



Our ref: FOI 2016/00857
Your ref:

Date 15 February 2016



Thank you for your email of 20 January 2016 requesting the following information:

1. *By species : How many animals were used in research by "The Public Authority" from January 1st 2014 to December 31st 2014 ?*
2. *Minutes, reports and correspondence of any Animal Welfare & Ethical Review Board ("AWERB"), formerly known as the Ethical Review Committee ("ERC"), or sub-committee at University level, including but not limited to departmental committees, from 2014, where these relate to experimentation or other work involving captive live animals, in addition to any guidance issued by these committees at any time if currently in use. For the avoidance of doubt the term 'committee' is used in broad definition and is inclusive of boards, groups or similarly natured structural enterprises.*

I must stress that I do not seek data which identifies those under the institution's employ and agree for such information to be redacted within reason."

We are treating your correspondence as a request for information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA).

A search for the information has now been completed within the Ministry of Defence, and I can confirm that information in scope of your request is held.

Dstl returns the numbers of procedures involving animals to the Home Office on an annual basis in accordance with UK legislation. Details of Dstl's Annual Return to the Home Office for calendar year 2014 are given in the table below.

Year 2014					
Animal Species	Mouse	Rat	Guinea Pigs	Pigs	Non-Human Primate
Number	3411	223	323	100	67

Dstl Porton Down conducts less than half of one per cent of the total animal experimentation carried out in the UK.

Dstl's purpose is to maximise the impact of science and technology for the defence and security of the UK. As part of this, we develop and create battle-winning technology and protection equipment for UK Forces and Government. Our role in helping to protect the UK and its Armed Forces requires us to answer questions and develop solutions to problems that cannot currently be addressed without the use of animals in research.

In respect to your request for copies of the minutes of Internal Ethical Review Committee meetings, the information discussed at these meetings was given in confidence by members of staff undertaking requirements under the terms of the Animal (Scientific Procedures) Act and is, therefore, exempt from public release under Section 24 of the Act (ASP). This section states :

"24. Protection of Confidential Information.

(1)A person is guilty of an offence if otherwise than for the purpose of discharging his functions under this Act he discloses any information which has been obtained by him in the exercise of those functions and which he knows or has reasonable grounds for believing to have been given in confidence".

This exemption would mean that release of even the redacted minutes of these meetings would be withheld from release in accordance with section 44 of the FOI Act, (Prohibition on Disclosure).

If you are not satisfied with this response or you wish to complain about any aspect of the handling of your request, then you may apply for an independent internal review by contacting the Information Rights Compliance team, 1st Floor, MOD Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB (e-mail CIO-FOI-IR@mod.uk). Please note that any request for an internal review must be made within 40 working days of the date on which the attempt to reach informal resolution has come to an end.

If you remain dissatisfied following an internal review, you may take your complaint to the Information Commissioner under the provisions of Section 50 of the Freedom of Information Act. Please note that the Information Commissioner will not investigate your case until the MOD internal review process has been completed. Further details of the role and powers of the Information Commissioner can be found on the Commissioner's website, <http://www.ico.gov.uk>.

Yours sincerely

Dstl Secretariat