Environment Agency permitting decisions

Surrender

We have decided to accept the surrender of the permit for Tyseley Urban Resource Centre operated by Go Green Gas Ltd.

The permit number is EPR/UP3231NQ.

We are satisfied that the necessary measures have been taken to avoid any pollution risk and to return the site to a satisfactory state.

We consider in reaching that decision we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements.

Purpose of this document

This decision document:

- explains how the operator's application has been determined
- provides a record of the decision-making process
- shows how all relevant factors have been taken into account

Structure of this document

- Key issues Annex 1 the decision checklist

Key issues of the decision

The permit was granted to Tyseley Urban Resource Centre Ltd in April 2014; no construction started on site and the facility will now not be built.

The company changed name in July 2015 to Go Green Gas Ltd, and the surrender application was submitted in this name. There was no change to the companies house number, and therefore it is not classed as a transfer.

The state of the site has not changed since the permit was granted as no construction works have been undertaken and operations will not commence. We are satisfied that the site is in a satisfactory state and there are no pollution risks on site.

Annex 1: decision checklist

This document should be read in conjunction with the application and supporting information.

Aspect considered	Justification / Detail	Criteria met Yes
Receipt of submission		
Confidential information	A claim for commercial or industrial confidentiality has not been made.	✓
The site		
Extent of the surrender application	The operator has provided a plan showing the extent of the site of the facility that is to be surrendered. We consider this plan to be satisfactory.	✓
Pollution risk	We are satisfied that the necessary measures have been taken to avoid a pollution risk resulting from the operation of the regulated facility. The regulated facility has never been constructed or operated.	✓
Satisfactory state	We are satisfied that the necessary measures have been taken to return the site of the regulated facility to a satisfactory state. In coming to this decision we have had regard to the state of the site before the facility was put into operation. The site remains in the same state as no works have been carried out.	✓