

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Section52CDPA](#)
Subject: Photographers perspective on the amendment
Date: 12 December 2015 17:16:45

A act not dissimilar to this was recently voted out of the European Parliament, the major issue in both cases being that any work of art - or pieces of Architecture or design - in a public place - or viewable from such a place - will constitute an infringement of copyright if featured in photographs made for commercial gain. Whilst one might understand the necessity for permissions to be sought by the legal departments of large film or television production companies or Advertising agencies, this would also mean wedding photographs taken in a public square, or even in a bar or hotel with recognisable fixtures and furnishings would violate copyright of (potentially) numerous creators or their licence holders. This also sent shockwaves throughout the amateur photography community as well as the general public and tourists. These issues were dealt with during the 1880's with the Bern Convention (1886)

I hope that wiser minds prevail and this further complicating of the matter is dropped - and not "snuck in" under the media's radar.

Andrew McGeechan
Coventry
UK