# Exceptional Assistance Measures (EAM) Review - Questionnaire for External Partners

# 1. A – Information on your organisation

| 1                     | . Has your organis                                       | sation ever assiste                     | d British nationals involved in terrorist incidents overseas?<br>Response Percent                    | Response Total                             |
|-----------------------|--|---|--|--|
| 1<br>2                | Yes<br>No  | 100.00%<br>0.00%<br>answered<br>skipped | 6<br>0<br>6<br>4   |  |
| 2                     | . If you answered  | yes, how many tin                       | nes has your organisation provided this assistance in the last two years?                            |  |
| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5 | Two to three oc<br>Four to five occa<br>More than five o | asions                                  | 50.00%<br>0.00%<br>33.33%<br>16.67%<br>answered  | Response Total   0   3   0   2   1   6   4 |
| 3                     | . Has your organis                                       | sation ever assiste                     | d British nationals involved in consular incidents overseas, other than terrorist incidents?         |  |
| 1<br>2                | Yes<br>No  | 100.00%<br>0.00%<br>answered<br>skipped | Response Percent<br>5<br>0<br>5<br>5<br>5  | Response Total                             |
| 4                     | . If you answered  | yes, how many tin                       | nes has your organisation provided this assistance in the last two years?<br><b>Response Percent</b> | Response Total                             |
| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5 | Twenty or more   | asions<br>occasions                     | 0.00%<br>25.00%<br>50.00%<br>25.00%<br>answered  | 0<br>1<br>0<br>2<br>1<br>4                 |

| 4. li   | you answe       | ed yes, how many tim    | es has your organisation provided th  | is assistance in the last two years?<br>Response Percent | Response Total          |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
|   |                 |                         | :                                     | skipped  | 6                       |
| 2. B  | - The qu        | ality of the inform     | nation the FCO provides               |  |                         |
| 5. H  | lave you eve    | er contacted the FCO    | or information about EAM?             |  | Deserves Total          |
| 1   | Yes             | 33.33%                  | Response Percent                      | 2  | Response Total          |
| 2   | No              | 66.67%                  |                                       | 4  |                         |
|   |                 | answered                |                                       | 6  |                         |
|   |                 | skipped                 |                                       | 4  |                         |
| 6. lf   | vou answe       | ed ves. how clear was   | s the information we provided on a sc | ale of 1 to 10? (With 1 being very unclear an            | d 10 being very clear.) |
|   | ,               |                         | Res                                   | sponse Percent   | Response Total          |
| 1   | 1               |                         | 0.00%                                 | 0  |                         |
| 2   | 2               |                         | 0.00%                                 | 0  |                         |
| 3   | 3               |                         | 0.00%                                 | 0  |                         |
| 4   | 4               |                         | 0.00%                                 | 0  |                         |
| 5   | 5               |                         | 33.33%<br>0.00%                       | 1  |                         |
| 6<br>7  | 6<br>7          |                         | 0.00%                                 | 0  |                         |
| 8   | 8               |                         | 33.33%                                | 1  |                         |
| 9   | 9               |                         | 0.00%                                 | 0  |                         |
| 10  | 10              |                         | 0.00%                                 | 0  |                         |
| 11  | Don't k         | now                     | 33.33%                                | Ŭ<br>1   |                         |
|   | Donth           |                         | answered                              | 3  |                         |
|   |                 |                         | skipped                               | 7  |                         |
|   |                 |                         |                                       |  |                         |
| 7. Ho   | w, if at all, d | o you think the quality | of information we provide could be in | -  |                         |
|   |                 |                         |                                       | Response Percent   | Response Total          |
| 1   | Open-Ende       | ed Question             | 100.00%                               |  | 1                       |
| 26/01/15<br>1 3:33PM<br>ID: 14532205<br>Ensuring that understanding on the part of officials in overseas missions of EAM is very clear. Perhaps separating out information<br>leaflet that sits alongside Support for British Nationals Abroad. |                 |                         |                                       |  |                         |
|   |                 |                         | answered                              | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                    | 1                       |
|   |                 |                         | skipped                               | 9  | 9                       |
|   |                 |                         |                                       |  |                         |
|   |                 |                         |                                       |  |                         |

# 3. C - How the FCO assesses requests for EAM

| 8. Ho                             | w well do we communicate our decis | ion-making criteria for assessing reque<br>Response | sts for EAM, on a scale of 1 to 10? (With 1 bei | ng very poor and 10 being excellent.)<br>Response Total |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|---|
|                                   |                                    | •   | Fercent   | Response rolai  |
| 1                                 | 1                                  | 0.00%   | 0   |   |
| 2                                 | 2                                  | 0.00%   | 0   |   |
| 3                                 | 3                                  | 0.00%   | 0   |   |
| 4                                 | 4                                  | 20.00%  | 1   |   |
| 5                                 | 5                                  | 0.00%   | 0   |   |
| 6                                 | 6                                  | 0.00%   | 0   |   |
| 7                                 | 7                                  | 0.00%   | 0   |   |
| 8                                 | 8                                  | 20.00%  | 1   |   |
| 9                                 | 9                                  | 0.00%   | 0   |   |
| 10                                | 10                                 | 0.00%   | 0   |   |
| 11                                | Don't know                         | 60.00%  | 3   |   |
|                                   |                                    | answered  | 5   |   |
|                                   |                                    | skipped   | 5   |   |
| Answers for: Why do you say that? |                                    | 2 answers   |   |   |

26/01/15 1 3:33PM ID: 14532205 When calls are made to the incident room following an incident, information should be provided to callers concerning EAM. The onus should not be on the caller to find out. Not sure if it is made sufficiently clear as to what support may be available..

02/02/15

2 6:06PM The EAM team does not communicate with (REDACT)

ID: 14761555

9. What factors do you believe should be taken into consideration when assessing requests for EAM? (Please tick all that apply.)

| ,  | <b>5</b>                     | Response Perce | nt Response Total |
|--|------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 1 Age of person requesting assistance  |                              | 57.14%         | 4                 |
| 2 Nationality of person requesting assistance                                |                              | 71.43%         | 5                 |
| 3 Nationality of person directly affected by terrorist incident (if relative | e is requesting assistance)  | 57.14%         | 4                 |
| 4 Relationship to person directly affected by terrorist incident (if relativ | ve is requesting assistance) | 71.43%         | 5                 |
| 5 Country where incident occurred  |                              | 71.43%         | 5                 |
| 6 Other (please specify):  |                              | 28.57%         | 2                 |
|  |                              | answered       | 7                 |
|  |                              | skipped        | 3                 |
| Answers for: Other (please specify):   |                              | 2 answers      |                   |

02/02/15 1 6:06PM ID: 14761555 If the terrorist incident involves a British National killed abroad, that should be the ONLY criteria for assessing requests for EAM. The age of the person requesting assistance etc is irrelevant.

2 17/02/15

9. What factors do you believe should be taken into consideration when assessing requests for EAM? (Please tick all that apply.) Response Percent **Response Total** 

8:52PM ID: 15198998

# 4. D – Eligibility for EAM

| 10          | . Are you aware of any spec | Response Total             |                  |  |
|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|--|
| 1<br>2<br>3 | Yes<br>No<br>Don't know     | 57.14%<br>14.29%<br>28.57% | 4<br>1<br>2<br>7 |  |
|             |                             | answered<br>skipped        | 7<br>3           |  |

11. If you answered yes, which groups do you think are currently excluded and do you think they should be included?

|  |                                    |   | Response Percent   | Response Total                   |  |
|--|------------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|--|
| 1  | Open-End                           | ed Question   | 100.00%  | 4                                |  |
| 1  | 26/01/15<br>3:15PM<br>ID: 14530946 | British nationals affected by an incident oversea     | is in which a non-British family member is killed. Yes,  | I think they should be included. |  |
| 2  | 26/01/15<br>3:33PM<br>ID: 14532205 |   | another nationality and have been killed or injured in a o EAM whatever the nationality of the family member |                                  |  |
| 3  | 26/01/15<br>4:01PM<br>ID: 14533654 | British relatives of non-British victims of terrorisr | n  |                                  |  |
| 4  | 17/02/15<br>8:52PM<br>ID: 15198998 | Are perpetrators relatives included - I was not su    | ure? No view here although it may be open to challen   | ge under HRA legislation         |  |
|  |                                    |   | answered   | 4                                |  |
|  |                                    |   | skipped  | 6                                |  |
| 12. Has your organisation ever been approached to support relatives of non-British victims of terrorist attacks overseas?<br>Response Percent Response Total |                                    |   |  |                                  |  |
|  | 1 Yes                              | 71.43%  | 5  |                                  |  |
|  | 2 No                               | 14.29%  | 1  |                                  |  |

| 12. Has your organisation ev                 | er been approached to support relative     | s of non-British victims of terrorist att<br>Response Percent | acks overseas?              | Response Total                         |
|--|--|---|-----------------------------|--|
| 3 Don't know                                 | 14.29%                                     |   | 1                           |  |
|  | answered                                   |   | 7                           |  |
|  | skipped                                    |   | 3                           |  |
| 5. E – The level of assi                     | stance the FCO provides                    |   |                             |  |
| 13. What type of assistance of               | do you think victims of terrorist incident | s overseas and their families need m<br><b>Response</b>       |                             | Response Total                         |
| 1 Consular support in-count                  | try  | 100.00%   | 7                           |  |
| 2 Medical support                            |  | 85.71%  | 6                           |  |
| 3 Expenses, e.g. flights, hot                | tels                                       | 100.00%   | 7                           |  |
| 4 Repatriation                               |  | 85.71%  | 6                           |  |
| 5 Advice and contacts                        |  | 100.00%   | 7                           |  |
| 6 Helplines and support gro                  | ups  | 85.71%  | 6                           |  |
| 7 Other (please specify):                    |  | 57.14%  | 4                           |  |
|  |  | answered  | 7                           |  |
|  |  | skipped   | 3                           |  |
| Answers for: Other (please                   | specity):                                  | 4 answers   |                             |  |
| 1 26/01/15 3:15PM<br>ID: 14530946            |  |   |                             |  |
| 2 26/01/15 3:33PM<br>2 ID: 14532205 Please r | note, all of these forms of assistance m   | ay well be needed.  |                             |  |
| 3 02/02/15 6:06PM<br>3 ID: 14761555 UK polic | e, Coroner, VS National Homicide Ser       | vice, Trauma counselling.                                     |                             |  |
| 4 17/02/15 8:52PM<br>ID: 15198998 Return c   | of personal effects [see NAO report afte   | er Tsunami]   |                             |  |
| 14. Thinking about the times w should offer? | hen the FCO has offered assistance to      | victims of terrorist incidents oversea                        | s, are there any additional | services that you think the FCO        |
|  |  | Response Percent  |                             | Response Total                         |
| 1 Open-Ended Question                        | 100.                                       | 00%   | 2                           |  |
| A careful balar                              | nce needs to be struck between what m      | nay be referred to by the FCO as 'mai                         | naging expectations' and d  | elivering a service that British natio |

A careful balance needs to be struck between what may be referred to by the FCO as 'managing expectations' and delivering a service that British nationals should be able to expect from their government following extreme events. The emphasis should be on 'what we can do for you', followed by information on what may not be possible, or within the UK government's gift, not the opposite which in our experience does happen. Better links should be established, and signposting offered to sources of support in the UK for UK nationals who have been caught up in an incident themselves when those individuals return to the UK. We are not confident that people are routinely referred to the Disaster Action website.

14. Thinking about the times when the FCO has offered assistance to victims of terrorist incidents overseas, are there any additional services that you think the FCO should offer?

| Should offer :                          |   | Response Percent  | Respons | se Total                   |
|---|---|---|---------|----------------------------|
| 02/02/15<br>2 6:06PM<br>ID:<br>14761555 | Assistance must be offered to victims of terrorist incidents c came to us was not told about (REDACT) and therefore dic |   |         | about them. One family who |
|   | answered<br>skipped   |   | 2<br>8  |                            |
| 6. F – Exte                             | ernal partners and services   |   |         |                            |
| 15. Which se                            | ervices, if any, does your organisation provide to victims and t  | heir families? (Please tick all that apply<br>Response Percent      |         | esponse Total              |
| 1 Accietor                              | ce in-country   | 33.33%  | 2       | esponse rotai              |
| 2 Medical                               |   | 16.67%  | 2       |                            |
|   | es, e.g. flights, hotels  | 33.33%  | 2       |                            |
| 4 Repatria                              |   | 16.67%  | 1       |                            |
|   | ind contacts  | 100.00%   | 6       |                            |
|   | s and support groups  | 83.33%  | 5       |                            |
| 7 Other (p                              | lease specify):   | 16.67%  | 1       |                            |
| · • • • • • • • • •                     |   | answered  | 6       |                            |
|   |   | skipped   | 4       |                            |
| Answers fo                              | r: Other (please specify):  | 1 answers   |         |                            |
| 26/01/15<br>1 3:33PM<br>ID: 14532       | Extensive relevant resources on <b>(REDACT)</b> ; information accessed); guidance on dealing with the media; ongoing    |   |         |                            |
| 16. Are there                           | e any other organisations who provide additional or compleme  | entary services to those your organisation<br><b>Response Perce</b> |         | Response Total             |
| 1                                       | Yes   | 50.00%  | 2       |                            |
| 2                                       | No  | 50.00%  | 2       |                            |
|   |   | answered  | 4       |                            |
|   |   | skipped   | 6       |                            |
| Answers fo<br>services the              | r: If you answered yes, please state the organisations and the<br>y provide:  | 1 answers   |         |                            |
| 1 26/01/15<br>1 ID: 14532               | 3:33PM <mark>(REDACT</mark> ), though normally for individual traumatic e<br>2205                                       | events not major incidents.   |         |                            |

| 16. Are there                           | any other organisations who    | provide additional or complementary servio                          | ces to those your organisation provides?<br>Response Percent | Response Total   |
|---|--------------------------------|---|--|--|
|   | ( REDACT)                      |   | ·  | ·  |
| 17. How, if at a                        | ll, do you think the FCO's use | e of external partner services could be deve                        | eloped?  |  |
|   |                                | Res   | oonse Percent  | Response Total   |
| 1 Open-Er                               | ded Question                   | 100.00%   | 3  |  |
| 26/01/15<br>1 3:33PM<br>ID:<br>14532205 | Standing stakeholder consi     | ultation group could be reconstituted.                              |  |  |
| 02/02/15<br>2 6:06PM<br>ID:<br>14761555 |                                |   |  | rists or common criminals) are themselves<br>Access to Justice Unit a Stakeholder panel is |
| 17/02/15<br>3 8:52PM<br>1D:<br>15198998 | Continue to develop travel     | and tour links  |  |  |
|   |                                | answered  | 3  |  |
|   |                                | skipped   | 7  |  |
|   | sistency of applying F         |   |  |  |
| 18. Thinking a                          | about when EAM policy is ap    | plied, do you think the FCO is as consisten<br><b>Response Perc</b> |  | ing other regular consular assistance policy?<br>Response Total                            |
| 1 Yes                                   |                                | 0.00%   | 0  |  |
| 2 No                                    |                                | 0.00%   | 0  |  |
| 3 Don't kno                             | 0W                             | 100.00%<br>answered   | 6<br>6   |  |
|   |                                | skipped   | 4  |  |
| 19. If you answ                         | ered no, why do you say that   | ? (Please give examples if appropriate.)                            |  |  |
| ·                                       |                                | Res   | oonse Percent  | Response Total   |
| 1 Open-En                               | ded Question                   | 100.00%   | 1  |  |
| 1 02/02/15                              | Q18 above assumes that c       | ther regular consular assistance is consiste                        | ent and we know, following the Foreign A                     | ffairs Committee Inquiry, that for those affected  |

# UNCLASSIFIED

c:\Users\SBennett\Desktop\EAM Questionnaire External Partners.docx

| 19. If you answ   | 19. If you answered no, why do you say that? (Please give examples if appropriate.)   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   |   | Response Percent                                       | Response Total                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6:06PM<br>ID: 147615  |   | policy is not applied consistently. Hopefully with the | e advent of the AJU, this will improve. |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | answered   | 1                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | skipped  | 9                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 8. H – Anything else  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20. Is there ar   | ything else that you would like to add about the  | EAM policy?<br>Response Percent                        | Response Total                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Open-E  | nded Question   | 100.00%  | 3                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26/01/15<br>3:15PM<br>ID:<br>14530946<br>British nationals who lose non-British family members are currently offered no support. This seems illogical given that they are British and may be in<br>assistance during a terrible time. Without this assistance it's difficult for them to even know what options are available to them in terms of support. Ch<br>this policy may be the difference between enabling someone to cope with what comes next, or not. |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26/01/15<br>2 3:33PM<br>ID:<br>14532205   | The policy is clearly open to interpretation depending on the circumstances of an incident. I would reiterate that there should be clear channels of communication with stakeholders concerning policy, and with potential and actual beneficiaries of the policy.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26/01/15<br>3 4:01PM<br>ID:<br>14533654   | The policy excludes support for British relatives of non-British victims of terrorism. I have been in this situation myself, which made my already difficult situation far more complicated and hard to manage, especially as no other support was available to me. |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | answered   | 3                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | skipped  | 7                                       |  |  |  |  |  |