



Department  
of Energy &  
Climate Change

# DECC Household Energy Efficiency National Statistics: Consultation response

19 November 2015

© Crown copyright 2015

URN 15D/515

You may re-use this information (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence.

To view this licence, visit [www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/](http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/) or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email: [psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk).

Any enquiries regarding this publication should be sent to DECC's Household Energy Efficiency Statistics team at the following email address: [EnergyEfficiency.Stats@decc.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:EnergyEfficiency.Stats@decc.gsi.gov.uk).

Contact telephone: 0300 068 5106

# Contents

<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>4</b>
Background .....	4
Original user consultation proposals .....	4
General response .....	4
Table 1: Type and number of respondents .....	5
<b>Summary and next steps</b> .....	<b>5</b>
Headline release (Monthly ECO statistics):.....	5
Headline release (Quarterly): .....	5
Detailed release (Annual): .....	6
<b>Responses</b> .....	<b>7</b>
Headline release .....	7
Question 1 .....	7
Question 2 .....	7
Question 3 .....	8
Question 4. ....	9
Question 5. ....	9
Detailed release .....	10
Question 6 .....	10
Question 7 .....	11
Question 8 .....	11
Question 9 .....	12
Question 10 .....	13
<b>Annex A: Consultation questions</b> .....	<b>15</b>
Table 2: Green Deal and Energy Company Obligation: Headline (monthly statistics) .....	15
Table 3: Domestic Green Deal, Energy Company Obligation and Insulation levels in Great Britain, Detailed (Quarterly) report.....	15
<b>Annex B: Summary of the frequency of each statistical table</b> .....	<b>17</b>
Headline release (Monthly).....	17
Headline release (Quarterly) .....	17
Detailed release (Annual) .....	18
<b>Annex C: Responses</b> .....	<b>21</b>

# Introduction

## Background

DECC currently publishes two sets of National Statistics releases relating to the Green Deal (GD), Energy Company Obligation (ECO) and insulation levels in Great Britain. This includes a headline release which is currently published monthly and a detailed release which is currently published quarterly. DECC launched a user consultation on 20 August 2015 consulting on the frequency, format and content of DECC's National Statistics on the Green Deal, Energy Company Obligation and insulation statistics.

The aim of the consultation was to review the impacts of DECC's proposals on changing the frequency, format and content on key users of the statistics, as well as to improve DECC's understanding on who uses the statistics, and for what purpose.

The consultation document can be found here:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/decc-household-energy-efficiency-national-statistics-user-consultation>.

## Original user consultation proposals

The user consultation set out five proposals, three for the headline release and two for the detailed releases. The proposals were as follows:

1. The Headline release
  - a. Change frequency of headline release from monthly to quarterly (still containing the same periodicity of the figures)<sup>1</sup>.
  - b. Change format of the headline release to an Excel only (spreadsheet) release.
  - c. Seek views on which tables to remain in this collection.
  
2. The Detailed release
  - a. Change frequency of detailed release from quarterly to annual (providing cumulative totals to date, and quarterly breakdowns on non-sub-regional tables), on a calendar year basis.
  - b. Seek views on which tables to remain in this collection.

For proposals 1c and 2b respondents were given the opportunity to choose which release they required each table to be included in (which determined the frequency of its production) or to remove it i.e. no longer published. The full list of consultation questions can be found in [Annex A](#).

## General response

DECC received 17 responses all via email from a range of respondents. Table 1 below summarises the respondents. DECC wishes to thank respondents for their valuable input and the time and effort required to provide their responses.

---

<sup>1</sup> With exception of Tables 6 and 6a, which will continue to be published on a monthly basis.

Responses received were all very supportive of the current statistical releases and their usefulness. Respondents agreed that now was a sensible time to consult and understood the reasoning behind it. The responses received provided answers to the ten questions listed in the consultation document, as well as providing further feedback on the main proposals listed above.

**Table 1: Type and number of respondents**

Type of respondent	Number of responses
Energy supplier	6
Energy industry organisations	4
Consumer protection organisations	3
Central Government/Agency	2
Local Authorities	2

## Summary and next steps

DECC will reduce as proposed, the frequency of statistics on the Green Deal, but will continue to provide regular detail on ECO.

- In response to concerns expressed by some respondents, DECC will not reduce the frequency of any ECO only related statistics.
- Headline figures on Green Deal related statistics previously published monthly, will now be released on a quarterly basis, with the exception of Cashback (since this scheme has now closed).
- Detailed figures on Green Deal related statistics, insulation levels and interactions between schemes previously published quarterly, will now be released on an annual basis.

We will also improve the design and accessibility of our tables (including detailed time series breakdowns) and accompanying commentary. The new name of this statistical series will be Household Energy Efficiency National Statistics. Planned releases are as follows:

### Headline release (Monthly ECO statistics):

- Thursday 17 December 2015
- Thursday 21 January 2016
- Thursday 18 February 2016
- Thursday 17 March 2016

### Headline release (Quarterly):

- Thursday 17 December 2015

## Summary and next steps

- Thursday 17 March 2016

## Detailed release (Annual):

- Thursday 17 March 2016

Upcoming release dates will also be reflected in DECC's timetable of statistical releases available at the following link: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/statistical-releases-timetable-for-twelve-months-ahead>

# Responses

This section provides a summary of the responses to each of the consultation questions and the Government's response.

## Headline release

### Question 1: Frequency – Is a monthly release too frequent? Would monthly breakdowns released on a quarterly basis for headline figures still meet your user needs, and if not, why?

Fourteen of the seventeen respondents to the consultation answered this question. Respondents were supportive of moving the headline release from a monthly to quarterly reporting schedule on the basis that Tables 6 and 6a relating to ECO continue to be published monthly, as per proposal 1a. There was also some support for Table 7 relating to ECO brokerage being published monthly. Respondents viewed the ECO tables as a key source of data for the ECO supply chain, including assessors, installer organisations, and obligated companies i.e. energy suppliers; they felt the tables showed overall progress towards the obligation. It was felt that stopping the release of ECO information on a monthly basis would have a detrimental effect on the ECO supply chain due to the data lag. Respondents also felt the ECO tables were needed monthly as it approached the end of the delivery phase so that progress could be closely monitored. Respondents were less concerned about statistics relating to the GD moving to a quarterly reporting frequency – it was felt that this information was now less relevant due to the recent [announcement](#) made by DECC, and overall it was less critical to their own needs. One respondent focused their response on the whole release and stated that it should remain monthly so that a complete picture of the market is available. However they did not give specific details on how only having access to the data quarterly would directly impact on their work.

#### Government response

The responses that DECC received provided positive feedback on the current releases and demonstrated that users found the headline release to be a useful product. Respondents were generally supportive of proposals providing Ofgem continue to release their monthly ECO statistics. DECC plans to maintain the currently frequency of Tables 6, 6a and 7 from the headline release, therefore these three tables will continue to be produced on a monthly basis as an Excel release. This will allow users to continue to monitor the delivery of ECO measures on a monthly basis. The remainder of tables from the headline release will move to a quarterly publication frequency (Tables 1, 1a, 2, 3, 3a, 3b, 5, 5a and 8). Tables 4 and 4a will no longer be published since the Cashback scheme has now ended – end of scheme figures will still be accessible via the archived releases. There will therefore be no reduction in the actual tables published, just a reduction in frequency. See [Annex B](#) for a summary of the frequency of each statistical table.

### Question 2: Frequency – Would changing the frequency of the updates on GD and ECO to a quarterly schedule have any major impacts on your uses and requirements of these statistics? If so, what are the impacts and specifically what tables are your impacts dependent on?

There were fourteen responses to this question. No respondents felt the current monthly reporting schedule was too frequent. Four respondents stated that it would be acceptable for

the ECO tables currently published monthly to be published quarterly but only if Ofgem continued to publish their monthly release. Five respondents stated that it would be acceptable for GD and ECO updates to move to a quarterly reporting schedule. Respondents that did not want ECO tables to move to a quarterly schedule stated that they were required monthly so that ECO delivery could be monitored using as up to date information as possible. Moving to a quarterly reporting schedule for ECO tables would have impacts, such as greater uncertainty for the ECO supply chain due to the additional data lag. In regards to the GD tables there was no specific concern over these moving to a quarterly reporting schedule, with the exception of one respondent that stated the headline release should remain monthly so that a complete picture of the market was available.

### **Government response**

See response to [Question 1](#).

### **Question 3: Format – Are you in favour of changing the format to an Excel only release? Do you require detailed commentary or do you usually just consult the tables? Would this approach still meet your user needs?**

There were fourteen responses to this question with the majority stating that moving to an Excel only release would be acceptable and/or preferred. In summary, respondents in support of this proposal stated that:

- The commentary currently provided was useful but not essential;
- Data was self-explanatory, saying that generally only the tables were used; and
- Charts should continue to be published.

Feedback from one respondent stated that they felt moving to an Excel only release would reduce transparency and accessibility, with a risk of statistics being misinterpreted, although they didn't go on to explain why. There were concerns that some users (although this was not in direct relation to their own use of the statistics) were not comfortable with an Excel only release and that they would prefer a Word/PDF document to be released alongside. Even respondents who were supportive of the proposal stated that they would still like to see charts being produced and commentary where necessary to explain changes to figures.

### **Government response**

The responses to this question generally support DECC's proposal to move to an Excel only release for the headline publication. The headline release will be published in Excel with a summary tab highlighting key findings. Charts will also continue to be provided where appropriate. Changing the format to an Excel (spreadsheet) release will not have an impact on reducing the transparency of the statistics. DECC notes that responses received were from frequent expert users of the statistics who are more familiar with how the energy efficiency market is operating. DECC will therefore provide some commentary where appropriate to explain possible reasons behind trends shown in the data, this information will be separately published as a PDF/Word document. The government website (gov.uk) will continue to have an archive of all previous word and excel published documents, and the newly formatted release will contain even more detail for a number of tables where additional quarterly breakdowns will also be published. Regarding accessibility, DECC will release tables as .xls and .xlsx, and will ensure that tables are machine-readable (i.e. excel tables will not contain any blank rows or columns within the data) and that the release is accessible to a variety of users. DECC currently has no plans to release this data as Open Data, due to the complexities of the different databases used to compile this statistical series.



**Question 4: Content – Which tables in the releases are of most and least value to you? Are there any tables that you would consider removing? Please provide responses against each of the respective table headings in the table contents.**

Eleven of the seventeen respondents answered this question. Responses to this question were given through completing an annex included in the consultation document which involved indicating which tables were used, how frequently there were ideally required and also any that were not used and could therefore be removed. The ECO tables in the headline release were deemed to be the most value to respondents, with eight respondents stating a specific preference for Tables 6 and 6a to be published on a monthly basis. No other tables were specifically requested on a monthly basis, with the exception of one response which stated the headline release should remain monthly so that a complete picture of the market was available. However the respondent did not provide a particular use for all of these tables.

The responses concerning the other tables in the headline release were mixed and did not provide a conclusive verdict. Some respondents wanted all other tables to be produced on a quarterly basis but broken down by month (where appropriate). Other respondents suggested that all tables except the ECO ones only needed to be produced on an annual basis.

One respondent specifically suggested removing Tables 3a, 3b, 5 and 5a. There were no other specific suggests for removing tables, although not all respondents provided a response against every table.

**Government response**

Responses to this question provided a clear outcome for the ECO headline tables – these are required on a monthly basis so that a timely picture of ECO delivery is available for the supply chain. Therefore, DECC will continue to publish Tables 6, 6a and 7 on a monthly basis.

There was less certainty over reporting requirements for the other tables contained in the headline release, but no strong reasons were provided for these to remain monthly, or to be moved to an annual release, or for the tables to be removed. Therefore, the remaining tables from the headline release will be published on a quarterly basis, with the exception of those relating to the Cashback scheme (Tables 4 and 4a) which has now ended – end of scheme figures will still be accessible via the archived releases. See [Annex B](#) for a summary of the frequency of each statistical table.

**Question 5: Would you be affected if the department were to adopt any of these proposed approaches? If so, please explain how, using examples on the way you use the data to provide evidence supporting your response.**

Fourteen of the seventeen respondents to the consultation answered this question. Concerns were exclusively focused on the repercussions of the proposal to publish ECO tables on a quarterly basis, rather than monthly. Respondents focused on the fact that ECO is a live policy that is actively delivering measures on a monthly basis and therefore a monthly picture is required for the supply chain to monitor progress since there are specific targets to meet. One respondent focused their response on the whole release and stated that it should remain monthly so that a complete picture of the market is available. However they did not give specific details on how only having access to the data quarterly would directly impact on their work.

**Government response**

Answers to this question highlighted the importance of the ECO tables contained in the headline release and their utility to the ECO supply chain in particular. DECC will

adopt the proposals laid out in the consultation document in relation to the headline release, which include continuing to produce Table 6, 6a and 7 on a monthly basis. The remaining tables from the headline release will be published on a quarterly basis, with the exception of those relating to the Cashback scheme (Tables 4 and 4a) which has now ended – end of scheme figures will still be accessible via the archived releases. See [Annex B](#) for a summary of the frequency of each statistical table.

## Detailed release

### **Question 6: Frequency and content – Would you prefer if the detailed series (if it were to be moved to an annual series) presented statistics showing year on year comparisons and/or quarterly breakdowns of non-sub-regional tables rather than cumulative totals?**

Twelve responses were received for this question. Responses to this question were focused on the need for the ECO tables of the detailed release to continue being published on a quarterly basis. In summary, respondents were not supportive of the move to an annual series for the ECO tables and stated that:

- The statistics are unique and no similar statistics are released elsewhere;
- It would reduce transparency for stakeholders (for example the ECO supply chain) with a less detailed picture being available;
- The time lag would impact users ability to effectively use the statistics;
- It is essential for investment into targeted delivery of energy efficiency measures to tackle fuel poverty;
- Area level analysis is particularly important for a number of users so that delivery can be monitored and areas with low level of uptake highlighted;
- They allow monitoring of the nature, pattern and cost of delivery across Great Britain aiding delivery discussions; and
- Cost information provides vital insight for energy suppliers and the wider ECO supply chain.

Users of the statistics were supportive of the proposal of showing year on year comparisons and/or quarterly breakdowns of non-sub-regional tables if the release was to remain quarterly. Users stated that quarterly breakdowns would mean more detailed monitoring would be possible and that this would be the most useful, since these could then be aggregated up to year on year comparisons when required. One response was in agreement on the need to 'streamline' the official statistics releases. Two respondents also stated that they had a preference for monthly breakdowns.

A number of respondents noted that the GD aspect of the release was likely to become less useful over time given the recent [announcement](#) DECC made in relation to the policy. Users seemed content for this part of the detailed release to move to an annual reporting cycle and for the tables to be removed where appropriate (i.e. once end of scheme figures published).

### **Government response**

Responses to this question were not supportive of DECC's proposal to publish the current detailed report on an annual basis, rather than quarterly with respect to all the tables. The respondents outlined the implications of publishing the statistics on an

annual basis. Considering the impacts of reducing the frequency of the ECO tables and the strong reasons provided on not changing the frequency of the ECO tables, DECC proposes to continue to produce the ECO tables currently included in the detailed release on a quarterly basis, and where possible break these down by quarter for non-sub-regional tables.

The remainder of the current detailed release will be published on an annual basis with the first newly formatted detailed release containing statistics up to end December 2015, to be published in March 2016. Therefore all tables will continue to be published, but for some there will be a reduction in frequency.

See [Annex B](#) for a summary of the frequency of each statistical table.

**Question 7: Format – Keeping the format of this release to a word document with accompanying Excel tables, will provide a platform to continue with a commentary section including, charts and maps. How do you currently use this release? Is it your preference to move this to an Excel only release with minimal commentary?**

Fifteen of the seventeen respondents answered this question. Overall the responses revealed a preference for the detailed release to move to Excel only, with users stating that generally this was the only part that was used. One respondent said that they would still like to see the maps that are currently published as part of the detailed release to continue. Another respondent said that they would like the charts to continue. There was concern from two respondents over moving to an Excel only release, the specific concern was in relation to accessibility and that users may misinterpret the statistics if they were only in Excel with no explanation of figures. One criticism of the current commentary was that it is purely descriptive – the response stated that commentary which focused more on trends over time and the reasons behind them would be more useful.

**Government response**

Answers to this question highlighted the fact that most of the respondents use the Excel tables only and would therefore be happy for the release to move to an Excel only release with minimal commentary. DECC notes that responses received were from frequent expert users of the statistics who are more familiar with how the energy efficiency market is operating. DECC will provide detailed commentary as a Word/PDF document to outline possible reasons behind trends shown in the data, as required by Principle 8 of the Code of Practice for Official Statistics (“Prepare and disseminate commentary and analysis that aid interpretation, and provide factual information about the policy”). The user consultation showed there was sufficient demand to include quarterly breakdowns of some detailed tables and therefore DECC agree to implement this part of proposal 2a. By presenting trends and time series of these data, this release can provide a more in-depth picture of how the policies have been delivered, and will therefore address the concerns of one of the respondents. This measure should also reduce the concerns around accessibility and transparency that were expressed by some respondents.

**Question 8: Content – By moving to an annual publication it would allow DECC statisticians to explore the datasets further, and run more analysis and one-off case studies. Is this something that you would be interested to see?**

There were twelve responses to this question. The responses received to this question were supportive of DECC publishing more analysis and one-off case studies, however they did not want this to be at the detriment of the ECO tables being published quarterly. One respondent stated that if the current detailed release continued to be published quarterly then there would

be no need for regular deeper exploration of datasets, and that any additional analysis could be published on an ad hoc basis. One response was keen to see more analysis as long as it related to administrative areas. For examples of suggestions on further analysis given by respondents please see summary of responses to [Question 10](#).

#### **Government response**

The responses to this question were generally supportive of more analysis and one-off case studies being carried out by DECC, however they also made it clear that continuing to publish the detailed ECO tables on a quarterly basis was of more importance. Following considerations of the response to this question DECC plans to continue to produce the ECO tables currently included in the detailed release on a quarterly basis. DECC will also look to publish the results of any one-off case studies on an ad-hoc basis.

**Question 9: Content – Which tables in the releases are of most and least value to you? Are there any tables that you would consider removing or moving to the other (more frequent) release? Please provide responses against each of the respective table headings in the table contents.**

Twelve responses were received for this question. Respondents were asked to complete an annex which was published as part of the consultation document, indicating which tables were used, how frequently they were ideally required and to highlight any that were not used that could therefore be removed. Users indicated that the ECO tables were of the most value and importance, with specific reasons being given as to why these are required on a quarterly basis (see response to [Question 6](#)). While some respondents were keen for all tables to remain on a quarterly publication schedule clear reasons as to why these were required were not given.

It is clear from the responses received that there is a need for the current ECO tables in the detailed release to continue to be published on a quarterly basis. There was less clarity surrounding the rest of the detailed publication, with some users indicating a need for all tables to continue quarterly, with others suggesting annually would meet requirements. Suggestions of tables to remove were limited, although respondents noted that tables relating to the GD were likely to become less relevant and could therefore be removed when the final position had been reported.

#### **Government response**

DECC has taken into consideration the responses to this question and the reasons why users require statistics to a certain frequency and therefore plan to continue to publish the ECO tables contained in the detailed release on a quarterly basis. The remainder of the current detailed release will be published on an annual basis with the first publication containing statistics up to end December 2015, published in March 2016.

Whilst some users provided a preference for some GD tables, and insulation level tables to continue to be published on a quarterly basis, there was not an overall majority of support for this option, and there were no strong reasons as to why they needed to be available on a quarterly basis.

With respect to the insulation statistics, no response opposed the reason DECC included in the consultation document to change the frequency to an annual update. No response opposed the fact that these figures are reliant on other data sources which are only updated and available on an annual basis.

See [Annex B](#) for a summary of the frequency of each statistical table.

**Question 10: Content – Are you mainly interested in a specific scheme / aspect of energy efficiency or are you interested to see more covered on other policy areas? DECC are open to any ideas on which aspects of domestic energy efficiency the release should cover. Please describe your ideas, detailing how the approach would meet your analytical needs.**

Eleven of the seventeen respondents answered this question. There were a number of suggestions for further analysis, such as:

- Measure type installed under ECO by region, local authority and ward;
- Measures installed under ECO by obligation and country;
- ECO delivery costs by month/quarter and ECO obligation;
- Cumulative estimated ECO delivery costs by measure type and obligation;
- Number of ECO measures delivered by supplier and ECO obligation;
- Number of ECO measures delivered by supplier, ECO obligation and ECO phase;
- Number of properties with insulation by region, Local Authority and ward;
- Carbon savings from ECO measures installed at Local Authority and ward;
- Further breakdowns of the remaining potential figures, presented in the insulation statistics section of the detailed release;
- Releases should cover all DECC energy efficiency and renewable policies which affect the housing stock, beyond just reporting the number of properties benefitting from these schemes.
- Further breakdown of GD Communities scheme e.g. installations by Energy Performance Certificate rating, by tenure and fuel poverty classification.

Two responses made reference to Home Energy Conservation Act 1995 (HECA) reports. These reports set out the energy conservation measures that local authorities considers practicable, cost-effective and likely to result in significant improvement in the energy efficiency of residential accommodation in its area. Responses said that statistics from this release, provided at administrative area, were used in their HECA reports which are required by 31<sup>st</sup> March, and therefore the detailed release if moved to an annual release needs to be published before that date.

There was less support on the proposal, outlined in the user consultation document, to gradually widen the scope of the more detailed release to provide more detail on other domestic energy efficiency schemes. There was some support to widen the scope of the release over time to cover all energy efficiency schemes (such as the Renewable Heat incentive and Feed-in-tariffs).

### **Government response**

DECC welcomes the ideas given for further analysis and will review the suggestions given with a view to publishing more detailed information where data and resource allows. None of the suggestions were for analyses that are business critical to the users; these were all to be considered as 'useful but not essential'. There was a request for ECO costs and ECO measures installed broken down by obligated supplier. Due to commercial sensitivities, data collection agreements and that Ofgem's reporting covers obligated supplier breakdowns, DECC will not be publishing these breakdowns. Some of the data requests such as remaining potential

## Responses

of insulation at Local Authority level at not possible, as the granularity of the data collected is not at that level of detail.

With respect to the release timings of the annual release, and considering the 31<sup>st</sup> March HECA deadline, it is DECC's proposal to release the detailed report before that date, with the first detailed release scheduled for 17<sup>th</sup> March 2016. There is also no intention to remove any of the current statistics presented at administrative area level or Parliamentary Constituency level.

## Annex A: Consultation questions

Table 2: Green Deal and Energy Company Obligation, Headline (Monthly) report

Consultation Question	
1.	Frequency – Is a monthly release too frequent? Would monthly breakdowns released on a quarterly basis for headline figures still meet your user needs, and if not, why?
Consultation Question	
2.	Frequency – Would changing the frequency of the updates on GD and ECO to a quarterly schedule have any major impacts on your uses and requirements of these statistics? If so, what are the impacts and specifically what tables are your impacts dependent on?
Consultation Question	
3.	Format – Are you in favour of changing the format to an Excel only release. Do you require detailed commentary or do you usually just consult the tables? Would this approach still meet your user needs?
Consultation Question	
4.	Content – Which tables in the releases are of most and least value to you? Are there any tables that you would consider removing? Please provide responses against each of the respective table headings in the table contents presented in Annex A.
Consultation Question	
5.	Would you be affected if the department were to adopt any of these proposed approaches? If so, please explain how, using examples on the way you use the data to provide evidence supporting your response.

Table 3: Domestic Green Deal, Energy Company Obligation and Insulation levels in Great Britain, Detailed (Quarterly) report

Consultation Question	
6.	Frequency and content – Would you prefer if the detailed series (if it were to be moved to an annual series) presented statistics showing year on year comparisons and/or quarterly breakdowns of non-sub-regional tables rather than cumulative totals?
Consultation Question	
7.	Format – Keeping the format of this release to a word document with accompanying Excel tables, will provide a platform to continue with a commentary section including, charts and maps. How do you currently use this release? Is it your preference to move this to an Excel only release with minimal commentary?

**Consultation Question**

- |    |  |
|----|--|
| 8. | Content – By moving to an annual publication it would allow DECC statisticians to explore the datasets further, and run more analysis and one-off case studies. Is this something that you would be interested to see? |
|----|--|

**Consultation Question**

- |    |   |
|----|---|
| 9. | Content – Which tables in the releases are of most and least value to you? Are there any tables that you would consider removing or moving to the other (more frequent) release? Please provide responses against each of the respective table headings in the table contents presented in Annex A. |
|----|---|

**Consultation Question**

- |     |  |
|-----|--|
| 10. | Content – Are you mainly interested in a specific scheme / aspect of energy efficiency or are you interested to see more covered on other policy areas? DECC are open to any ideas on which aspects of domestic energy efficiency the release should cover. Please describe your ideas, detailing how the approach would meet your analytical needs. |
|-----|--|



## Annex B: Summary of the frequency of each statistical table

The following list confirms DECC's decision on the frequency of each table. This has been split into the three releases based on their frequency.

### Headline release (Monthly)

Old table number	New table number	Table description
6	2.1	Provisional number of ECO measures installed, by obligation, by installation month
6a	2.1.1	Provisional number of ECO measures installed, by measure type, by obligation
7	2.7	Number of ECO brokerage auctions and total amount traded, by month

### Headline release (Quarterly)

The tables listed above, and additionally:

Old table number	New table number	Table description
1	1.1	Provisional number of measures installed through ECO, Cashback, using Green Deal finance or Green Deal Home Improvement Fund by month of installation
1a	1.2	Provisional number of individual households that have had measures installed through ECO, Cashback, using Green Deal finance or GDHIF, by month of installation
2	3.1	Number of Green Deal Assessments and cumulative total, by month
3	3.2	Number of Green Deal Plans in unique properties, cumulative total, by month
3a	3.2.1	Number of measures installed using Green Deal finance, and cumulative total, by installation month
3b	3.2.2	Number of measures installed using Green Deal finance
5	3.3	Number and value of Green Deal Home Improvement Fund vouchers paid, and cumulative total, by installation and payment month, England and Wales only
5a	3.3.1	Number of measures installed through Green Deal Home Improvement Fund, England and Wales only
8	3.4	Number of accredited Assessor organisations, individual Advisors, Green Deal Providers and Installer organisations, cumulative totals

## Annex B: Summary of the frequency of each statistical table

		by month
1.11	2.2	Provisional number of ECO measures by ECO obligation by region and total number of unique properties benefitting from ECO
1.11a	2.2.1	Provisional number of ECO measures by ECO obligation by administrative area
1.11b	2.2.2	Provisional number of ECO measures by ECO obligation by Parliamentary Constituency
1.11c	2.2.3	Provisional number of households in receipt of ECO measures by region
1.11d	2.2.4	Provisional number of households in receipt of ECO measures by administrative area
1.11e	2.2.5	Provisional number of households in receipt of ECO measures by Parliamentary Constituency
1.12	2.3	Provisional number of ECO measures by main fuel type and ECO obligation
1.12a	2.4	Provisional number of households in receipt of ECO measures by property type and ECO obligation
1.12b	2.5	Provisional number of households in receipt of ECO measures by tenure and ECO obligation
1.12c	2.7.2	Provisional number and percentage of ECO measures traded through brokerage by month and ECO obligation
1.12d	2.6	Estimated bill savings for Affordable Warmth measures installed by month
1.13	2.8	Estimated ECO delivery costs as reported by energy suppliers
1.13a	2.8.1	Estimated average ECO delivery costs as reported by energy suppliers
1.14	2.7.1	ECO Brokerage Auction clearing prices by ECO obligation by auction
1.15	1.3	Estimated carbon and energy savings relating to measures installed through ECO, Cashback, Green Deal Home Improvement Fund and Green Deal Plans

## Detailed release (Annual)

The tables listed above in the Headline releases, and additionally:

Old table number	New table number	Table description
1.1	3.1.1	Number of Green Deal Assessments by Energy Efficiency Band
1.2	3.1.2	Number of Green Deal Assessments by Property Type
1.3	3.1.3	Number of Green Deal Assessments by tenure
1.4	3.1.4	Number of Green Deal Assessments by whether property is on or

		off the Mains Gas Grid
1.5	3.1.5	Number of improvements recommended in Green Deal Assessments by measure type (grouped)
1.5a	3.1.6	Number of improvements by measures recommended in Green Deal Assessments
1.5b	3.1.7	Number of improvements recommended per Green Deal Assessment
1.6	3.1.8	Number of Green Deal Assessments lodged by region
1.6a	3.1.9	Number of Green Deal Assessments lodged by administrative area
1.6b	3.1.10	Number of Green Deal Assessments lodged by Parliamentary Constituency
1.7	3.2.3	Number of 'live' Green Deal Plans by Region
1.7a	3.2.4	Number of 'live' Green Deal Plans by administrative area
1.7b	3.2.5	Number of 'live' Green Deal Plans by Parliamentary Constituency
1.7c	3.2.6	Number of 'live' Green Deal Plans by tenure
1.9b*	3.5	Provisional number of properties with energy efficiency work installed under Green Deal Communities Programme, by project area
1.9c*	3.5.1	Provisional number of energy efficiency measures installed under Green Deal Communities Programme, by measure type
1.10d	3.2.3	Number of Green Deal Home Improvement Fund vouchers paid by region, England and Wales
1.10e	3.3.3	Number of Green Deal Home Improvement Fund vouchers paid by administrative area, England and Wales
1.10f	3.3.4	Number of Green Deal Home Improvement Fund vouchers paid by Parliamentary Constituency, England and Wales
1.10g	3.3.5	Number of Green Deal Home Improvement Fund vouchers paid by tenure, England and Wales
1.16	3.4.1	Number of accredited Assessor organisations, Green Deal Providers, and Installer organisations' reporting where they would operate, by Lower Tier Local Authority
2.1	4.1	Insulated homes in Great Britain
2.2	4.2	Insulated homes in Great Britain by source
2.2a	4.3	Government scheme delivery
2.3	4.4	Cavity wall insulation
2.4	4.5	Loft insulation
2.5	4.6	Solid wall insulation
2.6	4.7	Cavity wall insulation remaining potential (including a split by easy

\* Tables 1.9b and 1.9c will be updated on an ad-hoc basis as and when data returns from Local Authorities funded under the GD Communities are contracted to supply data to DECC.

Annex B: Summary of the frequency of each statistical table

		and hard to treat properties)
2.7	4.8	Loft insulation remaining potential (including a split by easy and hard to treat properties)
C1	5.1	Provisional number of individual households that have had measures installed through ECO, Cashback, using Green Deal Finance, GDHIF, benefitting from Feed-in Tariffs, Renewable Heat Premium Payment, Domestic Renewable Heat Incentive, by quarter of installation

## Annex C: Responses

One respondent, Home Insulation and Energy Systems, stated in their response that they intend to publish their response on their website at the following address:

<http://www.hiesscheme.org.uk/>.

None of the 17 responses noted that they wanted their response to be kept confidential.

Decisions on the frequency and format of future National Statistics releases on the Green Deal and Energy Company Obligation have also been informed by analytical and policy colleagues within the Department.

© Crown copyright 2015

Department of Energy & Climate Change

3 Whitehall Place

London SW1A 2AW

[www.gov.uk/decc](http://www.gov.uk/decc)

URN 15D/515