

# **Environment Agency permitting decisions**

## **Surrender**

We have decided to accept the surrender of the permit for Weights Farm Poultry Unit operated by Mr Stephen Wood and Mr John Sagar, trading as J & S A Wood.

The permit number is EPR/LP3132CL.

We are satisfied that the necessary measures have been taken to avoid any pollution risk and to return the site to a satisfactory state.

We consider in reaching that decision we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements.

## **Purpose of this document**

This decision document:

- explains how the operator's application has been determined
- provides a record of the decision-making process
- shows how all relevant factors have been taken into account

## **Structure of this document**

- Annex 1 the decision checklist

## Annex 1: decision checklist

This document should be read in conjunction with the Duly Making checklist, the application and supporting information and permit/ notice.

Aspect considered	Justification / Detail	Criteria met
		Yes
<b>The site</b>		
Extent of the surrender application	<p>The operator has provided a plan showing the extent of the site of the facility that is to be surrendered.</p> <p>We consider this plan to be satisfactory.</p>	✓
Pollution risk	<p>We are satisfied that the necessary measures have been taken to avoid a pollution risk resulting from the operation of the regulated facility.</p> <p>The permitted activity never commenced at the site and the facility was never built.</p>	✓
Satisfactory state	<p>We are satisfied that the necessary measures have been taken to return the site of the regulated facility to a satisfactory state.</p> <p>We have visited the site to ensure that the activities have not commenced. Our visit confirms that the facility has not been built as is stated in the application and we are satisfied that there are no potential sources of pollution left within the permitted boundary. We are satisfied that the facility can be surrendered.</p> <p>In coming to this decision we have had regard to the state of the site before the facility was put into operation.</p>	✓