0.64 | 0.55 | 0.44 | 0.31 | 0.48 | 0.55 | 0.66 | 0.70 |
| Total Sugar and | $21 \cdot 13$ | 22.58 | 19.17 | $19 \cdot 11$ | $20 \cdot 89$ | $21 \cdot 87$ | 22.60 | 21.97 | 22.60 | $20 \cdot 07$ | 20.78 | $21 \cdot 20$ | $20 \cdot 37$ | $21 \cdot 31$ | $20 \cdot 73$ | 21-57 | 22.88 |
| veotiale es: <br> Old potatoes (1960 crop) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | a |  |
| Not pre-packed Pre-packed | 19.93 2.79 | $\underset{\substack{15.74 \\ 2.71}}{ }$ | 22.91 3.68 | 18.58 0.86 | 1974 275 | 15.90 4.97 | 22.49 1.50 | 20.25 0.07 | $22 \cdot 12$ $3 \cdot 70$ | 25.38 0.82 | 18.36 2.43 | 19.18 2.88 | 17.30 5.51 | 19.07 2.46 | 21.38 1.98 | $\begin{array}{rr}21 & 14 \\ 1.34\end{array}$ | 25.52 0.76 |
| Old potatoes ( 1961 crop) (b) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.51 16.16 |  | 1.98 18.09 | 1.34 21.91 |  |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { Pre-packed }}$ New potatoes (b) | 18.48 1.64 | 22.79 1.59 | 20.27 3.03 | $19 \cdot 22$ 1.73 | 18.14 2.33 | $16 \cdot 18$ $2 \cdot 76$ | $20 \cdot 10$ 0.52 | 18.65 0.32 | 19.72 0.98 | 19.50 0.19 | 15.53 1.38 | 16.99 1.46 | 16.16 4.25 | 18.88 1.04 | 18.09 0.80 | 21.91 0.74 | 20.71 1.49 |
| New potatoes (b) Not pre-packed | 13.67 | 13.22 | 14.05 | 13.62 | 11.79 | 13.57 | 11.82 | 12.66 | 15.08 | 15.29 | 11.28 | 15.46 | 14.93 | 14.08 | 12.84 | 12.34 | 9-27 |
| Pre-packed. | 0.18 | 0.22 | 0.58 |  | 0.40 | 13.15 0 | 0.09 | 1. 56 | 0.06 | - -78 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0. 32 | $0 \cdot 18$ | 0.21 | $0 \cdot 10$ | 0.03 |
| Chips : | 1.29 0.10 | 0.77 0.12 | 0.66 0.10 | 2.04 0.15 | 3.32 0.12 | 1.57 0.08 | 1.36 0.24 | 1.56 $0 \cdot 10$ | 1.40 0.09 | 0.78 0.11 | 0.73 0.10 | 0.84 0.07 | 1.37 0.09 | 1.64 0.13 | 1.46 0.13 | 1.12 0.12 | 0. 59 0.06 |
| Total Potatoes . Total Potatoes purchaxed | 58.08 | 57.16 | $65 \cdot 27$ | $56 \cdot 20$ | 58.58 | $55 \cdot 18$ | 58.12 | 53.61 | 63.15 | 62.07 | 50.01 | 57.01 | 59.94 | 57.47 | 56.90 | 58.81 | 58.43 |
|  | 52,80 | 46-19 | 60.02 | $52 \cdot 47$ | 55.02 | 54.00 | 48.11 | 39.99 | 58.35 | $51 \cdot 10$ | 45.46 | 55-85 | 58.98 | 54.68 | 52.01 | 45.14 | 35.13 |

APPENDIX D－continued

| 豆步 |  ஸーべーóro o | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{y}{c} \end{aligned}$ |  | 유웅N <br> －oल் | 용 ó | 2 | 8 | nurigis 9 gin लo்ooーलーm | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  ทームー－○－0 ○ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{\mathrm{y}} \\ & \dot{\mathrm{~s}} \end{aligned}$ | Shro लत्य |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9\% } \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \grave{6} \\ \dot{8} \end{array}$ |  लoणoo－mo vi | \％ |
|  |  <br> －ーNーーロー○ ○ | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{\lambda} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | 务装： mam | NㅓㅇNㅇ －oma | $\underbrace{\infty}_{0}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |  <br> monoo－m－v | $\stackrel{7}{2}$ |
|  |  <br>  | $\stackrel{n}{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NQO } \\ & \mathrm{N}-\infty \end{aligned}$ | BCh여 －omi | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | F | $\frac{\stackrel{3}{6}}{\frac{1}{2}}$ | इoxine Novoo－mo | $\frac{3}{2}$ |
|  |  サームーーが00 | $\stackrel{2}{i}$ | パロ0 | 8～ざ <br> －omis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 유 } \\ & 00 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{i}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{*}}$ |  monooomom | $\cdots$ |
|  |  トलツーーーー○ 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{7} \\ & \dot{9} \end{aligned}$ | －988 <br> rrim |  लome | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { 2 }}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \tilde{O} \\ & \dot{O} \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  | $\stackrel{\text { in }}{\text { in }}$ |
|  | yiniberoning i <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \text { 訁̀ } \end{aligned}$ | लिच <br> तलंत | －$-5 \mathbb{N}$ तónc | $\begin{aligned} & 9= \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \hdashline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & 6 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  | ¢ |
|  |  $\infty \mathrm{Nm}-\mathrm{OMO} 0$ | $\frac{8}{8}$ | \％8윤 mmet | 8．नñ <br> －omid | 니 <br> 00 |  | $\frac{8}{2}$ |  <br> －oncoumot | ¢ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{g}{[ } \\ & \frac{0}{3} \\ & \frac{\pi}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{\ddot{0}} \\ & \dot{Q} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{5}{5} \mathbf{3} \\ & \dot{N}-\dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | 子のオすき <br> －omi | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mo } \\ & \dot{0} \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ | in | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{a} \\ & \dot{8} \end{aligned}$ | FNMMNONTN लónooomot | ¢ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { g } \\ & \text { 岛 } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ |  bNm－－ívo o | $\frac{N}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sedt } \\ & \text { Nनित } \end{aligned}$ |  Nóma | 8n $00$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \dot{8} \end{aligned}$ |  nobo－～min | \％ |
|  |  $\rightarrow$ mm－－íNo－ | $\begin{aligned} & \because 0 \\ & \text { ® } \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ |  | めざす －OMn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not } \\ & \text { ó } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & w \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{e_{0}}{\infty}$ |  Nonoo－mot | 28 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 른 } \\ & \text { 2 } \\ & \text { 2 } \end{aligned}$ | ตুニワジかデオ8－ ヘームーóoco o | $\dot{\alpha}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.9 \\ & +=i \end{aligned}$ | مヵがN ómल | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in } \\ & 00 \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\frac{\vec{i}}{\dot{\infty}}$ |  लंणnoooviot | ¢ |
|  |  <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | ๒ロ゙ず <br> －omल | $\infty$ <br> ó | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \hat{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \dot{\alpha} \end{aligned}$ |  movooomot | 8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \text { 号 } \\ & \text { ㅇ } \\ & \text { z } \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ǹ } \\ & \stackrel{2}{2} \end{aligned}$ | Ano लंलंल | लைN№ <br> ठं संल | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \% \\ & 00 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\frac{q}{\frac{q}{8}}$ |  Novoo－mーテ | 20 |
|  | Biロcennyini no－iooóo o | $\hat{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { =7. } \\ & \text { min } \\ & \text { minn } \end{aligned}$ | 유냥 <br> －สल゙ | 융 | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \text { io } \end{aligned}$ |  móvooom－m | 5 |
| 等 | FタRッ゙ざ चームーロ்ー o | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{4} \end{aligned}$ | 万लि ทnलंल | n8s？ <br> －o்ल | 우 －0 | $\stackrel{\circ}{2}$ | $\circ$ <br> 8 | nत్నింగ్రీ Móosoumin | \％ |
| 部震 |  जल்ल－－்－் ó | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{8} \\ & \dot{y} \end{aligned}$ | 20ํㅡㅇ लंलं | べずッ －0ंल | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in } \\ & \text { oc } \end{aligned}$ | m | ¢ |  mo6oe－mot | $\frac{\text { \％}}{\text {－}}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 毕 |


| APPENDIX D-continued <br> (oz. per person per week unless otherwise stated) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | East |  |  |  |  |  | South | Conurb | ations | Other ur | ban areas | Se |  |
|  | holds |  | Scolland | Northern | West Ridings | Western | Midand | Eas | Midand | Western | and Southern | London | Provin- cial | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Larger } \\ & \text { towns } \end{aligned}$ | Smaller towns | are | areas |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and bottled Canned peaches, pears and pineapples | 0.66 | 0.55 | $0 \cdot 11$ | 0.59 | 1-39 | 0-29 | 1.83 | 0.29 | 1.44 | 0.32 | $0 \cdot 39$ | 0.57 | 0.41 | 0.90 | 0.68 | 0.70 | 0.50 |
|  | $2 \cdot 74$ | 2.77 | $2 \cdot 40$ | 2.68 | 2.60 | $2 \cdot 50$ | 2.81 | 2.83 | $2 \cdot 58$ | $2 \cdot 77$ | 3.04 | $3 \cdot 10$ | 2.51 | 2.82 | 2.73 | 2.61 | 2.49 |
| Other canned and bottied fruit Dried vine fruit | 1.99 |  | 1.21 | 1.68 | 1.79 | 1.72 | 2.26 | 2.16 | 2.08 |  | 2.51 | $2 \cdot 42$ | 1.52 | 2.05 |  |  |  |
|  | 1.99 0.67 0.15 | 2.18 0.82 0.13 | 1.21 0.44 0.16 | 1.68 0.61 0.12 | 1.79 0.68 0.12 | 1.72 0.61 0.09 | 2. 0.62 0.12 | 2.16 1.07 0.25 | 2.08 0.57 0.06 | 1.96 0.97 0.18 | 2.51 0.74 0.27 | 2.42 0.61 0.17 | 1.52 0.45 0.10 | 2.05 0.62 0.15 | 2. 0.74 0.12 | - 0.81 | 1.82 1.20 0.20 |
| Other dried fruit | 0.15 |  | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.09 |  | 0.25 | 0.06 |  | $0 \cdot 27$ | 0.17 | 0.10 | $0 \cdot 15$ | 0.12 |  |  |
| nut products Fruit juices | ¢ $\begin{aligned} & 0.32 \\ & 0.45 \\ & 0.45\end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.45 0.05 | 0.42 0.08 | 0.52 0.05 | 0.44 0.04 | 0.30 0.04 | 0.42 <br> 0.03 | 0.33 0.05 | 0.35 0.04 | 0.36 0.36 0.03 | 0.46 0.04 | 0.56 0.05 | 0.59 0.06 0.06 | 0.45 0.04 | 0.42 <br> 0.03 | 0.45 0.05 | 0.40 0.07 | 0.38 0.02 |
| Total Other Fruit and Fruit Products | 7.03 | 7.34 | 5.01 | 6.53 | 7.33 | 6.01 | 8.51 | 7.34 | 7.38 | 7.03 | 7.96 | 780 | 5.71 | 7.32 | 7.23 | 708 | 7.07 |
| Total Fruit | $28 \cdot 87$ | 27.79 | 21-85 | 26.38 | 27-74 | 26.02 | 29.40 | 31.72 | 27.45 | 27-54 | 33-60 | 35.62 | 24.89 | 28.63 | 28.57 | 27.94 | 26.84 |
|  | 2. 39 | 2.36 | 1.89 | $5 \cdot 47$ | 2.73 | 2.82 | 1.85 | 1.76 | 1.54 | 1.57 | 2-46 | 2.44 | $2 \cdot 25$ | $2 \cdot 12$ | 2.76 | $2 \cdot 54$ | $2 \cdot 22$ |
| White bread, large loaves | 32.46 | 37/49 | 39-20 | $27 \cdot 44$ | 30.83 | $34 \cdot 80$ | 36.00 | 33.68 | 37.85 | 34.23 | 25.04 | 25.03 | 35.08 | 32.64 | $32 \cdot 31$ | 34.53 | 39.86 |
| White bread, small loaves | $3 \cdot 64$ | $4 \cdot 22$ | 0.68 | 5.98 | 4.30 | 4.51 | $3 \cdot 90$ | $4 \cdot 15$ | 3.01 | 3.36 | 3.58 | 4.04 | $3 \cdot 35$ | $4 \cdot 12$ | 3.98 | 2.52 | 3.16 |
| Wholewheat and wholemeal bread | 0.84 | $0 \cdot 90$ |  | 0.37 | 0.56 | 0.74 | 0.39 |  |  | 0.98 |  |  |  | 0.87 | 0.87 |  |  |
| Matt bread . | ${ }^{0} \mathrm{O} .22$ | 0.12 0 | 0.14 | 0.54 | 0.42 | 0.40 | 0.15 | 0.05 | $0 \cdot 22$ | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.33 0.55 | 0.22 | 0.26 | ${ }^{0} 0.16$ | 0.13 |
| Other bread. | $5 \cdot 62$ | 5.70 | $10 \cdot 64$ | 4.78 | 4.91 | 4.28 | $2 \cdot 74$ | 3.06 | 4.88 | $2 \cdot 96$ |  | 7.24 | 7.52 | 4.02 | 5-13 | 5.34 |  |
| Total Bread | $45 \cdot 17$ | 50.79 | 52.69 | 44.58 | 43.75 | 47.55 | 45.03 | 43.66 | 48.41 | 43.27 | 38.36 | 40.20 | 48.98 | 43.99 | $45 \cdot 31$ | 46.00 | 49.96 |


|  | 费品 |  <br>  | n | $\cdots$ | －8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 館它䍖 |  <br>  | $\stackrel{8}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\stackrel{+}{4}$ |  |
|  |  | 毋ั <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{2} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | NT |
|  | 罭 |  <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{i}{\lambda}$ |  |
|  |  |  <br>  | $\stackrel{ \pm}{\star}$ | $\cdots$ | $\underset{\dot{m}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | Nome |
|  |  | 出々 <br> to óvijo o ono－o | $\begin{array}{\|c} \infty \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |
|  |  |  <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & \dot{6} \\ & \dot{6} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ |  ó ó ó óo ó |
|  |  | П8 ஸ் ónóno o ó－ió | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & i \\ & i \end{aligned}\right.$ |  | $\hat{\bullet}$ |  $\dot{\circ} \dot{-} \dot{\circ} \dot{\circ} \dot{\circ} \dot{\circ}$ |
|  |  |  to órojo o ó－ió | $\begin{aligned} & 毋 \\ & \stackrel{8}{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\cdots \underset{\sim}{\text { ¢ }}$ ¢ | $8$ |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 号 } \\ & \text { 总 } \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pi } \\ & \dot{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ |  |
|  |  |  <br>  | $\stackrel{7}{0}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{\infty \\ \dot{m}}}{ }$ |  |
|  |  |  <br>  | $\stackrel{\text { J }}{\stackrel{1}{-}}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ |  |
|  |  |  <br>  | $\stackrel{\star}{\mathrm{N}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \dot{n} \end{aligned}$ |  om o o－ó o |
|  | 吾 号 Z |  inim cirunin o o－000 | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \dot{n} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{2}$ | ONA |
|  |  |  <br>  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ |  | $\underset{i}{N}$ | $\cdots$ |
|  | $\frac{8}{3}$ |  <br> to ónóno ó órióo | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\substack{\text { ® }}}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \infty \\ \sim & 0 & 00 \\ \sim & 0 & 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\dot{m}}$ | 〒¢ |
|  | ₹ 号亳 |  <br>  | ¢ |  | $\stackrel{8}{\square}$ | の保 |
|  |  |  | む 0 $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX E

## Demand for Carcase Meat and Poultry, 1956-61

1. Among the most significant changes in the pattern of consumer demand during the years following decontrol was the expansion of the market for broiler poultry, which proceeded much more rapidly than can be explained by the fall in their price. It has been suggested that, as broilers entered the mass market, some of the demand for carcase meat was transferred to them. To investigate this problem, the monthly Survey data of average prices and purchases of each of the three carcase meats and poultry in the period from January 1956 to December 1961, have been subjected to further analysis to provide estimates of the extent to which changes in purchases of each of the four commodities can be explained by changes in its own price and in those of the other three. This analysis has taken the form of fitting sets of demand equations by multiple regression methods to the logarithms of the data on purchases and deflated prices, and presumes a constant elasticity form of the demand relationships. Separate sets of demand equations were calculated on the assumptions of there being regular seasonal shifts in demand, or annual shifts, or neither, or both. Tests were then applied to discover whether the shifts in demand were statistically significant or not, and according to the results of these tests, the appropriate equation from each set was selected and the remainder rejected ${ }^{(1)}$.
2. The selected equations are:-

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Q}_{1}=-\underset{(.21)}{-1.56 \mathrm{P}_{1}}+\underset{(.16)}{.09 \mathrm{P}_{2}}-\underset{(.17)}{.00 \mathrm{P}_{3}}+\underset{(.09)}{.10 \mathrm{P}_{4}} \text { (S.A.) } \\
& \mathrm{Q}_{2}=+1.00 \mathrm{P}_{1}-1.17 \mathrm{P}_{2}+.21 \mathrm{P}_{3}-.06 \mathrm{P}_{4} \quad \text { (S.A.) } \\
& \text { (.29) (.21) (.22) (.12) } \\
& \mathrm{Q}_{3}=+\underset{(.43)}{\cdot 16 \mathrm{P}_{1}}+\underset{(.37)}{.53 \mathrm{P}_{2}}-\underset{(.42)}{1.32 \mathrm{P}_{3}}-\underset{(\cdot 13)}{-23 \mathrm{P}_{4}} \tag{S.}
\end{align*}
$$

where the Qs and Ps respectively indicate the logarithms of the quantities and the deflated prices expressed as deviations from their means, and the subscripts $1,2,3,4$ are used to distinguish respectively beef and veal, mutton and lamb, pork and poultry. Estimates of the standard errors of the coefficients are shown, in parenthesis, beneath each coefficient. The presence of the letters $S$ or $A$ alongside the equations indicates that there were significant seasonal or annual shifts in demand for the commodity represented by the dependent variable.
3. The reader is cautioned against interpreting the coefficients in the above equations as own-price elasticities and cross-price elasticities of demand, since in determining the coefficients by the method of least squares, no restraints were imposed to ensure that, for example, the coefficient for beef with respect

[^23]to the price of pork is in the same ratio to the coefficient for pork with respect to the price of beef, as expenditure on pork is to expenditure on beef. In the absence of these conditions, the coefficients obtained are usually greater than the true elasticities of demand, and therefore to interpret them as being identical with the latter is to exaggerate the substitution relationships. In an earlier study, J. A. C. Brown ${ }^{(1)}$ carried out a simultaneous analysis for the three carcase meats for the period July, 1954 to June, 1957, with restraints on each pair of cross-elasticities to ensure that they obeyed the symmetry conditions, and obtained the following results:

|  |  | Elasticity with respect to price of: |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Beef | Mutton | Pork |

4. Estimates of the own-price elasticities of demand which have been obtained from the monthly Survey data of average purchases and prices in the period from January 1956 to December 1961 by the methods described in the Annual Report ${ }^{(2)}$ for 1958, together with estimates (in parenthesis) of their standard errors, are:-

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text { Beef and veal } & . & . & . & -1.45(\cdot 18) \\
\text { Mutton and lamb } & . & . & . & - & -.80(\cdot 20) \\
\text { Pork } & . & . & . & . & . \\
\text { Poultry } & . & . & . & . & . \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

5. The analysis described in paragraphs 1 and 2 has revealed statistically significant shifts in the underlying household demand for beef and veal, mutton and lamb, and poultry (but not for pork) over and above the changes in purchases due to the changes in prices. Estimates of the extent of the shifts in demand for each of these meats have been obtained by comparing the changes in the level of purchases actually recorded in each year with the corresponding changes in the quantities imputed from the equations given in paragraph 2, since the latter represent (apart from residual error inherent in the analysis) changes which were due to the changes in prices. The differences thus obtained, expressed as percentage deviations from the average (geometric mean) purchases over the whole six-year period are as follows:-

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The series for poultry and for mutton and lamb are very regular, and indicate an increasingly rapid expansion of demand for the former over the period and a contraction in that for the latter. The series for beef and veal is much less

[^24]regular, although it offers some suggestion that demand may have been stronger towards the end of the period than at the beginning, and no doubt stronger than in 1959 when total supplies were appreciably lower.
6. As for fats (cf. paragraph 24) part of the explanation of the changes in demand for meat is provided by the rise in real income per head during the period under review. Estimates of the income-elasticity of demand for the various kinds of meat were given in Domestic Food Consumption and Expenditure: 1960 , p. 160, for the years 1955,1958 and 1960, and those for other years can be interpolated. Using these values to adjust the percentage deviations in paragraph 5 for changes in real income, the following series are obtained:


Thus the decrease in demand for mutton and lamb would have been rather steeper if real incomes had not risen, while the rise in demand for poultry would have been only about two-thirds as rapid as that acutally observed. Since about 1959, when the marketing of broiler poultry was intensified, there appears to have been some shift in the underlying demand for carcase meat as a whole to poultry, although this tendency has not been so pronounced as to lead to an actual fall in consumption of carcase meat. The causes of the changes in demand which are explained neither by price changes nor by income remain to some extent a matter for conjecture. Changes in retail distribution and in shopping and other habits have all played a part.
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Beverages
coffee 31
tea 31
Biscuits 11, 31
Board of Trade Journal 6
Bones App. A
Bread
consumption 31
by household composition 57, 76, 86
by regions 38
by social class $51,69,76$
expenditure 9,60
Breakfast cereals 11, 31, 60
British Medical Association-Committee on Nutrition. Recommended energy
and nutrient allowances 65-77, 88-95,
App. A
Broiler poultry 19, App. E
Butter
consumption 12, 23
by household composition 62
elasticities 24
expenditure 24
by household composition 57, 60
prices 23, 24
supplies 7, 23
Cabbage App. A
Cakes and pastries 11
Calcium (see also individual foods)
content of the diet
by household composition 72-74, 76,
90-91
by social class 76
recommended allowances 76, 89, 90-91
Calories, see Energy value

Canned foods (see also individual foods) 11, 28, 29, 31
Carnegie Trust App. A
Carbohydrate content of the diet 8
by household composition 87
by social class 69
energy value from, 73, 87
Carotene (see Vitamin A)
Catering establishments 6
Cereals (see also Breakfast cereals and individual foods)
consumption 31 by household composition 60 products 11
Cheese
consumption 16
by household composition $60,76,77$, 86
by social class 70, 76, 77
elasticities 16
supplies 7
Children, see Household composition
Chocolate and sugar confectionery, see Sweets
Chocolate biscuits 31
Christmas App. A
Cod liver oil, see Fish liver oil
Coefficients of variation 39-41, 50, 59
Coffee, see Beverages
Consumption, value of, $10,35,39,54$
Convenience foods (see also individual foods) 11-14, 51, 63
Cooking fats, see Fats
Cooking losses 65, App. C
Crawford and Broadley App. A
Cream 7, 11, 15
Demand Analysis 24, App. E
Diet, nutritive value of (see also under individual nutrients) 8 contribution of different foods to, App. C
Dried milk, see Milk, dried
Drinks
alcoholic App. A
soft 6, App. A
other, see Beverages

Earners, number per household, 53, 81, 84, App. A
Eggs
consumption 22
by household composition 77, 86
by social class 77
elasticities 22
nutrients from, 77
prices 22
supplies 7
Elasticities 24, App. E
Energy value
all households 66, App. C
by household composition 72-74, App. C
by social class 69-71
calories from carbohydrate, fat and protein, 69, 73, 87
of food supplies 8
price of, indices $38,48,57$
recommended allowances (see also under British Medical Association) 66, 89, App. A
Expectant mothers, see Household composition
Expenditure-Domestic food (general) 5, 9 (see also individual foods and App. B)
Expenditure, personal 5

Family composition, family income and allowances, see Household composition
Fat content of the diet 8 energy value from, 69, 73, 87
Fats (see also Butter and Margarine) consumption 23-25 by household composition 62 elasticities 24
expenditure 23-24
lard and cooking fats 7, 25
prices 23
supplies 7, 23
Fish, fresh, canned, cooked and processed and chips App. A
consumption 21
by household composition $57,60,86$ by social class 70
expenditure by household composition 60
filleted 21
liver oil 10
prices 21
quick-frozen 32
supplies 7
vitamin D from, 57

Fisher Ideal price index 12
Flour
consumption 31 by household composition 60 by social class App. A
expenditure 60
supplies 7
Food and Agriculture Organization
App. A
Food consumption levels 6-8
Free food, self supplies (see also individual
foods) 10, 27, 28, 35, App. A
Fruit (see also individual fruits)
canned and bottled 30
citrus 30
consumption 30
by household composition 57, 86
by regions 38
by social class 47
dried, and nuts 30
expenditure 9
by household composition 57
by social class 47
free supplies 35
fresh 9, 30, 38
juices 30
prices 30
soft 26
supplies 7
vitamin C from, App. C

Gardens and allotments, food from, 10, 27, 39, App. A
Geographical differences, see Regional
variations and individual foods
Gifts of food 10, App. A

Ham 20
H.M. Forces 6

Herrings 21
Household composition
adolescents 46, 52, 63, 64, 72, 79
analysis, classification, definition 52-53
composition of the sample 53, App. A
consumption by, 54-64
effect of children on
consumption 54-64, 86
expenditure 54-64
nutrient content of diet 72-74
expectant mothers 78-95
expenditure 54-64
family allowances 53, 61
family income 53, 82, 84-85, App. A
infants 15,78
nutrient content of diets 72-77
prices paid by, 56-57
social class, distribution within, 64 classification 64 consumption 64 expenditure 64 nutrients and energy value 75-77
Housewife's pregnancy 78-95

Ice-cream 6, 11, App. A
Income (see also Social class) 43-46
elasticities 24
family 43
gross,
of head of household 43, App. A of principal earner 44
net, of family, 53, 82, 84-85, App. A
personable disposable 4-5
Index
Fisher Ideal 12
food expenditure 5, 14
food prices 5, 14
Laspeyres 37
London and Cambridge 5
personal disposable income 5
price of energy 38,48
quantity (see also individual foods) 12
Retail Prices (all items) 4, 5
Infants, see Household composition
Iron 71, 89, App. C
Jam, see Preserves

Lamb, see Mutton and lamb
Lard, see Fats
Larder stocks App. A
Laspeyres price index 37
London (conurbation) 33-42

Manual workers 45
Margarine
consumption 23
by household composition 57,60 , 62, 86
by social class 51
elasticities 24
price 23
supplies 7
vitamin A from, App. C
vitamin D from, 57, App. C
Marmalade 26
McCance and Widdowson App. A
Meals eaten away from home $36,49,55$,
65, App. A
Meals served to visitors 36,49, 55

Meat
canned 11
carcase
consumption 9, 12, 17-19, 66
by household composition 60, 76
by regions 38
by social class 51, 76
elasticities 20, App. E
energy value from, App. C
expenditure 9
by household composition 57,60
by social class 47, 51
fat from, App. C
imported 18
nutrients from, 66, 73-74, 76, 77
prices 19
supplies 7, 17
products 11
Medical Research Council App. A, App. C
Milk
calcium from, 73-74, 76, 93
consumption 15,66
by household composition 61, 76, 86
by social class 76
dried 15
evaporated 15
expenditure 47
protein from, 66, 73-74, 76, 93
riboflavin from, 77, 93, App. C
school 10, 15, App. A
supplies 7
welfare $10,61,86,93,95$
Monthly Digest of Statistics 2
Mutton and lamb 7, 18, 19, 60, App. E

National Research Council (U.S.A.) 89
Net balance App. A
Niacin, see Nicotinic acid
Nicotinic acid (niacin) (see also under individual foods)
content of the diet App. C
by household composition 89
by social class 70
recommended allowances 89
Nutrient content of the diet (see also
individual nutrients) 8,65
all households 66, App. C
by household composition 72-74
by regions 67-68
by social class 69-71
Nuts, see Fruit, dried, and nuts

Oatmeal and oat products 31, 38, 60
Occupational groups App. A

Old age pensioners (see Pensioner households and Social Class)
Orange juice 30
Oranges 30

Peas 28, 29
Pensioner households 44, 47, 50, 64, 70, 71
Pension rates 47
Personal disposal income 4, 5
Pets App. A
Pork 18, App. E
Potatoes
consumption 27
by household composition 57, 77, 86
by regions 38
by social class $51,69,70,77$
expenditure 9, 27
by household composition 57, 60
free supplies 27
pre-packed 27
prices 12, 27
supplies 7
vitamin C from, App. C
Poultry 7, App. E
consumption 18-19, 32, 66 App. E
by household composition 60
by social class 51
prices 18-19, App. E
production 7, 19
Preserves
consumption 26
by household composition 86
Price of energy, index, see Energy value
Prices (see also under individual foods) 9,
$12,37,39,48,58-59$
Protein (see also under individual foods)
animal $8,60,66,73$
by household composition 87
by social class 70, 75
total 8, 66
by household composition 72-74, 75, 90-91
by regions 67
by social class 70-71
energy value 69, 73, 87
recommended allowances 90
vegetable 8
Puddings 11, 31
Pulses 7, 29

Quantity index 12
Quick-frozen foods 11, 29, 32

Rationing 62
Recommended allowances, see British Medical Association and individual nutrients
Regional variations (see also individual foods)
composition of the sample 33-34
consumption 35-42
expenditure 35-42
free supplies 35
nutrient content 67-68
Registrar-General's Classification of occupations App. A
Response rate App. A
Retail Prices, Index of, 4, 5
Riboflavin (see also individual foods)
content of the diet
by household composition 75, 77,90 by social class 70, 75, 77
recommended allowances $75,77,89$, App. A
Rice 31

Sample, sampling composition App. A
family composition App. A
occupation groups, App. A
social class App. A
Scotland 33-42
Seasonal foods 11-14
Social Class
classification 43-46
composition of the sample $45-46,47$, App. A
consumption 47-51
expenditure 47-51
free food 48
household composition within (see also
Household composition) 64
nutrient content of diet 69-71
old age pensioners $44,47,50,64$
prices paid by, 47-51
Soups 11, 31
Sugar, syrup and treacle consumption 26
by household composition 86
by social class App. A
energy value App. C
expenditure App. A
Supplies moving into consumption 6-8
Sweets 6, App. A
Syrup, see Sugar

Tea, see Beverages
Thiamine (vitamin $B_{1}$ )
content of the diet
by household composition 57
by social class 70
cooking losses 65, App. A
recommended allowances App. A
Unemployed workers 46

Value of consumption $10,35,39,54$
Veal 19, App. E
Vegetables (other than potatoes) (see also individual vegetables)
canned and dried 11, 28, 29
consumption 27-29
by household composition 57
by regions 38
expenditure 27
by household composition 57
free supplies 35
green, fresh, including peas and beans consumption 28
by household composition 57
by regions 38
supplies 28
prices 9, 12
products 28
quick-frozen 11, 29
root 28
supplies 7
vitamin C from, App. A
Visitors 36, 49, 55, App. A
Vitamin A
content of the diet
by household composition 90,94
by social class 69
recommended allowances 89
Vitamin A and D tablets 10, 92
Vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ (see Thiamine)
Vitamin C (ascorbic acid)
content of the diet 8
by household composition 57
by social class 69
cooking losses 65, App. A, App. C
recommended allowances 89, App. A
Vitamin D
allowances for pregnancy 92
content of the diet
by household composition 57, 92
by social class 70
Wales 33-42
Waste, allowances for, 65, App. A
Welfare Foods, see Milk, Fish liver oil, Fruit juices, and Vitamin tablets
Widdowson, Dr. E. M., see McCance and Widdowson
Widows 46


[^0]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Domestic Food Consumption and Expenditure: 1960, paragraph 24, H.M.S.O., 1962.

[^1]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Branded products sold in cartons.

[^2]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Details of the administrative areas comprising each region are given in Appendix $\mathbf{A}$.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ As defined by the Registrars-General. These are the largest areas of continuous urban development outside London, centred on Birmingham, Manchester, Liverpool, Leeds, Newcastle-on-Tyne and Glasgow.
    ${ }^{(3)}$ Boroughs and urban districts with a population of 100,000 or more, urban areas adjoining such boroughs and urban districts, and contiguous urban areas with an aggregate population of 100,000 or more.
    ${ }^{(4)}$ All other urban areas.
    ${ }^{13)}$ Rural districts which are either contiguous to urban areas with a population of 25,000 or more, or which themselves have a population density exceeding one person per four acres.
    (6) All other rural districts.

[^3]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ The high values obtained for prices and expenditure in the East and West Ridings in 1960 were partly due to the fortuitous selection of more middle-class areas in the region in that year.

[^4]:    ${ }^{\text {(1) }}$ These coefficients express the weighted root-mean-square deviation of the regional averages from the national average in each year as a percentage of the national average.
    (2) These coefficients measure the variation in each year between the regional price indices constructed by the method described in paragraph 37.

[^5]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Including non-contributory and contributory retirement pensions, and pensions of widows over 60 years of age. For this purpose, "pensions" include income from National Assistance funds.
    ${ }^{12}$ In order to keep the occupational composition of Classes C and D1 as consistent as possible with what it had been in previous years, special provision was made for all full-time male agricultural workers to be placed in Class C (or higher), even though some may not have earned more than their statutory minimum wage of $£ 89 \mathrm{~s}$.

[^6]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ On 3rd April, 1961, the weekly rates of pension were increased from $£ 210 \mathrm{~s}$. to $£ 217 \mathrm{~s} .6 \mathrm{~d}$. for a single person, and from $£ 4$ to $£ 412 \mathrm{~s}$. 6 d . for a married couple.

[^7]:    ${ }^{(1)} \mathrm{Cf}$. footnote ${ }^{\text {(1) }}$ to paragraph 39.
    ${ }^{(2)} \mathrm{Cf}$. footnote ${ }^{\text {(2) }}$ to paragraph 39.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ When comparing old age pensioner households with other households on a per person basis, it must be borne in mind that the former contain virtually no children, whereas of the total number of persons in the sample as a whole, about 28 per cent were children.

[^8]:    ${ }^{\text {11 }}$ The terms man and woman refer here and elsewhere in this Report to persons of 21 years of age and over.

[^9]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Cf. footnote (1) to paragraph 39.
    ${ }^{(3)}$ Cf. footnote ${ }^{(3)}$ to paragraph 39.

[^10]:    (b) Money value of consumption divided by the energy value of consumption, expressed as a percentage of the result for all households.

[^11]:    (d) Includes dried and canned vegetablee, and vegetable products.
    (d) Includes dried, canned or botiled frute.

[^12]:    (b) Money value of consumption divided by the energy value of consumption, expressed as a percentage of the rosult for all households.

[^13]:    (a) Includes cooked and canned meats, and meat products.
    (b) Includes smoked, dried and salted fish, and canned or bottled shellifh.

[^14]:    (i) Spreads and dressings, meat and vegetable extracts, pickles and
    sauces, table jellies, salt, invalid and infant foods, and items on
    which,
    (g) Includes rolls, fruit bread, sandwiches and milk bread.
    (h) Includes buns, scones, teacakes and crumpets.

[^15]:    (a) Includes cooked and canned meats, and meat products.

[^16]:    (c) Includes cooked fish, canned or bottled fish (excluding canned or bottled shellfish), and fish products.

[^17]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ A general account of the Survey has also been given by D. F. Hollingsworth and A. H. J. Baines in Family Living Studies (pages 120-138). International Labour Office, Geneva, 1961.
    ${ }^{(3)}$ W. Crawford and H. Broadley, The People's Food. Heinemann, 1938.
    ${ }^{(3)}$ Rowett Research Institute, Family Diet and Health in Pre-War Britain. Carnegie United Kingdom Trust, 1955.

[^18]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ In England and Wales liability to serve on a jury depends primarily on occupation of a house or flat exceeding a certain annual value.
    (2) From 1950 to 1956,60 constituencies were surveyed each year; in 1957 and subsequent years the scale of representation was reduced to 50 (in order to reduce costs) and temporarily to 48 in 1960.

[^19]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Based largely on The Composition of Foods by R. A. McCance and E. M. Widdowson. Medical Research Council Special Report Series No. 29.7 (Third revised edition of Special Report No. 235). H.M.S.O., 1960.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ Data on inedible wastage are given, for example, in Nurritive Values of Wartime Foods, Medical Research Council War Memorandum No. 14, H.M.S.O., 1945.
    (3) This deduction of 10 per cent is somewhat arbitrary and the degree of food wastage is far from uniform among different families. With this conventional deduction, the energy value of the food obtained for consumption by all households, which under rationing was very close to the estimated requirements, has since 1954 been from 3 to 7 per cent above them, and no doubt wastage varies with the scarcity, or otherwise, of food.

[^20]:    ${ }^{11}$ Packed meals, such as sandwiches provided by the housewife for consumption away from home, are treated as if they had been eaten at home.
    ${ }^{\text {12 }}$ In 1958, the overall net balance per head was 0.959 , and in $1959,0.960$; in 1960, 0.946 , and in 1961, 0.941.

[^21]:    （a）Welfare fish liver oil and vitamin $A$ and $D$ tablets excluded．
    （b）As suggested in Medical Research Council War Memorandum No．14，to allow for losses in cooking， 15 per cent has been deducted from all intake figures of thiamine（vitamin $B_{1}$ ）and 75 and 50 per cent from the vitamin C contribution from fresh ereen vegetables and other vegetables respectively． T（c）Includes canned salmon and other canned fish．

[^22]:    (a) See footnote (a) to Table 1 of Appendix A, and paragraph 33.

[^23]:    ${ }^{\text {(1) }}$ A detailed account of this method of analysis is to be found in a paper, prepared by Mr. J. A. C. Brown for the F.A.O./E.C.E. Study Group on the Demand for Agricultural Products in 1958, entitled On the use of covariance techniques in demand analysis.

[^24]:    ${ }^{11}$ Journal of Agricultural Economics, Vol. XIII (1959), pp. 228-249.
    ${ }^{\text {(2) }}$ Domestic Food Consumption and Expenditure: 1958, paragraphs 60-65. H.M.S.O., 1960.

