

Application SCR evaluation template

Name of activity, address and NGR	Mr Christopher Hill Holfield Grange Duck Unit, Ambridge Road, Coggeshall, Colchester, Essex, CO6 1QT Environmental Permit Reference EPR/UP3430AC/S002 TL 83617 23739
-----------------------------------	---

Document reference, date and version of application SCR	Ambridge Road Duck Unit Site Condition Report, Version 1.1, dated 30 January 2015. Produced by Oaktree Environmental Limited: Waste, Planning & Environmental Consultants
---	---

1.0 Site details

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?

Site plans showing site layout, drainage, surfacing, receptors, sources of emissions/releases and monitoring points.

Provided in support of Environmental Permit application EPR/UP3430AC; accepted and determined on 30/04/2015.

2.0 Condition of the land at permit issue

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?

- a) Environmental setting including geology, hydrogeology and surface waters.
- b) Pollution history including:
 - pollution incidents that may have affected land
 - historical land-uses and associated contaminants
 - visual/olfactory evidence of existing contamination
 - evidence of damage to existing pollution prevention measures.
- c) Evidence of historic contamination (i.e. historical site investigation, assessment, remediation and verification reports (where available).
- d) Has the applicant chosen to collect baseline reference data?

Provided in support of Environmental Permit application EPR/UP3430AC; accepted and determined on 30/04/2015.

3.0 Permitted activities

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?	Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)
---	---

- a) Permitted activities
- b) Non-permitted activities undertaken at the site

*The site was permitted under Section 6.9 A(1)(a) Rearing of poultry or pigs intensively in an installation with more than: (i) 40,000 places of poultry.
The permit was for the rearing of poultry in a facility with a capacity for 56,000 duck places.*

3.0(a) Environmental Risk Assessment

The H1 environmental risk assessment should identify elements that could impact on land and waters, cross-referenced back to documents and plans provided as part of the wider permit application.

Risk assessment provided in support of Environmental Permit application EPR/MP3635UN; accepted and determined on 30/04/2015.

3.0(b) Will the pollution prevention measures protect land and groundwater?**Are the activities likely to result in pollution of land?**

No – Justification was provided and assessment undertaken as part of the application for Environmental Permit EPR/UP3430AC; accepted and determined on 30/04/2015.

For dangerous and/or hazardous substances only, are the pollution prevention measures for the relevant activities to a standard that is likely to prevent pollution of land?

Yes – Justification was provided and assessment undertaken as part of the application for Environmental Permit EPR/UP3430AC; accepted and determined on 30/04/2015.

Application SCR decision summary	Tick relevant decision
Sufficient information has been supplied to describe the condition of the site at permit issue	Accepted at permit determination of EPR/UP3430AC on 30/04/2015.
Pollution of land and water is unlikely	Accepted at permit determination of EPR/UP3430AC on 30/04/2015.
Date and name of reviewer: (14/06/16)	<i>Kirsty Hobbs</i>

Operational phase SCR evaluation template

4.0 Changes to the activities	
Have there been any changes to the following during the operation of the site?	Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)
a) Activity boundaries b) Permitted activities c) "Hazardous pollutants" used or produced.	
N/A – the site was never constructed or operational	

5.0 Measures taken to protect land
Has the applicant provided evidence from records collated during the lifetime of the permit, to show that the pollution prevention measures have worked?
N/A – the site was never constructed or operational

6.0 Pollution incidents that may have impacted on land and their remediation
Has the applicant provided evidence to show that any pollution incidents which have taken place during the life of the permit and which may have impacted on land or water have been investigated and remediated (where necessary)?
N/A – the site was never constructed or operational

7.0 Soil gas and water quality monitoring (where relevant)
Where soil gas and/or water quality monitoring has been undertaken, does this demonstrate that there has been no change in the condition of the land? Has any change that has occurred been investigated and remediated?
N/A.

Surrender SCR Evaluation Template

8.0 Decommissioning and removal of pollution risk
Has the applicant demonstrated that decommissioning works have been undertaken and that all pollution risks associated with the site have been removed? Has any contamination of land that has occurred during these activities been investigated and remediated?
N/A – the site was never constructed or operational

9.0 Reference data and remediation (where relevant)
Has the applicant provided details of any surrender reference data that they have collected and any remediation that they have undertaken?
N/A – the site was never constructed or operational

10.0a and 10b Statement of site condition
Has the applicant provided a statement, backed up with evidence, confirming that the permitted activities have ceased, decommissioning works are complete and that pollution risk has been removed and that the land and waters at the site are in a satisfactory state?
N/A – the site was never constructed or operational

Surrender SCR decision summary	Tick relevant decision
No permitted activities have taken place on the site as the site has not been constructed. Therefore, there has been no risk of pollution from this site.	✓
Date and name of reviewer – 21/06/16	<i>Kirsty Hobbs</i>