

From:
Sent: 15 September 2014 15:20
To: DIO ODC-IPS SG2a
Subject: Re: Hill of Lychrobbie wind turbines

Thanks for the prompt reply. I've asked [redacted] to review the information you have provided and hope to be able to revert this week with his questions / observations.

I do have some immediate questions from my own reading of the documents:

1. Does the Level 3 assessment take account of buildings / obstacles in the immediate vicinity of the radar, as this was one of the factors raised in the Spaven report.
2. Did the May 14 review of Lossiemouth identify any issues with the Haurstry / Bueltraich development to the north of our proposed turbines - this seems more relevant to our proposal than issues with the Burn of Whilk area.
3. Section 5 of the Lychrobbie Level 2 assessment recommends that Ops Staff check whether Lychrobbie is in an area of vital air traffic operations controlled by Lossiemouth. We don't have any information on this from you at this stage, was a formal assessment of this made?

In terms of your question re my statement on the relative detectability of Upper Smerral and Lychrobbie, this was based on the fact that Upper Smerral proposed more turbines than Lychrobbie (4 versus 3), at a higher tip height (84m versus 74m) and a greater altitude (166-187m AOD versus 140-146m AOD). The difference in distance (Upper Smerral is ~1.5km further from the radar) and bearing (~1 degree) seem relatively trivial compared to those larger physical differences. Does this relative detectability have a bearing on your objection to Lychrobbie?

Regards

Wind Harvest Limited

On 12 Sep 2014, at 11:05, DIO ODC-IPS SG2a
[IPSSG2a](#) wrote:

<DIOODC>

Please find attached a redacted version of the Level 2 report for Hill of Lychrobbie. A Level 3 report has been carried out. Our Level 3 reports are carried out using a spreadsheet calculation tool. The tool cannot be released as it includes classified information. The calculation has concluded that the turbines are around 6 dNm above the detection threshold of the Watchman. This is a significant amount.

I also attach an operational impact assessment for the turbines at Burn of Whilk.

You state you know that the Hill of Lychrobbie turbines would be less detectable than the turbines at Upper Smerral would have been. Please provide details of the

calculations used to come to this conclusion.

I believe that you have been provided with Level 1 and Level 2 assessments that you requested relating to other developments in the vicinity.

With regard to your request to visit RAF Lossiemouth to discuss operation matters with Air Traffic Control staff there. I can advise that a visit to RAF Lossiemouth is neither relevant or appropriate as the operational staff at the Station do not assess the wind turbine applications. It is the Defence Infrastructure Organisation that administers the wind farm assessment process and we have a team of technical advisors within the MOD including a wind farm team within the RAF who are responsible for carrying out the assessments. If you wish to come to Sutton Coldfield and discuss the matter with them here, that could be arranged. Please provide dates when you would be available.

Kind regards

Safeguarding Officer - Infrastructure Professional
Services - Safeguarding
DIO Operations Development and Coherence
**Defence
Infrastructure
Organisation**

Telephone: | **MOD Telephone:** | **Fax:**

E-mail:
Website: www.gov.uk/mod-safeguarding

From: :
Sent: 05 September 2014 09:27
To: DIO ODC-IPS SG2@
Cc:
Subject: Re: Hill of Lychrobbie wind turbines FAO:

Its over a week since I sent the emails below and I haven't had a response. Could you confirm you've received my requests.

Wind Harvest Limited

On 27 Aug 2014, at 18:39, wrote:

One point to add to my previous email - the DIO letter of 28 July stated that you had a Level 2 assessment for Hill of Lychrobbie. I would appreciate if you could provide the Level 2 assessment report to us now, followed by the Level 3 assessment once it has been completed.

Regards

Wind Harvest Limited

On 27 Aug 2014, at 16:52,

wrote:

I refer to my emails of 11th June 2014 and 1st July 2014 requesting a Level 3 assessment for Hill of Lychrobbie. Can you confirm when this will be available? We know that the Hill of Lychrobbie turbines are less visible than Upper Smerral, and hence must be borderline detectable at best, so you can understand why we are keen for DE to undertake the more detailed analysis.

I refer to your email of 1st July stating that you have passed our request for a meeting with Lossiemouth ATC staff to the RAF. Have you had any response? If the Level 3 assessment shows some degree of visibility, we would hope that the associated operational impact could be properly assessed through discussion with Lossiemouth directly.

It would be useful to have the Level 3 results during September as we expect the application to be taken to the October planning committee. The MoD is the only objector to the project, which has significant local and political support. I'm sure you would agree that it would be preferable if the MoD presented a fully considered position to the council on this important community project.

It may also be worth noting that Upper Smerral was refused and not appealed, while the subsequent Newlands of Houstry project on the same site was refused and has just passed its deadline for appeal, so cumulative impacts should not be a factor.

Regards

Wind Harvest Limited

On 1 Jul 2014, at 17:03

wrote:

Thanks for the quick response.

Clearly, given the discussion we are having we need to see the results of a Level 3 assessment for Lychrobbie, if that has not already been done.

We still consider that it is important for us to see the Level 1 Or 2 (as appropriate) assessment which were done for the other projects nearby - Upper Smerral, Lower Rumeter, Upper Lychrobbie and Upper Smilk - etc.

would be grateful if you could forward those as well.

Regards

On 1 Jul 2014, at 14:02, DIO ODC-IPS SG2a

wrote:

My email of 22/04/14 did not state that we had carried out a level 3 assessment of impact of The Hill of Lychrobbie development on the Lossiemouth radar. It simply stated our radar expert had concluded that the buildings would not provide shielding. I have asked him to confirm the assessment method that he used to arrive at this conclusion.

My email also made no mention of level 3 assessments for Upper Smerrall, Lower Rumster, Upper Clyth or Burn of Whilk. Decisions for all of these developments were made on the basis of Level 1 or Level 2 assessment.

We do not hold a copy of the RAF's operational assessment for Burn of Whilk on file.

I will pass your request for a site visit on to the RAF.

Kind regards

Safeguarding Officer - Infrastructure Professional
Services - Safeguarding
DIO Operations Development and Coherence
Defence
Infrastructure
Organisation

Telephone: _____ | MOD Telephone: _____ | Fax: _____

Email: _____

Website: www.gov.uk/mod-safeguarding

Please respond to the email below.

Regards

Begin forwarded message:

From: _____
Subject: Hill of Lychrobbie wind turbines FAQ

Date: 11 June 2014 17:12:12 GMT+01:00
To: DIOODC-IPSSG2a
Cc: .

Thank you for your response of 22nd April 2014 re our community wind cluster at Hill of Lychrobbie.

Please forward a copy of the ADATS Level 3 assessment of the Lychrobbie turbines so that we can assess your conclusion re lack of shielding.

We also require copies of the other Level 3 assessments for the projects in the vicinity of Lychrobbie (i.e. Upper Smerral (at 84m), Lower Rumster, Upper Cyth, Burn of Whilk) to check consistency with your assessment of Lychrobbie.

Further, we also require a copy of the HQ Air Command operational assessment for Burn of Whilk. I believe Burn of Whilk is currently under construction but if it is in fact operational, is the experience of the radar operators that it is now operationally acceptable?

Once we have reviewed this information we may need to visit RAF Lossiemouth to discuss operational matters with ATC staff there. I'm sure you wouldn't object to this direct approach, given how useful it would be and the fact that Defence Estates does not have the manpower to undertake such visits itself.

It may also be relevant that the Newlands of Houstry project (which replaced the refused Upper Smerral project) has now itself been refused.

Regards

Wind Harvest Limited

T: .
M:
www.windharvest.co.uk

<20140012 ADATS report redacted.doc><20140012 Burn of Whilk assessment.doc>