

Application SCR evaluation template

Name of activity, address and NGR	Kerry Foods Limited Lakeside Europarc Grimsby DN37 9TW NGR: TA 23960 11185
-----------------------------------	---

Document reference of application SCR	Application ref. –EPR/BT8236IJ/A001 Transfer ref. – EPR/NP3739CG/T001 Surrender ref. – EPR/NP3739CG/S003
---------------------------------------	--

Date and version of application SCR	Application – Application Site Report 28/03/03 Surrender – Site Condition Report 22/12/14
-------------------------------------	--

1.0 Site details

To be completed by NPS
(Source)

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?	Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)
Site plans showing site layout, drainage, surfacing, receptors, sources of emissions/releases and monitoring points	The operator provided plans which we considered satisfactory at permit determination 28/08/03.

2.0 Condition of the land at permit issue

To be completed by GWCL officers
(Receptor)

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?	Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)
a) Environmental setting including geology, hydrogeology and surface waters b) Pollution history including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • pollution incidents that may have affected land • historical land-uses and associated contaminants • visual/olfactory evidence of existing contamination • evidence of damage to existing pollution prevention measures c) Evidence of historic contamination (i.e. historical site investigation, assessment, remediation and verification reports (where available)) d) Has the applicant chosen to collect baseline reference data?	Accepted at permit determination 28/08/03. It was determined that there is no evidence to indicate that contamination may exist at the site, which is similar in nature to any substances that are used or may be produced/generated by the operation. The site report included data from pre-existing Phase 1a and 1b site assessments which had been carried out by English Partnerships relating to wider Europarc development.

3.0 Permitted activities

To be completed by NPS officers
(Source)

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?	Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)
a) Permitted activities b) Non-permitted activities undertaken at the site	Accepted at permit determination 28/08/03. The operator applied to operate under Scheduled activity S6.8 (b) The treatment of animal and vegetable matter and food industries. Under this activity animal and vegetable raw materials are processed into a ready meal.

3.0 Permitted activities

To be completed by NPS officers
(Source)

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?	Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)
	Directly associated activities such as boiler operation and effluent treatment plant for treatment of process effluents were permitted.

3.0(a) Environmental Risk Assessment	
The H1 environmental risk assessment should identify elements that could impact on land and waters, cross-referenced back to documents and plans provided as part of the wider permit application.	<p>The most significant risks identified by risk assessment were that of fugitive emissions to ground arising from the storage of chemicals, mineral and vegetable oils and cleaning in place (CIP) tanks.</p> <p>The process effluents contain organic pollutants that have potential to cause water pollution. Therefore the effluent is treated at the site treatment plant prior to discharge to sewer.</p> <p>During the application determination we assessed the operator's pollution prevention measures such as bunding and drainage and were satisfied that there was little likelihood for pollution.</p>

3.0(b) Will the pollution prevention measures protect land and groundwater?	
Are the activities likely to result in pollution of land?	<p>No. We are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated that the proposed operation has little likelihood to cause pollution of land.</p> <p>We consider in reaching this decision to issue an environmental permit, we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the permit will ensure that the appropriate level of environmental protection is provided.</p>
For dangerous and/or hazardous substances only, are the pollution prevention measures for the relevant activities to a standard that is likely to prevent pollution of land?	<p>All chemicals and oils are stored within separate bunded areas/cabinets. All areas surrounding the factory are either concrete yards or tarred road-ways.</p> <p>Drains within the process and delivery area are within sealed system leading to the effluent treatment plant and foul sewer.</p>

Application SCR decision summary To be completed by GWCL officer and returned to NPS	Tick relevant decision
Sufficient information has been supplied to describe the condition of the site at permit issue; or	Accepted at permit determination.
Pollution of land and water is unlikely	Agreed and accepted at permit determination.
Historical contamination is present- advise operator that collection of background data may be appropriate	Not with respect to substances that are used/generated by the operation of the activity.

Operational phase SCR evaluation template

4.0 Changes to the activities	
Have there been any changes to the following during the operation of the site?	Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)
a) Activity boundaries b) Permitted activities c) "Dangerous substances" used or produced	<p>The permit has not undergone, through request by the operator or the Environment Agency, any change to either:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Activity boundaries b) Permitted activities c) "Dangerous substances" used or produced. <p>The permit has been subject of one transfer.</p>
5.0 Measures taken to protect land	
Has the applicant provided evidence from records collated during the lifetime of the permit, to show that the pollution prevention measures have worked?	<p>There were no instances of spillage or breaches of containment during its operational life. The operator and the Environment Agency site inspector have provided records of the final condition of the site and the process of decommissioning. This information was provided at the time of application for surrender. The site has been inspected periodically since it was first permitted. Following a compliance records review, no evidence of failure of the pollution prevention measures has ever been noted.</p>
6.0 Pollution incidents that may have impacted on land and their remediation	
Has the applicant provided evidence to show that any pollution incidents which have taken place during the life of the permit and which may have impacted on land or water have been investigated and remediated (where necessary)?	<p>The operator has stated that no major equipment/containment failure or spills have occurred during the operation of the site.</p>
7.0 Soil gas and water quality monitoring (where relevant)	
Where soil gas and/or water quality monitoring has been undertaken, does this demonstrate that there has been no change in the condition of the land? Has any change that has occurred been investigated and remediated?	<p>Not applicable. Monitoring was not requested or required through the conditions of the permit.</p>

Surrender SCR Evaluation Template

8.0 Decommissioning and removal of pollution risk	
To be completed by EM/PPC officers	
Has the applicant demonstrated that decommissioning works have been undertaken and that all pollution risks associated with the site have been removed? Has any contamination of land that has occurred during these activities been investigated and remediated?	<p>Permitted activities have been confirmed as ceased. The site process has been fully decommissioned and inspected by the Environment Agency. All raw materials, chemicals and fuels used within the regulated activities have been removed. All process, treatment and storage tanks have been emptied and cleaned. All drains, sumps and yard have been cleaned and washed down.</p> <p>The decommissioning was carried out in accordance with the closure plan and there were no incidents during the removal of liquids from the site.</p> <p>All sources of pollution have been removed prior to the application for the surrender of the Environmental Permit EPR/NP3739CG. This has been confirmed by the completion dates on a Site Closure Plan (Report Number: RM0839 dated 02/07/13) and through the site visit by the Environment Agency site inspector on 29/01/15. This is recorded within the final compliance assessment report (Report ID:NP3739CG/0230499).</p>
9.0 Reference data and remediation (where relevant)	
Has the applicant provided details of any surrender reference data that they have collected and any remediation that they have undertaken.	Not applicable.

10.0 Statement of site condition

Has the applicant provided a statement, backed up with evidence, confirming that the permitted activities have ceased, decommissioning works are complete and that pollution risk has been removed and that the land and waters at the site are in a satisfactory state?

The operator has provided a Site Closure Plan (Report Number: RM0839 dated 02/07/13) which confirms the removal of all raw and process materials from the installation. All main items of plant such as refrigeration plant, boiler and process line equipment have been dismantled and removed off site whilst tanks have remained and would be sold for future industrial use.

The Site Closure Plan confirms that the activities have ceased and that decommissioning works are complete.

Evidence has been provided to support the operator's statements in the Site Closure Plan.

Both the operator and the Environment Agency site inspector have outlined that the site had a good compliance rating and has confirmed the decommissioning activities are complete. We agree that no soil and/or groundwater data is required to be collected in this instance.

The Environment Agency is satisfied with the decommissioning activities and final state of the site. Site visits have been conducted and no concerns have been raised. We agree that the site has been returned in a satisfactory state.

Surrender SCR decision summary

To be completed by GWCL officers and returned to NPS

Tick relevant decision

Sufficient information has been supplied to show that pollution risk has been removed and that the site is in a satisfactory state – accept the application to surrender the permit.

Accepted at surrender