O-255-16

ORDER under the Companies Act 2006
In the matter of application
No. 1097 by American Express Marketing & Development Corp
For a change of company name of registration
No. 09958589

DECISION

The company name AMERICAN EXPRESS INVESTMENT LTD has been registered since 19 January 2016 under number 09958589.

By an application filed on 3 February 2016 American Express Marketing & Development Corp applied for a change of name of this registration under the provisions of section 69(1) of the Companies Act 2006 (the Act).

A copy of this application was sent by registered post to the primary respondent's registered office on 12 February 2016, in accordance with rule 3(2) of the Company Names Adjudicator Rules 2008. The letter to the respondent was returned marked "Refused". The letter was then re-sent by ordinary post. The respondent did telephone the tribunal asking if the deadline to respond could be extended. The respondent was advised that an extension of time request could be lodged. In the event, no extension of time form was lodged and no defence filed.

On 31 March 2016, the parties were advised that no defence had been received to the application and so the adjudicator may treat the application as not being opposed. The parties were granted a period of 14 days to request a hearing in relation to this matter, if they so wished. No request for a hearing was made.

The primary respondent did not file a defence within the one month period specified by the adjudicator under rule 3(3). Rule 3(4) states

"The primary respondent, before the end of that period, shall file a counter-statement on the appropriate form, otherwise the adjudicator may treat it as not opposing the application and may make an order under section 73(1)."

Under the provisions of this rule, the adjudicator may exercise discretion so as to treat the respondent as opposing the application. In this case I can see no reason to exercise such discretion and, therefore, decline to do so.

As the primary respondent has not responded to the allegations made, it is treated as not opposing the application. Therefore, in accordance with section 73(1) of the Act I make the following order:

- (a) AMERICAN EXPRESS INVESTMENT LTD shall change its name within one month of the date of this order to one that is not an offending nameⁱ;
- (b) AMERICAN EXPRESS INVESTMENT LTD shall:

- (i) take such steps as are within their power to make, or facilitate the making, of that change;
- (ii) not to cause or permit any steps to be taken calculated to result in another company being registered with a name that is an offending name.

If no such change is made within one month of the date of this order, I will determine a new company name as per section 73(4) of the Act and will give notice of that change under section 73(5) of the Act.

American Express Marketing & Development Corp having been successful, it is entitled to a contribution towards its costs. I order AMERICAN EXPRESS INVESTMENT LTD to pay American Express Marketing & Development Corp costs on the following basis:

Fee for application: £400 Statement of case: £400 Total: £800

This sum is to be paid within seven days of the expiry of the appeal period or within seven days of the final determination of this case if any appeal against this decision is unsuccessful.

Any notice of appeal against this decision to order a change of name must be given within one month of the date of this order. Appeal is to the High Court in England, Wales and Northern Ireland and to the Court of Session in Scotland.

The company adjudicator must be advised if an appeal is lodged, so that implementation of the order is suspended.

Dated this 20th day of May 2016

Oliver Morris Company Names Adjudicator

An "offending name" means a name that, by reason of its similarity to the name associated with the applicant in which he claims goodwill, would be likely— to be the subject of a direction under section 67 (power of Secretary of State to direct change of name), or to give rise to a further application under section 69.