

**From:**  
**Sent:** 17 November 2014 12:38  
**To:**  
**Subject:** RE: Hill of Lychrobbie DIO19464

If the development was for a single turbine of the same dimensions and still RLoS then we would object from an operational perspective. I believe that the Newlands of Housty development proposed and had accepted a technical mitigation, although I am not sure what report on potential mitigation solutions is referring to.

Regards

**From:**  
**Sent:** 17 November 2014 12:18  
**To:**  
**Subject:** FW: Hill of Lychrobbie DIO19464

Hi

You will recall that asked whether lining up the Hill of Lychrobbie turbines away from the radar would result in any mitigation. This query was passed to and his comments were passed back to please see the attached email.

From this, seems some how to have inferred that one turbine might be acceptable to us. I guess that this is not the case, but can you confirm?

Kind regards

**From:**  
**Sent:** 13 November 2014 16:00

25/06/2015

**To:** )  
**Subject:** Re: Hill of Lychrobbie DIO19464

Re-reading your email below, it appears that the radar specialist was considering a mitigation scenario of 2 turbines. If we only installed one, would that reduce the return to an acceptable level? Due to the timing of grid capacity becoming available, we were actually planning to install 1 turbine initially followed by the other 2 a year later. Could we install 1 and then fund an operational assessment, leading to a determination on the acceptability of the remaining 2 turbines based on actual data?

I note also that the Newlands of Houstry project secured a conditional objection from MoD which allowed them to be determined, although they were in fact refused by Highland Council - your final response to their application is attached. It appears from your letter that MoD were planning to publish a report on potential mitigation solutions in Spring 2014, has this been produced and does it clarify the potential options available to our project?

Regards