



Homes &
Communities
Agency

STATISTICAL DATA RETURN QUALITY REPORT

2015-2016

11 October 2016 v1.0

Introduction

1. This quality report describes the quality assurance arrangements for Statistical Data Return (SDR) data collected by the social housing regulator (HCA regulation). It accompanies the SDR statistical release, providing more detail on the regulatory and operational context for data collection and the safeguards that aim to minimise risks to data quality. It is intended to provide added context for users of the data contained in the SDR statistical release and data files.

Regulatory context

2. The regulatory framework for social housing in England provides both the basis for collecting SDR data and the framework which ultimately underpins data quality.
3. The social housing regulator collects SDR data in order to deliver its operational approach to regulating the economic standards set out in the [regulatory framework for social housing in England from the 1st April 2015](#)¹. The regulatory framework consists of three elements:
 - Regulatory requirements – the requirements with which private registered providers of social housing (PRPs) need to comply
 - Codes of practice – to assist registered providers in understanding how compliance can be achieved
 - Regulatory guidance – further explanatory information on the regulatory requirements, including how the regulator will carry out its role of regulating the requirements.
4. Regulatory requirements include three economic standards for PRPs – Government and Financial Viability Standard, Value for Money Standard, and the Rent Standard. These are the focus of the regulator’s operational approach. Regulatory requirements also include those which relate to data and information submission ([information submission requirements](#))². As part of the regulatory framework, PRPs are required to submit SDR data by 31 May each year, and other data returns and regulatory documents at various points during the year.
5. The regulatory framework places the onus for data quality on PRPs and their boards. The [Governance & Financial Viability Standard](#)³ sets out the specific expectation that ‘providers shall communicate with the regulator in an accurate and timely manner’. This expectation is amplified in guidance on the regulatory approach, [Regulating the Standards](#)⁴, which states that the regulator will regard ‘the submission of late, incomplete, or inaccurate regulatory data as evidence of a weak control environment and possibly evidence of a failure to comply with this standard’.
6. The regulator acts to address issues of data quality through its stated [regulatory approach](#)⁵. For all providers that own 1,000 or more social housing units, the regulator publishes regulatory judgements of their compliance with the Governance & Financial Viability Standard which includes data quality.

¹ <https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/regulatory-framework-requirements>

² <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/information-required-from-registered-providers>

³ https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/419368/Governance_and_Financial_Viability_Standard_2015.pdf

⁴ https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/535067/Regulating_the_Standards_July_2016.pdf (p6)

⁵ <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/social-housing-regulation-regulating-the-standards>

Further, the regulator has statutory intervention and enforcement powers ranging from collection of information to removal of PRP officers as set out in [guidance on the regulator's approach to intervention, enforcement and use of powers](#)⁶. The regulator has a duty to exercise its functions in a proportionate way.

Operational context

7. The SDR collects data from all PRPs. The first annual collection occurred in 2012 and it has been collected annually from PRPs since. The SDR collects data on stock size, types, location and rents at 31 March each year, and data on sales and acquisitions made between the 12 months up to the 31 March each year. All PRPs are expected to complete the SDR return, with the size of return completed based on the size of PRP stock ownership (those with fewer than 1,000 units of social stock complete a reduced form).
8. The SDR is collected via a web-based data collection system called [NROSH+](#)⁷. The NROSH+ system is hosted and maintained by HCA-IT, and HCA regulation (data team) operates the system, controlling the requirements for data input processes, storage, verification, sign off and extraction of submitted data⁸. Data is either imported or entered into the NROSH+ system directly by PRPs.
9. The regulator sees effective communication with PRPs as an important tool in promoting accurate and timely SDR data submissions. Prior to the start of each financial year, the regulator writes to all PRP Chief Executives (email and hard copy) to highlight regulatory requirements on data submissions including deadlines, the launch of updated data templates and guidance, and expectations on data quality and timeliness in regulatory standards. Regular emails and website communications are used to highlight refreshed SDR data templates and guidance published on the NROSH+ website and remind PRPs of forthcoming deadlines. In the run-up to the SDR submission deadline of 31 May, HCA regulation (data team) proactively contacts providers that have not started their SDR submission according to the NROSH+ system, providing additional support and guidance in completing returns.

Quality assurance processes

10. HCA regulation (data team) subject submitted SDR data to a series of internal checks to identify potential quality issues before each individual data return is signed off. The final SDR data file that supports the statistical release is only created from individual SDR returns that are checked and signed off. Where outstanding queries, deemed material to the final dataset, cannot be resolved the data is excluded from the final dataset.⁹ In 2015/16 no returns were excluded from the final dataset due to unresolved queries.
11. There are broadly two types of checks on SDR data submitted by PRPs:
 - Automated validations

⁶ <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/guidance-on-the-regulators-approach-to-intervention-enforcement-and-use-of-powers>

⁷ <https://nroshplus.homesandcommunities.co.uk/>

⁸ During 2015/16 the NROSH+ system infrastructure and operation was migrated from an external contractor to HCA-IT. No disruption to the delivery of the NROSH+ application was experienced and data collection was unaffected.

⁹ In 2014/15 a total of six returns (all from providers with fewer than 1,000 units) were excluded from the final dataset. Examples of errors in the 2014/15 which led to the return being excluded include: a return where the stock census section was left blank (PRP had stock in 2013/14); the duplication of the number of units entered in multiple sections of the stock census (particularly new entries in non-social housing section); and issues with identification of Affordable Rent stock acquisition route.

- Manual inspection and sense-checking by HCA regulation (data team) staff.
12. Automated validations on SDR data are programmed into the NROSH+ system and check the SDR data at the point of submission. Checks include those that:
 - Ensure every data point is in the correct format (text, numeric, decimal, email, non-blank, etc.).
 - Confirm whether data is consistent, logically possible and within expected limits. For example, automated validations ensure that numbers of units owned are consistent across different parts of the SDR and that local authority areas are reported consistently.
 13. Automated validations are either 'hard' or 'soft'.
 - When a 'hard' validation is triggered, the PRP cannot submit their SDR data without the issue being addressed. For example, a hard validation will be triggered when a local authority is present in one question and not in another comparable question.
 - When a 'soft' validation is triggered a warning is given to the PRP to check their information. For example, a soft validation may fire when a value appears to be outside of a normally expected range. PRPs can submit with soft validation errors, but are expected to submit a supporting document to explain the error. Where documents are not submitted or the explanation is insufficient HCA regulation (data team) will investigate further and may contact the provider to discuss the issue.
 14. Following submission and automated checks, HCA regulation (data team) runs a systematic programme of manual inspections and sense checking on all submitted data before it is signed off within NROSH+. The level of manual checking performed on each return is based on the complexity of the provider and the number of LAs the provider operates in. These criteria were selected as they directly affect the complexity of the SDR form, therefore increasing the probability of error, and were found to have a bearing on the number of errors found in SDR returns in previous years. Random spot checks on 10% of returns are also undertaken to ensure that the testing regime is robust.
 15. In general, for all providers with 1,000 or more units there is a full manual check of the entity level information, stock movement, and rents sections. New PRPs, those with Affordable Rent stock, or a degree of complexity in group structure or geographical stock ownership, are subject to further manual checks of every section of the submitted SDR. Stand-alone PRPs with fewer than 1,000 units operating in a single local authority are subject to a basic check focused on the entity-level information section and changes to their reported stock numbers within the stock census.
 16. All SDR returns are subject to tests which ensure changes in current and prior year stock totals are broadly consistent with submitted data on stock movement (new build, acquisitions, sales, demolitions etc.) within the year and that reported group structures are consistent with other provider returns.
 17. Where HCA regulation (data team) identifies a potential anomaly with the submitted SDR data, a query is raised with the submitting PRP. The sign off of SDR returns for all providers with 1,000 or more units is dependent on the resolution of all queries. Once a final data set is created no further amendments to the underlying data returns are possible. In 2015/16 all queries were resolved with large PRPs.

18. Almost all the SDR data submitted by PRPs is published at a disaggregated level as part of the statistical release. Releasing data into the public domain serves as an additional route through which erroneous SDR data may be identified by the PRP or third parties. Under the revisions policy described in the statistical release document, where PRPs report errors on submitted data these will be recorded and used to correct data in the subsequent year's statistical release, or through a supplementary release during the year if the level of error is deemed material to the use of the data. The level of revision due to identified errors is documented within the following year's statistical release.
19. If it becomes apparent, either through manual checks or subsequent information, that SDR data has been submitted with significant material errors that may reasonably have been found in a PRP's quality control process, the regulator will consider the extent to which this offers evidence of failure to meet requirements for data quality and timeliness under the Governance & Financial Viability Standard. Within the regulatory framework set out above, the regulator will consider the most appropriate proportionate response to material SDR errors, taking into consideration data quality and timeliness issues across other regulatory data returns.

Coverage error / response rates

20. All PRPs are required to complete the SDR. However, either due to non-submission or exclusion due to unresolved errors there is a small level of known SDR non-response (in 2016 the overall non-response rate was 5%)¹⁰. Following consideration of alternative methods and discussions with the National Statistician's Office and the Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG), weighting has been selected as the preferable solution to account for the small proportion of census respondents who did not submit any data given the incomplete prior year data for some of the non-submitting PRPs and the relative simplicity of the dataset.
21. Using administrative data it has been identified that to date all of the non-respondents of the SDR data set are small providers, i.e. those which own fewer than 1,000 social housing units/bedspaces. As it is possible to segregate the information supplied by large and small providers in the dataset, weighting has only been applied to the data submitted by small providers (with the exception of the optional SDR questions covering mutual exchanges and evictions). For more information please refer to the weighting section of the statistical release.

Data transfer and data protection

22. Individual SDR returns are stored securely with the NROSH+ infrastructure, accessible to the submitting PRP and HCA regulation staff. The individual returns are also collated into a single data transfer file and are held within a restricted area on the HCA internal server.
23. The data transfer file is subject to a number of checks to ensure consistency with the underlying data. These include checks on PRPs included in each table and spot checks to ensure individual PRP returns are captured correctly.
24. The analysis of the SDR data is undertaken following the UK Statistical Authority Code of Practice for Official Statistics guidelines, ensuring data is

¹⁰ In 2014/15 the non-response rate was 4%.

held securely and analysis remains accessible only to members of the analysis and production team until the statistics release date. Please see pre-release notification list available on the [HCA pages](#).¹¹

25. Data submitted by PRPs is redacted within the public release to remove all contact information submitted within the Entity Level Information (ELI) section. This contact information is not publically available.

On-going assessment of data quality

26. HCA regulation keeps data quality of the SDR under constant review, with reference to the UK Statistical Authority Code of Practice for Official Statistics and supporting documentation. The SDR statistical release provides a commentary of the strengths and weaknesses of statistics presented.
27. With respect to the UK Statistical Authority Administrative Data Quality Assurance Toolkit and in the context of other statistics published by UK Government and its agencies, HCA regulation judges that the SDR is likely to be categorised as 'low quality risk – medium public interest'. Notwithstanding this, HCA regulation aims for the highest standards of data quality possible within the constraints of available resources and the existing regulatory and operational context. Through on-going internal analysis, the regulator seeks to understand SDR data quality and identify potential means by which it may be improved.
28. The designated responsible statistician for the SDR statistical release was Amanda Hall.
29. For further information please contact the HCA Referrals and Regulatory Enquiries Team on 0300 1234 500 or email at mail@homesandcommunities.co.uk

¹¹ <https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/statistical-data-return-statistical-releases>

homesandcommunities.co.uk
mail@homesandcommunities.co.uk
0300 1234 500



Homes &
Communities
Agency

Homes and Communities Agency
Fry Building
2 Marsham Street
London SW1P 4DF

The Homes and Communities Agency is committed to providing accessible information where possible and we will consider providing information in alternative formats such as large print, audio and Braille upon request.

Publication date: October 2016