# Scarborough Spa to Ravenscar 

Coastal Access: Filey to Newport Bridge - Natural England's Proposals

## Part 2.1: Introduction

| Start Point: | Scarborough Spa (grid reference: TA 044 879) |
| :--- | :--- |
| End Point: | Ravenscar (grid reference: NZ 980 016) |
| Relevant Maps: | 2a to 2J |

## Understanding the proposals and accompanying maps:

## The Trail:

2.1.1 Our proposed alignment for the trail follows the existing route walked by users of the Cleveland Way. Usually this alignment coincides with an underlying public right of way but occasionally informal diversions have been established, marked out by the regular passage of feet. The main reason for this is that the cliffs along this length of coast are eroding and there are several places where the path people follow in practice along the cliff top has moved inland. Our proposed alignment follows the established walked route, and (subject to approval) would in due course secure it as the route of both National Trails, once we propose replacing the previously approved line of the Cleveland Way with that of the proposed line for England Coast Path.

Our proposed route through Scarborough follows that currently recommended to people following the Cleveland Way. The original proposals made for the Cleveland Way in 1969 omitted this section of trail, so it does not formally form part of that route. We intend to remedy this using a varying report once the England Coast Path route is approved.

The existing route meets the criteria set out in the Coastal Access Scheme that Natural England should have specific regard to:

- The safety and convenience of those using the route;
- The desirability of it adhering to the periphery of the coast and providing views of the sea; and
- The desirability of ensuring that, so far as is reasonably practicable, interruptions to it are kept to a minimum.
2.1.2 The trail follows the coastline quite closely apart from the area to the north of Ravenscar where the trail turns landward, remaining at a higher elevation thereby maintaining good views of the sea. See map 2 j .
2.1.3 This part of the coast includes the following sites, designated for nature conservation (See map C of the Overview):
- Beast Cliff - Whitby Robin Hood's Bay Special Area of Conservation (SAC)
- Cayton, Cornelian \& South Bays Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI)
- North Bay to South Toll House Cliff SSSI
- Iron Scar \& Hundale Point to Scalby Ness SSSI
- Hayburn Wyke SSSI
- Robin Hood's Bay. Maw Wyke to Beast Cliff SSSI

We have assessed the potential impacts of access along the proposed route (and over the associated coastal margin described below) on the features for which the affected land is designated and on any which are protected in their own right.
2.1.4 The Cleveland Way is a well-established and popular National Trail. Our proposed trail alignment follows this existing route. The coastal margin is largely cliff slope and beach. We don't anticipate any conflict with protecting key sensitive features on this length of coast.

## See part 5b of the Overview 'Natural Environment' for more details about the appraisal process described above, or refer to our published Access and Sensitive Features Appraisal for more information about the conclusions of the appraisal.

## Accessibility

2.1.5 Generally, there are few artificial barriers to accessibility on the proposed route, which makes use of existing surface paths wherever these meet the criteria in the Coastal Access Scheme.

However, there are places where it may not be entirely suitable for people with reduced mobility because:

- The trail would follow an uneven grass or bare soil path along the cliff top; and/or

■ There are steps in places such as Hayburn Wyke, where it would be necessary to ascend and descend steeply.
2.1.6 North of Hayburn Wyke, an existing step stile will be replaced by a kissing gate, to make it easier
to use. We envisage this happening as part of the physical establishment work described in part 6
of the Overview.

See part 5a of the Overview - 'Recreational issues' - for more information.

## Where we have proposed exercising our discretion

The discretions referred to below are explained in more detail in the Overview.
2.1.7 Landward boundary of the coastal margin: We have used our discretion on some sections of the route to map the landward extent of the coastal margin to an adjacent physical boundary such as a fence line, pavement or track to make the extent of the new access rights clearer. See Table 2.2.1 below.
2.1.8 At Hayburn Wyke Wood, we have used our discretion to propose the inclusion of additional, more extensive landward areas within the coastal margin, to secure or enhance public enjoyment of this part of the coast. The owner of this land is content for us to propose this.

[^0]2.1.9 The new access rights would also be subject to the excepted land types summarised in Annex C of the Overview, and the national restrictions on activities listed in Annex D. This would be so throughout the coastal margin, but these restrictions would not apply to the existing public rights of way.

## See part 9 of the Overview - 'Restrictions and exclusions' - for details.

2.1.10 Establishment: Most of this length of trail is in very good condition. Some minor repairs and upgrades will be carried out to the path surface and trail furniture prior to opening, including replacing the stile at Hayburn Wake (as mentioned in 2.1.6) and new waymarking through urban sections of the route in Scarborough.
2.1.11 Ongoing management: Ongoing management and maintenance would be necessary in accordance with the general approach described in part 7 of the Overview. The overall need for this is likely to be greater than on some other parts of the stretch, recognising that it will be necessary for the route to roll back from time to time in response to coastal processes, as described below.

See parts 6 - 'Physical establishment of the trail' and 7 - 'Maintenance of the trail' of the Overview for more information.

## Future Change

2.1.12 With the exception of section FNG-2-S001 at Scarborough promenade, any part of the route of the trail on this length of coast would be able to change without further approval from the Secretary of State, in response to coastal erosion or other geomorphological processes, or encroachment by the sea. This would happen in accordance with the criteria and procedures for 'roll back' set out in part 8 of the Overview. See table 2.2.1 below for details of the sections likely to be affected in the foreseeable future.
2.1.13 At the time of preparing the report, we do not foresee any other need for future changes to the access provisions proposed for the length of coast described in this chapter.

See parts 5e-'Coastal processes' and 8 - 'Future changes' of the Overview for more information.

## Part 2.2: Commentary on Maps

## See Part 3 of Overview for guidance on reading and understanding the tables below

### 2.2.1 Section Details - Maps 2a to 2j: Scarborough Spa to Ravenscar

Notes on table:
Column 5 - 'Yes: Normal' means roll-back approach is likely to follow the current feature (e.g. cliff edge/beach) for the foreseeable future.
Column 5 - 'Yes - see table 2.2.2 means refer to that table below about our likely approach to roll-back on this part of the route.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6a | 6b | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Map(s) | Route section number(s) | Current status of this section | Current surface of this section | Roll-back proposed? (See Part 8 of Overview) | Landward boundary of margin (See maps) | Reason for landward boundary discretion | Proposed exclusions or restrictions (see Part 9 of Overview) |
| 2a, 2b | FNG-2-S001 | Public Footway | Tarmac | No | Landward pavement edge | Clarity and Cohesion | None |
| 2b | FNG-2-S002 | Public Footpath | Steps: Stone | Yes: Normal | Landward edge of trail | Not used | None |
| 2c | FNG-2-S003 | Public Footpath | Stone: <br> Aggregate | Yes: Normal | Landward edge of trail | Not used | None |
| 2c, 2d | FNG-2-S004 | Public Footpath | Grass | Yes: Normal | Landward edge of trail | Not used | None |
| 2d | FNG-2-S005 | Public Footpath | Steps: <br> Timber | Yes: Normal | Landward edge of trail | Not used | None |
| 2 e | FNG-2-S006 | Public Footpath | Grass | Yes: Normal | Landward edge of trail | Not used | None |
|  | FNG-2-S007 | Public Footpath | Steps: Stone | Yes: Normal | Landward edge of trail | Not used | None |
|  | FNG-2-S008 | Public Footpath | Grass | Yes: Normal | Fence line | Clarity and Cohesion | None |
|  | FNG-2-S009 | Public Footpath | Steps: Stone | Yes: Normal | Fence line | Clarity and Cohesion | None |
|  | FNG-2-S010 | Public Footpath | Grass | Yes: Normal | Fence line | Clarity and Cohesion | None |
|  | FNG-2-S011 | Public Footpath | Steps: <br> Timber | Yes: Normal | Landward edge of trail | Not used | None |
|  | FNG-2-S012 | Public Footpath | Grass | Yes: Normal | Landward edge of trail | Not used | None |
|  | FNG-2-S013 | Public Footpath | Steps: <br> Timber | Yes: Normal | Landward edge of trail | Not used | None |
| $2 f$ | FNG-2-S014 | Public Footpath | Grass | Yes: Normal | Fence line | Clarity and Cohesion | None |
|  | FNG-2-S015 | Public Footpath | Steps: Stone | Yes: Normal | Fence line | Clarity and Cohesion | None |
|  | FNG-2-S016 | Public Footpath | Grass | Yes: Normal | Fence line | Clarity and Cohesion | None |
|  | FNG-2-S017 | Public Footpath | Steps: Stone | Yes: Normal | Landward edge of trail | Not used | None |
|  | FNG-2-S018 | Public Footpath | Grass | Yes: Normal | Fence Line | Clarity and Cohesion | None |
|  | FNG-2-S019 | Public Footpath | Grass | Yes: Normal | Landward edge of trail | Not used | None |
|  | FNG-2-S020 | Public Footpath | Grass | Yes: Normal | Various | Clarity and Cohesion | None |
| 2g | FNG-2-S021 | Public Footpath | Grass | Yes: Normal | Fence line | Clarity and Cohesion | None |
|  | FNG-2-S022 | Public Footpath | Steps: Stone | Yes: Normal | Various | Clarity and Cohesion | None |
|  | FNG-2-S023 | Public Footpath | Stone: Aggregate | Yes: Normal | Various | Clarity and Cohesion | None |
|  | FNG-2-S024 | Public Footpath | Steps: Stone | Yes: Normal | Landward edge of trail | Not used | None |
|  | FNG-2-S025 | Public Footpath | Bare Soil (compacted) | Yes: Normal | Landward edge of trail | Not used | None |


| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6a | 6b | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Map(s) | Route section number(s) | Current status of this section | Current surface of this section | Roll-back proposed? (See Part 8 of Overview) | Landward boundary of margin (See maps) | Reason for landward boundary discretion | Proposed exclusions or restrictions (see Part 9 of Overview) |
| 2g | FNG-2-S026 | Public Footpath | Bare Soil (compacted) | Yes: Normal | Landward edge of trail | Not used | None |
|  | FNG-2-S027 | Public Footpath | Steps: <br> Timber | Yes: Normal | Landward edge of trail | Not used | None |
|  | FNG-2-S028 | Public Footpath | Grass | Yes: Normal | Landward edge of trail | Not used | None |
| 2h | FNG-2-S029 | Public Footpath | Grass | Yes: Normal | Fence line | Clarity and Cohesion | None |
| 2h, 2 i | FNG-2-S030 | Public Footpath | Grass | Yes: Normal | Fence line and wall | Clarity and Cohesion | None |
| 2j | FNG-2-S031 | Public Footpath | Grass | Yes: Normal | Fence line | Clarity and Cohesion | None |
|  | FNG-2-S032 | Public Footpath | Grass | Yes: Normal | Landward edge of trail | Not used | None |
|  | FNG-2-S033 | Public Footpath | Stone: <br> Aggregate | Yes: Normal | Fence line | Clarity and Cohesion | None |
|  | FNG-2-S034 | Public Footway | Tarmac | Yes: See <br> 2.2.2 table | Landward pavement edge | Clarity and Cohesion | None |

### 2.2.2 Roll-back implementation - more complex situations: Maps 2a to 2j: Scarborough Spa to Ravenscar

| Map(s) | Section <br> number(s) | Option(s) <br> considered | Reasons for not proposing this option as the route |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 j}$ | FNG-2-S034 | Buildings <br> and curtilage | If it is no longer possible to find a viable route seaward of the specified <br> excepted land (e.g. buildings, curtilage, gardens etc), we will choose a route <br> landward of it, following discussions with owners and occupiers. |

Note: In relation to all other sections where roll-back has been proposed, the trail is likely to be adjusted to follow the current feature (e.g. cliff edge/beach) for the foreseeable future.

## Part 2.3: Chapter 2 - Formal Proposals

- Below are our formal proposals to the Secretary of State for the length of coast shown on maps 2 a to 2 j .

■ They should be read in conjunction with the relevant maps.
■ The commentary above explains the practical effect of these proposals.

## Formal Proposals - Scarborough Spa to Ravenscar

## Proposed route of the trail

2.3.1 At route section FNG-2-S001, the route is to be at the centre of the line shown on map 2a and 2 b as the proposed route of the trail.
2.3.2 In relation to route sections FNG-2-S002 to FNG-2-S034, the route, as initially determined at the time the report was prepared, is to be at the centre of the line shown on maps $2 b$ to $2 j$ as the proposed route of the trail.
2.3.3 If at any time any part of a route section listed in the previous paragraph needs, in Natural England's view, to change as a result of coastal erosion or other geomorphological processes or encroachment by the sea, in order for the overall route to remain viable, the new route for the part in question will be determined by Natural England without further reference to the Secretary of State. This will be done in accordance with the criteria and procedures described under the title 'Roll-back' in part 8 of the Overview and section 4.10 of the Coastal Access Scheme. If this happens, the new route will become the approved route for that section for the purposes of the Order which determines where coastal access rights apply.

## Landward boundary of coastal margin

2.3.4 Adjacent to route sections FNG-2-S008 to 010, FNG-2-S014 to 016, FNG-2-S018, FNG-2-S020 to 021, FNG-2-S029 to 031 and FNG-2-S033, the landward boundary of the coastal margin is to coincide with the existing boundary fence or wall, or a combination of fence and wall, which, at the time of writing this report, is landward of the public footpath shown as the trail on maps $2 e$ to 2 j .
2.3.5 Adjacent to route sections FNG-2-S001 and FNG-2-S034 the landward boundary of the coastal margin is to coincide with landward edge of the pavement shown as the trail on maps $2 \mathrm{a}, 2 \mathrm{~b}$ and 2 j .
2.3.6 Adjacent to route sections FNG-2-S022 \& 023, the landward boundary of the coastal margin is to coincide with the fence and beck to the landward side of the trail, as indicated by the coastal margin landward of the trail on map $2 g$.

## Local restrictions and exclusions

2.3.7 At the time of writing this report, there are no proposals for local restrictions or exclusions in relation to this length of coast.

## ENGLAND Map 2a Scarborough Spa to Sea Life Centre (Map 1 of 2)







\section*{|  | Coastal Access - Filey Brigg to Newport Bridge - |
| :--- | :--- |
| NATURAL |  |
| ENGLAND | Map 2f Salt Pans to Newlands Dale |}





ENGLAND Map 2i Herbert Hole to Common Cliff (Map 2 of 2)


| Coastal Access - Filey Brigg to Newport Bridge - N |
| :--- |
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[^0]:    See also part 3 of the Overview - 'Understanding the proposals and accompanying maps’, for an explanation of the default extent of the coastal margin and how we may use our discretion to adjust the margin, either to add land or to provide clarity. See also Annex C of the Overview - 'Excepted land categories'.

