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## Domestic Food Consumption and Expenditure, 1951

ERRET:
CORRIGENDA
Since the publication of the Annual Report for 1951 errors have been found in several of the tables: none of these is sufficiently large to affect the conclusions of the Report. The opportunity has, however, been taken to revise the vitamin C figures for the first half of the year in accordance with the revised tables of food composition adopted when the technique of the Survey was improved in the middle of 1951.
Table 24. Vitamin C figures should read:

|  | Social Class |  |  |  |  | All Classes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excluding } \\ & \text { O.A.P. } \end{aligned}$ | O.A.P. |  |
| January-February ... April-May | $\begin{aligned} & 269 \\ & 303 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 238 244 | 193 <br> 183 | 175 <br> 206 | 149 173 | 202 193 |

Table 25, p. 35. Footnote (a) for Includes read Excludes.
Table 29. Vitamin C figures should read:

|  |  |  |  |  | Social Class |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | A | B | C | D |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excluding } \\ & \text { O.A.P. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | O.A.P. |
| $1951$ <br> Change | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 286 \\ +61 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 240 \\ +28 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}188 \\ +23 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 191 \\ +51 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 160 \\ +34 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

Paragraph 60, line 6. For 21 read 14.
Paragraph 61, line 12. For 44 read 33.
Paragraph 62, line 13. For -6 read +5 .
Tables 32 and 33. For Liquid Milk read All Milk.
Table 42. Vitamin C figures should read:

|  | No Children or Adolescents | Adolescents only | Adolescents and Children | Children only |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |
| January-February.. April-May | $\begin{aligned} & 239 \\ & 256 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 213 163 | 156 147 | $\begin{array}{r} 237 \\ 238 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 219 199 | 174 <br> 194 | 171 <br> 140 |

Tables 44 and 45. For Milk read Liquid Milk. For Fats read Fats-rationed.
Table 48. Vitamin C figures should read:

|  |  | No Children or Adolescents | Adolescents only | Adolescents and Children | Children only |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |
| 1951... <br> Change | $\ldots$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 248 \\ +52 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 188 \\ +14 \end{array}$ | 151 +15 | 238 +29 | 209 +24 | 184 +28 | $\begin{array}{r}157 \\ +25 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |

## Appendix $\mathbf{D}$

Tables 1, 3, 4, 7, 8. For Bacon read All bacon.
Tables 5, 6, 9, 10. For Bacon read Bacon-rationed.
Table 1. For Coffee-essences read Coffee-extracts.

| Table | . ${ }^{\mathbf{j}}$ | 兵 | Original figure | Revised figure | Table | . | $\begin{array}{r} \text { E } \\ \frac{3}{0} \end{array}$ | Original figure | Revised figure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 $\ldots$ | 9 | 1 | 180 | 202 | 44 (p. 58)... | 3 | 2 | $7 \cdot 7$ | 7.9 |
|  | 9 | 2 | 162 | 193 |  | 8 | 6 | $2 \cdot 4$ | 2.9 |
|  | 7 | 7 | -6 | -9 |  | 9 | 1 | $20 \cdot 8$ | $21 \cdot 0$ |
| $23 \ldots$ | 18 | 4 | 58.6 | 58.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 (p. 32) | 13 | 4 | $79 \cdot 2$ | 81.2 |  | 10 | 1 | $8 \cdot 0$ | $7 \cdot 7$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 3 | $6 \cdot 8$ | $6 \cdot 3$ |
| $25 \ldots$. | 2 | 1 | $28 \cdot 1$ | $28 \cdot 3$ |  | 11 | 5 | 19.4 | $18 \cdot 4$ |
|  | 3 | 3 | $3 \cdot 0$ | $2 \cdot 9$ |  | 13 | 5 | $3 \cdot 3$ | $4 \cdot 3$ |
|  | 3 | 4 | $2 \cdot 7$ | $2 \cdot 9$ |  | 19 | 7 | $14 \cdot 3$ | $14 \cdot 8$ |
|  | 3 | 5 | $3 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 7$ |  | 22 | 4 | $9 \cdot 1$ | $10 \cdot 1$ |
|  | 4 | 4 | $2 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 1$ |  | 22 | 5 | $10 \cdot 2$ | 9.9 |
|  | 10 | 2 | $7 \cdot 7$ | $8 \cdot 0$ |  | 22 | 7 | 10.0 | $10 \cdot 2$ |
|  | 10 | 3 | $8 \cdot 1$ | $7 \cdot 9$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 (p. 36) ... | 3 | 4 | 13.9 | $15 \cdot 8$ |  | 10 | 3 | +39 | +44 -8 |
|  | 4 | 4 | $5 \cdot 3$ | $6 \cdot 2$ | 45... | 16 | 6 | -24 +18 | -8 +11 |
| 26 | 3 | 1 | -7 | -4 |  | 21 | 5 | -30 | -9 |
|  | 4 | 4 | -1 | -17 | 45 (p. 60)... | 6 | 5 | +15 | +12 |
|  | 13 | 2 | -8 |  | 47... ... | 19 | 5 | 1.58 | 1.68 |
|  | 17 | 4 | -7 | +6 |  | 21 | 1 | 15.2 | $15 \cdot 5$ |
|  | 18 | 4 | $-22$ | -10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28. | 16 | 1 | 4,181 | 4,140 |  |  |  | s. d. | s. d. |
| $29 . .$. | 15 | 1 | +7 | +13 | 49... | 3 | 3 | 1610 | 168 |
| $31 \ldots$ | 7 | 4 | -15 | -5 |  | 3 | 3 | 177 | 175 |
|  | 8 | 4 | -6 | -2 |  | 4 | 3 | 171 | 1611 |
| 32 ... | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 7 3 | $\begin{array}{ll}12 & 9 \\ 17 & 6\end{array}$ | 1211 17 |
|  | 13 | 3 | $33 \cdot 1$ | $35 \cdot 1$ |  | 6 | 7 | 130 | 132 |
|  | 14 | 6 | 18.8 | $13 \cdot 8$ |  | 7 | 3 | 170 | 1610 |
|  | 15 | 2 | $36 \cdot 1$ | $34 \cdot 1$ |  | 9 | 3 | 177 | 175 |
|  | 19 | 1 | 25.6 | $28 \cdot 6$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 29 | 6 | $223 \cdot 7$ | $224 \cdot 7$ | App. D |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 (p. 95)... | 11 | 2 | $10 \cdot 38$ | $10 \cdot 33$ |
| $33 \ldots$ | 9 | 1 | +44 | +33 |  | 22 | 3 | 0.44 | 0.04 |
|  | 11 | 5 | -4 | -14 | 3 (p. 98)... | 9 | 7 | 11.66 | 11.47 |
|  | 15 | 2 | +21 | +14 |  | 9 | 11 | 11.61 | 11.71 |
|  | 19 | 1 | -6 | +5 |  | 10 | 7 | $13 \cdot 76$ | 13.95 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 11 | $16 \cdot 17$ | 16.07 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 39 \ldots & \ldots \\ 40(\mathrm{p} . & 52) \end{array}$ | 15 | 7 | 72 | 74 | 3 (p.99)... | 3 | 6 | 28.08 | $20 \cdot 08$ |
|  | 16 | 8 | 9.2 | $8 \cdot 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 28 | 8 | $16 \cdot 7$ | $26 \cdot 7$ | 5 (p. 103) | 12 | 8 | 14.59 | 13.59 |
| $41 \ldots$ | 4 | 2 | $34 \cdot 7$ | $33 \cdot 7$ |  | 14 | 8 | 17.21 | 16.21 |
| $44 \ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  | 15. | 3 | $55 \cdot 12$ | $55 \cdot 34$ |
|  | 2 | 2 | 28.4 | $23 \cdot 9$ |  | 17 | 3 | $17 \cdot 84$ | 17.62 4.44 |
|  | 3 | 5 | $3 \cdot 7$ | $2 \cdot 6$ | 9 (p. 110) | 1 | 3 | $44 \cdot 4$ | 4.44 12.70 |
|  | 3 2 | 6 3 | 2.5 15.7 | 2.4 16.2 | (p.111) | 13 15 | 14 | $12 \cdot 57$ 16.87 | 12.70 17.00 |
| 44 (p. 58) ... |  |  |  |  | 10 (p. 112) | 13 | 1 | 9.30 | 9.38 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 10 (p. 113) | 13 | 5 | $2 \cdot 81$ | $2 \cdot 84$ |

Line and column numbers refer to figures in tables.
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## PREFACE

In 1951 the National Food Survey, which was instituted by the Ministry of Food in $1940^{1}$, completed its second year using a national sample representative of the whole population ${ }^{2}$. With a sample of this nature it is possible to make comparisons between the diets of households of different social classes and of different family composition. Such comparisons are clearly so important that, in the preparation of the present Report, additional data have been analysed which have enabled a fuller picture to be presented of these aspects of food consumption.

In view of the greater refinement in the methods of analysis which this has involved, increasing attention has had to be directed to the representative character of the original records. In the light of the first year's experience of operating a fully national sample a number of improvements in sampling technique were suggested, details of which are described in the Appendix to the Report. In order that the survey should benefit from these improvements as quickly as possible, they were introduced in June, 1951. Consequently it has been necessary in the text to deal separately with each half of the year. To ensure continuity appropriate summaries for the year as a whole have, however, been included. In other respects the Report follows the lines adopted in 1950. The general arrangement of the text is shown in the list of contents.

The preparation of the present volume has again been undertaken jointly by Mr. W. L. Kendall, who has been responsible for the general design and for the sections on food consumption data, and Miss D. F. Hollingsworth, who has prepared the sections on energy value and nutrient composition. The Committee desire to express their indebtedness to these two officers of the Ministry, as well as to their colleagues in the Ministry's Statistics and Intelligence Division and Scientific Adviser's Division, for the most competent manner in which they have implemented the Committee's recommendations.

Finally the Ministry and the Committee desire once again to express their indebtedness to the many housewives who, with the assistance of the field staff of the London Press Exchange, have provided the information on which the Survey is based.

Norman C. Wright,<br>Chairman, National Food Survey Committee

October, 1953

[^0]
## I. INTRODUCTION

1. The Annual Report for $1950^{1}$ provided for the first time analyses of household food budgets based on a national sample, making possible a description of the diets of the different social classes and of households with different family composition. The present Report summarizes the Survey records for 1951. It includes, for the first time, a comparison of the diet of all social classes and of different types of families with the corresponding results for the previous year. In the 1950 Redort, comparison with previous years was only possible on the basis of the urban working class diets and special tables were included in the Report for this purpose. Seasonal changes were also discussed in the 1950 Report, although on an admittedly limited basis. With a few exceptions, they are not considered in the present Report, but the data now available from June 1951 onwards will eventually make possible a more precise analysis of seasonal changes than has hitherto been attempted. The present Report again includes a discussion of the nutritional significance of the data.
2. During 1951 the Survey methods were further improved ${ }^{2}$, the principal changes being the reintroduction of a monthly survey in place of the Survey conducted during two months of each quarter in 1950, and the discontinuance of the weighing of larder stocks except for foods largely produced by the household for its own use. These changes came into operation in June 1951 and have made it possible to obtain a larger, more continuous and more representative sample. But the results for the second half of 1951, particularly those for expenditure, are in consequence not fully comparable with those for the earlier months. For this reason, it has not been possible to compute national average figures for the whole of 1951 and other methods of comparing 1951 with 1950 have been used. These are, principally, the tabulation of the " quarterly" averages on the two months basis during 1950 and 1951, the detailed comparison of the first two survey periods in each year, and the broad comparison of general levels at the concluding period of each year.
3. One further problem affecting all sections of the Report may be mentioned here. This concerns the grouping of foods for the purposes of summary and comment. In the basic tabulations of the log-book data, foods are classified into 106 items, and this grouping was in the main adhered to for the general tables published in the 1950 Report as Appendix D. This series is continued in the present Report for the survey periods of 1951 which are on a similar basis to those of 1950, that is, January-February and April-May. The relevant table is Table 1 in Appendix D. Similar detail for all households is also given, as quarterly averages, for the period commencing July 1951, when the new methods were introduced (Table 2 in Appendix D). But it has been decided to use a shorter classification of 26 items in the discussion of social class and family composition differences.
[^1]
## II. THE HOUSEHOLD DIET IN 1951 FOOD SUPPLIES, 1951

4. Supplies of food in the country, which had been increasing during the previous year, began to decline towards the end of 1950 . This trend continued into 1951 with the result that some reduction in ration levels was unavoidable. At the same time, the general level of food prices, which had been steadily rising, now rose at an increased rate.
5. Supplies from abroad had already been affected by the balance of payment difficulties and by the sharp deterioration in the United Kingdom's terms of trade, before the special cuts in the import programme, which were introduced at the end of 1951, were made.
The average monthly imports of meat and shell eggs, for example, during the first six months of 1951 , were, respectively 50 per cent. and 25 per cent. lower than the monthly average for the whole of 1950. The position was aggravated by the effects of the cold and wet weather on home food production. This country experienced the wettest growing season since 1919 and, for example, the egg flush was so disappointing that it was not possible to allow retailers to sell eggs off the ration for a few weeks as in 1950. Slightly less wheat and substantially fewer potatoes were moved off the farms in 1951, compared with 1949, when food supplies generally were better, but the number of livestock purchased for slaughter, with the exception of sheep and lambs, markedly increased.
6. The effects of these changes at home and abroad are summarized first in Table 1. The supply levels are shown for 1949,1950 and 1951 with the last two expressed as percentages of the previous year. By 1951, there were small increases over 1949 for dairy products, meat of all kinds (although not to the extent obtaining in 1950), oils and fats, vegetables other than potatoes, and pulses and nuts. Large decreases are shown for fish, poultry and game as one group, and for grain products; at the same time, supplies of eggs and potatoes were slightly lower.

## TABLE 1 <br> Changes in Supplies of Principal Foods(a), 1950 and 1951 Increases or decreases on previous year

lb. per head per annum

(a) Estimates for Consumption Levels in the United Kingdom, Ministry of Food Bulletin 1953, No. 720.
7. Compared with 1950, the marked changes in 1951 were a fall in meat supplies, with a compensating increase in the fish and poultry groups ${ }^{1}$, and a fall in the supply of eggs. Supplies of sugar and syrups improved. In Chart I, 1951 levels are shown as percentages of the pre-war levels. It will be seen that the only important food groups which had neither reached nor exceeded, the pre-war level were meat and fish, fruit and sugar. Since the estimates are on a per head basis, allowance has been made for the growth of the population.

CHART I
Changes in supplies of principal groups of foods: 1951 as percentage of pre-war

8. Since meat supplies showed the greatest change over the three years, details of this group are of special interest. Table 2 shows the variations since 1949. During 1949 to 1951, supplies of beef, mutton and lamb fell by 18 per cent., and those of pork, canned meat, bacon and ham increased by 60 per cent. Beef supplies were 28 per cent. less in 1951 than in 1950, and mutton and lamb supplies 39 per cent. less. Supplies of all meat were 20 per cent. less.
${ }^{1}$ But compare details of consumption of fish alone in paragraphs 16, 19 and 21 below.

TABLE 2
Supplies of Meat, 1949 to 1951
lb. per bead per annum

|  |  |  |  |  | 1949 | 1950 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } \\ \text { on } \\ 1949 \end{gathered}$ | 1951 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } \\ \text { on } \\ 1950 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beef (mainly bone |  |  | ... | ... | $38 \cdot 1$ | $46 \cdot 7$ | Per cent. $+23$ | $33 \cdot 7$ | Per cent. $-28$ |
| Mutton and lamb |  |  |  | . | 21.9 | $25 \cdot 1$ | +15 | $15 \cdot 4$ | -39 |
| Pork ... | $\ldots$ | ... |  | . | $2 \cdot 4$ | $4 \cdot 3$ | +79 | $4 \cdot 5$ | +5 |
| Offals ... ... |  |  |  | ... | $6 \cdot 7$ | $6 \cdot 5$ | -3 | $6 \cdot 7$ | +3 |
| Canned meat ... | ... |  |  | ... | $5 \cdot 3$ | $8 \cdot 5$ | +60 | $10 \cdot 1$ | +19 |
| Bacon and ham | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 13.6 | 21.4 | + 57 | $19 \cdot 4$ | - 9 |
| Total (edible weight only) |  |  | $\cdots$ | ... | $75 \cdot 4$ | 95.8 | +27 | $76 \cdot 5$ | -20 |

9. The comparison of ration allowances over the same period reflects the changing supply conditions.

TABLE 3
Average Weekly Rations, 1949, 1950 and 1951

10. At the same time, prices were rising at an increasing rate. Between December 1950 and December 1951, the interim index of retail prices recorded an increase of 12 per cent. for all items and 16 per cent. for the food items alone. This was the largest increase in food prices for any year since the end of the war.

## GENERAL FEATURES OF THE HOUSEHOLD DIET, 1951

## Level of the diet

11. The changes in the survey methods introduced in June 1951 caused the recorded expenditure levels to rise by an amount in excess of the usual seasonal increase and of any expected longer term trends in expenditure, and larder stock withdrawals to fall by a similar amount ${ }^{1}$. For purposes of comparison more reliable results are obtained by calculating the value of consumption at the two periods. Value of consumption is arrived at by taking into account

[^2]the estimated value of larder stock withdrawals and of supplies of foods, from gardens or similar sources, called in this Report "free" foods. If changes are measured in this way, effects attributable to the alteration in survey technique during the course of 1951 are almost wholly removed ${ }^{1}$, but not sufficiently to warrant annual averages ${ }^{2}$. Levels reached during the corresponding period of 1951 are compared with those of the final survey period of 1950 and the result is shown below.

|  | October to November, 1950 | October to November, 1951 | Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total value of consumption per head per week ... $\qquad$ | s. d. $164$ | s. d. $194$ | Per cent. $18$ |

12. The increase in the value of consumption from one year's end to the other was of the same order as the recorded increase in retail prices ${ }^{3}$ so that the general level of the diet appears to have been maintained. This is confirmed by the detailed comparison which is possible for the earlier months of each year ${ }^{4}$; but this general result masks a number of important changes in the composition of the diet during the year. These are discussed in the remaining paragraphs of this section.

## Composition of the diet

13. A summary of the records covering comparable periods during 1950 and 1951 is set out in Table 4 and in Chart II for
(a) consumption: total purchases and " free " foods, with adjustments for larder stock withdrawals;
(b) expenditure: the value of purchased quantities; and
(c) value of consumption: the quantities under (a) expressed at current prices.
14. Comparisons can be made precisely up to the second period in 1951. For the last two periods of 1951, expenditure records reflect the smaller use by the housewife of larder stocks during the survey week, after the introduction of the new technique, with a corresponding increase in expenditure. The consumption records are more comparable.
15. From the Chart it is apparent that the main changes in the composition of the diet from 1950 to 1951 were the reduced consumption of eggs, meat and, in a less degree, fats; and the increased consumption of fish, vegetables other than potatoes, fruit and sugar and preserves. There was little change in the consumption of milk, cheese (in view of the small quantities involved) and potatoes (apart from seasonal changes). But the value of consumption rose for all items except eggs.
[^3]
## CHART II

Household Diets 1950 and 1951

## Consumption and Value of Consumption

Average of first two months each quarter


d. oz.
d.

d. oz.


12

TABLE 4
Composition of the Household Diet 1950 and 1951

|  | per head per week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950 |  |  |  | 1951 |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan.- } \\ & \text { Feb. } \end{aligned}$ | AprilMay | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July- } \\ & \text { Aug. } \end{aligned}$ | Oct.Nov. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan.- } \\ & \text { Feb. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { April- } \\ \text { May } \end{gathered}$ | JulyAug.(b) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct.- } \\ & \text { Nov.(b) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\operatorname{Mnk}(a)-$ Consumption ... pt. Expenditure $\qquad$ d. Value of consumption $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $5 \cdot 2$ | $5 \cdot 3$ | $5 \cdot 2$ | $5 \cdot 1$ | $5 \cdot 3$ | $5 \cdot 3$ | $5 \cdot 1$ | $5 \cdot 2$ |
|  | $21 \cdot 3$ | $21 \cdot 8$ | $21 \cdot 3$ | $21 \cdot 1$ | $22 \cdot 7$ | $22 \cdot 4$ | $24 \cdot 9$ | $25 \cdot 2$ |
|  | $22 \cdot 3$ | 22.7 | $22 \cdot 6$ | $22 \cdot 4$ | $24 \cdot 2$ | $24 \cdot 6$ | 25.4 | $25 \cdot 8$ |
| Cherse- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ... oz. | $2 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 5$ | $2 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $2 \cdot 9$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | $2 \cdot 4$ |
| Expenditure ... d. | $2 \cdot 5$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | $2 \cdot 6$ | 2.8 | $3 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 3$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | $3 \cdot 3$ |
| Value of consumption ... ... d. | $2 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | $2 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 9$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | $3 \cdot 3$ |
| Eggs- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ...No. | $3 \cdot 2$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 8$ | $2 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | $4 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | 1.9 |
| Expenditure ... d. | $9 \cdot 1$ | $13 \cdot 4$ | $9 \cdot 4$ | $6 \cdot 0$ | $8 \cdot 7$ | $11 \cdot 1$ | $8 \cdot 9$ | $7 \cdot 4$ |
| Value of consumption ... ... d. | 11.6 | $16 \cdot 3$ | 12.4 | $8 \cdot 3$ | $11 \cdot 6$ | $15 \cdot 9$ | $10 \cdot 7$ | $8 \cdot 6$ |
| Meat- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ...oz. | $30 \cdot 5$ | 28.8 | 29.3 | 30.8 | $26 \cdot 7$ | $23 \cdot 8$ | $25 \cdot 4$ | 29.6 |
| Expenditure ... d. | 40.1 | $39 \cdot 8$ | $42 \cdot 0$ | $43 \cdot 6$ | $39 \cdot 9$ | $37 \cdot 4$ | $47 \cdot 3$ | 52.8 |
| tion ... ... $\mathbf{d .}$ | $42 \cdot 3$ | $40 \cdot 9$ | $43 \cdot 8$ | $45 \cdot 6$ | $44 \cdot 2$ | $41 \cdot 2$ | $47 \cdot 7$ | $53 \cdot 3$ |
| Pres- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ... oz. | $7 \cdot 4$ | $6 \cdot 1$ | $6 \cdot 0$ | $7 \cdot 0$ | $8 \cdot 0$ | $7 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 4$ | $8 \cdot 2$ |
| Expenditure ... d. | 8.7 | $7 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 6$ | $8 \cdot 9$ | $10 \cdot 8$ | $11 \cdot 2$ | 11.3 | 13.0 |
| Value of consumption ... ... d. | $9 \cdot 2$ | $8 \cdot 0$ | $8 \cdot 8$ | $9 \cdot 3$ | $11 \cdot 2$ | 11.6 | 11.4 | $13 \cdot 1$ |
| Potators- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ...oz. | $68 \cdot 7$ | $66 \cdot 3$ | $56 \cdot 3$ | 65.4 | $66 \cdot 0$ | $62 \cdot 7$ | 55.4 | $70 \cdot 2$ |
| Expenditure ... d. | $7 \cdot 4$ | $9 \cdot 2$ | $7 \cdot 9$ | $6 \cdot 7$ | $7 \cdot 0$ | $8 \cdot 6$ | $9 \cdot 4$ | $8 \cdot 6$ |
| Value of consumption ... ... d. | $8 \cdot 0$ | 9.9 | $9 \cdot 5$ | $7 \cdot 8$ | 8•1 | $10 \cdot 2$ | $10 \cdot 6$ | $9 \cdot 6$ |
| Other Vegetables- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ...oz. | 27.5 | 24.0 | 34.9 | 32.5 | 30.9 | 27.9 | $37 \cdot 1$ | $35 \cdot 4$ |
| Expenditure ... d. | $11 \cdot 1$ | $13 \cdot 6$ | $9 \cdot 2$ | $9 \cdot 5$ | $10 \cdot 6$ | $12 \cdot 8$ | $14 \cdot 6$ | $13 \cdot 7$ |
| Value of consumption ... ... d. | $12 \cdot 9$ | $15 \cdot 6$ | $13 \cdot 8$ | 11.8 | 12.9 | $15 \cdot 4$ | 18.9 | $16 \cdot 4$ |
| Frutr- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ... oz. | 23.0 | $17 \cdot 1$ | 27.9 | $23 \cdot 4$ | 23.0 | $25 \cdot 6$ | $32 \cdot 7$ | $26 \cdot 7$ |
| Expenditure ... d. | $11 \cdot 8$ | 11.2 | $18 \cdot 3$ | 14.9 | 14.3 | $17 \cdot 4$ | $27 \cdot 2$ | 18.9 |
| Value of consumption ... ... d. | $13 \cdot 3$ | 13.0 | 20.9 | $17 \cdot 4$ | 19.0 | 21.5 | $30 \cdot 5$ | $20 \cdot 8$ |
| Cerbals- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ... oz. | $82 \cdot 3$ | $82 \cdot 6$ | $80 \cdot 4$ | 81.3 | 81.4 | $82 \cdot 8$ | $85 \cdot 6$ | $85 \cdot 6$ |
| Expenditure ... d. | $31 \cdot 8$ | $33 \cdot 9$ | $32 \cdot 7$ | $35 \cdot 0$ | 34-7 | $37 \cdot 9$ | $41 \cdot 1$ | $42 \cdot 5$ |
| Value of consump- <br> tion ... ... d. | $37 \cdot 1$ | $36 \cdot 4$ | $35 \cdot 7$ | $38 \cdot 2$ | 41.0 | 41-8 | $41 \cdot 2$ | $42 \cdot 5$ |

(a) Includes condensed and dried milk.
(b) See paragraph 14 in the text.

|  | 1950 |  |  |  | 1951 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan.- } \\ & \text { Peb. } \end{aligned}$ | AprilMay | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July- } \\ & \text { Aug. } \end{aligned}$ | Oct.Nov. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan.-- } \\ & \text { Feb. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April- } \\ & \text { May } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July-- } \\ & \text { Aug.(a) } \end{aligned}$ | Oct.Nov.(a) |
| Fats- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ... oz. | 11.5 | 11.6 | 11.9 | 11.5 | 11.9 | 11.5 | $10 \cdot 5$ | $9 \cdot 8$ |
| Expenditure ... d. | $9 \cdot 2$ | $10 \cdot 1$ | $10 \cdot 7$ | $10 \cdot 7$ | $10 \cdot 4$ | $10 \cdot 8$ | 13.7 | 12.2 |
| Value of consumption ... ... d. | $10 \cdot 4$ | 11.0 | 12.0 | $11 \cdot 7$ | $12 \cdot 1$ | $12 \cdot 1$ | $13 \cdot 7$ | $12 \cdot 2$ |
| Sugar and Preservis- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ... oz. | $16 \cdot 4$ | $16 \cdot 5$ | 17.0 | 15.9 | 16.6 | $18 \cdot 0$ | $18 \cdot 7$ | $16 \cdot 2$ |
| Expenditure ... d. | $7 \cdot 6$ | $8 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 8$ | $7 \cdot 5$ | $7 \cdot 6$ | $9 \cdot 2$ | $9 \cdot 9$ | 9.4 |
| Value of consump- <br> tion ... ... d. | $8 \cdot 4$ | $8 \cdot 6$ | $8 \cdot 2$ | $8 \cdot 2$ | $10 \cdot 2$ | $10 \cdot 0$ | $10 \cdot 0$ | $9 \cdot 5$ |
| Other Foods- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expenditure ... d. <br> Value of consump- | 11.0 | $10 \cdot 7$ | $9 \cdot 4$ | $10 \cdot 6$ | $12 \cdot 2$ | 11.6 | 14.6 | $16 \cdot 4$ |
| tion ... ... d. | 11.6 | 11.6 | $10 \cdot 3$ | 11.4 | 13.4 | 11.9 | $14 \cdot 6$ | 16.4 |
| All Foods- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expenditure ... d. | 171.6 | $182 \cdot 1$ | 179.1 | $177 \cdot 4$ | 182.0 | 193.7 | $226 \cdot 5$ | $223 \cdot 5$ |
| Value of consumption $\qquad$ d. | $189 \cdot 7$ | $196 \cdot 7$ | $200 \cdot 6$ | 195.0 | $211 \cdot 3$ | 219.8 | $238 \cdot 1$ | $231 \cdot 5$ |

(a) See paragraph 14 in the text.

## Changes in the composition of the diet during 1951

16. Milk showed a small seasonal variation with a steadily rising cost, but total cheese consumption and expenditure fell, in the second half of the year, with the reduction of the ration. The seasonal fluctuations in eggs were substantial, as is usual with this commodity, and the peak consumption in 1951 was at a level over twice as high as in the season of shortage; the difference in value was less marked. Other seasonal foods included potatoes, which showed values moving broadly in inverse relation to consumption. For other vegetables and fruit, the peaks for both consumption and value were recorded in the late summer. Meat consumption improved, after falling rapidly in the earlier months, in contrast to fish consumption, which showed little change. During the year, the cost both of meat and fish greatly increased. Small increases were recorded for both consumption of and expenditure on cereals. The ration of fats was reduced, but the value by the year end was the same as at the beginning. The consumption of sugar and preserves, after reaching a high level in the summer, showed a fall by the end of the year.

## Nutritional levels

17. These changes are assessed nutritionally in Table 5, where the energy value and nutrient content of the diet are expressed as percentages of the allowances recommended by the British Medical Association. ${ }^{1}$ Because of the margin of error unavoidable in estimating these values ${ }^{2}$ too much meaning is not to be attached to small changes in these levels about the 100 per cent. level. It is seen from the table that, on the average, household diets appear to have reached an adequate level for all nutrients. ${ }^{3}$
[^4]TABLE 5
Energy Vake and Natrient Content of Howsehold Diets 1951, as percentages of Standards based on British Medical Association's Recommendations of 1950

|  |  |  |  | Jan.-Feb. | April-May | July-Aug. | Oct.-Nov. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enersy value |  |  |  | por cent. 100 | per cent. 100 | per cent. 101 | per cent. |
| Total protein | ... | ... | ... | 104 | 103 | 102 | 106 |
| Calcium . | ... | ... | ... | 112 | 113 | 109 | 111 |
| Iron $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | 103 | 99 | 105 | 108 |
| Vitamin A | ... | ... | ... | 135 | 143 | 146 | 153 |
| Vitamin $\mathbf{B r}_{1}$... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 122 | 123 | 133 | 127 |
| Riboflavin ... |  |  | ... | 104 | 105 | 106 | 110 |
| Nicotinic acid |  |  |  | 126 | 122 | 125 | 139 |
| Vitamin $\mathbf{C}^{1}$... |  | ... | $\ldots$ | 180 | 162 | 347 | 250 |

18. How far these changes affected the balance of the diet is indicated in Table 6. From the first to the third periods, the percentage of calories derived from protein and from fat fell and that from carbohydrate rose, but with the increased consumption of meat and fish in the fourth period the trend was reversed. In evaluating these results, it is helpful to note that the Committee on Nutrition of the British Medical Association has stated ${ }^{2}$ that fat " should provide at least 25 per cent. of the calorie value of the diet in order to maintain the general character of the food habits of the people in the United Kingdom " and that " the energy value from protein generally represents between 10 and 14 per cent. of the total calories". The results recorded during 1951 reached, or exceeded, the standards implied in these statements.

TABLE 6

## Percentage of the Energy Value of the Diet derived from Protein, Fat and Carbohydrate, in 1951

|  |  |  |  | Jan.-Feb. | April-May | July-Aug. | Oct.-Nov. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. |
| Protein | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $12 \cdot 5$ | $12 \cdot 3$ | $12 \cdot 5$ | $12 \cdot 7$ |
| Fat $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $36 \cdot 8$ | $36 \cdot 0$ | $34 \cdot 1$ | $34 \cdot 5$ |
| Carbohydrate | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $50 \cdot 7$ | $51 \cdot 7$ | $53 \cdot 4$ | $52 \cdot 8$ |  |

## Comparison with 1950 at the year end

19. By the end of 1951, the following changes were recorded. The comparison is based on the data for the months of October and November in each year, as given in Table 4. The diet at the end of 1951 cost more than at the end of 1950 and contained substantially larger quantities of vegetables, including potatoes, and of fruit and fish, but rather smaller quantities of cheese, eggs and meat of all kinds, and much less fats. All foods, except eggs, contributed to the increased cost of the diet. With the exception of energy value and vitamin A, for which small and probably negligible decreases were recorded, there was

[^5]a slight increase in the nutrients generally. The relevant percentages are as follows:

|  | Energy <br> Value | Protein | Calcium | Iron | Vitamin <br> $\mathbf{A}$ | Vitamin <br> $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | Ribo <br> flavin | Nicotinic <br> Acid | Vitamin <br> $\mathbf{C}^{1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct.- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. <br> 1950 | 100 | 105 | 109 | 102 | 156 | 121 | 103 | 132 | 218 |
| 1951 | 99 | 106 | 111 | 108 | 153 | 127 | 110 | 139 | 250 |

There was a small change in the balance of the diet since the proportion of energy derived from fat fell from 36.8 to 34.5 per cent. and that from carbohydrate rose from 50.6 to 52.8 per cent.

## HOUSEHOLD DIETS: JANUARY-FEBRUARY AND APRIL-MAY 1951 COMPARED WITH 1950

## Consumption and expenditure

20. The differences between 1950 and 1951 are established fully for the months January-February and April-May. Table 1 in Appendix D sets out the data for 1951 and Tables 1 and 2 in Appendix D of the 1950 Report set out the data for that year. This comparison is of particular interest because of the low meat ration during the first half of 1951. Table 7 compares the average consumption and expenditure for the two periods. At an increased cost of 6 per cent., the diet showed a marked fall in the consumption of meat and eggs but a much larger consumption of fish, fruit and vegetables. Decreased expenditure on meat ${ }^{2}$, eggs and vegetables partly offset the effect of the increased expenditure on other foods, and in particular on fish, fruit and cereals. Cheese consumption and expenditure rose, but the amounts involved were small.

TABLE 7
The Household Diet: The first half of 1951 and of 1950 compared (a)

(a) Average for Jan.-Feb. and April-May in each year.

[^6]21. According to the indexes compiled from Survey data ${ }^{1}$, the general price level of food consumed in the home rose by about the same amount as expenditure during that period. The price indexes for different foods are given in Table 8, and show a rise over the year of 7 per cent. by JanuaryFebruary and 9 per cent. by April-May. The foods principally affected by price increases were meat, fish, fats, eggs and fruit, although a 9 to 10 per cent. increase was also registered for cereals and for miscellaneous foods in April and May. The large increase in the price level for unrationed meat was the result, not only of price increases for such varieties as poultry and game, but also of a considerably larger consumption of the relatively expensive cooked ham, very little of which entered into the household diet in the earlier months of 1950. Of all the foods listed in the Table, only vegetables fell in price and this reduction was accompanied by a marked increase in consumption. Lower consumption of meat and of eggs resulted in decreased expenditure in spite of higher prices.

TABLE 8
Indexes of Price Changes: 1951 compared with 1950

|  |  |  |  |  | January-February |  | April-May |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Milk and milk product |  | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | Per cent. $+2$ |  | Per cent. $+2$ |
| Meat-rationed | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | - 3 |  | +8 |
| other | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $+19$ |  | - 29 |
| all | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\div 12$ | I | $+16$ |
| Fish | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $+19$ |  | +25 |
| Eggs | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $+14$ |  | $+17$ |
| Fats | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\div 15$ |  | +14 |
| Sugar and preserves | ... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $+1$ |  | +3 |
| Vegetables ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | - 4 |  | - 2 |
| Fruit | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\div 12$ |  | $+10$ |
| Cereals ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | .. | $+4$ |  | + 9 |
| Beverages | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |  | +4 |  | +5 |
| Miscellaneous items for which expenditure only is recorded |  |  |  |  | - 1 |  | +9 |
| All foods ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | +7 |  | +9 |

[^7]22. Even when allowance is made for the value of stock withdrawals and supplies of " free" food (Table 9), the value of the diet is seen to have risen over the period by slightly more than the increase in the general level of retail food prices. The larger consumption of " free" foods with a better vegetable season in 1951, resulted in a slightly larger increase for the April-May period.

TABLE 9
Value of Consamption: 1951 compared with 1950
per head per week

|  | January-February |  |  | April-May |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950 | 1951 | Percentage increase | 1950 | 1951 | Percentage increase |
| Expenditure | s. d. | ${ }_{15}^{\text {s. d. }} 2$ | 6 | s. d. | s. d. | 7 |
| Estimated drawals ... $\quad$... $\quad$.. $\quad$... | $18$ | $21$ |  | 10 | 17 |  |
| Total ... ... ... | 160 |  | 8 | 160 | 179 | 11 |
| Estimated value of " Free " food | 4 | 4 |  | 5 | 7 |  |
| Total value ... ... | 164 | $17 \quad 7$ | 8 | 165 | 184 | 12 |

## Meat and fish

23. The changes are shown in greater detail for meat and fish in Table 10. As supplies of fresh carcase meat fell throughout 1950, it was necessary to reduce both the ration and the butchers' manufacturing allowance. In the earlier half of 1950 the level of the fresh meat ration was about 1 s . 6 d . It stood for some time at 1 s . 2d. and at the end of December was reduced to 10 d . At the same time, the canned corned beef ration, which had stood at 4 d . for about three weeks, was cut to 2d. On February 4th 1951, the fresh meat ration was reduced further to 8 d. , the lowest level since the introduction of rationing. This position lasted until April 15 th when the ration was restored to 10 d . and supplies of canned corned meat ceased.
24. How far increased consumption of unrationed meats and fish went to offset the decline in rationed meat consumption, is seen in Table 10. Domestic consumption per head of fresh rationed meat, bacon and sausages together decreased by 33 per cent. compared with 1950. Some compensation was provided by poultry, rabbit and unrationed canned cooked meat, which showed an increase of 46 per cent., but the resulting consumption level for all meats was still 15 per cent. lower than in 1950. If fish, the consumption of which increased by 12 per cent., is also taken into account, the fall in the combined consumption level was 10 per cent.
25. Expenditure on fresh rationed meat alone declined in the same proportion as consumption but for bacon and sausages the proportion was less. For these foods together, the 33 per cent. decline in consumption was accompanied by a 28 per cent. reduction in expenditure. For all other meats, the consumption of which increased by nearly 50 per cent., the expenditure was almost 100 per cent. higher. The 15 per cent. decline in the consumption of all meat was, as a result, accompanied by only a small decrease in expenditure, and the fall of 10 per cent. in the consumption of fish and meat together by a slight rise in expenditure.

TABLE 10
Meat and Fish Comsumption and Expenditure: 1951 compared with 1950(a)

(a) Average of Jan.-Feb. and April-May in each year.
... = Negligible.

## Fresh green vegetables and fresh fruit

26. Details for fresh green vegetables and for fresh fruit are set out in Table 11. Because of the plentiful supply in 1951 of Brussels sprouts, particularly in January and February, and to a less extent of cauliflower, consumption of fresh green vegetables rose by 21 per cent. but with lower prices expenditure fell by 6 per cent. Fruit, with the exception of tomatoes, although more
plentiful in the later year, was more expensive since the additional supplies included citrus fruits. Nevertheless, consumption rose by 26 per cent. and the combined expenditure on fresh fruit and fresh green vegetables increased by about the same proportion as the combined consumption of these foods.

TABLE 11
Fresh Green Vegetables and Fresh Fruit
Consumption and Expenditure: 1951 compared with 1950(a)

(a) Average for Jan.-Feb. and April-May in each year.

## Energy value and nutrient content

27. In Table 12, the effect of the changes in consumption described in the previous paragraphs are measured in nutritional terms. There was a very slight decline in the energy value of the diet, caused by small reductions in protein and fat which were not fully offset by the increase in carbohydrate. Iron fell by 6 per cent., and vitamins of the B complex by 8 to 10 per cent., the result chiefly of the reduction in the meat ration and the change in the extraction rate of fiour from 85 to 80 per cent. in August 1950. The lower levels of meat, bacon and egg consumption, despite an increased consumption of milk, cheese, fish and unrationed meat, resulted in a reduction of animal protein. The marked rise in ascorbic acid, particularly in April-May, was brought about principally by the increased consumption of citrus fruit, tomatoes, and most fresh green vegetables, and part of the fall in vitamin $D$ is explained by the smaller contribution in 1951 from vitamin A and D tablets, fish liver oils and fortified national dried milk.
28. The nutrient content is expressed in Table 13 as percentages of standards based on the allowances recommended by the British Medical Association ${ }^{1}$. All the recorded levels are at or above 100 per cent. of the recommended allowance suggesting that the diet was adequate on the average for both periods. There was a tendency for most of the percentages to fall, but little importance can be attached to the extent of the reduction.
${ }^{1}$ Compare paragraph 17 above.

TABLE 12

## Energy Value and Nutrient Content of Domestic Food Consumption

 1951 compared with 1950|  |  |  |  |  | per head per day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | January-February |  | April-May |  | Average |  |  |
|  | 1950 | 1951 | 1950 | 1951 | 1950 | 1951 | Percentage change |
| Energy value ... Cal. | 2,498 | 2,473 | 2,485 | 2,471 | 2,492 | 2,472 | $-1$ |
| Total protein ... g. | 78 | 77 | 78 | 76 | 78 | 2, 76 | - 3 |
| Animal protein ... g. | 38 | 37 | 39 | 36 | 38 | 36 | - 5 |
| Fat... ... ... g. | 103 | 101 | 102 | 99 | 102 | 100 | - 2 |
| Carbohydrate ... g. | 317 | 315 | 314 | 320 | 315 | 318 | +1 |
| Calcium ... ... mg. | 1,070 | 1,088 | 1,088 | 1,101 | 1,079 | 1,094 | +1 |
| Iron ... ... mg. | $13 \cdot 5$ | $12 \cdot 6$ | $13 \cdot 7$ | 12.1 | $13 \cdot 6$ | 12.4 | - 6 |
| Vitamin $\mathbf{A}(a) \quad \ldots$ i.u. | 3,392 | 3,204 | 3,268 | 3,413 | 3,330 | 3,308 | - 1 |
| Vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{1}(b) \quad \ldots$. | 1.55 | 1-40 | 1-56 | 1,42 | 1.56 | 1,41 | -10 |
| Riboflavin $\quad \ldots$ mg. | 1.72 | 1.56 | 1.77 | 1.58 | 1.74 | $1 \cdot 57$ | -10 |
| Nicotinic acid ... mg. | $13 \cdot 2$ | $12 \cdot 3$ | 12.7 | 11.8 | $13 \cdot 0$ | $12 \cdot 0$ | -8 |
| Vitamin C(a)(b) mg. | 71 | 79 | 54 | 70 | 62 | 74 | +19 |
| Vitamin D(a) ... i.u. | 184 | 170 | 165 | 159 | 174 | 164 | -6 |

(a) Includes welfare foods.
(b) No allowances for cooking losses.

TABLE 13
Energy Value and Nutrient Content of Household Diet; 1950 and 1951(a) expressed as percentages of Standards based on British Medical Association's allowances

|  |  |  |  |  | 1950 | 1951 | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Energy value... |  |  |  |  | per cent. 102 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { per cent. } \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | - 2 |
| Total protein | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | . | 107 | 104 | - 3 |
| Calcium . | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 111 | 113 | + 2 |
| Iron ... | ... | ... | ... | . | 112 | 101 | -11 |
| Vitamin A ... |  | ... | ... | ... | 142 | 139 | -3 |
| Vitamin $\mathbf{B}_{1} \ldots$ |  | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 137 | 123 | -14 |
| Riboflavin ... |  | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 117 | 105 | -12 |
| Nicotinic acid | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | 134 | 124 | -10 |
| Vitamin C ${ }^{1}$... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 168 | 196 | +32 |

(a) January-February and April-May in each year.

## HOUSEHOLD DIETS JULY TO DECEMBER 1951

## Consumption and expenditure

29. The records for the second half of the year are based on a more representative sample. A summary of the series, including data on prices, is given as quarterly averages in Table 2 of Appendix D, and a link with the previous records is provided above in Table 4. Since the series covers part of 1951 only, it is affected by the seasonal changes briefly noted in paragraphs 18 and 19 above; but it provides the best available material for describing the main features of the national diet, and for comparing social class and family composition differences ${ }^{2}$.

[^8]30. Over the last two quarters of 1951, food expenditure rose by 2 d . and the value of consumption fell by 2 d . The average expenditure for the six months was 18s. 9d.; with " free" foods valued at 9d., the total value of consumption was 19s. 6 d .

TABLE 14
Food Expenditure and Value of Consumption July to December 1951
per head per week

|  | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenditure <br> Value of "free" foods $\quad .$. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { s. } \begin{array}{r} \text { d. } \\ 188 \\ 11 \end{array} \end{array}$ | s. $\begin{array}{r}\text { d. } \\ 1810 \\ 7\end{array}$ | s. $\begin{gathered}\text { d. } \\ 18 \\ \\ \\ \\ 9\end{gathered}$ |
| Total (value of consumption) ... | 197 | 195 | 196 |

31. Table 15 sets out the quarterly consumption and expenditure for the main groups of foods. The percentage expenditure on different foods, with a pre-war comparison based on the survey conducted by Crawford and Broadley in 1936 to 1937, is shown in Chart III. Of interest, is the broad similarity between the proportions in 1951 and those before the war. The largest share of expenditure, 29 per cent. of the total, was in the group which included bread, fats, sugar and jam. Among cereals, bread was the principal item so far as quantity is concerned, at a level of 58.6 oz . per head per week, but the cost was $14 \cdot 3 \mathrm{~d}$. or only 34 per cent. of total cereals expenditure. Flour cost a further 6 per cent., and other cereals 60 per cent.
32. Fats, sugar and preserves together accounted for about 10 per cent. of total domestic food expenditure. Sugar consumption was determined by the ration and remained at 10 oz . during the period except for a bonus of 1 lb . in each of the three ration periods preceding October 6th. During the half year, consumption averaged $11 \cdot 9 \mathrm{oz}$. per head per week at a cost of $4 \cdot 5 \mathrm{~d}$. The consumption of fats similarly followed the ration, at a cost of 12.9 d. , but the Table includes also a small quantity of unrationed fat. Margarine remained at 4 oz ., and rationed cooking fat at 2 oz ., throughout the period; butter fell from 4 oz . to 3 oz . on September 9th.
33. Meat and fish together represented 28 per cent. of total food expenditure. Meat consumption averaged 28.7 oz . per head a week, with unrationed meat at $12 \cdot 1 \mathrm{oz}$., or 42 per cent. of the total. Since expenditure on unrationed meat was $22 \cdot 2$., or 43 per cent. of a total of $51 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~d}$., the two categories of meat were of comparable cost. Fish consumption represented 27 per cent. of that of meat, and expenditure, 23 per cent. Canned corned beef was not included in the ration during this period, since home killings made possible substantial increases in the ration of fresh meat. This stood at 10d. in July, and was raised in two stages to 1 s . 5 d . by August 5th, and in four further stages to 2 s . 2d. by September 16th. From that level, it fell in three stages to 1 s . 5 d . by November 11th.

## CHART III

Percentage Expenditure on different Foods

34. Vegetables and fruit as a group accounted for 20 per cent. of total food expenditure. More was spent on potatoes, with a consumption of $62 \cdot 2 \mathrm{oz}$., than on either fresh green vegetables or all other vegetables, although for the greater part of this period these vegetables were plentiful and cheap. The average weekly expenditure per head on potatoes was $8 \cdot 8 \mathrm{~d}$. , on fresh green vegetables $5 \cdot 9 \mathrm{~d}$. and on all other vegetables $7 \cdot 9 \mathrm{~d}$. Compared with these levels, expenditure on fruit was substantially higher: $17 \cdot 4 \mathrm{~d}$. on fresh fruit and tomatoes and $5 \cdot 3 \mathrm{~d}$. on other fruit. The quantity of fresh fruit and tomatoes consumed was about twice that of fresh green vegetables.
35. In the remaining group in Table 15, milk accounted for 11 per cent. of all food expenditure and cheese and eggs together for 5 per cent. Over the period, welfare milk represented 18 per cent. of an average weekly consumption of $5 \cdot 1$ pints of milk of all kinds. The total cheese consumption of 2.6 oz . included unrationed cheeses; the ration was 2 oz . per head per week until September 9 th when it was reduced to $1 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{oz}$.

TABLE 15
Domestic Food Comsmption and Expenditure: July to December 1951


## Emergy value and mutrient content

36. From June onwards, a revised table of food composition, allowing for estimated cooking losses of vitamins $B_{1}$ and $C$ was used to compute the nutrient content of the diet. Like the earlier table, it was based on Medical Research Council War Memorandum No. 14, on analyses of flour made at the Flour Millers' Research Association and by the Government Chemist, and on estimates of changes in weight during cooking made in the Experimental Kitchen of the Scientific Adviser's Division of the Ministry of Food. The energy value and nutrient content of the quantities of food estimated as consumed during the third and fourth quarters of 1951 are shown in Table 16. It is seen that, in addition to the usual seasonal decline in ascorbic acid, there was a small increase from one quarter to the next in nicotinic acid, resulting from the increased meat and fish consumption during the final quarter. Included in the table are also the values expressed as percentages of the standards based on those of the British Medical Association. According to these standards ${ }^{1}$, the diet was adequate; the percentages were particularly high for vitamins $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ and nicotinic acid. There is, however, considerable controversy over the requirement for Vitamin C. Had the recommended

[^9]dietary allowances of the National Research Council of the United States of America been used for this calculation, the percentages would have been between 80 and 90 . The same general considerations apply to all calculations of vitamin C adequacy.

TABLE 16
Energy Value and Nutrient Content of Domestic Food Consumption: July to December 1951

| dy to December 1951 |  |  |  |  | per head per day |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Average | As percentage of standard (a) |
| Energy value ... | $\ldots$ | ... Cal. | 2,464 | 2,454 | 2,459 | 100 |
| Total protein ... | $\cdots$ | ... g. | 2,77 | 78 | 2,77 | 105 |
| Animal protein | ... | ... g. | 36 | 38 | 37 | (d) |
| Fat ... ... | ... | ... g. | 93 | 94 | 94 | (d) |
| Carbohydrate... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots \mathrm{g}$. | 329 | 323 | 326 | (d) |
| Calcium ... | ... | ... mg. | 1,056 | 1,059 | 1,058 | 110 |
| Iron ... ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | ... | ... mg. | $13 \cdot 2$ | $13 \cdot 1$ | $13 \cdot 2$ | 107 |
| Vitamin A(b) | ... | ... i.u. | 3,593 | 3,518 | 3,556 | 149 |
| Vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{1}(\mathrm{c})$ | ... | ... mg. | 1.27 | 1.24 | 3,56 1.26 | 129 |
| Riboflavin ... | $\ldots$ | ... mg. | 1.61 | 1.63 | 1.62 | 108 |
| Nicotinic acid | ... | ... mg. | $12 \cdot 6$ | 13.4 | $13 \cdot 0$ | 132 |
| Vitamin C(c) ... | ... | $\ldots$ mg. | 73 150 | 52 | 63 149 | 287 |
| Vitamin $\mathbf{D}($ b $)$ | $\cdots$ | ... i.u. | 150 | 149 | 149 | (d) |

(a) Based on recommendations of the British Medical Association.
(b) Excludes welfare foods: See Appendix A, paragraph 12.
(c) With allowances for cooking losses.
(d) Not available.

## III. HOUSEHOLD DIETS OF SOCIAL CLASSES 1951

## CHARACTERISTICS OF SOCIAL CLASS

37. The relation of food consumption and expenditure to income and to social status are problems of particular interest. Both present special difficulties of analysis. Social classes are less clearly marked today than before the war and, with the increase in the number and size of incomes of dependants, the influence of the head of the household is no longer so outstanding in determining the habits of the family. But a satisfactory method of obtaining information on total family income has not been worked out, and in the analyses in this Report the mixed system is still used which was adopted and explained in detail in the 1950 Report ${ }^{1}$.
38. The classification depends mainly upon the inccme of the head of the household with the following groupings:

Class A-f13 a week and above
Class B- $£ 8$ to $£ 13$ a week
Class C-£4 10s. to $£ 8$ a week
Class D-Less than $£ 4$ 10s. a week

[^10]Although this is the same basic grouping as that used in the 1950 Report, the rise in the general level of prices and of wages during the course of 1950 and 1951 means that there has been a slight migration from the lower to the higher social classes which may have affected, for example, their occupational and family composition.
39. Much more weight has to be attached to differences in the size and family composition of the households in the several social classes, both because of the magnitude of these differences, particularly between Class D and other classes, and because of the considerable effect of family composition on the level of the diet. The main demographic features of social classes, according to the Survey sample in the second half of 1951, are summarised in Tables 17, 18 and 19.
40. Classes B and C together accounted for 70 per cent. of all households and 76 per cent. of all persons; in respect of household size, these two classes were very similar. Class A, with 7 per cent. of households and of persons, showed a slightly smaller average size of household. But the biggest contrast was recorded for Class D. The old age pensioner households, which represented 6 per cent. of all households and 3 per cent. of all persons, were small, as is to be expected. The remaining households of Class D, which accounted for 17 per cent. of all households in the sample, had an average size which was larger than the old age pensioner group but still substantially smaller than that of any of the other groups.

TABLE 17
Distribution of Households and Persons by Social Class in the National Food
Survey Sample:
July to December 1951

|  | Social class |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { classes }}{\text { All }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Excluding O.A.P.(a) | O.A.P. |  |
| Distribution of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| households (per cent.) ... | $6 \cdot 7$ | $24 \cdot 1$ | $45 \cdot 5$ | $17 \cdot 4$ | $6 \cdot 3$ | 100 |
| persons (per cent.) ... | $6 \cdot 6$ | $26 \cdot 5$ | $49 \cdot 6$ | $14 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | 100 |
| Average size (persons) ... | $3 \cdot 31$ | $3 \cdot 67$ | $3 \cdot 63$ | $2 \cdot 81$ | 1.42 | $3 \cdot 34$ |

(a) Old age pensioner households.
41. The special structure of Class $D$ households is illustrated in more detail in Table 18. The group of old age pensioner households consisted almost wholly of adults, and 66 per cent. of all persons in the group were adult women. In the remaining Class D households, 79 per cent. were adults and 49 per cent. of all persons were adult women. These proportions may be compared with those for other classes: adults in Classes B and C accounted for 61 and 64 per cent. of all persons, and women for 31 and 32 per cent.; in Class A, 66 per cent. of all persons were adults and 37 per cent. were women.

TABLE 18
Family Composition of Social Classes: July to December 1951

|  | Social class |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { classes } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excluding } \\ & \text { O.A.P.(c) } \end{aligned}$ | O.A.P. |  |
| Pricentage of total perSONS IN RACH SOCLAL ClASS adults, female | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| adults, female ... ... | 37 | 31 | 32 | 49 | 66 | 35 |
| adults, male | 29 | 30 | 32 | 30 | 34 | 31 |
| adolescents (a) ... | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 |  | 8 |
| children(b) ... | 27 | 31 | 28 | 13 | ... | 26 |
| Average number in each BOUSEHOLD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| atults ... ... ... | $2 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | 1.4 | $2 \cdot 2$ |
| adolescents ( $a$ ) ... ... | $0 \cdot 2$ | $0 \cdot 3$ | $0 \cdot 3$ | $0 \cdot 2$ | - | $0 \cdot 2$ |
| children(b) ... ... | 0.9 | $1 \cdot 1$ | 1.0 | 0.4 | - | 0.9 |

(a) 14 to 20 years.
(b) Under 14 years.
(c) Old age pensioner households.
42. Even apart from old age pensioner households, small adult households accounted for 40 to 50 per cent. of all persons in Class $\mathrm{D}^{1}$. Moreover, the records also show that a substantial number of these households, amounting to about the same number absolutely as the old age pensioner households, had no earner. They presumably obtained their income from pensions outside the official scheme or from private means.
43. Accordingly Class D was far from homogeneous and consisted of four main strata. Old age pensioner households, almost wholly adult, form the first, and are dealt with separately in the tabulations. There was secondly a group, about the same size, of adult households also dependent upon pensions or private means. Thirdly, there were the remaining wholly adult households which received their income by way of earnings. Finally, there was a rather complex but smaller group of families with adolescents and with children. Average figures are, in consequence of their heterogeneity less applicable to Class D households, even when the old age pensioner group is excluded, than to other classes.
44. In Class A, the average household size was smaller and there was a high percentage of adult women. In part, this is attributable to the inclusion of households, many without earners, consisting of a few adults only. A final summary of the family characteristics of social classes is provided by Table 19, which shows the frequency distribution of households according to size.

[^11]TABLE 19
Distribution of Households in Social Classes according to Size: Jume to August 1951

(a) Old age pensioner households.

GENERAL FEATURES OF SOCIAL CLASS DIETS, 1951

## Comparative levels, 1950 and 1951

45. Reasons have been given above ${ }^{1}$ for the use of "value of consumption " when measuring changes over the year. Table 21 sets out the comparative class levels of diet, on this basis, for the first two months of each quarter in 1950 and in 1951. At each period, all classes recorded an increase compared with the previous year and, by the final period in 1951, the following percentage increases over the corresponding months of 1950 were attained.

TABLE 20

## Value of Consumption by Social Class: October-November 1951 Percentage increase over 1950


(a) Old age pensioner households.
' Paragraphs 11 and 14.
46. Accordingly, the position of households in the lowest social classes had, by the end of 1951 , improved slightly in relation to the remainder. Changes in relative positions of the classes during the course of the two years are illustrated in the percentages of the national average which are given in Table 21, and presented diagrammatically in Chart IV. During the course of 1951, class differences, which had widened in the winter of 1950, gradually decreased.

CHART IV
Valae of Consumption by Social Class-percentages of national average


TABLE 21
Valae of Consumption by Social Class, 1950 and 1951
per head per week

(a) Old age pensioner households.

TABLE 21-continued

|  | Social class |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { classes }}{\text { All }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Excluding <br> O.A.P.(a) | O.A.P. |  |
| Prrcentages of average POR ALL HOUSEHOLDS January-February- | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. |  | per cent. | per cent. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 134 \\ & 135 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 115 \\ & 110 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \\ & 93 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \\ & 87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{cc} \text { April-May- } \\ 1950 & \ldots \\ 1951 & \ldots \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 126 \\ & 133 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 111 \\ & 113 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \\ & 97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \\ & 90 \end{aligned}$ | 98 87 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { July-August- } \\ \begin{array}{c} 1950 \\ 1951 \end{array} \ldots \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1118 | 113 103 | 98 98 | $\begin{aligned} & 94 \\ & 96 \end{aligned}$ | 89 85 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{rrr}\text { October-November- } \\ 1950 & \ldots \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots \\ & & \ldots \\ & & \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 144 \\ & 127 \end{aligned}$ | 111 | 98 98 | 92 95 | 89 88 | 100 100 |

47. During the two years, class differences, measured by the percentage energy contributions of protein, fat and carbohydrate (Table 22) were small and the percentages for each class were well within the limits suggested by the British Medical Association ${ }^{1}$. If the positions at the end of each year are compared, a similar trend to that of consumption levels is evident: apart from old age pensioner households, there seems to have been a narrowing of class differences although, during the earlier part of the year, the differencos in 1951 were slightly wider (Table 22) ${ }^{2}$.

TABLE 22
Percentage of the Energy Value of Social Class Diets derived from Protein, Fat and Carbohydrate: October-November 1950 and 1951

|  |  |  | Social Class |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | A | B | C | D |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excluding } \\ & \text { O.A.P.(a) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | O.A.P. |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Protein_ } \\ 1950 \ldots \\ 1951 \ldots \end{array}$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { per cent. } \\ & 13.2 \\ & 13.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { per cent. } \\ 12 \cdot 6 \\ 12.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { per cent. } \\ 12 \cdot 5 \\ 12.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { per cent. } \\ 12.7 \\ 12.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { per cent. } \\ 12.6 \\ 12.9 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} \text { FAT- } \\ 1950 \ldots \\ 1951 \ldots \end{aligned}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $39 \cdot 5$ $37 \cdot 1$ | 37.6 34.9 | $36 \cdot 4$ $34 \cdot 0$ | $36 \cdot 1$ $34 \cdot 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \cdot 7 \\ & 33 \cdot 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Carbohydrate- } \\ 1950 \ldots & \ldots \\ 1951 \ldots & \ldots \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \cdot 3 \\ & 49 \cdot 9 \end{aligned}$ | 49.8 52.3 | $51 \cdot 1$ $53 \cdot 3$ | $51 \cdot 2$ $52 \cdot 6$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49 \cdot 7 \\ 53 \cdot 2 \end{array}$ |

(a) Old age pensioner households.
${ }^{1}$ Paragraph 18 above.
${ }^{2}$ Compare paragraph 51 below.

## Consumption daring 1951

48. The limited grouping of foods used in the analyses of the 1950 records makes impracticable a table for social classes, covering both 1950 and 1951, in the same detail as that provided for all households in Table 4. Table 23 shows the consumption levels for 1951 alone, on the basis of a 10 item classification. The narrowing of class differences, by the end of the year, is seen to have been associated with rises in the consumption of meat, vegetables other than potatoes, and cereals, which were proportionally larger for the lowest class than for any other class, and with decreases in the consumption of milk and fats. which were least for this class. The pattern of class differences which obtained during the second half of the year, is considered in greater detail below ${ }^{1}$.

TABLE 23
Domestic Food Consumption by Social Class, 1951: Averages for two months each quarter
per head per week

| per head per week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Social class |  |  |  |  | All classes |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excluding } \\ & \text { O.A.P.(a) } \end{aligned}$ | O.A.P. |  |
| Mile- |  | pt. | pt. | pt. | pt. | pt. | pt. |
| January-February | $\ldots$ | $7 \cdot 2$ | $5 \cdot 9$ | $5 \cdot 2$ | $4 \cdot 8$ | $4 \cdot 9$ | $5 \cdot 3$ |
| April-May ... | ... | $6 \cdot 6$ | $6 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 3$ | $4 \cdot 8$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 4$ |
| July-August ... | ... | $6 \cdot 3$ | $5 \cdot 4$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | $4 \cdot 8$ | $4 \cdot 8$ | $5 \cdot 1$ |
| October-November | ... | $6 \cdot 6$ | $5 \cdot 5$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | $4 \cdot 9$ | $5 \cdot 2$ | $5 \cdot 2$ |
| Egos- |  | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. |
| January-February | ... | $3 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | $2 \cdot 5$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | $2 \cdot 8$ |
| April-May ... | ... | $5 \cdot 0$ | $4 \cdot 6$ | $4 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 3$ | $4 \cdot 2$ |
| July-August ... | ... | $3 \cdot 3$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | 1.9 | $2 \cdot 7$ |
| October-November | ... | $2 \cdot 3$ | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.9 |
| Mrat- |  | oz. | oz. | oz. | oz. | 02. | oz. |
| January-February | $\ldots$ | 29.8 | $27 \cdot 5$ | $26 \cdot 1$ | $26 \cdot 6$ | $26 \cdot 8$ | 26.7 |
| April-May ... | $\cdots$ | 25.8 | 24.9 | 23.6 | $23 \cdot 8$ | $22 \cdot 0$ | $23 \cdot 8$ |
| July-August ... | $\ldots$ | $26 \cdot 1$ | $25 \cdot 7$ | 25.4 | $25 \cdot 0$ | $23 \cdot 9$ | $25 \cdot 4$ |
| October-November | $\ldots$ | 32.8 | $29 \cdot 6$ | 28.8 | $30 \cdot 7$ | 28.2 | 29.6 |
| Ftish- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January-February | $\ldots$ | $9 \cdot 4$ | $8 \cdot 5$ | $7 \cdot 5$ | $8 \cdot 3$ | 8.8 | $8 \cdot 0$ |
| April-May ... | ... | 10.4 | 8.6 | $6 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 4$ | $7 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 3$ |
| July-August ... | ... | 9.9 | 7.0 | $7 \cdot 2$ | $7 \cdot 6$ | $8 \cdot 2$ | $7 \cdot 4$ |
| October-November | ... | $10 \cdot 0$ | $8 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 5$ | $8 \cdot 4$ | 9.5 | $9 \cdot 2$ |
| Porators- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January-February | $\cdots$ | 49.0 | $63 \cdot 1$ | 68.9 | 67.9 | $50 \cdot 8$ | $66 \cdot 0$ |
| April-May ... | $\ldots$ | $43 \cdot 4$ | 59.0 | 68.0 | $58 \cdot 6$ | $43 \cdot 7$ | $62 \cdot 7$ |
| July-August $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 42.5 | 53.9 70.2 | 58.6 | $55 \cdot 5$ 69.2 | $46 \cdot 5$ | $55 \cdot 4$ 70.2 |
| October-November |  | $62 \cdot 2$ | 70.2 | 72-1 | $69 \cdot 2$ | $62 \cdot 7$ | $70 \cdot 2$ |

(a) Old age pensioner households.

Paragraph 57 et seq.

TABLE 23-continued

(a) Old age pensioner households.

## Natritional level of social class diets during 1951

49. By comparing the percentages of British Medical Association allowances for energy value and nutrient content, reached by the diets of different social classes during the first two months of each quarter, it is possible to indicate general trends in nutrition during the year. The percentages are set out in Table 24. Energy value and calcium levels in Classes A and B may have declined slightly during the year ${ }^{1}$, and those for Class D risen. The protein position for Class D also probably improved. For all classes, recorded levels had risen by the end of the year for iron, vitamin $A$, vitamin $B_{1}$, riboflavin and nicotinic acid. The level for vitamin C reflected the high seasonal variation for this nutrient.
[^12]TABLE 24
Energy Value and Nutrient Content of Social Class Diets, 1951
As percentages of Standards based on British Medical Association's recommendations

|  |  | Social class |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { classes }}{\text { All }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excluding } \\ & \text { O.A.P.(a) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | O.A.P. |  |
| Enisgay valub-January-February April-May July-August October-November |  |  | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. |  | per cent. |  |
|  | ... | 109 | 106 | $100$ | $94$ | $93$ | $100$ |
|  | ... | 104 | 103 | 101 | 95 | 92 | 100 |
|  |  | 97 | 101 | 98 | 100 | 97 | 101 |
|  | ... | 104 | 101 | 98 | 98 | 101 | 99 |
| TOTAL PROTRIN- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January-February | $\ldots$ | 116 | 110 | 103 | 101 | 106 | 104 |
| April-May ... |  | 112 | 106 | 102 | 100 | 101 | 103 |
| July-August ... |  | 103 | 103 | 101 | 107 | 107 | 102 |
| October-November | ... | 115 | 107 | 104 | 108 | 121 | 106 |
| Calcium- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January-February | $\ldots$ | 129 | 117 | 111 | 106 | 109 | 112 |
| April-May ... | ... | 124 | 120 | 111 | 108 | 108 | 113 |
| July-August | $\ldots$ | 115 | 113 | 108 | 110 | 107 | 109 |
| October-November | $\ldots$ | 122 | 111 | 109 | 110 | 118 | 111 |
| IRON- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January-February | $\ldots$ | 111 | 107 | 104 | 94 | 79 | 103 |
| April-May ... | ... | 110 | 102 | 102 | 91 | 75 | 99 |
| July-August ... | $\ldots$ | 103 | 108 | 107 | 102 | 86 | 105 |
| October-November | ... | 114 | 111 | 108 | 102 | 91 | 108 |
| Vitamin A- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January-February | ... | 160 | 147 | 137 | 114 | 95 | 135 |
| April-May ... |  | 192 | 149 | 146 | 126 | 93 | 143 |
| July-August ... |  | 166 | 160 | 146 | 139 | 106 | 146 |
| October-November | ... | 181 | 162 | 149 | 131 | 127 | 153 |
| Vitamin $B_{1}$ -January-February April-May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\ldots$ | 129 | 128 | 121 | 115 | 108 | 122 |
|  | ... | 126 | 126 | 125 | 117 | 111 | 123 |
| July-August ... | ... | 132 | 133 | 130 | 128 | 122 | 133 |
| October-November | ... | 138 | 130 | 124 | 126 | 128 | 127 |
| Riboflavin- <br> January-February |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\ldots$ | 125 | 114 | 102 | 96 | 98 | 104 |
| April-May ... | ... | 128 | 113 | 104 | 97 | 100 | 105 |
| July-August ... | ... | 121 | 111 | 103 | 104 | 103 | 106 |
| October-November | ... | 133 | 113 | 104 | 104 | 117 | 110 |
| Nicotinic Acib- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 141 | 134 | 124 | 121 | 115 | 126 |
| April-May ... | ... | 134 | 126 | 121 | 116 | 111 | 122 |
| July-August ... | ... | 135 | 129 | 123 | 129 | 121 | 125 |
| October-November | ... | 158 | 142 | 133 | 137 | 141 | 139 |
| Vitamin ${ }^{\text {c }}$ - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January-February | $\ldots$ | 209 | 209 | 179 | 192 | 140 | 180 |
| April-May ... |  | 234 | 192 | 157 | 148 | 134 | 162 |
| July-August | $\ldots$ | 426 | 367 | 335 | 310 | 282 | 347 |
| October-November | ... | 309 | 265 | 239 | 219 | 193 | 250 |

(a) Old age pensioner households.
${ }^{1}$ But see paragraph 36 above.

## SOCIAL CLASS DIETS: JANUARY-FEBRUARY AND APRILMAY 1951 COMPARED WITH 1950

50. In order to compare 1951 consumption in detail, and 1951 expenditure, with 1950, it is necessary to use the data for the first two Survey periods in each year. The data for 1951 are shown in the form of the standard 26 item classification in Tables 3 and 4, Appendix D. A shorter summary is set out below in Table 25.

## Relative Changes in Social Class Diets

51. Class expenditure differences, shown at the foot of Tables 25 and 26 , reveal a widening of the gap between classes similar to that already noted for value of consumption ${ }^{\text {. }}$. Classes A and $\mathbf{B}$ recorded an increase in expenditure of 11 per cent. and 10 per cent., Class C, 5 per cent., and Class D, only 1 or 2 per cent. This widening of class differences in the earlier months of 1951 compared with 1950, is also a feature of the comparison of nutritional levels, first when the sources of calories at each period are compared (Table 28) and second when the levels of nutrient intake by different classes are considered (Tables 29 and 30). Class A obtained $49 \cdot 1$ per cent. of their calories from carbohydrate in 1950, and Class D, $50 \cdot 5$ per cent. In 1951, the proportions were $48 \cdot 6$ per cent. and 51.3 per cent.

## Changes in the composition of social class diets

52. The important changes in the composition of social class diets from one year to the other, for the months in question, can be briefly indicated. Milk consumotion varied little, but Classes A and B found the cost substantially higher in the second year. Reduced egg supplies in that year led to lower consumption by all classes. Class A showed the smallest reduction, but at a substantially increased cost.
53. The meat and fish group is of special interest. The reductions in the fresh meat ration affected all classes in equal proportion, and all classes increased their consumption both of unrationed meat and fish. Class A households increased their fish consumption more than other classes and their unrationed meat less, presumably because of the high level of consumption of those meats already enjoyed by these households; but after the various increases had taken place, Class A still consumed substantially more unrationed meats even than Class B. Class B and old age densioner households increased their unrationed meat consumption by the greatest proportion, at a considerably higher cost; and all grouns, with the exception of Class A, increased their fresh fish consumption by about the same amount. The costs, both of unrationed meat and of fish, increased in all instances by much more than the amounts censumed.
54. Potato consumption and expenditure fell, particularly for Class A. Other classes took advantage of the supplies of cheaper green vegetables; Classes A and B, already consuming these foods in larger quantities, were less affected. Classes $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ increased their consumption of other vegetables substantially and all classes raised their consumption and expenditure of fresh fruit by comparable proportions. Variations in bread consumption were small except for a reduction of 8 per cent. by Class A at an increased cost of 5 per cent. All classes, except the non-old age pensioner households in Class D, recorded increases in the consumption of cereals other than bread and flour; these increases were proportionately larger, the higher the social class. Expenditure showed the same comparative changes but at a much higher level: Class C, for example, increased consumption by 6 per cent. and expenditure by 16 per cent.
[^13]TABLE 25 SEE ERRATA

## Domestic Food Consumption and Expenditure by Social Class: January-February and April-May 1951

| per head per week |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Social Class |  |  |  |  |
|  | A | B | C | D |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excluding } \\ & \text { O.A.P.(b) } \end{aligned}$ | O.A.P. |
| Milk(a)- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ... ... pt. | $6 \cdot 3$ | $5 \cdot 5$ | $4 \cdot 8$ | $4 \cdot 4$ | $4 \cdot 8$ |
| Expenditure ... ... d. | $28 \cdot 1$ | $23 \cdot 8$ | $19 \cdot 4$ | $20 \cdot 0$ | $23 \cdot 8$ |
| Cheese- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ... ... oz. | $3 \cdot 7$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | 3-1 |
| Expenditure ... ... d. | $4 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | $2 \cdot 8$ |
| Eocs- |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Consumption } \\ \text { Expenditure } & \ldots & \ldots & \text {... } \\ \text { d. }\end{array}$ | $4 \cdot 4$ $10 \cdot 7$ | $3 \cdot 8$ 10.9 | 3.5 9.6 | 3.0 9.0 | $2 \cdot 8$ 9.2 |
| Rationed Fresh Meat- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ... ... oz. | $8 \cdot 3$ | $8 \cdot 0$ | 7.5 | $7 \cdot 8$ | $8 \cdot 3$ |
| Expenditure ... ... d. | 11.9 | 11.4 | $10 \cdot 8$ | $11 \cdot 2$ | $10 \cdot 9$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expenditure ... ... d. | $7 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 7$ | $8 \cdot 1$ | $7 \cdot 7$ | $7 \cdot 8$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Consumption } \\ \text { Expenditure } & \text {... } & \text {... } \\ \text { az. } \\ \text { d. }\end{array}$ | $14 \cdot 2$ $21 \cdot 2$ | $12 \cdot 8$ $22 \cdot 6$ | 11.9 17.5 | 12.4 17.8 | 11.0 12.7 |
| Fresh Fish- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ... ... oz. | $8 \cdot 8$ | $7 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 4$ | $6 \cdot 2$ | $7 \cdot 1$ |
| Expenditure ... ... d. | $13 \cdot 2$ | $9 \cdot 4$ | $6 \cdot 9$ | $7 \cdot 3$ | $8 \cdot 3$ |
| Preparrd Fish(d)- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ... ... oz. | $1 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 5$ | $1 \cdot 7$ | $1 \cdot 6$ | $1 \cdot 2$ |
| Expenditure . ... ... d. | $2 \cdot 3$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | $2 \cdot 3$ |
| Potatoes (including Crisps and Chifs)- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ... ... oz. | $46 \cdot 1$ | 61.0 | 68.5 | $63 \cdot 4$ | 47-3. |
| Expenditure ... ... d. | $5 \cdot 0$ | $7 \cdot 5$ | $8 \cdot 2$ | $7 \cdot 8$ | $5 \cdot 9$ |

(a) Includes condensed and dried.
(b) Old age pensioner households.
(c) Excludes canned corned beef; consumption in 1950 was negligible and in 1951 about 1 oz . per head per week for all classes.
(d) Cooked and canned fish, and fish products.

TABLE 25-contimed
per head per weck

(a) Old age pensioner houscholds.

TABLE 26
Principal changes in Consumption and Expenditure by Social Class:
1951 compared with 1950(a)

(a) Average of January-February and April-May in each year.
(b) Old age pensioner households.

## Energy value and nutrient content

55. The recorded energy value and nutrient content of the diet, with the exception of ascorbic acid, fell from the first to the second year for all classes (Table 28), a reduction of 3 per cent. in the value of energy intake by Class A causing the level for this class to fall below that of Classes B and C. But the changes were slight and, when intakes are measured against requirements (Table 29), it is seen that the relative class positions were broadly maintained. Apart from energy value, iron and riboflavin for Class $D$, the levels for all classes were above 100 per cent. in each year.
56. The percentage contributions of energy value from protein and, with the exception of Class A, from fat were smaller in 1951 than in 1950 for all classes; and the contribution from carbohydrate was larger for all classes, excepting A (Table 27).

TABLE 27
Percentage of Energy Value of Social Class Diets derived from Protein, Fat and Carbohydrate: 1951 compared with 1950(a)

(a) Average of January-February and April-May in each year.
(b) Old age pensioner households.

TABLE 28
Energy Value and Nutrient Content of Social Class Diets: 1951 compared with 1950(a)

|  |  |  |  | per head per day |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Social Class |  |  |  |  |
|  | A | B | C | D |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excluding } \\ & \text { O.A.P. (b) } \end{aligned}$ | O.A.P. |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} \text { Energy Value- } & & \\ 1950 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots & \text { Cal. } \end{array}$ | 2,588 $\mathbf{2 , 5 0 2}$ | 2,489 $\mathbf{2 , 5 1 3}$ | 2,516 2,513 | 2,423 2,369 | 2,294 2,191 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ccccc}\text { Antmal Protein- } & & \\ 1950 & \ldots . & \ldots & \ldots & \text { g. } \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \end{array}$ | 44 | 41 39 | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | 37 34 |
| $\begin{array}{rccc} \text { FAT- } & & & \\ 1950 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \end{array}$ | 110 108 | 106 | 102 99 | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \\ & 94 \end{aligned}$ | 97 |
| $\begin{array}{clll} \text { Carbohydrate- } & \\ 1950 & \ldots & \ldots & \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots & \mathbf{g} . \end{array}$ | 318 305 | 304 315 | 321 326 | $\begin{aligned} & 309 \\ & 307 \end{aligned}$ | 284 274 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} \text { Calctum- } & & & \\ 1950 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \text { mg. } \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \end{array}$ | 1,163 1,204 | 1,108 1,133 | 1,088 1,098 | 1,014 1,022 | 1,005 |
| $\begin{array}{rllll} \text { Iron_ } & & & \\ 1950 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \mathrm{mg} . \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \end{array}$ | $14 \cdot 4$ $13 \cdot 3$ | $13 \cdot 7$ 12.7 | $13 \cdot 7$ 12.5 | 13.5 11.8 | 11.5 10.3 |
| $\begin{array}{cllll} \text { Vitamin } A(c)- & & \\ 1950 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \text { i.u. } \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \end{array}$ | 3,879 4,181 | 3,736 $\mathbf{3 , 4 7 7}$ | 3,336 $\mathbf{3 , 2 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 9 1}$ $\mathbf{3 , 0 0 7}$ | 2,836 2,615 |
| $\begin{array}{llll} \text { Vitamin } B_{1}(d)- & & \\ 1950 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots & \text { mg. } \end{array}$ | 1.57 1.38 | 1.52 1.42 | 1.58 1.44 | 1.51 1.36 | 1.41 1.22 |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} \text { Ribonlavin- } & & \\ 1950 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \end{array}$ | 1.95 1.80 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.83 \\ & 1.66 \end{aligned}$ | 1.75 1.56 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.64 \\ & 1.48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \cdot 64 \\ & 1.42 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{cll} \text { Nicotinic Acid (c)- } & \\ 1950 & \ldots & \ldots \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots \\ \ldots & \ldots \end{array}$ | 13.9 12.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \cdot 1 \\ & 12 \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \cdot 0 \\ & 12 \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \cdot 9 \\ & 11 \cdot 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \cdot 9 \\ & 10 \cdot 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} \text { Vitamann } C(d)- & & \\ 1950 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \end{array}$ | 82 95 | 76 | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 66 \end{aligned}$ | 50 62 |
| $\begin{array}{rllll} \text { Vitamin D_ } & & \\ 1950 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \text { i.u. } \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \end{array}$ | 168 | 186 166 | 185 170 | 143 143 | 102 120 |

(a) Average of January-February and April-May in each year.
(b) Old age pensioner households.
(c) Includes welfare foods.
(d) No allowance for cooking losses.

SEE ERPATATABLE 29
Energy Valae and Nutrient Content of Social Class Diets: 1950 and 1951(a) As percentages of Standards based on Britsh Medical Association's recommendations

| As percenfages of Siandards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Social Class |  |

(a) Average of January-February and April-May in each year.
(b) Old age pensioner households.
${ }^{1}$ But see paragraph 36 above.

## SOCIAL CLASS DIETS DURING JULY TO DECEMBER 1951

57. The principal changes in the levels of social class diets during the course of 1951 have been noted in paragraphs 48 and 49 above. The detailed position for the second half of the year, as recorded by the improved survey technique introduced in June 1951, is examined in the present Section. The records, particularly of foods such as vegetables, are clearly affected by seasonal factors, but they provide as representative a picture as is at present possible of class differences. The 26 item classification for each of the two quarters in the second half of 1951 will be found in Tables 5 and 6 in Appendix D.

## General level of Social Class Diets

58. Expenditure levels showed only small class differences (Table 30), except for the Class A households with a level 20 per cent. above the national average, and the old age pensioner households with 10 per cent. less than the national average. The differences are slightly wider when the value of "free" food is added to give the value of consumption.

TABLE 30
Food Expenditure and Value of Consumption by Social Class: July to December 1951

(a) Old age pensioner households.
(b) Includes value of withdrawals from stocks of "fres" food.

## Composition of Social Class Diets

59. Comparative class consumption and expenditure levels for the main foods are set out in Table 32. When these are expressed as percentage deviations from the national average, as in Table 33, it is seen that the major differences both in consumption and expenditure were recorded for fruit, fish and liquid milk, in that order. The differences for fruit and fish are even wider when the fresh varieties only are considered (Table 31).

SEE ERRATA
60. Table 33 also brings out clearly how little the diets of social Classes B and $C$ differed. It will be remembered that these two classes have closely similar family composition, and contain together 70 per cent. of all households and 76 per cent. of all persons in the sample. Class C consumed 4 per cent. more potatoes per head than the national average, and 5 per cent. more bread, but 6 per cent. less fish and 10 per cent. less fruit; Class B consumed 21 per cent. more fruit, but 6 per cent. less bread. These were the main variations in consumption. Expenditure variations were broadly similar.
61. Larger differences are to be found between the national average and Class A households, which represented 7 per cent. of all households and of persons. Both the higher head of household income, and the slightly smaller proportion of children and adolescents, had the effect of raising the per head expenditure and consumption levels of these households for a number of important foods. In Class A, consumption and expenditure levels exceeded the national average by the following proportions:

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Consumption <br> per cent. | Expenditure <br> per cent. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Milk | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 25 | 31 |
| Eggs | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 12 | 1 |
| Fish | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 44 | 55 |
| Vegetables other than potatoes | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 10 | 25 |  |  |  |
| Fruit | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 55 | 56 |

For vegetables and fish, and in a less degree for milk, the high expenditure levels indicate that the more exnensive varieties were purchased. On the other hand, the low expenditure on eggs is explained by the large supplies of " free" eggs obtained by these households, either as gifts or as self-supplies.
62. Meat in Class A diets was distinguished by an expenditure level 14 per cent. above the average, compared with a consumption level only 5 per cent. above. Sugar and preserves, and tea, were also consumed in large quantities ( 7 per cent. above the average); for tea, the excess of expenditure was 11 per cent. above the average, indicating that more expensive varieties were purchased. The foods which Class A households consumed in quantities less than the national average, as is shown below, were cereals and potatoes. Lower consumption was accompanied by lower expenditure, except for cereals other than bread.

|  |  |  |  | Consumption <br> per cent. | Expenditure <br> per cent. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Bread $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ -26 | -19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other cereals | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | -6 | +21 |  |
| Potatoes | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | -19 | -27 |

63. The other group with a distinctive position were the old age pensioner households, whose diets reflect their lower food requirements as well as their low incomes. A few foods were consumed in larger quantities than the national average by these households.

|  |  |  |  |  | Consumption <br> per cent. | Expenditure <br> per cent. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fish | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | +15 | +5 |
| Tea | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | +44 | +6 |

Tea consumption was large because of the special ration for old age pensioners. The consumption of all other foods recorded in Table 32 was below the national average; particularly low were the consumption of the following foods.

|  |  |  |  | Consumption <br> per cent. | Expenditure <br> per cent. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eggs $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | -18 | -9 |
| Potatoes $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | -14 | -16 |  |
| Other vegetables | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | -15 | -22 |  |
| Fruit | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | -33 | -38 |  |
| Cereals other than bread | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | -9 | -23 |  |  |

Meat consumption was 4 per cent. less than the national average but the choice of the cheaper varieties is shown in an expenditure 14 per cent. below the average. The records for fish bear a similar interpretation. On the other hand, milk consumption was 3 per cent. less than the national average but expenditure, in the absence of entitlement to lower priced milk under the National Scheme, was 17 per cent. higher.
64. The remaining households in Class $D$ showed a position broadly between that of old age pensioner households and Class C, but, with the widely varying family compesition of households within the class, the averages have only a limited significance.
65. The points of difference between social class diets are illustrated further in the following table, dealing with selected fresh foods.

TABLE 31
Domestic Food Consumption and Expenditure by Social Class Selected Foods:

Percentage deviations from the national average: July to December 1951

|  |  |  | Social Class |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Excluding O.A.P. (a) | O.A.P. |
| Fresh Fruit- |  |  |  | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. |
|  |  |  |  | $+13$ | -11 | -12 |  |
| Expenditure ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | +58 | +12 | $-10$ | $-10$ | -32 |
| Fresh FishConsumption ... Expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | +50 | 0 | $-9$ | + 5 | $-25$ |
|  | ... | ... | $+88$ | $+1$ | -13 | 0 | -19 |
| Unrationed Mrat- <br> Consumption ... Expenditure |  |  | +9 | 0 | -1 | + 2 | -18 |
|  |  |  | $+27$ | + 2 | $-2$ | - 2 | -36 |
| Fresh Grkrn Vegetables- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ... | ... | $\cdots$ | $+11$ | + 4 | $-1$ | -15 | -15 -15 |
| Expenditure ... | ... | ... | $+35$ | $+13$ | $-10$ | - 6 | -15 |

(a) Old age pensioner households.

SEE ERKANAA
TABLE 32

## Domestic Food Comsumption and Expeaditure by Social Class:

July to December 1951

(a) Old age pensioner households.

(a) Old age pensioner households.

## Energy Value and Nutrient Content

66. Nutritional levels of social class diets, in the second half of 1951, are shown as levels of intake of particular nutrients in Table 34. As in the first half of the year, Class A households received less calories, carbohydrate and vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ than households in Classes B and $\mathrm{C}^{1}$, but iron intake was the same for all three classes. These results reflect the smaller consumption by Class A of bread, fats and potatoes. Intake levels higher than the national average were recorded in Class A households for the following nutrients:-
per cent.
animal protein ... ... ... ... ... ... 16
vitamin A ... ... ... ... ... ... 18
riboflavin ... ... ... ... ... ... ... 13
vitamin C ... ... ... ... ... ... 17
vitamin D ... ... ... ... ... ... 10
At the other end of the scale, old age pensioner households obtained 19 per cent. less vitamin C, and 14 per cent. less vitamin D, than the national average.
67. When requirements are taken into account (Table 35), class differences are seen to have been quite small. Measured in relation to requirements, Class A intake of all nutrients was above the national average. Iron consumption in the old age pensioner households was only 90 per cent. of the stated requirements; but there is some doubt concerning the appropriate allowance for this age group. Otherwise, except for energy value, levels were nearly always well above the standards. As to the balance of the diet ${ }^{2}$, the only marked differences revealed by Table 36 are the slightly higher percentages of calories provided by protein and fat, and the lower percentage provided by carbohydrate, in households of Class A.

TABLE 34
Energy Value and Nutrient Content of Social Class Diets: July to December 1951

| per head per day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Social Class |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | A | B | C | D |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Excluding O.A.P. (c) | O.A.P. |
| Energy Value | $\ldots$ | ... Cal. | 2,399 | 2,452 | 2,482 | 2,442 | 2,337 |
| Total Protein | $\ldots$ | .... g. | 2,39 | 2,77 | 2,78 | 2,77 | 2,74 |
| Animal Protein | $\ldots$ | $\ldots \mathrm{g}$. | 43 | 38 | 36 | 36 | 37 |
| Fat ... ... | ... | $\ldots \mathrm{g}$. | 97 | 95 | 93 | 92 | 89 |
| Carbohydrate | ... | $\ldots \mathrm{g}$. | 303 | 323 | 332 | 325 | 309 |
| Calcium ... | ... | ... mg. | 1,126 | 1,066 | 1,055 | 1,029 | 1,008 |
| Iron ... | ... | $\ldots \mathrm{mg}$. | 13.2 | $13 \cdot 2$ | 13.2 | 13.0 | 12.0 |
| Vitamin A (a) | ... | ... i.u. | 4,204 | 3,682 | 3,491 | 3,405 | 3.232 |
| Vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ (b) | ... | $\ldots \mathrm{mg}$. | 1.24 | 1.25 | $1 \cdot 26$ | $1 \cdot 25$ | $1 \cdot 17$ |
| Riboflavin ... | ... | ... mg. | 1.83 | 1.65 | $1 \cdot 60$ | 1.58 | 1.57 |
| Nicotinic acid | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ mig. | $13 \cdot 6$ | $13 \cdot 0$ | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.4 |
| Vitamin C (b) | ... | ... mg. | 74 | +66 | 61 | 58 | 52 |
| Vitamin D (a) | $\cdots$ | ... i.u. | 164 | 152 | 149 | 143 | 129 |

(a) Excludes welfare foods.
(b) With allowance for cooking losses.
(c) Old age pensioner households.

[^14]TABLE 35

## Energy Value and Nutrient Content of Social Class Diets: July to December 1951

As percentages of Standards based on British Medical Association's recommendations

|  |  |  | Social class |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { classes } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | A | B | C | D |  |  |
|  |  |  | Excluding O.A.P. (a) |  |  | O.A.P. |  |
|  |  |  |  | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. |
| Energy value | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 103 | 101 | $98$ | $99$ | $101$ | $100$ |
| Total protein | ... | ... | 112 | 105 | 103 | 107 | 115 | 105 |
| Calcium | ... | ... | 118 | 109 | 108 | 110 | 113 | 110 |
| Iron ${ }^{\text {V }}$. | ... | ... | 110 | 110 | 108 | 102 | 90 | 107 |
| Vitamin A ... | ... | ... | 179 | 160 | 149 | 134 | 117 | 149 |
| Vitamin $\mathbf{B}_{1} \ldots$ | ... | ... | 135 | 131 | 126 | 128 | 125 | 129 |
| Riboflavin ... | ... | ... | 129 | 112 | 104 | 104 | 111 | 108 |
| Nicotinic acid | ... | ... | 149 347 | 135 304 | 130 | 132 | 133 | 132 |
| Vitamin $\mathbf{C l}^{1} \ldots$ | ... | ... | 347 | 304 | 277 | 265 | 232 | 287 |

(a) Old age pensioner households.

TABLE 36
Percentage of the Energy Value of Diets derived from Protein, Fat and Carbohydrate ${ }^{2}$ :
July to December 1951

(a) Old age pensioner households.
${ }^{1}$ But see paragraph 36 above.
${ }^{2}$ Compare paragraph 18 above.

## IV. HOUSEHOLD DIETS AND FAMILY COMPOSTTION 1951

## CLASSIFICATION OF FAMILY TYPES

68. The following analysis of family diets is based primarily upon households, representing about 60 per cent. of the sample, which had one female adult, one male adult and varying numbers of adolescents and children (Table 38). Of these two-adult households, 42 per cent. had no children (including 9 per cent. with adolescents), 38 per cent. had one or two children, 9 per cent. had three or more, and 11 per cent. both adolescents and children. The social class composition of these two-adult households is also shown in Table 38, and in Chart V. Class A households were found in similar proportions in each group, the highest proportion, 9 per cent, occurring in the group with one child. The proportion of Class B households in each group increased with the number of children, up to three. In the group with four or more children, the proportion in Class B fell; by far the greater part of these families were in Class C. These class differences within family types, although of interest, are of much less weight than the family type differences within social class referred to in paragraph 39. This point is examined in paragraph 17, Appendix A.
69. Households other than those with one male and one female adult were not classified in the same detail. They accounted for nearly 40 per cent. of the total sample and, although their average size was about the same as the classified households, their composition was different. This will be seen from the following comparisons, based on the third and fourth quarters of 1951.

TABLE 37
Class and Family Composition Differences between Classified Housebolds and Others

|  |  |  |  | lassified useholds o-adult) | Other <br> Households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Social class distribution |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 7 | 6 |
| $B$ and C | ... | ... | . | 81 | 52 |
| D | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 12 | 42 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 |
| Size distribution (persons) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | 22 |
| 2-3 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 67 | 35 |
| 4-5 |  |  | $\ldots$ | 30 | 32 |
| more than 5 | ... | $\ldots$ |  | 3 | 11 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex and age(a) distribution Adults-male ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 30 | 31 |
| female | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 30 | 44 |
| Adolescents |  | ... | ... | 8 | 8 |
| Children | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | 32 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 |
|  | (a) T | qua | onl |  |  |

## CHART V

Class Composition of Family Groups

DX. excluding old Age Pensioner Households.

D oar. Old Age Pensioner Housebolds.
A. Adults only.

AA. Adults and adolescents.
AC. Adults, adolescents and children.
$\mathrm{C}_{1}$. One adult male, one adult female and one child.

TABLE 38
Composition of the Sample by Household Groups:
July to December 1951

| Number of households ... | Households with 1 male and 1 female adult and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No children or adolescents | Adolescents only | Adolescents and children | Children only |  |  |  | Total |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |  |  |
|  | 1,106 | 301 | 359 | 712 | 580 | 207 | 85 | 3,350 | 2,155 |
| Percentage of whole sample | $20 \cdot 1$ | $5 \cdot 5$ | $6 \cdot 5$ | 12.9 | $10 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 8$ | 1.5 | $60 \cdot 8$ | $39 \cdot 2$ |
| $\begin{array}{cc} \text { A verage } & \text { size } \\ \text { (persons) } & \ldots \end{array}$ | $2 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 3$ | $5 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $4 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | $6 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 3$ | $3 \cdot 4$ |
| Social class distribution <br> Class A | per <br> cent. <br> 7 | per cent. 7 | per cent. 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { per } \\ \text { cent. } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | per cent. 7 | per cent. 6 | per <br> cent. | per <br> cent. <br> 7 | per cent. 6 |
| Class B ... | 21 | 27 | 32 | 32 | 36 | 37 | 31 | 29 | 17 |
| Class C .... | 44 | 56 | 58 | 57 | 55 | 54 | 62 | 52 | 35 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { cluding } \\ & \text { O.A.P. }(a)) \end{aligned}$ | 18 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 31 |
| (O.A.P.(a)) | 10 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 11 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

(a) Old age pensioner households.

## General Features of Family Diets 1951

## Comparative levels 1950 and 1951

70. Measured first by the value of consumption ${ }^{1}$ at each Survey period (Table 39), the general level of all family diets is seen to have increased at each period during the two years. The final position is summarised by comparing the level in October-November 1951, with that in the corresponding months of 1950. Relatively, households with adolescents only, and those with four or more children, fared less well than others.

|  | Two-adult households with |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { no }}{\text { children }}$ | adolescents only | adolescents and children | 1 | $\underset{2}{c h i l d r e n ~ o n l y}$ | 4 or more |
| Oct.-Nov. 1951 as percentage of Oct.-Nov. 1950 | 120 | 106 | 119 | 112 | $110 \cdot 119$ | 107 |

71. A more detailed indication of these changes is given by the percentages in Table 39, comparing family diets with the national average. The higher value per head of consumption in households without children, or with only one child, is shown clearly in Chart VI, which is based on the table.

## CHART VI

Value of conssumption per head in Households of different compositionpercentages of national average


[^15]TABLE 39
Value of Consumption in Households of Different Composition: 1950 and 1951
per head per week

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan.-Feb.- } \\ 1950 \\ 1951 \end{gathered}$ | Households with 1 male and 1 female adult and |  |  |  |  |  |  | All households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nochildren or adolescents | Adolescents only | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Adoles- } \\ \text { cents } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { children } \end{array}$ | Children only |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |  |
|  | s. d. | s. d. | s. d. | s. d. | s. d. | s. d. | s. d. | s. d. |
|  | 208 | $20 \quad 0$ | 157 | 179 | 152 | 136 | 1110 | 164 |
|  | $22 \quad 2$ | 203 | 156 | 1810 | 163 | 139 | 123 | 174 |
| $\underset{1950}{\text { April-May- }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1951 | 23 | $20 \quad 1$ | 15 16 | 18 19 | $\begin{array}{ll}15 & 4 \\ 16 & 9\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}13 & 5 \\ 14 & \end{array}$ | 11 13 | $\begin{array}{ll}16 & 5 \\ 18 & 3\end{array}$ |
| July-Aug. - | 212 | 193 | 150 | 184 | 156 | 138 | 1110 | 169 |
| 1951 | 274 | 231 | 1711 | 2010 | 180 | $15 \quad 3$ | 13 l | 204 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct.-Nov.- } \\ 1950 \end{gathered}$ | 203 | 201 | 149 | 182 | 155 | 130 | 121 | 164 |
| 1951 | 248 | 21.4 | 176 | 204 | 170 | 158 | 1211 | 191 |
| Percentages of national aver-ages- | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan.-Feb.- } \\ 1950 \end{gathered}$ | 126 |  | 95 | 109 |  |  |  |  |
| 1951 ... | 128 | 117 | 89 | 109 | 93 94 | 79 | 71 | 100 |
| $\underset{1950}{\text { April-May- }}$ | 128 | 120 | 93 | 110 | 93 | 82 | 68 | 100 |
| $1951$ | 127 | 110 | 89 | 108 | 92 | 79 | 75 | 100 |
| July-Aug. 1950 | 126 | 115 | 90 | 109 | 92 | 82 | 71 | 100 |
| 1951 | 134 | 114 | 87 | 102 | 88 | 75 | 65 | 100 |
| $\underset{1950}{\text { Oct.-Nov.- }}$ | 124 | 123 | 90 | 111 | 94 | 80 | 72 | 100 |
| 1951 | 129 | 111 | 92 | 106 | 89 | 82 | 68 | 100 |

## Consumption during 1951

72. Although it is not appropriate to make direct comparisons of expenditure during 1951, it is possible to consider general trends in consumption on a basis similar to that shown in Table 23 for social classes. The information is provided in Table 40, which gives the levels for the first two months of each quarter. Differences between the various family diets are examined in greater detail for the second half of the year in paragraphs 91 to 97 below.
73. Milk consumption showed little variation during 1951. With restricted supplies and controlled distribution, variations in the consumption of eggs between one family type and another were moderate. All family types experienced a fall in meat consumption in the spring, and a rise to the high point for the year in October-November, households with adults only or with adolescents showing the greatest gains. Fish consumption was fairly constant.
74. As between different family types, potato consumption levelled in the spring. For other vegetables wide differences were recorded between households without children, or having only one child, and other households, as average consumption rose in the second half of the year. Fruit consumption showed a similar trend. In contrast, there was a levelling tendency in cereal consumption during this part of the year.

TABLE 40

## Domestic Food Consumption in Households of Different Composition 1951

| per head per week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Households with 1 male and 1 female adult and |  |  |  |  |  |  | All households |
|  | Nochildren or adolescents | Adolescents only | Adolescents and children | Children only |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mux- } \\ & \text { Jan.-Feb. ... } \\ & \text { April-May... } \\ & \text { July-Aug. ... } \\ & \text { Oct.-Nov. ... } \end{aligned}$ | pt. | pt. | pt. | pt. | pt. | pt. | pt. | pt. |
|  | $5 \cdot 9$ | $5 \cdot 5$ | $4 \cdot 8$ | $5 \cdot 6$ | $5 \cdot 6$ | $5 \cdot 3$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 3$ |
|  | $6 \cdot 1$ | $4 \cdot 9$ | $4 \cdot 9$ | $6 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 7$ | $5 \cdot 7$ | $4 \cdot 6$ | $5 \cdot 4$ |
|  | $6 \cdot 1$ | $4 \cdot 7$ | $4 \cdot 4$ | $5 \cdot 8$ | $5 \cdot 4$ | $5 \cdot 3$ | $4 \cdot 6$ | $5 \cdot 1$ |
|  | 5.9 | 4.9 | $4 \cdot 7$ | $5 \cdot 8$ | $5 \cdot 4$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 2$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Egos-- } \\ & \text { Jan.-Feb. ... } \\ & \text { April-May... } \\ & \text { July-Aug. ... } \\ & \text { Oct.-Nov. ... } \end{aligned}$ | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. |
|  | $3 \cdot 3$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $2 \cdot 9$ | $2 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | $2 \cdot 8$ |
|  | $4 \cdot 8$ | $4 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | $4 \cdot 7$ | $4 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 7$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | $4 \cdot 2$ |
|  | $3 \cdot 5$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | $2 \cdot 5$ | 3.0 | $2 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 7$ |
|  | $2 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | $1 \cdot 7$ | $2 \cdot 0$ | $1 \cdot 9$ | $1 \cdot 7$ | $1 \cdot 7$ | 1.9 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Meat- } \\ \text { Jan.-Feb. ... } \\ \text { April-May... } \\ \text { July-Aug. ... } \\ \text { Oct.-Nov. ... } \end{gathered}$ | 02. |  | O2.7 |  | \%2. | - 21.1 | 02. | O2.7 |
|  | $34 \cdot 2$ $30 \cdot 0$ | $27 \cdot 2$ $26 \cdot 1$ | $24 \cdot 7$ $23 \cdot 0$ | 29.0 24.9 | 24.2 20.9 | 21.1 18.7 | 17.2 16.9 | $26 \cdot 7$ 23.8 |
|  | $33 \cdot 1$ | 29.7 | $24 \cdot 2$ | $25 \cdot 4$ | $22 \cdot 3$ | 19.6 | 18.8 | $25 \cdot 4$ |
|  | $38 \cdot 8$ | $33 \cdot 4$ | 26.6 | 29.5 | $26 \cdot 1$ | $22 \cdot 8$ | 19.5 | 29.6 |
| Fish- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan.-Feb.... | $13 \cdot 0$ | $10 \cdot 6$ | $6 \cdot 9$ | $7 \cdot 5$ | 6.7 | $5 \cdot 1$ | $3 \cdot 3$ | $8 \cdot 0$ |
| April-May... | 10.9 | $9 \cdot 2$ | $6 \cdot 0$ | $6 \cdot 4$ | $5 \cdot 6$ | $4 \cdot 3$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | $7 \cdot 3$ |
| July-Aug. ... | 11.6 | 9.6 | $5 \cdot 4$ | 7.5 | $5 \cdot 2$ | $4 \cdot 7$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | $7 \cdot 4$ |
| Oct.-Nov. ... | $12 \cdot 7$ | $9 \cdot 7$ | $6 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 7$ | $6 \cdot 3$ | $5 \cdot 4$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $9 \cdot 2$ |
| Potatoes- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan.-Feb. ... | $63 \cdot 5$ | $76 \cdot 2$ | $70 \cdot 7$ | $68 \cdot 8$ | $62 \cdot 8$ | $64 \cdot 2$ | 63.4. | $66 \cdot 0$ |
| April-May... | $57 \cdot 5$ | $64 \cdot 2$ | $62 \cdot 6$ | 63.4 | $64 \cdot 3$ | $63 \cdot 3$ | 59.2 | $62 \cdot 7$ |
| July-Aug. .. | 57.0 | 69.5 | $62 \cdot 2$ | 56.3 | $50 \cdot 3$ | 50.9 | 53.1 | $55 \cdot 4$ |
| Oct.-Nov. ... | 71.7 | $73 \cdot 5$ | $75 \cdot 5$ | 71.4 | 61.8 | $68 \cdot 6$ | 56.8 | 70-2 |
| Other vege-tables- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan.-Feb. ... | $40 \cdot 2$ | $32 \cdot 9$ | 25.5 | $32 \cdot 2$ | 29.2 | 25.9 | $20 \cdot 8$ | 30-8 |
| April-May... | $34 \cdot 4$ | $29 \cdot 8$ | $26 \cdot 4$ | $30 \cdot 6$ | $26 \cdot 2$ | 21.7 | 20.9 | $27 \cdot 9$ |
| July-Aug. ... | 53.8 | $46 \cdot 6$ | $30 \cdot 0$ | $37 \cdot 7$ | $31 \cdot 3$ | 27.9 | 23.4 | 37-1 |
| Oct.-Nov. ... | $48 \cdot 3$ | $43 \cdot 3$ | 29.7 | 34-8 | 29.6 | $30 \cdot 3$ | $21 \cdot 2$ | $35 \cdot 4$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan.-Feb. ... | 28.7 | $32 \cdot 5$ | 17.9 | 27.6 | $22 \cdot 2$ | 16.9 | $16 \cdot 5$ | 23.0 |
| April-May... | $35 \cdot 7$ | $28 \cdot 5$ | $20 \cdot 4$ | $32 \cdot 2$ | $23 \cdot 2$ | $21 \cdot 1$ | $14 \cdot 6$ | 25.6 |
| July-Aug. ... | 47.6 | 41.0 | 27.4 | $36 \cdot 8$ | $28 \cdot 2$ | $22 \cdot 2$ | $18 \cdot 5$ | $32 \cdot 7$ |
| Oct.-Nov. ... | $36 \cdot 7$ | $31 \cdot 6$ | $22 \cdot 0$ | $31 \cdot 8$ | 22.9 | $22 \cdot 1$ | $16 \cdot 3$ | $16 \cdot 7$ |

TABLE 40-continued
per head per week

|  | Households with 1 male and 1 female adult and |  |  |  |  |  |  | All households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nochildren or adolescents | Adolescents only | Adolescents and children | Children only |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |  |
| Fats- | 02. | 02. | oz. | 02. | 02. | oz. | oz. | 02. |
| Jan.-Feb. ... | $13 \cdot 6$ | $13 \cdot 6$ | 11.9 | $12 \cdot 7$ | 11.3 | 10.9 | 11.2 | 11.9 |
| April-May... | $12 \cdot 3$ | $12 \cdot 1$ | 11.0 | $12 \cdot 1$ | $11 \cdot 2$ | 11.0 | 9.9 | $11 \cdot 5$ |
| July-Aug. ... | 11.9 | 11.1 | $10 \cdot 4$ | $10 \cdot 3$ | $10 \cdot 7$ | 9.6 | $10 \cdot 0$ | $10 \cdot 5$ |
| Oct.-Nov. ... | 10.2 | $10 \cdot 3$ | $10 \cdot 1$ | $9 \cdot 6$ | $9 \cdot 2$ | $9 \cdot 3$ | $9 \cdot 2$ | 9.8 |
| Crrbals- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan.-Feb. ... | 95.0 | 90.2 | $87 \cdot 6$ | 77.9 | 74.9 | 66.4 | 75.2 | 81.4 |
| April-May... | $92 \cdot 2$ | $96 \cdot 3$ | $87 \cdot 2$ | $80 \cdot 4$ | $77 \cdot 3$ | 71.0 | $74 \cdot 3$ | $82 \cdot 8$ |
| July-Aug. ... | 102.5 | $100 \cdot 8$ | $92 \cdot 1$ | $84 \cdot 3$ | $75 \cdot 6$ | $70 \cdot 1$ | $74 \cdot 0$ | $85 \cdot 6$ |
| Oct.-Nov. ... | 95.7 | 91.9 | 94.8 | 84.8 | $73 \cdot 6$ | $72 \cdot 2$ | 71.0 | 85.6 |
| Sugar and PrestravesJan - Feb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan.-Feb. ... | 18.7 19.2 | 17.7 18.8 | 15.9 18.3 | 16.8 18.4 | 17.0 18.1 | $15 \cdot 7$ 17.7 | 16.2 17.9 | 16.6 18.0 |
| July-Aug. ... | 21.8 | $18 \cdot 0$ | $19 \cdot 4$ | $20 \cdot 1$ | 19.5 | $16 \cdot 1$ | 18.3 | $18 \cdot 7$ |
| Oct.-Nov. ... | $17 \cdot 1$ | $16 \cdot 4$ | $16 \cdot 3$ | $17 \cdot 1$ | 14.9 | $17 \cdot 1$ | 14.0 | $16 \cdot 2$ |

## Nutritional levels

75. The contributions of energy from different sources ${ }^{1}$, set out in Table 41, suggest no appreciable relative change in the pattern of the different family diets during the course of the year. There was a decrease in the proportion obtained from fat, and a corresponding increase in the proportion from carbohydrate, which appeared to affect most those families with no children, with adolescents, or with only one child.

TABLE 41
Percentage of the Energy Value of the Diet derived from Protein, Fat and Carbohydrate in Hoaseholds of different Composition:
First half of 1951 compared with second half of 1951

|  | Households with 1 male and 1 female adult and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No children or adolescents | Adolescents only | $\begin{gathered} \text { Adolescents } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { children } \end{gathered}$ | Children only |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | $4 \text { or }$ more |
| ProteinFirst half ... Second half | $\begin{array}{r} \text { per cent. } \\ 12 \cdot 8 \\ 13 \cdot 1 \end{array}$ | per cent. $12 \cdot 3$ <br> $12 \cdot 8$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { per cent. } \\ 12 \cdot 2 \\ 12 \cdot 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { per cent. } \\ 12 \cdot 2 \\ 12 \cdot 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { per cent. } \\ 12 \cdot 2 \\ 12 \cdot 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { per cent. } \\ 11 \cdot 9 \\ 12.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { per cent. } \\ 11.8 \\ 12.1 \end{array}$ |
| FatFirst half ... Second half | $37 \cdot 4$ $34 \cdot 5$ | $35 \cdot 9$ $34 \cdot 7$ | $34 \cdot 8$ $32 \cdot 7$ | $37 \cdot 8$ $34 \cdot 7$ | $36 \cdot 4$ $35 \cdot 4$ | $36 \cdot 4$ $34 \cdot 6$ | $34 \cdot 9$ $33 \cdot 2$ |
| Carbofydrate First half Second half | 49.8 52.4 | 51.8 53.5 | 53.0 55.1 | 50.0 52.8 | 51.4 52.2 | 51.7 53.2 | $53 \cdot 3$ $54 \cdot 7$ |

${ }^{1}$ See paragraphs 18 and 19 above.
76. Nutritional requirements vary considerably from one family to another and a comparison is made, in Table 42, of nutrient intakes expressed as percentages of standards based on the allowances recommended by the British Medical Association. The table gives the percentages for the first two months in each quarter ${ }^{1}$.
77. The position at the end of the year, and the comparison between each type of diet, are discussed in paragraphs 91 to 97 below. So far as the trends during 1951 are concerned, the results suggest a slight deterioration of the energy value in the diets of households with two or more children. The position of other nutrients, apart from calcium, indicate a possible slight improvement for most types of family, the main exception being those families with two children.

## SEE ERRATATABLE 42

## Energy Value and Nutrient Content of Diets in Households of Different Composition 1951

As percentages of Standards based on the British Medical Association's Recommendations

|  | Households with 1 male and 1 female adult and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Children only |  |  |  |
|  | adolescents |  | children | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |
| Calories- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan.-Feb.... | 104 | 96 95 | 95 | 107 | 106 | 104 | 102 |
| July-Aug. | 108 | 95 | 94 | 105 | 103 | 97 | 100 |
| Oct.-Nov. | 106 | 95 | 95 | 106 | 98 | 101 | 95 |
| Total protein- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April-May | 120 | 95 | 89 | 110 | 104 | 97 | 95 |
| July-Aug... | 123 | 97 | 87 | 110 | 100 | 95 | 90 |
| Oct.-Nov. | 128 | 101 | 93 | 114 | 102 | 98 | 90 |
| Calcium- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan.-Feb.... | 144 |  |  | 117 122 | 110 | 97 103 |  |
| April-May | 142 | 113 107 | 99 91 | 122 | 110 103 | 103 94 | 96 86 |
| July-Aug... | 141 139 | 107 | 91 98 | 116 119 | 103 103 | 94 97 | 86 88 |
| Oct.-Nov. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iron- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan.-Feb.... | 114 | 98 | 97 | 113 | 114 | 101 | 94 |
| April-May | 104 | 97 | 94 | 108 | 103 | 97 | 99 |
| July-Aug.... | 121 | 110 | 100 | 113 | 105 | 103 | 97 |
| Oct.-Nov. | 121 | 109 | 103 | 117 | 101 | 104 | 97 |
| Vitamin A- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan.-Feb. .. | 138 | 120 | 121 | 165 | 157 | 138 | 146 |
| April-May | 141 | 129 | 136 | 162 | 166 | 158 | 172 |
| July-Aug.... | 165 | 150 | 144 | 157 | 162 | 137 | 130 |
| Oct.-Nov. | 160 | 169 | 144 | 165 | 163 | 167 | 140 |
| Vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan.-Feb.... | 131 | 117 | 115 | 130 | 129 | 120 |  |
| April-May | 129 | 118 | 116 | 133 | 132 | 125 | 125 |
| July-Aug.... | 139 | 130 | 120 | 135 | 125 | 122 | 121 |
| Oct.-Nov. | 139 | 123 | 119 | 134 | 125 | 128 | 121 |

${ }^{1}$ See paragraph 17 above.

TABLE 42-continued

|  | Housebolds with 1 male and 1 female adult and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No children or adolescents | Adolescentsonly only | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Adolescents } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { children } \end{array}$ | Children only |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | $4 \text { or }$ more |
| Riboflavin-Jan-FFeb | 116 | 98 | 90 | 112 | 115 | 101 | 99 |
| April-May | 115 | 94 | 94 | 114 | 113 | 111 | 103 |
| July-Aug.... | 121 | 101 | 92 | 117 | 111 | 106 | 100 |
| Oct.-Nov. | 123 | 104 | 96 | 121 | 113 | 110 | 102 |
| Nicotinic acid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan.-Feb.... | 143 | 123 | 115 | 135 128 | 131 122 | 116 115 | 109 118 |
| July-Aug... | 143 | 130 | 114 | 131 | 124 | 116 | 113 |
| Oct.-Nov. | 158 | 139 | 123 | 146 | 133 | 130 | 116 |
| Vitamin C ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan.-Feb.... | 219 | 184 | 144 | 210 | 191 | 154 | 153 |
| April-May | 214 | 145 | 127 | 192 | ${ }_{332}^{162}$ | 164 | 164 |
| July-Aug.... | 427 290 | 370 239 | 285 205 | 387 288 | 333 244 | 311 250 | 263 208 |

## FAMILY DIETS: JANUARY-FEBRUARY AND APRIL-MAY 1951 COMPARED WITH 1950

78. The records for these periods, summarised on the basis of the standard classification, are given in Tables 7 and 8 in Appendix D. The averages for the two periods of 1951 are set out in Table 44, and the percentage increases over the corresponding periods in 1950 in Table 45. Nutritional data are contained in Tables 46 to 48.

## Relative changes in family diets

79. Chart VI, dealing with the value of consumption, shows that family diet differences increased during April-May 1951. Changes in total food expenditure from the first half of 1950 to the first half of 1951 (Tables 44 and 45), also show a similar trend. The percentage increases for some of the principal types were as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll} 
& & & & & \text { Per cent. } \\
\text { two adults only } \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 8 \\
\text { two adults and } 1 . . . \text { child } & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 6 \\
\text { two adults and other numbers of children } & \ldots & \ldots & 4 \\
\text { two adults and adolescents } & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 2
\end{array}
$$

80. In nutritional terms, these changes are seen in the slightly greater increase in the proportion of calories obtained from carbohydrate by households with two or more children (Table 46), and in the fall, recorded by households with adolescents, and those with four or more children, for one or two nutrients, to a level 4 or 5 per cent. below the standards based on the British Medical Association recommendations (Table 48).
[^16]
## Changes in the composition of family diets

81. Among the more important changes in the composition of family diets are those which show the effect of the reduced meat ration. All types of households experienced a similar reduction of rationed meat, between 42 to 48 per cent., with expenditure falling almost in the same proportion. The reduction in bacon consumption was greatest in those households with three or more children.
82. Compensation, by increased consumption of other meat, was highest for households with one child, or with both adolescents and children. Households with adolescents only, and those with three or more children, increased their fish consumption by the highest proportion. The increased consumption, whether of meat or fish, was accompanied in all types of household by a more than proportionate increase in cost. Households where the consumption either of fish or of the unrationed meats was already high, mainly the wholly adult households, increased their consumption at a very considerably increased cost; the result contributed to the widening in family differences which has been noted. Expenditure on meat of all kinds decreased for all households, except for a 2 per cent. increase recorded for wholly adult households; and expenditure on meat and fish together decreased for all households, except for a 7 per cent. increase for wholly adult households, and a 4 per cent. increase for households with one child.
83. Potato consumption, which varies with meat consumption, was generally lower in the earlier months of 1951, with a corresponding reduction in expenditure. All households, but particularly those with numerous children, took advantage of the cheap supplies of fresh green vegetables to obtain larger quantities at lower cost. But the savings involved were only a few pence per head per week, and even at the higher levels of consumption in 1951, households with three children, for example, still consumed on the average only 9 oz . of fresh green vegetables per head per week, or about 6 oz . per day for the whole family. Increases in the consumption of other vegetables were smaller and less general; there was a small reduction in consumption and a considerable fall in expenditure on the part of households with four or more children.
84. Fresh fruit supplies were also more plentiful in 1951, including citrus fruits, which, though expensive, were popular. Substantial increases in consumption were recorded. In some households, particularly those with four or more children, there was at the same time a large proportionate increase in consumption of fruit other than fresh fruit. All households spent more on other fruit.
85. Egg consumption decreased generally, with a greater proportionate saving in expenditure for households containing only adults. The other decreases of importance were that recorded for bread by all households, except those with two children, and that for flour by those households with adults, those with adults and adolescents, and those with adults and one child.
86. A summary of these changes in different types of household is shown in Table 43, which, for convenience, is limited to a comparison between those with adults only, those with adults and adolescents but no children, and those with adults and three children. The increases in consumption are listed in order of magnitude for each type of household. On this showing, households without adolescents fared better. The increased use of flour, fats and sugar by the households with children is also noteworthy.

TABLE 43

## Percentage Increases in Consumption by Households of Difierent Composition: <br> 1951 compared with 1950(a)

| Households without children or adolescents | Households with adolescents but no children | Households with three children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| per <br> cent. | per cent. | per cent. |
| Fresh fruit ... ... 24 | Fresh fish ... ... 27 | Fresh fish ... ... 33 |
| Fresh green vegetables 17 | Fresh fruit ... ... 12 | Fresh fruit ... ... 29 |
| Fresh fish ... ... 14 | Fresh green vegetables ... 10 | Fresh green vegetables ... 29 |
| Unrationed meat ... 11 | Fats $\ldots$ | Other vegetables exclud- |
| Vegetables other than | Sugar and preserves ... 1 | ing potatoes ... ... 16 |
| potatoes and fresh |  | Fats ... ... ... 10 |
| green vegetables ... 9 |  | Flour ... ... ... 10 |
| Sugar and preserves ... 8 |  | Sugar and preserves ... 6 |
| Cereals other than bread |  | Potatoes ......$\quad$... 4 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { and flour } & \text {... } & \text {... } & 7 \\ \text { Milk } & \text { ar } & \text { a }\end{array}$ |  | Cereals other than bread |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { and } \\ \text { Milk } & \text {... } & \text {... } & \text {... }\end{array}$ |

(a) Average for January-February and April-May of each year.

TABLE 44 SEE ERRATA
Domestic Food Consumption and Expenditure in Households of Different Composition 1951(a)
per head per week

| per head per week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Households with 1 male and 1 female adult and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { children } \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { adoles- } \\ & \text { cents } \end{aligned}$ | Adolescents only | Adolescents and children | Children only |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ |
| Mıк- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ... pt. | 5.6 | $4 \cdot 8$ | $4 \cdot 4$ | $5 \cdot 3$ | $5 \cdot 3$ | $5 \cdot 1$ | $4 \cdot 3$ |
| Expenditure ... d. | $27 \cdot 9$ | 28.4 | $17 \cdot 3$ | 21.7 | $19 \cdot 7$ | $16 \cdot 8$ | $12 \cdot 8$ |
| Chesse- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ... oz. | $4 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 7$ | $2 \cdot 5$ | $2 \cdot 9$ |
| Expenditure ...d. | $4 \cdot 5$ | $4 \cdot 0$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 7$ |
| Egas- <br> Consumption ... No. | $4 \cdot 1$ | $4 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 9$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 9$ |
| Expenditure ... d. | $10 \cdot 4$ | $10 \cdot 4$ | 8.5 | 11.4 | $10 \cdot 0$ | $9 \cdot 5$ | $8 \cdot 3$ |
| Rationed Fresh Meat- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ... oz. | $9 \cdot 3$ | $8 \cdot 5$ | $7 \cdot 0$ | $8 \cdot 2$ | 7.2 | $6 \cdot 7$ | 5.9 |
| Expenditure ... d. | $13 \cdot 1$ | $12 \cdot 0$ | $10 \cdot 1$ | 11.9 | $10 \cdot 4$ | 9.7 | $8 \cdot 4$ |
| Bacon- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ... oz. | $5 \cdot 0$ | $4 \cdot 0$ | $4 \cdot 1$ | $4 \cdot 2$ | $4 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 4$ |
| Expenditure ...d. | $8 \cdot 4$ | $8 \cdot 1$ | $7 \cdot 6$ | $8 \cdot 0$ | $7 \cdot 5$ | $7 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 1$ |

(a) Average for January-February and April-May.

TABLE 44-continued
per head per week

|  | Households with 1 male and 1 female adult and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { No }}{\text { children }}$ or adolescents | Adolescents only | Adolescents and children | Children only |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |
| Unrationed Meat- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ConsumptionExpenditure $\quad \ldots \mathrm{l}$ oz. | $16 \cdot 6$ | $13 \cdot 1$ | $12 \cdot 8$ | 13.4 | $10 \cdot 0$ | $8 \cdot 6$ | $6 \cdot 6$ |
|  | $27 \cdot 7$ | $19 \cdot 7$ | $15 \cdot 7$ | $19 \cdot 4$ | $14 \cdot 6$ | $10 \cdot 8$ | $8 \cdot 6$ |
| Fresh Fish- |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \cdot 2$ |
| Expenditure ... d. | $13 \cdot 2$ | $10 \cdot 2$ | $5 \cdot 8$ | $7 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 3$ | $4 \cdot 7$ | $2 \cdot 9$ |
| Potatoes (including <br> Crisps and Chips)- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption Expenditure | 60.5 6.9 | $70 \cdot 2$ 8.7 | $66 \cdot 7$ 8.2 | $66 \cdot 1$ 8.6 | 63.5 7.7 | $63 \cdot 7$ 7.8 | $61 \cdot 3$ 7.0 |
| Fresh Green Vegetables- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ... oz. | $16 \cdot 3$ | $12 \cdot 8$ | $10 \cdot 1$ | $12 \cdot 5$ | $10 \cdot 7$ | $8 \cdot 9$ | $7 \cdot 4$ |
| Expenditure ... d. | $7 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 3$ | $5 \cdot 3$ | $4 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 4$ | $1 \cdot 0$ |
| Other Vegetables- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption Expenditure | 20.8 8.0 | $18 \cdot 5$ 7.7 | 15.8 6.8 | 18.9 8.2 | 17.0 6.9 | 14.9 6.3 | 13.4 4.7 |
| Expenditure ... d. | $8 \cdot 0$ | $7 \cdot 7$ | $6 \cdot 8$ | $8 \cdot 2$ | 6.9 | $6 \cdot 3$ | $4 \cdot 7$ |
| Fresh Fruit- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ... oz. | $26 \cdot 1$ | $25 \cdot 5$ | 15.6 9.3 | 24.1 | 19.4 11.9 | 15.5 9.4 | 12.1 |
| Expenditure ... d. | $17 \cdot 2$ | $16 \cdot 7$ | $9 \cdot 3$ | $15 \cdot 7$ | $11 \cdot 9$ | $9 \cdot 4$ | $7 \cdot 1$ |
| Other FruitConsumption ... oz. Expenditure | 6.1 3.7 | 5.0 3.7 | 3.6 2.6 | $5 \cdot 8$ $4 \cdot 1$ | $3 \cdot 3$ 3.5 | $3 \cdot 5$ 2.5 | $3 \cdot 5$ $3 \cdot 0$ |
| Bread - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ... oz. | 59.8 | $61 \cdot 1$ | $61 \cdot 7$ | $49 \cdot 5$ | $49 \cdot 0$ | $44 \cdot 9$ | 51.9 |
| Expenditure ... d. | 13.9 | $14 \cdot 0$ | $13 \cdot 8$ | 11.4 | $10 \cdot 9$ | $9 \cdot 8$ | $11 \cdot 7$ |
| Flour- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ... oz. | $8 \cdot 1$ | $8 \cdot 7$ | $7 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 5$ | $7 \cdot 5$ | $6 \cdot 6$ | $6 \cdot 6$ |
| Expenditure ... d. | $2 \cdot 3$ | $2 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | $1 \cdot 8$ | $2 \cdot 0$ | $1 \cdot 5$ | $2 \cdot 0$ |
| Other Cereals- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expenditure $\quad .$. d. | $27 \cdot 3$ | $25 \cdot 9$ | $20 \cdot 1$ | $23 \cdot 8$ | 21.0 | $19 \cdot 2$ | $16 \cdot 0$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Consumption } \\ \text { Expenditure } & \text {... oz. } \mathrm{d} .\end{array}$ | 11.3 10.0 | $11 \cdot 5$ $10 \cdot 3$ | 10.4 9.9 | 11.2 9.1 | $10 \cdot 3$ $10 \cdot 2$ | 10.1 9.7 | $9 \cdot 7$ 10.0 |
| Sugar and Preserves- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption ... oz. | 19.0 | $18 \cdot 2$ | $17 \cdot 1$ | $17 \cdot 6$ | $17 \cdot 6$ | $16 \cdot 7$ | $17 \cdot 1$ |
| Expenditure ... d. | $9 \cdot 2$ | $9 \cdot 3$ | $8 \cdot 9$ | $8 \cdot 8$ | $8 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 8$ | $9 \cdot 8$ |
| BeveragesExpenditure ... d. | $9 \cdot 2$ | $8 \cdot 5$ | $6 \cdot 1$ | $6 \cdot 9$ | $5 \cdot 5$ | $5 \cdot 1$ | $5 \cdot 0$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { s. d. } \\ 197 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { s. } \\ 18 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { s. d. } \\ 14 \quad 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { s. d. } \\ & 1610 \end{aligned}$ | s. d. | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{s} . & \mathrm{d} . \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |

TABLE 45 SEE ERRATA

## Changes in Food Consumption and Expenditure in Households of Different Composition:

1951 compared with 1950(a)

(a) January-February and April-May in each year.

TABLE 45-conthued


## Energy valoe and natrient content

87. Changes in family diets, expressed in terms of nutrient intake, in Table 47, show that the only nutrient for which increased consumption was recorded in all family types was vitamin C. Households without children increased their consumption of calcium, vitamin A and vitamin D, and a number of other types of household recorded slight increases for individual nutrients. Decreases in consumption occurred in a number of other instances, which were relatively larger than the increases and more widely spread.
88. These changes in nutritional levels have been assessed in relation to the standards based on the British Medical Association's recommendations. According to Table 48, the diets of all groups of households with adolescents and children showed a slight deterioration from the one year to the other. In 1950, households with adolescents and no children, recorded levels above the standard for all nutrients; by 1951, they had fallen slightly but not seriously below, for energy value, total protein, iron and riboflavin. Households with children and adolescents, which were below the standard in 1950 for energy value, total protein and calcium, in 1951, also fell below for iron and riboflavin. Households with three or more children were below the standard for protein and iron in $1951^{1}$.
89. That all types of households experienced a slight change in the balance of the diet in 1951, when this is measured according to the sources of energy, is shown by Table 46.
[^17]TABLE 46
Percentage of Energy Value of Diets in Honseholds of Different Composition derived from Protein, Fat and Carbohydrate:

1951 compared with 1950(a)

|  |  | Households with 1 male and 1 female adult and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No children or adolescents |  | Adolescents only |  | Adolescents and children |  | Children only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | or nore |
|  |  | 1950 | 1951 | 1950 | 1951 |  |  | 1950 | 1951 | 1950 | 1951 | 1950 | 1951 | 1950 | O1951 | 1950 | 0 1951 |
| Protein <br> Fat <br> Carbohydrate |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \operatorname{per} \\ \operatorname{cect.} \\ 13 \cdot 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { per } \\ \text { cont. } \\ 12 \cdot 8 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { por } \\ \operatorname{cost} . \\ 12.7 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { per. } \\ \text { coni. } \\ 12 \cdot 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { per } \\ \text { cont. } \\ 12 \cdot 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \substack{\text { per } \\ \text { ceat. } \\ 12 \cdot 2 \\ \hline} \end{array}$ | par ceant. 12.6 | per <br> cenag. <br> $12 \cdot 2$ | per pent. $12 \cdot 4$ | por cent. $12 \cdot 2$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { per } \\ \text { pent. } \\ 12 \cdot 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { per } \\ \hline \text { cennt. } \\ 3 & 11-9 \end{array}$ | per cent. 11.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $34 \cdot 8$ |  |  |  | 38.0 | 36-4 | $37 \cdot 2$ | 236 |  | $434 \cdot 9$ |
|  |  |  | 49 | $51 \cdot 2$ |  |  |  |  | 50.0 |  |  | 50.5 | $551 \cdot 7$ | $51 \cdot 7$ | $753 \cdot 3$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(a) Average for January-February and April-May of each year.

TABLE 47
Energy Value and Nutrient Content of Diets in Households of Different Composition:
1951 compared with 1950 (a)

|  | Households with I male and I female adult and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No |  | Adoles- | Children only |  |  |  |
|  | adolescents | only | children | 1 | 2 | 3 | $4 \text { or }$ more |
| $\begin{array}{ccc} \text { Energy Value- } & \\ \begin{array}{ccc} 1950 & \ldots & \text { Cal. } \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots \end{array} \end{array}$ | 2,829 2,806 | $\mathbf{2 , 8 9 0}$ $\mathbf{2 , 7 1 0}$ | 2,538 $\mathbf{2 , 4 5 2}$ | 2,570 2,544 | 2,318 $\mathbf{2 , 3 9 0}$ | 2,201 | 2,224 $\mathbf{2 , 1 5 4}$ |
| $\begin{array}{ccc}\text { Total Protenn- } & \\ \begin{array}{ccc}1950 & \ldots & \ldots \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots\end{array}\end{array}$ | 92 | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \\ & 83 \end{aligned}$ | 78 75 | $\begin{aligned} & 81 \\ & 78 \end{aligned}$ | 72 | 68 66 | 66 64 |
| $\begin{array}{ccc}\text { Animal Protein } & \\ 1950 & \ldots & \ldots \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots\end{array}$ | 46 | 43 39 | 34 33 | 41 38 | 36 36 | 34 | 31 29 |
| $\begin{array}{rlll} \text { FAT- } & & \\ 1950 & \ldots & \ldots \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots \end{array}$ | 119 117 | 116 108 | 98 | 108 107 | 98 | 91 90 | 90 84 |
| $\begin{array}{llll} \text { Carbohydrate-- } \\ \begin{array}{ccc} 1950 & \ldots & \ldots \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots \end{array} \end{array}$ | 348 350 | 370 351 | 336 | 318 318 | 288 308 | 278 286 | 287 288 |
| $\begin{array}{llll} \text { Calcium- } & & \\ 1950 & \ldots & \ldots & \mathrm{mg} . \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots \end{array}$ | 1,204 | 1,210 1,162 | 1.041 | 1,147 1,129 | 1,054 1,095 | 1,001 | 992 |
| $\begin{array}{rll} \text { IRON_ } & & \\ 1950 & \ldots . & \ldots \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots \end{array}$ | $16 \cdot 0$ 14.6 | 16.4 13.6 | 13.8 12.0 | 14.2 12.8 | 12.4 11.8 | 11.6 10.7 | 11.4 10.3 |
| $\begin{array}{ccc} \text { VItamin } A(b)- & \\ \begin{array}{cll} 1950 & \ldots & \ldots \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots \end{array} \end{array}$ | 3,653 3,794 | 3,556 3,120 | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{2 , 9 8 0} \\ \mathbf{2 , 7 5 5} \end{array}$ | 4,024 3,776 | 3,497 $\mathbf{3 , 4 7 2}$ | 2,998 | 3,484 3,085 |
| $\begin{array}{ccc} \text { VITAMAN }^{1950} & \text { B }_{1}(c)- & \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots \\ 19 . \end{array}$ | 1.75 1.59 | 1.83 1.56 | 1.60 1.40 | 1.59 1.44 | 1.42 1.35 | 1.34 1.24 | 1.40 1.20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Riboflavin- } \\ & \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} 1950 & \ldots . & \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | 2.01 1.85 | 1.98 1.64 | 1.66 1.44 | 1.87 1.64 | 1.58 1.56 | 1.58 1.42 | 1.51 1.32 |
| $\begin{array}{cll} \text { Nicotinic } & \text { ACID- } \\ 1950 & \ldots . & \ldots \\ 1951 & \ldots . & \ldots \end{array}$ | $15 \cdot 2$ 14.5 | $15 \cdot 5$ 13.5 | 13.0 11.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \cdot 4 \\ & 12 \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$ | 11.7 11.1 | 10.8 10.0 | 10.5 9.6 |
| $\begin{array}{cll} \text { VITAMIN } & C^{1} & (b) \\ 1950 & (c)- \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79 \\ 95 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & 83 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & 82 \end{aligned}$ | 62 70 | 50 64 | 44 55 |
| $\begin{array}{ccc} \text { Vitamin } D(b)- & \\ 1950 & \ldots & \ldots \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots \end{array}$ | 159 176 | 139 152 | 153 136 | 233 198 | 216 192 | 156 196 | 259 176 |

(a) Average for January-February and April-May in each year.
(b) Includes welfare foods.
(c) No allowance for cooking losses.
${ }^{1}$ But see paragraph 36 above.

TABLE 48 SEE ERRATA
Energy Value and Nutrient Content of Diets in Households of Different Composition: 1950 and 1951(a).
As percentages of Standards based on British Medical Association's Recommendations


(a) January-February and April-May in each year.

## FAMILY DIETS JULY-DECEMBER 1951

90. Although influenced by the seasonal changes referred to above, the records for the second half of 1951 provide the best information for describing, in detail, the diets of different family types. The full classification of 26 items for the last two quarters of 1951 is to be found in Appendix D, Tables 9 and 10.

## General level of family diets

91. Table 49 compares total food expenditure per head, and value of food consumption, for the different household types. Exoenditure by wholly adult households was almost twice as high per head as that by households with four or more children, and the value of " free" food was considerably higher. Expressed as percentages of the national average, the consumption values per head and per family give the following comparison. The level per family in households of four or more children was two-thirds higher than in households with adults only; but on a per head basis, the level in those households was only about half as high.

|  | No children or adolescents | Adolescents only | Adolescents and children | Children only |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |
| Per head (per cent.) ... | 131 | 113 | 90 | 106 | 89 | 75 | 68 |
| Per family (per cent.) ... | 78 | 112 | 140 | 96 | 107 | 127 | 131 |

TABLE 49
Expenditure and Value of Free Food in Households of Different Composition: July to December 1951

|  |  | Households with 1 male and 1 female adult and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No children or adolescents | Adolescents only | Adolescents and children | Children only |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |
| Average size |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \cdot 3 \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | $5 \cdot 2$ persons | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | 6.5 persons |
| Third quarterExpenditure ... " Free" food |  | $\begin{array}{rr} \text { s. d. } \\ 24 & 8 \\ 2 & 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} \text { s. } & \text { d. } \\ 21 & 2 \\ 1 & 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { s. d. } \\ 1610 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { s. d. } \\ 20 \frac{1}{10} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { s. d. } \\ 1610 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { s. d. } \\ & 14 \begin{array}{l} 5 \\ 10 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} \text { s. d. } \\ 13 & 2 \\ 5 \end{array}$ |
| Total |  | 268 | 228 | $17 \quad 7$ | 2011 | 178 | 153 | 137 |
| Fourth quarterExpenditure ... " Free" food |  | $\begin{array}{r}2310 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2010 <br> 10 | $17 \quad 17$ | $20 \quad 1$ | 1610 6 | 15 | $\begin{array}{r}12 \quad 9 \\ \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Total |  | 248 | 218 | 176 | 208 | 174 | 161 | 130 |
| Average of two quartersExpenditure ... <br> " Free" food |  | $\begin{array}{rr}24 & 3 \\ 1 & 5\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}21 & 0 \\ 1 & 2\end{array}$ | $17 \begin{array}{r}17 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}20 & 1 \\ & 9\end{array}$ | 1610 8 | 150 | 1300 |
| Total |  | 258 | $22 \quad 2$ | 177 | 2010 | 176 | 159 | 134 |

## Composition of family diets

92. Table 51 summarizes the food consumption and expenditure by different household types for the second half of 1951. An examination of the percentage deviations from the national average, set out in Table 52, reveals the main dietary patterns. Broadly, the households showing least variation from the national average were those with one child: they consumed a little more fruit, milk and cereals and a little less cheese. Those showing the largest variation above the average were the households having neither children nor adolescents, although these consumed only an average quantity of potatoes, and only slightly larger quantities of bread and fats. Households falling most markedly below the average were those with four or more children. With only few exceptions (potatoes and bread in those households also containing adolescents), households with more than one child recorded levels below the average for each class of food.
93. Milk consumption per head varied little between one family and another, but the notable effect of cheap milk for children is evident. Slight variations were also recorded for fats, potatoes, sugar and preserves, while that for cheese, although fairly wide, affected only small quantities. Households without children consumed substantially more eggs than those with three or more children; the period covered the time of winter shortage when eggs are most expensive. Expenditure on eggs varied less widely, with varying quantities of " free " eggs available to different households. Bread was another food where the variations were relatively small, households with adolescents consuming most and those with two or three children least. Consumption of other cereals was consistently low in households with children, excedt where there was only one child, and high in households without children. Variations in meat consumption followed a similar pattern.
94. Variations were widest for the remaining foods, fish, vegetables other than potatoes, and fruit. The highest consumption per head was recorded in households with no child or not more than one child. In Table 50, unrationed meat, fresh fish, fresh fruit and fresh green vegetables are shown in detail, since the variations in these foods were particularly wide. Wholly adult households consumed 44 per cent. more unrationed meat than the national average, 67 per cent. more fresh fish, 37 per cent. more fresh fruit, and 45 per cent. more fresh green vegetables. By contrast, consumption levels for households with four or more children were below the national average for these foods by $41,60,42$ and 43 per cent. respectively.

## TABLE 50

## Comsumption and Expenditure in Fouscholds of Difierent Compeaition with percentages of the national averace:

July to December 1951
Selected Foods
per head per week


TABLE 51
Food Consumption and Expenditure in Hoaseholds of Different Composition : July to December 1951
per head per week.


TABLE 52
Food Consumption and Expenditure by Households of Different Composition: percentage deviations from the national average

July to December 1951

|  | Households with 1 male and 1 female adult and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nochildren or adolescents | Adolescents only | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Adoles- } \\ \text { cents } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { children } \end{array}$ | Children only |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |
| Liquid MuxConsumption Expenditure | +14 +34 | -4 +9 | -10 -12 | +8 $+\quad 5$ | +2 -13 | 0 -24 | -10 -36 |
| ChreseConsumption Expenditure | +35 +47 | +4 +15 | -12 -12 | -8 -3 | -8 -15 | -27 -32 | -31 -44 |
| EogsConsumption Expenditure | +18 +14 | +4 +8 | -14 -13 | +9 +16 | -5 0 | -9 -1 | -18 -5 |
| MeatConsumption Expenditure | +30 +32 | +13 +15 | -11 -14 | +1 +2 | -13 -13 | -21 -25 | -31 -34 |
| Fish- <br> Consumption Expenditure ... | +46 +54 | +17 +10 | -22 -24 | -1 +1 | -26 -26 | -29 -36 | -57 -60 |
| PotatoesConsumption Expenditure | +2 -6 | +10 +7 | +6 +17 | +2 -1 | -8 -9 | -6 -4 | -10 -8 |
| Othirr VegetablesConsumption Expenditure ... | +41 +32 | +20 +14 | -18 -18 | +1 $+\quad 9$ | -15 -13 | -20 -23 | -26 -35 |
| FrutrConsumption Expenditure | +36 +36 | +19 +17 | -14 -17 | +16 +19 | -13 -10 | -23 -25 | -39 -38 |
| BradConsumption Expenditure ... | +8 +12 | +14 +14 | +14 +12 | -6 -5 | -15 -15 | -16 -16 | -8 -9 |
| Othir Cereals- Consumption Expenditure ... | +32 +27 | +16 +16 | -11 -11 | +5 +15 | -8 -3 | -18 -17 | -30 -35 |
| FATSConsumption Expenditure .. | +10 +10 | +5 +5 | +1 +1 | 0 +2 | -2 -2 | -6 | -6 -8 |
| Sugar and PreservesConsumpticn Expenditure ... | +14 +20 | $-1$ | +1 +1 | +6 +5 | -4 -5 | -4 -9 | -8 -10 |
| TBAConsumption Expenditure | +35 +36 | +10 +8 | -10 -8 | 0 $+\quad 2$ | -15 -17 | -25 -24 | -25 -27 |
| Other BeveragesConsumption Expenditure ... | +62 +72 | +12 +17 | -12 -28 | +12 +3 | -12 -14 | -25 -38 | -50 -59 |
| All FoodsExpenditure ... | +29 | +12 | -10 |  | -10 | -20 | -30 |
| d by |  |  | 68 |  |  | Orig NELL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { from } \\ & \text { IVER } \end{aligned}$ |

## Energy value and matrient content

95. The nutritional pattern of family diets is shown as the comparative intakes of individual nutrients in Table 53, but in view of the wide variations in requirements in households of different composition, Table 54 has more meaning. When measured against standards based on the British Medical Association's recommendations, the adequacy of the diet is seen, from this table, to have fallen as the number of children increased. With the approximate nature of the estimates, differences of 2 or 3 per cent. are probably unimportant. Households of the following types, however, were 5 per cent. or more below requirements for the following nutrients:

Households with adolescents only-energy value
Households with adolescents and children-energy value, protein, calcium and riboflavin
Households with 4 or more children-protein and calcium
96. Table 55 shows that the largest proportion of calories from protein was obtained in the wholly adult households. Protein and fat together supplied these households with $47 \cdot 6$ per cent. of their energy, compared with $45 \cdot 3$ per cent. for households with four or more children. Households with adolescents and children, and those with four or more children, obtained as much as 55 per cent. of their energy supply from carbohydrate ${ }^{1}$.

TABLE 53
Energy Value and Nutrient Content of Diets in Households of Different Composition:
July to December $\mathbf{1 9 5 1}^{2}$

|  |  | Households with 1 male and 1 female adult and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No children or adolescents | Adoles-centsonly | Adolescents and children | Children only |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ |
| Energy value | ... Cal. |  | 2,866 | 2,670 | 2,454 | 2,518 | 2,285 | 2,193 | 2,093 |
| Total protein | $\ldots \mathrm{g}$. | 2,94 | 2,85 | 2,45 | 2,518 | 2,281 | 2, 67 | 2,63 |
| Animal protein | ... g. | 47 | 40 | 33 | 39 | 35 | 33 | 30 |
| Pat ... ... | ... $\mathbf{g}$. | 110 | 100 | 89 | 97 | 90 | 84 | 77 |
| Carbohydrate | $\ldots \mathrm{g}$. | 375 | 357 | 338 | 332 | 299 | 291 | 286 |
| Calcium . | ... mg. | 1,244 | 1,100 | 1,016 | 1,112 | 1,018 | 975 | 921 |
| Iron ${ }^{\text {Vitam }}$ | ... mg. | $16 \cdot 1$ | 14.9 | 12.8 | 13.4 | 11.9 | 11.4 | $10 \cdot 3$ |
| Vitamin A (a) | ... i.u. | 4,458 1.46 | 3,874 1.39 | 3,062 | 3,734 | 3,470 | 3,108 | 2,594 |
| Vitamin $\mathbf{B}_{1}($ b $)$ Riboflavin | ... mg. | 1.46 1.96 | 1.39 1.72 | 1.23 1.49 | 1.26 1.72 | 1.12 1.55 | 1.09 1.47 | 1.03 1.30 |
| Nicotinic acid | ... mg. | $16 \cdot 1$ | $14 \cdot 8$ | $12 \cdot 4$ | $13 \cdot 1$ | 11.5 | $10 \cdot 8$ | $9 \cdot 8$ |
| Vitamin $\mathrm{C}^{\mathbf{3}}$ (b) | ... mg. | 76 | 71 | 57 | 68 | 57 | 54 | 47 |
| Vitamin $\mathbf{D}$ (a) | ... i.u. | 174 | 151 | 139 | 161 | 145 | 146 | 127 |

(a) Excludes welfare foods.
(b) With allowances for cooking losses.

[^18]TABLE 54
Energy Value and Nutrient Content of Diets in Households of Different Composition: July to December 19511
As percentage of Standards based on British Medical Association's recommendations

|  |  |  | Households with 1 male and 1 female adult and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No children or adolescents | Adolescents only | Adolescents and children | Children only |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ |
| Energy value | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  | 107 | 95 | 95 | 105 | 101 | 101 | 98 |
| Total protein | ... | $\ldots$ | 127 | 99 | 90 | 113 | 103 | 98 | 92 |
| Calcium ... | ... | ... | 139 | 109 | 95 | 117 | 103 | 97 | 88 |
| Iron . ... | ... | ... | 121 | 108 | 100 | 115 | 107 | 105 | 97 |
| Vitamin $\mathbf{A}$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 162 | 154 | 142 | 160 | 162 | 154 | 132 |
| Vitamin $\mathbf{B}_{1}$ | ... | ... | 139 | 123 | 119 | 135 | 127 | 126 | 122 |
| Riboflavin |  | ... | 121 | 101 | 95 | 118 | 113 | 110 | 100 |
| Nicotinic acid |  | ... | 152 | 131 | 119 | 140 | 129 | 126 | 117 |
| Vitamin C | ... | ... | 340 | 284 | 236 | 328 | 286 | 271 | 236 |

${ }^{1}$ Compare paragraph 17 above.

TABLE 55
Percentage of the Energy Value of Diets in Households of Different Composition derived from Protein, Fat and Carbohydrate:

July to December 1951 ${ }^{1}$

|  | Households with 1 male and 1 female adult and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No children or adolescents | Adolescents only | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Adolescents } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { children } \end{array}$ | Children only |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |
| Protein | per cent. $13 \cdot 1$ | per cent. $12 \cdot 8$ | per cent. $12 \cdot 2$ | per cent. $12 \cdot 5$ | per cent. $12 \cdot 4$ | per cent. $12 \cdot 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { per cent. } \\ & 12 \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Fat ... | $34 \cdot 5$ | $33 \cdot 7$ | $32 \cdot 7$ | $34 \cdot 7$ | 35.4 | $34 \cdot 6$ | $33 \cdot 2$ |
| Carbohydrate | 52.4 | 53.5 | $55 \cdot 1$ | 52.8 | 52.2 | 53.2 | 54.7 |

${ }^{1}$ Compare paragraph 18 above.

## APPENDIX A

## SURVEY TECHNIQUE AND CLASSIFICATIONS, 1951

## Introduction of a National Sample, 1950

1. In all budgetary food surveys, where it is necessary to depend on information supplied voluntarily, a compromise has to be reached between the claims of detail in the records and a satisfactory representative sample. The representativeness of the data depends partly on response rate and partly on efficient recording. The compromise between these different factors may change with increasing experience of the particular survey, or as the purposes for which it is conducted change in their emphasis.
2. When the National Food Survey was first instituted, it was important to assess the nutritional level of the average diet at a time of acute food shortage. For reasons of practicability the sample was limited to urban working-class households, but detailed records were collected, not only of food expenditure and of supplies from gardens and allotments, but also of larder stock withdrawals. The estimate of larder stock withdrawals required considerable care in weighing of stocks at the beginning and end of the week, but, as a result, it was possible to analyse in much detail the nutrient content of the household diet of a sample representing 80 per cent. of the population.
3. The National Food Survey fully demonstrated that the average diet was maintained at an adequate level during these years ${ }^{1}$, but with the passing of the war years attention was turned to the diets of particular groups, in order to discover how far these diets deviated from the average. Economic questions relating, for example, to the demand for food, also became of increasing interest and for the study of these questions precise data, particularly on expenditure, were necessary. Steps were accordingly taken to increase the size of the sample to make possible social class and other classifications. As explained in the 1950 Report ${ }^{2}$, a national sample was introduced at the beginning of that year.

## Reasons for Re-organisation of the Survey in 1951

4. The main change introduced in 1950 was the introduction of a national sample, including all social classes. In operation, the national sample introduced in 1950 was found to have certain defects:
(a) the upper social classes tended to be under-represented;
(b) the sample was not large enough to produce adequate numbers in some of the sub-groups;
(c) the limitation of the survey to two months of each quarter, for reasons of cost, made seasonal comparisons difficult;
(d) although the survey produced a satisfactory estimate of total consumption, there was, as explained below in paragraph 6, a tendency for the housewife to purchase less than usual during the survey week and to draw more heavily upon larder stocks; since this tendency affected different classes in different degrees, comparisons of expenditure were less accurate than comparisons of consumption.

## Changes in Technique: Simplification of the Log-Book

5. It was decided, after a number of pilot surveys, that the best method of meeting these difficulties would be to simplify the log-book, with the effect of both improving the response rate and making it possible for the same number of field-workers to cover a larger number of households. By these means, the survey could be made

[^19]continuous without increasing the cost of field-work. Instead of covering about 1,200 households in each two-monthly period, nearly 1,000 could be covered each month, which would provide large enough sub-groups to give reliable estimates.
6. In order to achieve this, the time taken over each interview had to be reduced. Interviews had previously taken up a considerable amount of time, both for the field worker and the housewife, because larder stocks were weighed both at the beginning and end of the week. This procedure also had the effect of distorting the normal pattern of expenditure, for the following reasons:
(a) the survey took up time which might have been spent in shopping;
(b) the housewife became conscious of overlooked stocks, which she then used up;
(c) there may have been a tendency to postpone shopping until after the last weighing in order to save trouble.
7. With the earlier technique, the total consumption was assessed by adding recorded purchases, any "free " food coming into the house from gardens, allotments, or gifts, and adjusting for any withdrawal from or addition to larder stocks. The method gave a fairly precise measure of consumption but an under-estimate of normal expenditure. In making a time-comparison for a single class, the effect was not serious; but in the comparison between social classes, it was found that the extent of larder stock withdrawals varied considerably. In the highest social class. the value of stock withdrawals amounted to 23 per cent. of total expenditure, compared with an average of 11 per cent. for all households. A differential class effect was also observed in the response rate; the weighing of larder stocks made housewives more reluctant to co-operate in the survey, and this reluctance was greatest in the higher social classes.
8. Accordingly, from June 1951 onwards, the survey was reorganised to cover nearly 1,000 households a month, with procedure simplified as follows. Purchases were recorded as before. "Free" food was also recorded, with one minor change: gifts from abroad were still included, but not gifts from one household to another, except for items of food received from an employer as perquisites as, for example, by farm workers. The reason for this was to avoid double counting, since a gift from one household to another would also be included in the estimated consumption of the household making such a gift. The chief change was to discontinue the weig'ing of larder stocks. Instead, the housewife was asked to record withdrawals from stock for immediate use of the following home-produced foods only:

> potatoes,
> beans,
> bottled fruit and tomatoes,
> preserves, apples and pears,
> eggs.

These items represented the only types of " free" food which were stored in large quantities.
9. As a result, there was some loss of precision in assessing consumption but this was more apparent that re3l. If purchases are not artifically lowered during the survey week, it can be assumed that additions to and withdrawals from larder stocks will, on average, cancel out. There might be some slight distortion of the seasonal trend, in that a glut at one season could lead to heavy purchases for consumption later, with subseq ient low purchases; but over a period of time, and certainly over a year, average purchases should give a true picture of consumption. But, in so far as there was some lack of precision, it was felt that any disadvantages were outweighed by the greater representativeness of the sample, and the improved reliability of the recorded expenditure. The effects of the change are discussed below in paragraph 13.

## Other Changes in Survey Technique and Tabulations

10. At the end of 1950, the design of the sample was reviewed, with particular attention to the mean size of household occurring in the sample, since it is known that this attribute has a marked effect on consumption and expenditure per head. Analysis showed that there was a greater sampling variance in this respect between districts than between households in the same district, so that a more representative sample
could be obtained by increasing the number of districts. Similarly, it was found that a greater improvement could be achieved by increasing the number of constituencies sampled than by adding to the number of districts within a constituency. Accordingly, from January 1951 onwards the sample, stratified by region and town size as before, was selected from sixty constituencies instead of the previous thirty. In June 1951, a further improvement was made. Appendix A of the Annual Report for $1950{ }^{1}$ describes in detail the method of selecting at random a "primary" list of addresses, followed by a " secondary" list of addresses for use if necessary, and, in the last resort, a selection of " substitute" households for use if contact could not be made. In the new survey, "secondary" and "substitute" households have been eliminated, and field-workers make every possible effort to place log-books with "primary" households. This has improved the representativeness of the sample by making it more nearly random and reducing the bias due to a differential response rate.
11. Since the new sample produced more than twice as many log-books, and neither the time nor the money available for Hollerith work could be increased, simplifications in tabulating the figures were also necessary. Formerly, foods were classified into 400 separate items, many of which appeared but rarely. This list was condensed into 106 items by grouping foods together, which made possible a considerable reduction in the number of Hollerith cards used for each household.
12. The grouping of foods made it necessary to recalculate the conversion factors used to estimate nutrient intake. These were calculated on an improved basis which allowed for cooking losses where appropriate for each individual food; formerly, an estimated deduction for cooking losses was applied at the final stage ${ }^{2}$. Vitamin A and D tablets, and cod-liver oil, supplied free by the Ministry of Food were no longer recorded, as the new survey was based on actual purchases apart from homeproduced food. Their inclusion has been of doubtful value from a statistical point of view, as the resulting high vitamin intake of comparatively few families had an erratic influence on the group averages. The nutrient intake in the second half of 1951 was calculated from the total food obtained for consumption, made up of purchases, "free" food and withdrawals from stock of the six home-produced foods listed above. Any loss of precision due to ignoring other stock withdrawals was small compared with the error associated with the distortion of purchasing habits described above.

## Effects of the Change

13. If, as there was reason to believe, expenditure at the time of the earlier surveys had been to some extent replaced by stock withdrawals during the survey week, the new survey technique would be expected to reduce average stock changes to a much smaller proportion. Stocks are normally replaced by the housewife as they are used, and they do not fluctuate widely over a period, except for certain seasonal variations. Accordingly, the total quantity of food represented by combined purchases and stock withdrawals on the former system should be approximately equivalent, on the new system, to the purchased quantity, together with a small amount of home-produced food drawn from stock. This has been borne out by the results of one year's operation of the new system. Table 1 shows the records, covering the last two survey periods of 1950 and the first two of 1951, set against figures for the same months of 1951 and 1952, respectively, taken from the new survey. To obtain an approximate quantitative comparison, purchases and those stock withdrawals still recorded in 1951-52 have been revalued for each month at the prices current in the same month of 1950-51. An index of quantum has been calculated for each month of the later period, based on the equivalent month of the earlier period, in order to eliminate seasonal variations. The results show that the average consumption quantum for 1951-52 was 2 per cent. below that for 1950-51. Since ration levels in the later

[^20]period were slightly below those for the earlier period, and independent statistics ${ }^{1}$ show that supplies moving into consumption were also slightly lower, there was a small real fall in consumption. The results suggest that the new survey provides a reliable estimate of consumption as well as of expenditure ${ }^{2}$.

TABLE 1
Comparison of Levels of Recorded Domestic Food Consumption : 1950-51 and 1951-52
d. per head per weok

|  | JulyAugust | OctoberNovember | JanuaryFebruary | AprilMay | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950 |  | 1951 |  |  |
| At CURRENT PRuces Expenditure | $179 \cdot 3$ | $177 \cdot 0$ | 182.0 | 193.6 | 183.0 |
| Stock withdrawals (a) $\quad \ldots \quad \ldots$ | 179.3 13.0 | 177.0 15.0 | 182.0 22.2 | 193.6 18.0 | 183.0 17.0 |
| "Free" food ... .. | 10.1 | $4 \cdot 0$ | $4 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 3$ | 6.4 |
| Value of consumption | $202 \cdot 4$ | 196.0 | $208 \cdot 5$ | 218.9 | $206 \cdot 4$ |
|  | 1951 |  | 1952 |  |  |
| Expenditure ... ... | 226.4 | $223 \cdot 6$ | 227.8 | $242 \cdot 3$ | 230.0 |
| Stock withdrawals (b) | $0 \cdot 2$ | 0.6 | $2 \cdot 2$ | 1.0 | $1 \cdot 0$ |
| " Free" food ... .. | $11 \cdot 3$ | $6 \cdot 2$ | $4 \cdot 4$ | $7 \cdot 0$ | $7 \cdot 2$ |
| Value of consumption | 237.9 | $230 \cdot 4$ | $234 \cdot 4$ | $250 \cdot 3$ | $238 \cdot 2$ |
|  | 1951 |  | 1952 |  |  |
| At 1950-51 Prices |  |  |  |  |  |
| stock withdrawals (a) | 192.3 | $192 \cdot 0$ | $204 \cdot 2$ | $211 \cdot 6$ | $200 \cdot 0$ |
| 1951-52: Expenditure and |  |  |  |  |  |
| at 1950-51 prices ... .. | 189.0 | $191 \cdot 0$ | $193 \cdot 5$ | $210 \cdot 7$ | 196.0 |
| Quantum index (1950-51 $=100$ ) | $98 \cdot 3$ | 99.5 | $94 \cdot 8$ | 99.6 | 98.0 |

(a) All larder stock withdrawals.
(b) A selected list of homo-produced foods only.

## Composition of the Sample in 1951

14. About 1,200 housewives supplied log-books in each of the first two survey periods; from June onwards the number was nearly 1,000 each month. Table 2, which compares the actual numbers of households covered by each survey, and the number of persons per household, over the whole year, shows that the bias towards the larger household has been reduced since June. The average size of household in 1950, when the method used was the same as in January-February and April-May 1951, was $3 \cdot 5$ compared with $3 \cdot 3$ for the last seven months of 1951 . The one per cent. sample tables of the 1951 Census give an average household size of $3 \cdot 21$ persons.
[^21]TABLE 2
Composition of the National Food Surrey Sample 1951

15. The class composition of the sample over the year is set out in Table 3. Class A covered between 6 and 7 per cent. of all households in both halves of the year, with a slight tendency for the proportion to increase. Classes B and C together comprised just over 69 per cent. in both periods but, in the first period, Class B covered 16.2 per cent. and Class C 53.0 per cent. as compared with 24.0 per cent. and 45.6 per cent. in the second period. While this was partly due to a rise in the general level of wages, it also reflected the greater representativeness of the later sample. As described above (paragraph 4), the upper social classes formerly tended to be under-represented. Class D as a whole also declined slightly from 24.5 per cent. to 23.6 per cent., with a marked fall in the proportion of old age pensioner households from 7.9 per cent. to 6.1 per cent. There were also some changes in average household size within social class. The average size rose in Classes A and B and fell in Classes C and D. Since, in the past, the larger families have been associated with the lower incomes this demographic change suggests the possibility of a general rise in income grade, except among the non-earners in Class D. Class D is a mixed group; besides the old age pensioner households, this group included a large number of one-person and two-person households in poor circumstances and comparatively few households with children.

TABLE 3
Composition of the Sample by Social Class 1951

(a) Old age pensioner households.
16. The distribution of households of different composition is set out in Table 4. Classified households ${ }^{1}$, consisting of one man and one woman with or without children and adolescents, comprised 59 per cent. of the total sample for the period June to December. Out of these households, 31 per cent. had no children or adolescents, and 20 per cent. had adolescents with or without children. Out of those having only children, 45 per cent. had one child and 37 per cent. had two children. The number of households with four or more children was very small each month. and only 97 such households occurred over the seven months period. The unclassified housenolds, containing other combinations of adults, comprised 35 per cent. of the total sample. Out of these, 36 per cent. had children, with or without adolescents; the remaining 64 per cent. consisted of adults and adolescents only.
The distribution in the first half of the year was similar, except that the average household size was slightly higher. In the second period, there was a slight increase in the proportion of classified households with no children or one child, and a dectine in the average size of unclassified households.

[^22]TABLE 4
Household Composition of the Sample (excluding Old Age Pensioner households) 1951
 (a) Percentages of total sample.

## Social Class and Household Composition

17. The analysis of food expenditure by social class is complicated by the effect of household composition, which cuts across the division into classes defined by the income of the head of household. For the period June to August 1951, a pilot analysis was carried out on the expenditure of different types of households within each social class. Such a two-way classification gives only a small number of households in each cell and must be interpreted with caution; the results can be regarded only as an indication of difference and not as a precise measure. They are shown in Table 5, together with the standard error in each case. Allowing for errors, the results show quite clearly that expenditure per head was affected more by household composition than by social class. There was considerably more difference between households with and without children within each class, than between similar households in different classes. The widest range was found in Class C, in which classified households with no children spent $24 \cdot 5$ shillings per head and households with three children spent 11.8 shillings. This was more than twice the range between the averages for Class A and Class D, excluding old age pensioners: $23 \cdot 7$ shillings and $18 \cdot 1$ shillings respectively.

TABLE 5

## Expenditure by Different Types of honsehold within Social classes: June to August 1951 (a)

| shillings per head per week |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Social Class |  |  |  |  |
|  | A | B | C | D | $\underset{\text { classes }}{\text { All }}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Excluding O.A.P. (c) |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Classified housoholds }(b) \text { - } \\ & \text { With adults only } \end{aligned}$ | 29.4 (1-8) | $27 \cdot 7$ (0.8) | 24.5(0.4) | $21 \cdot 1(0 \cdot 7)$ | $24 \cdot 9(0 \cdot 3)$ |
| Withdependent members- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adolescents ....... | $26 \cdot 1(2 \cdot 6)$ $22 \cdot 2(1 \cdot 2)$ | $24.3(1.0)$ $17.4(0.6)$ | $22 \cdot 0(0 \cdot 6)$ $16.3(0.3)$ | $22.0(1.7)$ $18.3(1.0)$ | $22.8(0.5)$ $17.0(0.2)$ |
| Adolescents andchildren Children- | $22 \cdot 2$ (1-2) | $17 \cdot 4$ (0.6) | $16 \cdot 3$ (0.3) | 18.3 (1.0) | 17.0 (0.2) |
| 1 ... | 27.0 (1-8) | $21 \cdot 1(0.4)$ | 19.4 (0.3) | 18.6 (1-4) | $20 \cdot 5(0.3)$ |
| 2 | 19.9 (1-4) | 17.8 (0.4) | 16.3 (0.2) | $16 \cdot 6$ (1-5) | 17.2 (0.2) |
| 3 ... | $15 \cdot 9$ (1-1) | $15 \cdot 8$ (0.5) | 11.8 (0.4) | $12 \cdot 1$ (0.7) | 14.5 (0.3) |
| 4 or more |  | 13.7 (0.5) | 12.4 (0.5) | $11 \cdot 8$ (1-0) | $12.7(0.4)$ |
| Unclassified households ... | $23 \cdot 6$ (1-1) | 19.4 (0.5) | 18.6 (0.3) | $17 \cdot 5$ (0.3) | 18.8 (0.2) |
| All households ... ... | $23 \cdot 7$ (0.8) | 19.7 (0.2) | 18.4 (0.2) | $18 \cdot 1(0 \cdot 3)$ | 19.1 (0.1) |

(a) Standard error in brackets.
(b) Containing one male and one female adult.
(c) Old age pensioner households.
18. Since expenditure depends so much on household composition, it is important, when making social class comparisons, to take account of demographic differences between classes. Table 6 shows the distribution of household types within each social class for the period July to December 1951. The greatest difference was found to exist between Class $D$ and the rest. The old age pensioner households are differently distributed from any other group, as they consist almost entirely of one and two-person households, but it is also apparent that the rest of Class $\mathbf{D}$ is nearer in demographic character to the old age pensioner group than to Classes A, B and C.
19. In the Table distinction is drawn between households having only two adults, and which can be classified according to the number of other members, and the rest of the households which are termed " unclassified ". The distribution of classified households in Classes A, B and C did not differ widely, but although the same proportions of wholly adult households were found in Class $D$ (excluding old age pensioner households), the remaining types of classified households in this class represented only 8 per cent. of the total compared with 44 per cent., 55 per cent. and 50 per cent. in Classes A, B and C. In these classes, the unclassified households accounted for 35 per cent., 27 per cent. and 30 per cent. of the total as compared with 67 per cent. of all old age pensioner households in Class $D$ and 71 per cent. of all the remaining households in Class D.

(b) Old age pensioner households.
(a) Containing one male and one fomale adult.
20. Further differences are brought out in Table 7, which shows the average size of each type of household. Among classified households with adolescents and no children, the majority in all classes contained only one adolescent; among those with adolescents and children mixed, Class A had on average smaller families than the rest. The same was true of households with four or more children, although in this instance the numbers were so small that conclusions are uncertain. Among unclassified households there was a marked difference between Class D and the rest; for the old age pensioner group, they consisted mostly of single-person households, and for the remainder of Class $\mathbf{D}$ the average size of household was also much below the average. Further detail is available for this group for the period June to August 1951 and Table 8 shows the percentage of households of different sizes by social class. The large number of single-person households in Class D is apparent. The largest households occurred only in Classes B and C, which also had the smallest numbers of single-person households. It appears that single-person households occurred chiefiy at the extremes of the social scale, and most of them were at the bottom. A number of them consisted of non-earners and, since most of these were women, their earnings, if any, tended to be low.

TABLE 7

## Average Size of Honseholds in Social Classes: <br> July to December 1951

persons per household

(a) Containing one male and one female adult.
(b) Old age pensioner households.

TABLE 8
Distribution of Unclassified Honseholds according to size:
June to August 1951

| Number of persons-- | Social Class |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { classes }}{\text { All }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Excluding <br> O.A.P. (a) | O.A.P. |  |
|  | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. | per cent. |
|  | $12 \cdot 5$ | $9 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 8$ | $27 \cdot 6$ | 83.9 | $21 \cdot 6$ |
| 2 ... $\quad .$. | $13 \cdot 7$ | $9 \cdot 0$ | $6 \cdot 8$ | $18 \cdot 4$ | $8 \cdot 9$ | $11 \cdot 6$ |
| 3 ... ... | $22 \cdot 5$ | $27 \cdot 1$ | 29.9 | $20 \cdot 3$ | $6 \cdot 2$ | $23 \cdot 5$ |
| 4 | $23 \cdot 7$ | $19 \cdot 2$ | $26 \cdot 8$ | 15.9 | 0.9 | $19 \cdot 3$ |
| 5-7 | $27 \cdot 6$ | 29.9 | $27 \cdot 3$ | 17.0 | - | $21 \cdot 6$ |
| 8-13 ... | - | $5 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | 0.8 | 一 | $2 \cdot 4$ |
|  | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | 100.0 | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ |

(a) Old ago pemsioner housoholds.

## APPENDIX B

## EXPENDITURE ON SUBSIDISED FOODS

1. Calculated on the basis of the annual rate of subsidy for the financial year 1951 to 1952, before the changes in this rate were introduced at the end of 1952, the value of the subsidy on domestic food expenditure, per head per week, was 2 s .10 d . for the third quarter and 2 s .7 d . for the fourth quarter. These values represented about 30 per cent. of domestic expenditure on the subsidised foods and about 15 per cent. of all domestic food expenditure.

TABLE 1
Expenditure on Subsidised Foods: Third and Fourth Quarters 1951
per head per week

|  | July-September | October-December |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenditure on subsidised foods ... ... <br> As percentage of total expenditure ... | $9 \mathrm{s.} 3 \mathrm{~s} .$ | 9s. Od. 48 |
| Cash value of subsidy <br> As percentage of expenditure on subsidised foods As percentage of total expenditure | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2s. } 10 \mathrm{~d} . \\ 31 \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{~s} .7 \mathrm{~d} . \\ 29 \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ |

2. The value was 2 s . 9 d . during the first half of 1951. This value was lower than in the corresponding period of the previous year ( 3 s . 0 d .), a fall attributable mainly to the reduced consumption of rationed fresh meat, tea and eggs. In both years, at this period, the cash value of the subsidy represented 36 per cent. of expenditure on subsidised foods and 18 per cent. of total household food expenditure.

## Expenditure on subsidised foods by Social class

3. In the fourth quarter of 1951 the cash value of the subsidy, which had been falling since the third quarter, showed no difference between Classes B and C, and the other classes were only slightly below this level. But this value, expressed as percentage of all food expenditure, varied from 11 per cent. in Class A to 15 per cent. in Class $\mathbf{C}$.

TABLE 2

## Expenditure on Subsidised Foods by Social Class: <br> Third and Fourth Quarters 1951


(a) Old age pensioner households.
4. The cash value of the subsidy was higher for all classer during the earlier month of 1951, with the exception of the old age pensioner households, and represented 16 to 18 per cent. of all food expenditure for all classes except Class A ( 14 per cent.). A large decrease had occurred between the first six months of 1950 and the same period in 1951: at the earlier period the cash value of the subsidy represented 19 to 22 per cent. of total domestic food expenditure for all classes except $\mathbf{A}$, for which the proportion was 18 per cent.

TABLE 3
Expenditure on the Subsidised Foods by Social Class:
1951 compared with 1950(a)
per head per week

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|r|}{per head per week} \\
\hline \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Social Class} \\
\hline \& \multirow{2}{*}{A} \& \multirow{2}{*}{B} \& \multirow{2}{*}{C} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{D} \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Excluding } \\
\& \text { O.A.P. (b) }
\end{aligned}
\] \& O.A.P. \& All D \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Expenditure on subsidised foods \\
Decrease on 1950 ...
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { s. d. } \\
7 \& 8 \\
\& 6
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { s. d. } \\
710 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { s. d. } \\
\& 7 \quad 7 \\
\& 9
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { s. d. } \\
\& 7 \quad 7 \\
\& \\
\& \hline 9
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { s. } \& \text { d. } \\
7 \& 8 \\
10
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { s. d. } \\
7 \& 7 \\
9
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Cash value of the subsidy... \\
Decrease 1950
\end{tabular} \& 28

4 \& 29 \& 210 \& 28 \& 24 \& 28 <br>
\hline As percentage of expenditure on subsidised foods-

$$
1950
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
1990 \\
1951 & \ldots & \ldots \\
\ldots
\end{array}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 37 \\
& 35
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 36

35 \& 38
37 \& 34

35 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 31 \\
& 30
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 34 \\
& 35
\end{aligned}
$$
\] <br>

\hline As percentage of total food $\begin{array}{ccc}1950 & \ldots & \\ \text { expenditure- } & & \\ 1951 & \ldots & \ldots \\ 1 & \ldots & \ldots\end{array}$. \& 18 \& 19 \& 22 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 20 \\
& 20
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 20

16 \& 20
18 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

(a) Average of January-February and Apri-May in each year.
(b) Old age pensioner households.

Expenditure on subsidised foods by housebolds of different family composition
5. The value of the subsidy fell from one quarter to another for all households. In the final quarter it was lowest, on a per head basis, for the households with adolescents only. For these households, it amounted to 2 s . 4 d ., compared with 2 s .10 d . to 2 s .11 d . for households with children and 2 s .6 d . for households with adults only. But expressed as a percentage of total domestic food expenditure, the value of the subsidy was as low as 11 per cent. for the households with adolescents and 10 per cent. for households with two adults only. The high value of the subsidy for households with many children is chiefly the result of subsidised milk for the children.
6. During the earlier months of 1951 , the subsidy represented 24 per cent. of the total expenditure by households with four or more children; in 1950 the proportion was 29 per cent. The corresponding proportions for wholly adult households were 14 per cent. and 16 per cent., and for households with adolescents only, 14 per cent. and 17 per cent.

TABLE 5
Expenditure on the Subsidised Foods by Households of Different Composition:
1951 compared with 1950(a)
per head per week

|  |
| :--- |

(a) Average of January-February and April-May in each year.

## APPENDIXC

## CONTRIBUTION OF DIFFERENT FOODS TO THE NUTRIENT CONTENT OF THE DIET

1. Tables 1 to 10 show the contributions of the principal foods to the energy value and nutrient content of the average domestic diet during the first and second halves of 1951 compared with 1950 . The proportions are very similar for the two years. For this reason, it was not considered necessary to present tables comparable to those in the Annual Report 1950 for social class and household composition ${ }^{1}$.
2. The most noticeable feature is the constancy of the proportions, except for vitamins $A, C$ and $D$ where seasonal factors are important and where there were also some non-seasonal changes over the two years. In 1951, bread and flour made the greatest contribution to total energy value, iron and nicotinic acid contents (just under 30 per cent.), protein and vitamin $B_{1}$ contents (just over 30 per cent.). In 1951, the cereal group became the largest contributor of nicotinic acid in place of the

[^23]meats group in 1950. Just under half the total protein was derived from animal sources in each year. Dairy products supplied 55 per cent. of the total calcium in both years and 40 to 45 per cent. of the total riboflavin. The contribution to the total vitamin A from vegetables was 4 per cent. higher in 1951 than in 1950, and to that of vitamin $C$ was 4 per cent. higher. In contrast, the contribution to the total vitamin A from fats was 3 per cent. lower and from meats 2 per cent. lower, while the contribution to the total vitamin C from potatoes was 9 per cent. lower. Fish provided about 3 per cent. more of the total vitamin $\mathbf{D}$ in 1951 than in 1950.

TABLE 1

## Energy Value and Protein Content of Domestic Food Consumption: 1950 and 1951

| per head per day |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950 | 1951 |  |  |  |
|  |  | January-May |  | July-December |  |
|  | percentage of total | Cal. | percentage of total | Cal. | percentage of total |
| Energy Value- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other cereal products .... ... | $10 \cdot 8$ | 292 | $11 \cdot 8$ | 250 | $10 \cdot 2$ |
| Other cereal products ... ... | - 37.9 |  | - 38.5 |  | - 39.4 |
| Fats <br> Meat, rationed (including bacon) <br> Meat, other | 15-1 | 375 | $15 \cdot 2$ | 322 | $13 \cdot 1$ |
|  | $8 \cdot 2$ | 136 | $5 \cdot 5$ 4.8 | 180 | 7.3 |
|  | $4 \cdot 1$ | 118 | $4 \cdot 8 \quad 10 \cdot 3$ | 103 | $4 \cdot 2$ |
| Milk <br> Potatoes (including chips) | - 11.0 | 275 | [ 11.1 | 267 | $10 \cdot 8$ |
|  | $6 \cdot 7$ | 163 | $6 \cdot 6$ | 169 | $6 \cdot 9$ |
| Other vegetables and fruit | $3 \cdot 1 \quad 9.8$ | 72 | $2.9 \quad 9.5$ | 96 | 3.9 |
| Sugar and preserves ... ... | $-\quad 9.8$ 9.0 | 235 | $\left[\begin{array}{l}9.5 \\ -\quad 9.5\end{array}\right.$ | 241 | $\begin{array}{r}10.8 \\ \hline 9.8\end{array}$ |
| Other foods ... $\ldots$...... | 4.9 | 146 | $5 \cdot 9$ | 113 | $4 \cdot 6$ |
| Total... | $100 \cdot 0$ | 2,472 | $100 \cdot 0$ | 2,459 | $100 \cdot 0$ |
|  | percentage of total | g. | percentage of total | g. | percentage of total |
| Protedn- <br> Animal Protein- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milk ... | $18 \cdot 0$ | 14 | $18 \cdot 5$ | 14 | $18 \cdot 2$ |
| Cheese .. | $2 \cdot 6$ | 3 | 3.9 | 3 | $3 \cdot 9$ |
|  | $20 \cdot 6$ |  | - 22.4 |  | - 22.1 |
| Meats . | $20 \cdot 5$ | 12 | $15 \cdot 8$ | 14 | $18 \cdot 2$ |
| Fish | $3 \cdot 8$ | 4 <br> 3 | $5 \cdot 3$ 3.9 | 4 | $5 \cdot 1$ 2.6 |
| Eggs | $3 \cdot 8$ | 3 | $3 \cdot 9$ | 2 | $2 \cdot 6$ |
| Total animal protein ... | $48 \cdot 7$ | 36 | $47 \cdot 4$ | 37 | $48 \cdot 0$ |
| Vegetable Protein- <br> Bread and flour | $\begin{array}{r} 29 \cdot 5 \\ 9 \cdot 0 \end{array}$ | 23 | 30.3 9.2 | 25 | $\begin{array}{r} 32 \cdot 5 \\ 7.8 \end{array}$ |
| Potatoes and vegetables ... | 38.5 9.0 3.8 | 7 | 39.5 9.2 | 7 | - $\begin{gathered}40.3 \\ 9.1\end{gathered}$ |
| Other foods ... ... ... | $3 \cdot 8$ | 3 | 3.9 | 2 | $2 \cdot 6$ |
| Total vegetable protein ... | $51 \cdot 3$ | 40 | 52.6 | 40 | $52 \cdot 0$ |
| Total protein | $100 \cdot 0$ | 76 | $100 \cdot 0$ | 77 | $100 \cdot 0$ |

TABLE 2

## Calcium and Iron content of Domestic Food Consumption:

1950 and 1951
per head per day


TABLE 3
Vitamins $\mathbf{A}(a)$ and $\mathbf{B}_{1}(b)$ content of Domestic Food Consumption: 1950 and 1951
per head per day

(a) Excludes welfare foods.
(b) Includes allowances for cooking losses.

TABLE 4
Riboflavin and Nicotinic Acid Content of Domestic Food Consamption: 1950 and 1951

| per head per day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1950 | 1951 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | January-May |  | July-December |  |
|  |  |  |  | percentage of total | mg. | percentage of total | mg. | percentage of total |
| Riboflavin- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milk ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  | $37 \cdot 1$ | 0.64 | $40 \cdot 8$ | 0.62 | $38 \cdot 3$ |
| Cheese | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 2.9 | $0 \cdot 66$ | $3 \cdot 8$ | $0 \cdot 12$ | $7 \cdot 4$ |
| Bread and flour ... Other cereal products |  | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $14 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 17$ | $10 \cdot 8$ | 0.18 | $11 \cdot 1$ |
|  |  | ... | ... | $3 \cdot 5$ | 0.05 | $3 \cdot 2$ | 0.08 | 4.9 |
| Meat ... |  |  |  | 17.6 16.5 | 0.22 | - $\begin{array}{r}14.0 \\ 14.0\end{array}$ | $0 \cdot 24$ | 16.0 14.8 |
| Vegetables | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 9.4 | 0.16 | $10 \cdot 2$ | 0.21 | 13.0 |
| Eggs ... |  | $\ldots$ | ... | $7 \cdot 7$ | $0 \cdot 13$ | $8 \cdot 3$ | 0.07 | $4 \cdot 3$ |
| Other foods | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $8 \cdot 8$ | 0.14 | $8 \cdot 9$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | $6 \cdot 2$ |
| Total | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | 1.57 | $100 \cdot 0$ | 1.62 | $100 \cdot 0$ |
|  |  |  |  | percentage of total | mg. | percentage of total | mg. | percentage of total |
| Nicotinic Acib- |  |  |  | $26 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 3$ | $27 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 7$ | 28.5 |
| Bread and flour ... |  |  | ... | $5 \cdot 7$ | $0 \cdot 8$ | $6 \cdot 7$ | 0.6 | $4 \cdot 6$ |
| Meat, rationed (including bacon) |  |  |  | 23.9 | $2 \cdot 0$ | 16.6 | $2 \cdot 4$ | $18 \cdot 5$ |
| Meat, other | ... | ... | ... | $10 \cdot 9$ | 1.7 | $14 \cdot 2$ | $1 \cdot 6$ | $12 \cdot 3$ |
| Vegetables (including potatoes)... |  |  |  | $34 \cdot 8$ |  | - 30.8 |  | 30.8 |
|  |  |  |  | $20 \cdot 1$ | 2.5 0.6 | 20.8 | 2.8 0.5 | 21.5 3.8 |
| Fish Other foods |  |  | . | $3 \cdot 9$ | $0 \cdot 6$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | $0 \cdot 5$ | 3.8 10.8 |
| Other foods | ... | ... | ... | $9 \cdot 3$ | $1 \cdot 1$ | $9 \cdot 2$ | 1.4 | 10.8 |
| Total | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $12 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $13 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ |

TABLE 5
Vitamin C (a) and D (b) Content of Domestic Food Consumption: 1950 and 1951

(a) Allows for cooking losses on the basis of the estimates made in Medical Research Council War Memorandum No. 14.
(b) Excludes wolfare foods.
(c) Includes tomatoes.
(d) Includes fresh peas and beans.
(e) Includes welfare orange juice.

## APPENDIX D

TABLE 1 SEE ERRATA Domestic Food Consumption and Expenditure: January-February and April-May 1951

|  |  |  | per h | d per week |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Consumption (a) |  | Expenditure |  |
|  | Jan.-Feb. | April-May | Jan.-Feb. | April-May |
| Mnx- <br> Liquid, retail ( pt ) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.98 \\ & 0.91 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pence } \\ & 19.75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pence } \\ & 19.41 \end{aligned}$ |
| Liquid, retail (pt.) ${ }_{\text {Liquid, }}$ nat. schemeand school (pt.) |  | 4.01 0.97 | $1 \cdot 27$ | 1.38 |
| Skimmed (pt.) ... ... ... |  | 0.97 |  |  |
| Skimmed, condensed (eq. pt.) | 0.07 | 0.04 | $0 \cdot 32$ | $0 \cdot 18$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \cdot 18 \\ & 0 \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ | 0.170.12 | $1 \cdot 02$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.07 \\ & 0.31 \end{aligned}$ |
| Whole, dried (eq. pt.) ... ... |  |  | $0 \cdot 38$ |  |
| Total milk (pt.) ... ... | $5 \cdot 28$ | $5 \cdot 31$ | $22 \cdot 74$ | 22.36 |
| Cream (pt.)... <br> Cheese-rationed <br> Cheese-other | $\begin{aligned} & 0.05 \\ & 2.71 \\ & 0.30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.07 \\ & 2.56 \\ & 0.37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.02 \\ & 2.24 \\ & 0.94 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23 \\ & 2.09 \\ & 1.17 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 1-contd.
per head per week


Miscellaneous fresh vegetables...

(a) Ounces per head unless otherwise stated.

TABLE 2

## Domestic Food Consumption, Expenditure and Prices: July-December 1951

|  | Consumption |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expenditure } \\ \hline \text { Quarters } \end{gathered}$ |  | Average Prices <br> Quarters |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quarters |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3rd | 4th | 3 rd | 4th | 3rd | 4th |
|  | 02. (a) | oz. (a) | d. | d. | d. (b) | d. (b) |
| Milk- |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Liquid, retail (pt.) | 3.90 | 3.99 | 21.59 | 22.70 | 5.67 | $5 \cdot 78$ |
| Liquid, national scheme (pt.) ... | 0.75 | $0 \cdot 72$ | $1 \cdot 32$ | $1 \cdot 24$ | 1.76 | 1.73 |
| Liquid, school (pt.) ... ... | 0.14 | $0 \cdot 22$ | - | - | - | - |
| Condensed, skimmed, sweetened (eq. pt.) | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.10 | $0 \cdot 11$ | $4 \cdot 96$ | $6 \cdot 28$ |
| Condensed, whole, sweetened (eq. pt.) ... ... ... | 0.03 | 0.05 | $0 \cdot 26$ | $0 \cdot 40$ | $8 \cdot 11$ | $9 \cdot 12$ |
| Condensed, whole, unsweetened (eq. pt.) | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.44 | 0.47 | $6 \cdot 17$ | $7 \cdot 74$ |
| Dried, whole (N.D.M.) and half cream (eq. pt.) | $0 \cdot 13$ | 0.07 | 0.24 | 0.15 | 1.84 | 1.91 |
| Dried, whole, branded (eq. pt.)... | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.21 | $0 \cdot 21$ | $6 \cdot 65$ | 6.35 |
| Other (pt.) ... ... ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 0.01 | $0 \cdot 02$ | $6 \cdot 60$ | $8 \cdot 21$ |
| Total milk (pt.) ... | $5 \cdot 08$ | $5 \cdot 16$ | $24 \cdot 17$ | $25 \cdot 30$ |  |  |
| Cream | 0.01 |  | 0.05 |  | $68 \cdot 70$ |  |
| Cheese-rationed ... | $2 \cdot 30$ | 1.91 | 2.08 | 1.75 | 14.51 | 14.63 |
| Cheese-unrationed | 0.38 | $0 \cdot 52$ | 1.26 | 1.65 |  | 51.45 |
| Meat- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beef and veal | 7.79 | $8 \cdot 84$ | $13 \cdot 19$ | 15.17 | 27.08 | 27.47 |
| Mutton and lamb | 3.94 | $3 \cdot 75$ | $6 \cdot 51$ | $6 \cdot 36$ | 26.46 | $27 \cdot 19$ |
| Pork ... | $0 \cdot 16$ | $0 \cdot 16$ | $0 \cdot 31$ | $0 \cdot 30$ | 29.37 | $32 \cdot 19$ |
| Canned corned beef |  | - |  |  | $36 \cdot 00$ |  |
| Total rationed meat | 11.89 | $12 \cdot 75$ | 20.01 | 21.83 |  |  |
| Bones | 0.42 | 0.85 | $0 \cdot 13$ | $0 \cdot 18$ | 4.73 | $3 \cdot 48$ |
| Bacon-rationed ... | $4 \cdot 18$ | $3 \cdot 24$ | $8 \cdot 84$ | 6.98 | $33 \cdot 80$ | $34 \cdot 51$ |
| Bacon-unrationed | $0 \cdot 25$ | $0 \cdot 21$ | 0.33 | 0.26 | 21.43 | 19.61 |
| Liver ... ... | 0.49 | $0 \cdot 42$ | 0.81 | 0.71 | $26 \cdot 33$ | 26.65 |
| Offals (other than liver) | 0.68 | 1.08 | 0.74 | $1 \cdot 13$ | $17 \cdot 40$ | 16.75 |
| Poultry ... ... ... | 0.47 | 0.49 | 1.04 | 1.08 | 49.05 | 44.46 |
| Rabbit, game and other meat | $0 \cdot 54$ | 1.81 | 0.64 | 2.35 | $20 \cdot 14$ | 21.71 |
| Cooked and canned ham | $0 \cdot 62$ | 0.46 | $4 \cdot 38$ | 3.45 | 113.41 | $120 \cdot 68$ |
| Other cooked meat | $0 \cdot 30$ | 0.40 | 0.90 | $1 \cdot 15$ | 47.36 | $46 \cdot 33$ |
| Other canned meat | $1 \cdot 70$ | 1.59 | $4 \cdot 46^{\circ}$ | $4 \cdot 29$ | 42.07 | $43 \cdot 50$ |
| Sausages uncooked ... | $3 \cdot 24$ | 3.93 | $4 \cdot 52$ | $5 \cdot 76$ | $22 \cdot 36$ | $23 \cdot 36$ |
| Other meat products ... ... | 1.97 | $2 \cdot 35$ | 2.80 | $3 \cdot 38$ | $22 \cdot 69$ | $23 \cdot 14$ |
| Total bacon, unrationed meat and meat products | 14-86 | $16 \cdot 83$ | 29.59 | 30.72 |  |  |
| Fish- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White fish-fresh cheap | 2.92 | $3 \cdot 00$ | $3 \cdot 89$ | $4 \cdot 22$ | $21 \cdot 40$ | 22.59 |
| White fish-fresh expensive | $0 \cdot 86$ | 0.83 | 1.57 | $1 \cdot 61$ | 29.44 | $30 \cdot 89$ |
| White fish-processed ... | $0 \cdot 49$ | 0.77 | $0 \cdot 68$ | $1 \cdot 13$ | $21 \cdot 80$ | $23 \cdot 37$ |
| Fat fish-fresh ... . | $0 \cdot 67$ | 0.81 | 0.64 | 0.59 | $15 \cdot 48$ | 11.56 |
| Fat fish-processed | 0.76 | 0.88 | 0.68 | $0 \cdot 79$ | $14 \cdot 26$ | $14 \cdot 43$ |
| Fish in shell ... | $0 \cdot 10$ | $0 \cdot 11$ | 0.27 | $0 \cdot 23$ | $45 \cdot 39$ | $33 \cdot 42$ |
| Fish-cooked | 1.05 | 1.02 | $2 \cdot 18$ | $2 \cdot 15$ | $23 \cdot 16$ | 33-72 |
| Fish-canned and bottled | $0 \cdot 31$ | 0.56 | 0.82 | 1.94 | $41 \cdot 40$ | $54 \cdot 82$ |
| Fish-manufactured | 0.09 | $0 \cdot 11$ | $0 \cdot 24$ | $0 \cdot 29$ | $43 \cdot 56$ | 43.03 |
| Total fish | $7 \cdot 25$ | 8.09 | 10.97 | 12.95 |  |  |
| 94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 2-contd.


TABLE 2-contd.

|  | Consumption |  | Expenditure |  | Average Prices |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quarters |  | Quarters |  | Quarters |  |
|  | 3rd | 4th | 3rd | 4th | 3rd | 4th |
|  | 02. (a) | oz. (a) | d. | d. | d. (b) | d. (b) |
| Fruit- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomatoes (fresh and quick frozen) | $8 \cdot 45$ | $4 \cdot 22$ | 8.74 | 3.92 | $17 \cdot 26$ | 15.84 |
| Tomatoes (cannod and bottled) | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.52 | 0.96 | 17.15 | $18 \cdot 11$ |
| Oranges ... ... ... ... | 2.61 | 2.58 | $1 \cdot 73$ | $1 \cdot 69$ | $10 \cdot 64$ | 10.58 |
| Other citrus fruit ... .. | 0.50 | 0.62 | $0 \cdot 37$ | 0.49 | 11.86 | 12.53 |
| Apples and pears ... ... | $8 \cdot 13$ | $10 \cdot 42$ | $4 \cdot 73$ | $4 \cdot 65$ | $10 \cdot 62$ | 7.98 |
| Stone fruit .. | $4 \cdot 39$ | 0.46 | $2 \cdot 30$ | 0.24 | 8.71 | $8 \cdot 87$ |
| Soft fruit ... ... | $3 \cdot 80$ | 0.51 | 2.56 | 0.48 | 17.69 | $20 \cdot 00$ |
| Quick frozen soft fruit ... | $0 \cdot 02$ |  | 0.01 | 0.01 | $16 \cdot 58$ | $30 \cdot 24$ |
| Bananas | $2 \cdot 11$ | $1 \cdot 36$ | 1.66 | 1.08 | 12.58 | 12.79 |
| Other fresh fruit | 0.80 | 0.06 | $0 \cdot 17$ | 0.05 | $9 \cdot 52$ | 14.27 |
| Canned and bottled fruit | 1.01 | $2 \cdot 17$ | $1 \cdot 32$ | 2.52 | 22.81 | 21.94 |
| M.O.F. orange juice | $0 \cdot 12$ | $0 \cdot 16$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | 0.13 | 13.53 | $13 \cdot 40$ |
| Other fruit juices | $0 \cdot 11$ | $0 \cdot 11$ | $0 \cdot 17$ | 0.16 | 24.87 | 23.66 |
| Dried vine fruit... | $0 \cdot 51$ | 0.83 | 0.47 | 0.75 | 14.71 | 14.56 |
| Other dried fruit | $0 \cdot 13$ | 0.26 | $0 \cdot 14$ | 0.35 | 15.68 | 20.92 |
| Nuts and fruit and nut products | 0.33 | 1.29 | $0 \cdot 60$ | 2.44 | $29 \cdot 18$ | 29.71 |
| Total fruit | $33 \cdot 52$ | 26.05 | $25 \cdot 59$ | 19.92 |  |  |
| Cereals- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flour | $8 \cdot 40$ | 8.73 | $2 \cdot 38$ | $2 \cdot 47$ | $4 \cdot 53$ | 4-52 |
| National bread ... ... ... | $53 \cdot 84$ | 50.59 | $12 \cdot 25$ | 11.48 | $3 \cdot 64$ | $3 \cdot 63$ |
| Rolls and French bread, etc. ... | $2 \cdot 16$ | $2 \cdot 62$ | $1 \cdot 13$ | 1.39 | $8 \cdot 40$ | $6 \cdot 39$ |
| Other bread ... ... | $3 \cdot 67$ | $4 \cdot 17$ | $1 \cdot 12$ | 1.29 | $4 \cdot 91$ | $4 \cdot 92$ |
| Sandwiches and bread and butter | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 36. 13 | $41 \cdot 55$ |
| Fruit bread ... ... ... | 1.77 | 1.90 | 1.41 | 1.54 | 12.78 | 12.93 |
| Biscuits ... ... | $4 \cdot 83$ | $4 \cdot 84$ | $7 \cdot 15$ | 7.31 | 23.69 | $24 \cdot 10$ |
| Cakes and pastries | $5 \cdot 36$ | 6.09 | 9.56 | $10 \cdot 82$ | 28.53 | 28.40 |
| Puddings $\ldots$... $\ldots$ | 0.57 | 0.62 | 0.86 | 0.95 | 24.14 | $24 \cdot 30$ |
| Oatmeal and oat products | 0.87 | 1.72 | 0.64 | 1.24 | 11.72 | 11.48 |
| Breakfast cereals ... | 1.64 | 1.51 | $2 \cdot 12$ | 1.98 | $20 \cdot 69$ | 20.88 |
| Rice and barley | 1.02 | $1 \cdot 21$ | 0.67 | 0.81 | $10 \cdot 66$ | $10 \cdot 71$ |
| Cereals-flour base | 0.75 | 0.93 | 0.68 | 0.83 | 14.49 | 14.33 |
| Other cereals | 0.71 | 0.72 | 1.02 | 1.04 | $23 \cdot 20$ | $22 \cdot 82$ |
| Total cereals | 85-62 | 85-67 | 41.05 | $43 \cdot 20$ |  |  |
| Beverages- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tea, rationed ... .. | 2.00 | 2.02 | 5.89 | 6.00 | 47.20 | $47 \cdot 42$ |
| Coffee, bean and ground | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.53 | 0.57 | 59.02 | 59-18 |
| Coffee extracts and essonces | 0.31 | 0.35 | 1.33 | 1.53 | 67.68 | 70-59 |
| Total beverages ... | $2 \cdot 75$ | 2.93 | $8 \cdot 56$ | $9 \cdot 20$ |  |  |
| Miscellaneous- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Patent drinks and foods | 0.17 | $0 \cdot 20$ | 0.45 | 0.59 | 42.64 | 47.04 |
| Spreads and dressings ... | $0 \cdot 20$ | 0.08 | 0.48 | $0 \cdot 19$ | 39.05 | 36.12 |
| Soups and extracts ... | 0.99 | 1.43 | $1 \cdot 32$ | $2 \cdot 07$ | 21.41 | $23 \cdot 32$ |
| Miscellaneous (expenditure only) |  |  | 3.97 | $4 \cdot 26$ |  |  |
| Total miscellaneous foods... |  |  | $6 \cdot 22$ | $7 \cdot 11$ |  |  |
| Total all foods ... |  |  | $223 \cdot 95$ | $225 \cdot 52$ |  |  |

(a) Except pints (or equivalent pints) of milk and number (or equivalent number) of egess. (b) Per lb., except pence per pint (or equivalent pint) of milk and pence per shell egg.



|  | Class A |  | Class B |  | Class C |  | Class D |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | O.A.P. (b) households | Other households |  | All <br> households |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan.- } \\ & \text { Feb. } \end{aligned}$ | AprilMay |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan.- } \\ & \text { Feb. } \end{aligned}$ | AprilMay | Jan.Feb. | AprilMay | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan.- } \\ & \text { Feb. } \end{aligned}$ | AprilMay | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan.- } \\ & \text { Feb. } \end{aligned}$ | AprilMay | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan.- } \\ & \text { Feb. } \end{aligned}$ | AprilMay |
| Fats-_    <br> Butter $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ <br> Margarine $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ <br> All cooking fats $\ldots$ $\ldots$  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 00 \\ & 4 \cdot 14 \\ & 2 \cdot 84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 15 \\ & 3.49 \\ & 2.69 \end{aligned}$ | $4 \cdot 39$ 4.32 $3 \cdot 43$ | 4.41 $4 \cdot 08$ $3 \cdot 29$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 34 \\ & 4 \cdot 26 \\ & 3 \cdot 38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 23 \\ & 4 \cdot 35 \\ & 2 \cdot 99 \end{aligned}$ | 4.40 3.67 3.04 | $4 \cdot 58$ $3 \cdot 56$ 2.67 | $4 \cdot 03$ $4 \cdot 00$ $3 \cdot 33$ | 4.21 3.92 2.75 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 09 \\ & 3.95 \\ & 3 \cdot 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 29 \\ & 3 \cdot 84 \\ & 2 \cdot 73 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total fats ... | 11.98 | $11 \cdot 33$ | $12 \cdot 14$ | 11.78 | 11.98 | 11.57 | 11.11 | 10.81 | 11.36 | $10 \cdot 88$ | $11 \cdot 32$ | $10 \cdot 86$ |
| Sugar and Prbserves-- <br> Sugar Honey, preserves, syrup and treacle... <br> Total sugar and preserves | 11.74 7.35 | 11.23 8.07 | 11.00 7.05 | 11.99 6.95 | 10.48 5.87 | 11.45 6.68 | $\mathbf{9 . 9 4}$ $\mathbf{5 . 0 0}$ | 10.62 6.18 | 9.87 $5 \cdot 19$ | 10.59 5.70 | 9.88 $5 \cdot 16$ | 10.60 5.80 |
|  | 19.09 | $19 \cdot 30$ | 18.05 | 18.94 | $16 \cdot 35$ | $18 \cdot 13$ | 14.94 | $16 \cdot 80$ | $15 \cdot 06$ | $16 \cdot 29$ | 15-04 | $16 \cdot 40$ |
| Vegetables- <br> Potatoes (including chips and crisps) | 48.99 | $43 \cdot 36$ | $63 \cdot 10$ | 58.95 | 68.93 | 67.97 | 50.82 | $43 \cdot 70$ | 67.95 | $58 \cdot 89$ | $65 \cdot 14$ | $55 \cdot 64$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} \text { Fresh, green } & . . & & \\ \text { Other } & . . & . . & \ldots & \ldots \\ \text { Ot.... } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.72 \\ & 22.04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \cdot 32 \\ & 19 \cdot 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.63 \\ & 19.72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.08 \\ & 15.94 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.67 \\ & 18.87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.29 \\ & 16.61 \end{aligned}$ | 11.66 13.76 | $10 \cdot 12$ 11.34 | 11.60 16.64 | 10.18 14.90 | $11 \cdot 61$ $16 \cdot 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \cdot 17 \\ & 14 \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total vegetables other than potatoes | $34 \cdot 76$ | 36.52 | $33 \cdot 35$ | 29.02 | $30 \cdot 54$ | $27 \cdot 90$ | $25 \cdot 42$ | $21 \cdot 46$ | $28 \cdot 24$ | 25.08 | 27-78 | 24-31 |
| FrutrFresh, and tomatoes Other $\qquad$ | 29.03 9.89 | $\begin{array}{r} 36.28 \\ 9.64 \end{array}$ | 24.71 5.11 | 30.00 5.60 | 16.56 3.87 | 19.09 3.89 | 14.19 2.46 | 14.57 1.58 | 16.79 3.13 | $17 \cdot 18$ 2.98 | $\begin{array}{r}16.36 \\ 3.02 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.62 \\ 2.68 \end{array}$ |
| Total fruit ... ... .. | $38 \cdot 92$ | 45.92 | 29.82 | $35 \cdot 60$ | $20 \cdot 43$ | $22 \cdot 98$ | $16 \cdot 65$ | $16 \cdot 15$ | 19.92 | $20 \cdot 16$ | $19 \cdot 38$ | $19 \cdot 30$ |


TABLE 4 ExLEMOAA
Domestic Food Expenditure by Social Class:
January-February and April-May 1951


| Sugar and Preserves- <br> Sugar <br> Honey, preserves, syrup and treacle .. | $3 \cdot 34$ 4.42 | 3.82 4.67 | 2.89 5.24 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 03 \\ & 5 \cdot 33 \end{aligned}$ | 3.05 4.69 | 3.89 5.63 | 3.23 4.42 | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \cdot 88 \\ 3 \cdot 95 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 74 \\ & 3.73 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.78 \\ & 4.65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 82 \\ & 3 \cdot 84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \cdot 80 \\ & 4 \cdot 50 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total sugar and preserves ... | 7.76 | 8.49 | 8.13 | 9.36 | 7.74 | 9.52 | $7 \cdot 65$ | 7.83 | 6.47 | 8.43 | 6.66 | $8 \cdot 30$ |
| Vegetables- <br> Potatoes (including chips and crisps) | 4.25 | 5.74 | 6.70 | 8.28 | $7 \cdot 15$ | $9 \cdot 28$ | 6.37 | 5.39 | $7 \cdot 67$ | 8.01 | $7 \cdot 46$ | $7 \cdot 45$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} \begin{array}{l} \text { Fresh, green } \\ \text { Other } \\ \ldots \end{array} & \ldots & \ldots . & \ldots & \ldots \\ \ldots \end{array}$ | 5.64 9.60 | 10.30 8.00 | 5.44 7.19 | 7.28 8.08 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.41 \\ & 6.50 \end{aligned}$ | 4.84 7.48 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.80 \\ & 4.60 \end{aligned}$ | 3.26 3.90 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.67 \\ & 5.75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.49 \\ & 6.60 \end{aligned}$ | 7.46 5.69 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 23 \\ & 6 \cdot 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total vegetables other than potatoes | 15.24 | $18 \cdot 30$ | 12.63 | $15 \cdot 33$ | 9.91 | $12 \cdot 32$ | $8 \cdot 40$ | $7 \cdot 16$ | 9.42 | 11.09 | 9.25 | $10 \cdot 25$ |
| Fruit- <br> Fresh, and tomatoes ... ... ... <br> Other $\qquad$ | 19.23 6.25 | 23.40 7.16 | 15.42 3.22 | $20 \cdot 10$ 3.87 | 10.03 2.78 | 12.76 3.09 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.92 \\ & 1.29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.44 \\ 0.70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.75 \\ & 1.70 \end{aligned}$ | 11.37 2.52 | $\begin{aligned} & 9.29 \\ & 1.63 \end{aligned}$ | 10.53 2.13 |
| Total fruit ... ... ... | 25.48 | 30.56 | $18 \cdot 64$ | 23.97 | 12.81 | 15.85 | $8 \cdot 21$ | 8.14 | $11 \cdot 45$ | 13.89 | 10.92 | 12.66 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 10.26 \\ & 1.79 \\ & 24.92 \end{aligned}$ | 10.08 1.84 26.29 | 10.56 2.01 24.06 | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \cdot 10 \\ 2.35 \\ 25 \cdot 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.05 \\ & 1.95 \\ & 20.76 \end{aligned}$ | 13.44 2.17 23.53 | $\begin{array}{r} 11.47 \\ 1.90 \\ 13.90 \end{array}$ | 12.76 1.57 14.69 | 12.49 1.49 19.11 | 13.50 1.99 19.18 | 12.32 1.56 18.26 | $\begin{array}{r} 13.34 \\ 180 \\ 18.22 \end{array}$ |
| Total cereals ... ... | 36.97 | 38.21 | 36.63 | 38.59 | 34.76 | 39.14 | 27.27 | 29.02 | 33.09 | 34.67 | 32.14 | 33.46 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Beveraces- } \\ \text { Tea } \\ \text { Other } \ldots \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 50 \\ & 4.06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.40 \\ & 3.94 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.34 \\ & 2.94 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 52 \\ & 2 \cdot 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.87 \\ 1.59 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.94 \\ & 1.60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.69 \\ & 1.75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.74 \\ & 1.47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.03 \\ 1.89 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.54 \\ & 1.52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 14 \\ & 1.87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.80 \\ & 1.51 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total beverages ... ... | 8.56 | 7.34 | $7 \cdot 28$ | 7.82 | 6.46 | 6.54 | $7 \cdot 44$ | 8.21 | 6.92 | 7.06 | 7.01 | 7.31 |
| Other foods ... ... .. | 9.08 | 5.88 | 6.02 | 5.82 | $5 \cdot 13$ | 5.01 | $3 \cdot 71$ | 3.72 | 4.70 | 3.53 | 4.54 | $3 \cdot 57$ |
| Total all foods ... ... ... ... | 226.72 | 218.78 | 199.72 | 218.95 | $175 \cdot 98$ | $190 \cdot 63$ | 159.40 | $160 \cdot 13$ | 172.09 | 179.50 | 170.01 | $175 \cdot 35$ |




| table 6 Seg errata <br> Domestic Food Expenditure by Social Class: Third and Fourth Quarters 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Class A |  | Class B |  | Class C |  | Class D |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O.A.P. (a) } \\ & \text { households } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ouser } \\ & \text { ouseholds } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { households } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Quarters |  |  |  | Quarters | Quarters |  | Quarters |  | Quarters |  | Quarters |  |
|  | 3rd | 4th | 3rd | 4th |  |  | 3 rd | 4th | 3rd | 4th | 3rd | 4th | 3rd | 4th |
| $\stackrel{\text {. }}{\text { Mnur- }} \begin{aligned} & \text { Liquid, retail } \\ & \text { Liquid, nat. scheme and school }\end{aligned}$ Other milk and cream | $\begin{array}{r} 28.27 \\ 1.85 \\ 1.45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.20 \\ 1.43 \\ 1.74 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.38 \\ 1.68 \\ 1.32 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.38 \\ 1.52 \\ 1.42 \\ 1.42 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.27 \\ 1.37 \\ 1.32 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.51 \\ 1.37 \\ 1.38 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 26.30 \\ 0.95 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 28.54 \\ 1.34 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.97 \\ 0.59 \\ 1.35 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.23 \\ 0.47 \\ 1.01 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.52 \\ 0.49 \\ 1.28 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}24.89 \\ 0.40 \\ 1.06 \\ \hline 2.35\end{array}$ |
| Total milk ... | 31.57 | 32.37 | 24.38 | 26.32 | 22.96 | 23.26 | 27.25 | 29.88 | 24.91 | 25.71 | 25.29 | 26.35 |
| Сневgs ... ... ... ... ... | $4 \cdot 47$ | 4.66 | 3.31 | 3.28 | 3.29 | 3.33 | 3.08 | 3.63 | 3.18 | 3.22 | 3.16 | 3.28 |
| ment- <br> Rationed (including canned corned beef) All other meat <br> Total meat | 20.47 <br> 9.24 <br> 26.92 <br> 56.63 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 23.43 \\ 79.10 \\ 29.78 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.05 \\ & 8.99 \\ & 20.82 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 22.02 \\ 74.05 \\ 24.40 \end{gathered}\right.$ | 19.88 8.71 20.66 | 21.33 6.86 22.98 | $\begin{aligned} & 21.26 \\ & 8.81 \\ & 11.96 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.22 \\ & 17.11 \\ & 17.58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 19.85 \\ 8.95 \\ 20.45 \end{array}$ | 22.38 7 23.16 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 20.08 \\ & 8.93 \\ & 19.05 \end{aligned}$ | 22.20 <br> 7.15 <br> 22.25 <br> 1.60 |
|  | 56.63 | 60.31 | 49.86 | 53.47 | 49.25 | 51.17 | 42.03 | 45.91 | 49.25 | 52.63 | 48.06 | ${ }_{51.60}$ |
| Fish- <br> Fresh Propared Total fish . | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 15.93 \\ 2.68 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.77 \\ 3.65 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.63 \\ & 2.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.91 \\ & 4.70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.67 \\ & 3.48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.48 \\ & 4.37 \end{aligned}$ | 9.15 | $\begin{array}{\|c} 10 \cdot 21 \\ 3.16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.02 \\ & 3.59 \end{aligned}$ | 8.28 4.34 | 8.21 3.44 | 8.57 <br> 4.16 |
|  | 18.61 | $18 \cdot 42$ | 10.44 | 13.61 | 10.15 | 11.85 | 11.81 | 13.37 | 11.61 | 12.62 | 11.65 | 12.73 |


| Ecrus, sment, nens | ... |  |  | 0.20 | 1-40 | 0.4 | , wo | ' '، | T | 0 | - * | $\sim$ | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FatsButter ... Margarine All cooking fats | $\ldots$ |  |  | 7.58 3.02 2.16 | $6 \cdot 18$ $3 \cdot 43$ $3 \cdot 13$ | 7.50 3.52 2.80 | 5.64 3.59 3.07 | 7.18 3.59 2.60 | 5.82 3.64 3.07 | 7.56 3.39 2.31 | 5.94 3.59 2.51 | 7.26 3.58 2.50 | 5.72 3.64 2.80 | $7 \cdot 31$ $3 \cdot 55$ $2 \cdot 47$ | 5.75 3.63 2.76 |
| Total fats |  |  |  | $12 \cdot 76$ | $12 \cdot 74$ | $13 \cdot 82$ | $12 \cdot 30$ | $13 \cdot 37$ | $12 \cdot 53$ | $13 \cdot 26$ | 12.04 | $13 \cdot 34$ | $12 \cdot 16$ | $13 \cdot 33$ | 12.14 |
| Sugar and Preserves- <br> Sugar <br> Honey, preserves, syrup and treacle ... <br> Total sugar and preserves |  |  |  | 4.99 5.55 | $4 \cdot 68$ $5 \cdot 73$ | 5.31 5.02 | 3.98 5.35 | $4 \cdot 78$ $5 \cdot 12$ | 3.93 5.51 | 5.04 5.15 | 3.83 5.89 | 5.17 4.93 | 3.65 5.21 | 5.15 4.97 | 3.68 5.31 |
|  |  |  |  | $10 \cdot 54$ | $10 \cdot 41$ | $10 \cdot 33$ | 9.33 | 9.90 | 9.44 | 10-19 | 9.72 | 10-10 | 8.86 | 10•12 | 8.99 |
| Vboetables- <br> Potatoes (including crisps and chips)... <br> Fresh green <br> Other $\qquad$ <br> ... $\qquad$ Total vegetables other than potatoes |  |  |  | $6 \cdot 70$ | $6 \cdot 15$ | $8 \cdot 60$ | 9.08 | $9 \cdot 12$ | $8 \cdot 94$ | $7 \cdot 52$ | $7 \cdot 13$ | 9-17 | 8.96 | 8.90 | $8 \cdot 68$ |
|  |  |  |  | 9.01 8.57 | 6.97 9.89 | $7 \cdot 75$ 7.51 | 5.62 9.61 | 6.39 6.60 | 4.25 8.58 | 6.60 4.22 | $3 \cdot 53$ $7 \cdot 16$ | $7 \cdot 16$ $6 \cdot 23$ | 4.45 8.80 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 07 \\ & 5 \cdot 90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.31 \\ & 8.55 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $17 \cdot 58$ | 16.86 | 15.26 | $15 \cdot 23$ | 12.99 | $12 \cdot 83$ | 10.82 | $10 \cdot 69$ | 13.39 | 13.25 | 12.97 | 12.86 |
| Fruit - <br> Fresh, and tomatoes ... <br> Other <br> Total fruit |  |  |  | $34 \cdot 55$ $5 \cdot 18$ | $20 \cdot 64$ 10.86 | $24 \cdot 29$ 4.02 | 14.83 9.20 | 20.72 3.08 | $10 \cdot 78$ 6.71 | 15.49 1.09 | 8.41 3.14 | 20.05 2.58 | $11 \cdot 18$ 4.91 | 19.30 $2 \cdot 33$ | 10.76 4.64 |
|  |  |  |  | 39.73 | $31 \cdot 50$ | $28 \cdot 31$ | 24.03 | 23.80 | $17 \cdot 49$ | $16 \cdot 58$ | $11 \cdot 55$ | $22 \cdot 63$ | 16.09 | $21 \cdot 63$ | $15 \cdot 40$ |
| Cereals- <br> Bread (excluding sandwiches and fruit |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 11.66 \\ 1.74 \\ 30.60 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.47 \\ 2.19 \\ 32.98 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \cdot 39 \\ 2 \cdot 41 \\ 24 \cdot 96 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \cdot 48 \\ 2 \cdot 38 \\ 28 \cdot 26 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \cdot 16 \\ 2 \cdot 43 \\ 24 \cdot 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \cdot 53 \\ 2 \cdot 54 \\ 26 \cdot 31 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \cdot 70 \\ 2 \cdot 30 \\ 17 \cdot 72 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \cdot 45 \\ 2 \cdot 48 \\ 20 \cdot 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \cdot 58 \\ 2 \cdot 43 \\ 21 \cdot 21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \cdot 21 \\ 2 \cdot 55 \\ 22 \cdot 59 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \cdot 27 \\ 2 \cdot 41 \\ 20 \cdot 63 \end{array}$ | $15 \cdot 25$ $2 \cdot 54$ $22 \cdot 21$ |
| Total cereals | ... | ... | ... | $44 \cdot 00$ | $46 \cdot 64$ | $40 \cdot 76$ | 44-12 | 41-79 | $43 \cdot 38$ | $33 \cdot 72$ | 38.04 | 39-22 | $40 \cdot 35$ | $38 \cdot 31$ | $40 \cdot 00$ |
| $$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \cdot 22 \\ & 4 \cdot 61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.99 \\ & 7.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.79 \\ & 2 \cdot 35 \end{aligned}$ | $5 \cdot 80$ $3 \cdot 11$ | 5.68 <br> 2.65 | $5 \cdot 74$ $2 \cdot 70$ | 7.64 2.45 | 9.21 3.98 | 6.47 2.52 | 6.08 <br> 2.94 | 6.66 2.51 | 6.56 3.10 |
|  |  | $\cdots$ | ... | 10.83 | $14 \cdot 09$ | $8 \cdot 14$ | 8.91 | 8.33 | 8.44 | 10.09 | $13 \cdot 19$ | 8.99 | 9.02 | $9 \cdot 17$ | $9 \cdot 66$ |
| Other foods | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 10.53 | $11 \cdot 75$ | $7 \cdot 31$ | $7 \cdot 92$ | 5.88 | 6.67 | 4.79 | $5 \cdot 74$ | 5.59 | $6 \cdot 08$ | 5.46 | 6.03 |
| Total all foods | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 272-21 | $273 \cdot 16$ | 228.97 | 235-28 | 218.60 | 216.81 | 197.97 | $208 \cdot 17$ | 219.59 | $215 \cdot 89$ | $216 \cdot 03$ | 214-71 |


| TABLE 6 SEE ERRATA <br> Domestic Food Expenditure by Social Class: <br> Third and Fourth Quarters 1951 <br> pence per head per week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Class A |  | Class B |  | Class C |  | Class D |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O.A } \mathrm{A} \\ & \text { hous } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{e} \\ & \text { olds } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ot} \\ \text { house } \end{gathered}$ | ner holds |  | holds |
|  | Quarters |  |  |  | Quarters |  | Quarters |  | Quarters |  | Quarters |  | Quarters |  |
|  | 3rd | 4th | 3rd | 4th | 3rd | 4th | 3rd | 4th | 3rd | 4th | 3rd | 4th |
| Mnk- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liquid, retail Liquid, nat. scheme and schooil | 28.27 1.85 1.45 | 29.20 1.43 1 | 21.38 1.68 1 |  |  | 20.51 1.37 | 26.30 | 28.54 |  |  |  |  |
| Liquid, nat. scheme and school Other milk and cream | 18.85 1.45 | 1.43 <br> 1.74 | 1.68 1.32 | 1.52 1.42 | 1.37 1.32 | 10.37 1.38 | 0.95 | 1.34 | 0.59 1.35 | 0.47 1.01 | 13.49 1.28 | 1.40 1.06 |
| Total milk | 31.57 | 32.37 | 24.38 | 26.32 | 22.96 | 23.26 | 27.25 | 29.88 | 24.91 | 25.71 | 25.29 | 26.35 |
| Cherse ... ... ... | 4.47 | $4 \cdot 66$ | $3 \cdot 31$ | $3 \cdot 28$ | 3.29 | $3 \cdot 33$ | 3.08 | 3.63 | $3 \cdot 18$ | $3 \cdot 22$ | 3.16 | $3 \cdot 28$ |
| Meat- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rationed (including canned corned beef) .. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9.24 | 7.10 | 8.99 | 7.05 | 8.71 | 6.86 | 8.81 | 7.11 | 8.95 | 7.16 | 8.93 | 7.15 |
|  | 26.92 | 29.78 | 20.82 | 24.40 | 20.66 | 22.98 | 11.96 | 17.58 | 20.45 | 23.09 | 19.05 | 22.25 |
| Total meat ... ... ... | 56.63 | $60 \cdot 31$ | 49.86 | 53.47 | 49.25 | $51 \cdot 17$ | 42.03 | 45.91 | 49.25 | 52.63 | 48.06 | 51.60 |
| Fish- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15.93 2.68 | $\begin{array}{r} 14.77 \\ 3.65 \end{array}$ | 7.63 2.81 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.91 \\ & 4.70 \end{aligned}$ | 6.67 3.48 | 7.48 4.37 | 9.15 2.66 | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \cdot 21 \\ 3 \cdot 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.02 \\ & 3.59 \end{aligned}$ | 8.28 4.34 | 8.21 3.44 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \cdot 57 \\ & 4 \cdot 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total fish | 18.61 | 18.42 | 10.44 | 13.61 | $10 \cdot 15$ | 11.85 | 11.81 | $13 \cdot 37$ | 11.61 | 12.62 | 11.65 | 12.73 |




| 59．1 | SL．I | $21 \cdot 2$ | $20 \cdot 2$ | II $\cdot 2$ | tor | 95．2 | $\varepsilon L \cdot Z$ | て£．Z | $9 \varepsilon \cdot Z$ | L6．Z | $28 \cdot 2$ | $9 \varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon$ | 8S－E |  |  | S088iอл | B10 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \tau z \cdot 0 \\ & \tau t \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$ | SE． 0 $0 \rightarrow 1$ | $\downarrow L \cdot 0$ $8 \varepsilon \cdot 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \angle t \cdot 0 \\ & S S \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 t \cdot 0 \\ & \varepsilon 9 \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \cdot 0 \\ & 9 L \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \cdot 0 \\ & 06 \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \cdot 0 \\ & t 0 \cdot 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IS. } 0 \\ & 18 \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 t \cdot 0 \\ & \angle 8 \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon 9 \cdot 0 \\ & \succ \varepsilon \cdot \tau \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & L L \cdot 0 \\ & \text { SO. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & p 6 \cdot 0 \\ & z \downarrow \cdot \tau \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \cdot I \\ & 8 t \cdot Z \end{aligned}$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |
| 99．5L | 96．0L | 0¢．59 | tz． 21 | OE．LL | 06－+1 | SE．08 | 98．LL | SI－L8 | LS． 28 | 62.96 | 02.06 | LI－26 | 86．76 |  | $\cdots$ ．．． | s | ［ PlOL |
| $\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon \varsigma \cdot \emptyset I \\ & 68 \cdot \varsigma \\ & \forall \tau \cdot \varsigma \varsigma \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \angle 6 . \forall 1 \\ & \forall \varepsilon . L \\ & S 9.8 \forall \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \angle \varepsilon \cdot 91 \\ & \forall S \cdot \varsigma \\ & 6 \varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon \emptyset \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \triangleright \varepsilon .81 \\ & 8 S . L \\ & Z \varepsilon .9 \dagger \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.61 \\ & 18 . L \\ & 8 t .05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 z \cdot 0 z \\ & 9 I \cdot L \\ & 9 t \cdot L t \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \cdot 12 \\ & S 9 \cdot L \\ & 68.0 S \end{aligned}$ | $t 6 \cdot z \tau$ $9 \varepsilon \cdot L$ $90.8 t$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.91 \\ & \angle 8.9 \\ & \angle \hbar \cdot \varepsilon 9 \end{aligned}$ | $0 \varepsilon \cdot 61$ $L \varepsilon .8$ $06.6 S$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \cdot \varepsilon Z \\ & 68 \cdot L \\ & Z L \cdot \nleftarrow 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \tau \varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon \tau \\ & \varepsilon \vdash \cdot 6 \\ & \mathcal{S} \cdot \angle \varsigma \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \angle L \cdot S Z \\ & I t .8 \\ & 66 . \angle S \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \angle S \cdot s Z \\ & 18 \cdot L \\ & 09 \cdot 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \cdots \\ & \cdots \\ & \text { pue } \end{aligned}$ | $\ldots$ $\cdots$ sәчэ！мрие |  | 12410 InOly <br> a19 1！nj <br> （）prolg <br> －5TV3430 |
| 8S•t | 98．91 | 01－12 | 16.91 | $61 \cdot \varepsilon z$ | $12 \cdot 27$ | てて．てを | $19 \cdot L 2$ | LE．OZ | 26－41 | 9t． 82 | IS．zE | L9．sE | L9．82 |  |  | － | 10．L |
| $\begin{aligned} & I I \cdot \varepsilon \\ & \angle D \cdot I I \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 L \cdot \varepsilon \\ & \angle 9 \cdot z 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \cdot \varepsilon \\ & I t \cdot L I \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & S \varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon \\ & 9 S \cdot \varepsilon I \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon t \cdot b \\ & 9 L \cdot 8 I \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \mathrm{I} \cdot \mathrm{~b} \\ & \mathbf{S O} \cdot 8 \mathrm{I} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 t \cdot \mathrm{~s} \\ & 9 \mathrm{l} \cdot 9 \mathrm{l} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \tau \tau \cdot 9 \\ & 6 \varepsilon \cdot I Z \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \cdot \mathcal{E} \\ & 9 S \cdot 91 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & Z \varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon \\ & 09 \cdot \neg I \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \angle 1 \cdot t \\ & 62 \cdot+2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { £8.5 } \\ & 89.9 Z \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \cdot 9 \\ & 89 \cdot 82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \mathrm{I} \cdot \mathrm{~S} \\ & 6 t \cdot \varepsilon \varepsilon \end{aligned}$ | $\cdots$ |  | sәoңrшио |  |
| L8．02 | $18 \cdot 02$ | ヤL•IZ | 16．s2 | £2．92 | 91.62 | £9．0E | LI•Z | $8 E \cdot 9 Z$ | IS．sz | SL． 62 | 26．2E | $6 \mathfrak{E} \cdot \downarrow \mathfrak{E}$ | 2\％．0t |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & L \tau \cdot 巾 I \\ & 09.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ss. I I } \\ & 92 \cdot 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \cdot 11 \\ & 6 L \cdot 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \angle 8 \cdot \angle I \\ & t 0.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 I \cdot 91 \\ & t 0 \cdot 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \cdot \angle I \\ & \angle Z \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \cdot 81 \\ & \varepsilon 9 \cdot 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \angle 8 \cdot 6 I \\ & 0 \varepsilon \cdot 2 I \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \cdot \mathrm{SI} \\ & 6 \varepsilon \cdot 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \cdot \mathrm{SI} \\ & 06.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \cdot L 1 \\ & 60 \cdot 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon \varepsilon \cdot 6 I \\ & 6 S \cdot \varepsilon I \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 L \cdot L 1 \\ & 19 \cdot 91 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon I \cdot \forall Z \\ & 60 \cdot 91 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| EZ．6S | 8\＆• ¢9 | SZ．£9 | t2． 69 | S2．69 | 78．29 | tt－E9 | \＄8．89 | E9•29 | tL．0L | 81－69 | 81．9L | ES．LS | $6 t \cdot \varepsilon 9$ |  |  |  |  |
| 16． 21 | Ez．91 | 69．LI | ZL．SI | £1．81 | 86.91 | St． 81 | 6L．91 | 82.81 | 98．¢1 | LL．81 | IL．LI | 81－61 | ヤL．81 | … soniosand pur iesins［ETOL <br>  <br> －sanyassed anv yvons |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2 \varepsilon \cdot L \\ & 6 S \cdot 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.9 \\ & 61.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \angle t \cdot 9 \\ & \tau Z \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm s \cdot s \\ & 8 \mathrm{I} \cdot 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon \tau \cdot 9 \\ & 06 \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$ | 9S．5 2t．11 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 t \cdot 9 \\ & 96 \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.5 \\ & \angle 6.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 06 \cdot 9 \\ & 8 \varepsilon \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \cdot \mathrm{~S} \\ & 0 \dot{z} \cdot 0 \mathrm{I} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \varsigma \varepsilon \cdot L \\ & Z \vdash \cdot 1 I \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \varepsilon \cdot L \\ & 6 \Sigma \cdot 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \cdot L \\ & 0 S \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 t \cdot L \\ & S Z \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $06 \cdot 6$ | 61．11 | L6．01 | 98.01 | 81－1I | IE•II | $\varepsilon I \cdot Z I$ | 99．21 | t0． 11 | L8．11 | $60 \cdot 21$ | 29．EI | LZ．ZI | 09－£I |  |  | SJBJ［ETOL <br> SIEJ 8u！ 000 ITV <br> … suyusiden sellng －siva |  |
|  | $58 \cdot \eta$ $91 \cdot b$ $81 \cdot 6$ | $16 \cdot \eta$ $\angle 8 \cdot \varepsilon$ $61 \cdot \square$ | $16 \cdot 7$ $20 \cdot 7$ $\varepsilon 6 \cdot \varepsilon$ | $\begin{aligned} & +8 \cdot Z \\ & 80 \cdot \square \\ & 92 \cdot 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\tau Z \cdot \mathcal{L}$ $9 L \cdot \varepsilon$ $\mathcal{\varepsilon} \cdot \downarrow$ | $0 Z \cdot \varepsilon$ $S S \cdot \square$ $8 \varepsilon \cdot \square$ | $\begin{aligned} & I 8 \cdot \varepsilon \\ & 0 Z \cdot \downarrow \\ & \varsigma 9 \cdot \downarrow \end{aligned}$ | $L 8 \cdot Z$ $\varepsilon Z \cdot b$ $\forall 6 \cdot \varepsilon$ | $\begin{aligned} & L 0 \cdot \varepsilon \\ & 9 L \cdot \dagger \\ & t 0 \cdot \dagger \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 I \cdot \varepsilon \\ & \angle \triangleright \cdot \hbar \\ & \varepsilon \downarrow \cdot \downarrow \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & S Z \cdot \varepsilon \\ & \varepsilon I \cdot \downarrow \\ & 68 \cdot \downarrow \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 02 \cdot b \\ & 19 \cdot b \\ & 6 L \cdot b \end{aligned}$ | － |  |  |  |
| 9\％－E | £ย．z | L9．E | 85．2 | SI•t | $88 \cdot \boldsymbol{z}$ | £L．t | to．$\varepsilon$ | 15．E | $L t \cdot z$ | 08．7 | $61 \cdot \varepsilon$ | 28．7 | IE• $\mathcal{E}$ | ．．． |  |  |  |


| Buts- <br> Margarine All cooking fats | ... |  | ... | 5.92 <br> 2.44 <br> 2.30 | 6.24 2.4 2.12 | 6.23 2.39 2.52 | 6.19 2.9 2.48 2.48 | 5.64 2.65 2.30 2.30 | 5.79 2.73 2.17 | 6.00 2.21 2.24 | 6.25 2.55 2.19 | 5.80 2.16 2.13 | 6.25 2.43 2.19 | 5.84 2.20 1.93 | 5.87 2.29 1.85 | 5.79 2.43 2.09 | 5.70 2.63 2.08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total f |  |  |  | 10.66 | $10 \cdot 80$ | 11-14 | 11.36 | 10.59 | 10.69 | 10.45 | 10.99 | 10.09 | 10.87 | 9.97 | 10.01 | 10.31 | 10.41 |
| Sugar and PreservesSugar Honey, preserves, syrup and treacle Total sugar and preserves |  |  |  |  | 3.98 5.51 | 3.25 5.28 | 4.01 6.14 | 2.99 5.24 | 3.73 5.92 | 3.17 4.68 | 4.39 5.44 | 3.06 4.33 | 3.79 5.33 | 2.85 3.99 | 3.61 5.09 | 2.94 5.18 | 4.37 7.06 |
|  |  |  |  | 97 | 9.49 | . 53 | 10.15 | $8 \cdot 23$ | 9.65 | 7.85 | 9.83 | $7 \cdot 39$ | 9.12 | 6.84 | 8.70 | $8 \cdot 12$ | 11.43 |
| Vegetables- <br> Potatoes (including chips and crisps) <br> Fresh, green Other ... $\ldots$ <br> Total vegetables other than potatoes $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ |  |  |  | 5.67 | 17 | $7 \cdot 34$ | 10.09 | 8.03 | $8 \cdot 32$ | 7.94 | 9.19 | 6.62 | 8.70 | $7 \cdot 31$ | $8 \cdot 30$ | 5.46 | 8.47 |
|  |  |  |  | 7.58 | 7.84 | 6.78 | 8.66 | 2.73 5.73 | 6.90 | $7 \cdot 42$ | $8.98$ | $6.49$ | 4.58 7.28 | $6 \cdot 72$ | 3.91 5.80 | 1.73 3.30 | 2.17 <br> .06 |
|  |  |  |  | 13.19 | 16.22 | 11.89 | 14.73 | 8.46 | 10.83 | 11.52 | $15 \cdot 56$ | $10 \cdot 19$ | 11.83 | 8.64 | 9.71 | 5.03 | 8.23 |
| $\begin{array}{rc} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { FRuIr- } \\ \text { Fresh, and tomatoes } \\ \text { Other } \\ \ldots \end{array} & . . \\ \text { Total fruit } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | ... |  | 19.43 4.54 | 15.95 4.10 | 3.34 | 8.99 2.47 | 9.72 2.82 | 13.32 4.05 | 17.99 4.15 | 10.84 3.25 | $\begin{array}{r}12.94 \\ 3.78 \\ \hline 16.72\end{array}$ | 8.17 1.92 | 10.60 3.17 | 6.96 <br> 2.88 | 7.34 3.05 |
|  |  |  |  | 17.70 | 23.97 | 20.05 | 20.78 | 11.46 | 12.54 | 17.37 | $22 \cdot 14$ | 14.09 | 16.72 | 10.09 | 13.77 | 9.84 | $10 \cdot 39$ |
|    <br>    |  |  |  | 14.01 1.80 26.32 | 13.87 <br> 2.78 <br> 28.34 | 2.23 $25 \cdot 24$ | 14.72 1.95 26.55 | $13 \cdot 10$ 2.07 20.66 | 14.41 2.36 19.54 | 10.69 2.01 22.60 | 12.19 1.56 25.13 | 10.09 1.95 20.03 | 11.71 1.97 21.97 | $\begin{array}{r}1.92 \\ 1.60 \\ 18.94 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}9.78 \\ 1.35 \\ 19.37 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}10 \cdot 37 \\ 2.11 \\ 14.54 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 13.00 <br> 1.80 <br> 17.58 |
|  |  |  |  | $42 \cdot 13$ | 44.99 | 40.71 | $43 \cdot 22$ | 35.83 | 36.31 | $35 \cdot 30$ | 38.88 | 32.07 | 35.65 | $30 \cdot 46$ | $30 \cdot 50$ | 27.02 | 32.38 |
| Beverager-   <br> Tea $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ <br> Other $\ldots$ $\ldots$ <br> Total beverages   |  |  |  | 5.90 <br> 3.53 | 2.64 | 5.67 2.27 | 7.35 1.71 | 4.63 1.10 | 5.19 1.19 | 4.87 1.76 | 4.82 2.41 | 4.16 1.79 | 1.46 | 3.47 1.21 | 3.02 <br> 2.50 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.04 \\ & 0.76 \end{aligned}$ | 4.36 0.87 |
|  |  | ... | $\ldots$ | 9.43 | 9.00 | 7.94 | 9.06 | 5.73 | 6.38 | 6.63 | $7 \cdot 23$ | 5.95 | $5 \cdot 11$ | $4 \cdot 68$ | $5 \cdot 52$ | 4.80 | . 23 |
| Other Foods ... <br> Total all foods | ... | ... | ... | 7.74 | 6.75 | $6 \cdot 84$ | 4.87 | 4.49 | 4.04 | 7.57 | 5.74 | 4.99 | 4.89 | $4 \cdot 08$ | $3 \cdot 21$ | 3.45 | $3 \cdot 19$ |
|  |  |  |  | $227 \cdot 58$ | 241 -76 | 209.16 | $220 \cdot 53$ | 168.00 | 173.33 | $193 \cdot 15$ | 210.06 | 167.98 | 179.51 | $146 \cdot 72$ | $157 \cdot 29$ | 128.87 | $146 \cdot 30$ |

TABLE 9
Domestic Food Consumption according to Household Composition:


(a) Except where otherwise stated
TABLE 10 ESEAM:
Domestic Food Expenditure according to Household Composition:
Third and Fourth Quarters 1951
pence per head per week


| NNㅇㄱㅇ $\dot{\sin } \dot{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\boldsymbol{N}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ¢¢ | ｜ $\begin{aligned} & \text { a } \\ & \dot{\infty}\end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{\sim}=\underset{\sim}{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\approx 8$ $\dot{\infty} \dot{n}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\dot{m}}$ | ต뀽 ஸ்ヘ்் | $\frac{6}{\square}$ | ¢\％ | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\text { N }}$ | N $\sim$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| か8\％ <br> ம்ற் | $\xrightarrow{\text { g }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ज8 } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\vec{\square}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{\sim}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\sim} \mathbf{O}^{\prime} \\ & \dot{\operatorname{jo}} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\boldsymbol{y}} \dot{\sim} \\ & \dot{\operatorname{c}} \end{aligned}$ | $\overrightarrow{\dot{n}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fign } \\ & \dot{m}-\dot{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\text { P}}{\dot{p}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -8 \\ & \dot{-} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{6} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { ¢ }}$ | \％ |
| nin | $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{\square}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \dot{j} \dot{寸} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\dot{\sigma}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\dot{\alpha}} \end{aligned}$ | $\overrightarrow{\dot{m}} \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { NO }}{\underset{~}{~}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ㅅㅜㅝ } \\ & \dot{0} \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\dot{\theta}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nopp } \\ & \dot{y}-\dot{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{9}{4}}{\stackrel{\sim}{m}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \hat{6} \\ & \dot{\sim} \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\dot{0}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{1} \\ & \dot{n} \end{aligned}$ | － |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 웇은 } \\ & \dot{\sim} \dot{\text { n }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{\text { qu}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\dot{n}} \\ & \dot{\sim} \dot{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\dot{\sim}}{\hat{j}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { 을 }}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{4} 8 \\ & \dot{4} \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{ \pm}{6} \\ & \stackrel{y}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \propto \infty \\ & \dot{\infty} \dot{=} \dot{\infty} \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \dot{\sim} \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \otimes \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6}$ | $\xrightarrow{8}$ |
| 옹ㅇ ウゥ் | $\stackrel{\infty}{\dot{=}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \underset{N}{\infty} \\ & \dot{\sin } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\tilde{\infty}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qid } \\ & \dot{m} \dot{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\mathbf{N}} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\mathbf{N}} \\ & \dot{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | శ్రిసి ม่าウ่ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\boldsymbol{o}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \underset{N}{N} \\ & \dot{q} \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{i}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\dot{\circ}}$ | ＋ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nin } \\ & \underset{\sim}{m} \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{N} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \tilde{o}^{\circ} \\ & \dot{j} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\overparen{a}}{\mathbf{\alpha}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \delta \\ & \dot{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \dot{\sim} \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{0}{0}}{\square}$ | $\cdots \cdots$ $\dot{\underline{\sigma}} \dot{m}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\star} \\ & \dot{\text { N }} \end{aligned}$ | Nず ்ㅗํ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { To } \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \underset{y}{8} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}$ | $\underset{ \pm}{ \pm}$ | 8 |
| かのn inim | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\grave{q}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NM } \\ & \dot{\mathrm{j}} \dot{\mathrm{n}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{\infty}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\grave{N}}{\mathbf{j}} \\ & \dot{\mathbf{v}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nò } \\ & \dot{\sigma} \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \ddagger \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ \pm} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\circ} \\ & \underset{\ddagger}{+} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{+} \\ & \dot{\phi} \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{\dot{\sigma}}$ | － | 会 |
| men <br> ヘ்்் | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\dot{m}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{8}{=}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{\infty}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { no } \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F \\ & \dot{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { is } \\ & \dot{\sim} \dot{j} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ঞ̀ } \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{\circ} \text { Q } \\ & \dot{-} \dot{\sim} \dot{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{7}{2} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { no } \\ & \dot{6} \dot{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\dot{\infty}}{\dot{\infty}}$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\substack{\text { ¢ }}}$ |
| $\infty \times \infty$ ninis | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\text { V}}{~} \\ & \dot{\text { a }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OM } \\ & \dot{\sim} \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \kappa \\ \dot{\alpha} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Z} 0 \mathbf{O} \\ & \dot{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{n}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\wedge}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NMఱ } \\ & \dot{\sim} \dot{\sim} \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\dot{\square}}$ | $\omega_{n}^{\infty}$ $\dot{\sin }$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\dot{n}}$ | 苍 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \infty \underset{\infty}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\dot{m}} \\ & \dot{\dot{m}} \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { g } \\ & \dot{\text { g }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 엽 } \\ & \dot{\text { 于 }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Y } \\ & \dot{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Mu } \\ \dot{\sim} \dot{N} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \dot{\theta} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\mathbf{N}} \\ \dot{\mathbf{N}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { थすべণ } \\ & \dot{\sim} \dot{\sim} \dot{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ત્ } \\ & \dot{\text { ® }} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { 「®O }}{\dot{\sim}}$ | $\stackrel{9}{\dot{\phi}}$ | $\stackrel{9}{\text { i }}$ | n |
| $\begin{aligned} & 995 \\ & \dot{n} \dot{n} \dot{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\text { N }} \\ & \dot{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty 8 \\ & \dot{m} \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \dot{\alpha} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NO® } \\ & \dot{\gamma} \dot{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{8} \\ & \dot{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 츠́ } \\ & \dot{\mathbf{j}} \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o } \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { फゅか } \\ & \dot{\sim} \dot{\sim} \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\dot{\sigma}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \hat{9} \\ & \dot{9} \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | \＃ |
| $\underset{\underset{N}{\boldsymbol{N}} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{n}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\mathbf{I}} \\ & \dot{\mathbf{j}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 영 } \\ & \dot{寸} \dot{寸} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \kappa \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}} \underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \dot{n} \end{aligned}$ | \#9 $\dot{\sim} \dot{m}$ | $\stackrel{\text { n }}{\dot{\sim}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z } \\ & \dot{8} \end{aligned}$ | ～～～ $\dot{\operatorname{m}}$ | $\dot{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{¢}{\sim}$ | N |
| $\begin{aligned} & 969 \\ & \text { in } \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{o} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\dot{\vec{\circ}} \dot{\vec{i}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { O}}{=}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\infty}{N}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 은 } \\ & \dot{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nō } \\ & \dot{0} \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GホN } \\ & \dot{\operatorname{nin}} \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\cdots$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \div 0 \\ & i+i \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{ }{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}$ | － | 哭 |
| NNN imin | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\oplus} \\ & \dot{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \% \\ & \dot{n} \dot{\theta} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{q}{\infty}}{\dot{\infty}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gia } \\ & \dot{\alpha} \dot{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{8}{\dagger} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2in立守 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ǹ } \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{H} \pi= \\ & \dot{\theta} \dot{\sim}-\dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\stackrel{m}{n}}$ | 8유 $\dot{\sin }$ | 윤 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | 只 |
|  | ： <br> ： <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## INDEX

(Numbers refer to paragraphs; App.-Appendix; fn.-footnote)

## A

Allotment produce, see Gardens
Aneurin see Vitamin $\mathbf{B}_{1}$
Ascorbic acid see Vitamin C
B
Bacon see Meat
Balance of payments 5
Beverages see Drinks
Bias see Sample
Bread see Cereals
British Medical Association-Committee on Nutrition, standard of requirements 17 , 18, 28, 36, 47, 49, 76, 80, 88, 95
Butter see Milk

## C

Calciferol see Vitamin D.
Calcium content of the diet 19, by household composition 77, 87, 95; by social class 49; from dairy, milk products App. C. 2
Calories see Energy foods
Carbohydrate 18, 19, 27, 47, 51, 56, 66, 67, 75, 80, 89, 96
Cereals consumption 16, 31, by household composition $74,92,93$, by social class 48 , $54,62,63$; expenditure on $16,20,31$, by social class $54,62,63$; nicotinic acid App. C. 2; prices 21

Bread, flour, bread and flour consumption 31, by household composition $85,86,92,93$, by social class 54 , 60, 62; energy value App. C. 2; expenditure 31 , by household composition 85 , by social class $54,60,62$; extraction rate 27 ; home production 5; iron App. C. 2; nicotinic acid App. C. 2; protein App. C. 2; vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ App. C. 2
Cheese see Milk
Cod liver oil see Fish liver oil
Consumption, household food see Food, domestic consumption
Controls see Rationing
Cooking fats, see also Fats, consumption 32; ration 9, 32
Cooking losses 36, App. A. 12
Crawford and Broadley 31

## D

Dairy products see Milk products
Diet, balance of 12, 18, 19, 27, 67, 89
Drinks; tea, consumption App. B. 2, by social class 62,63 , expenditure by social class 62,63 ; ration 9

## E

Eggs, consumption, purchases 15, 16, 19-21, 27 App. B. 2, by household composition $73,85,93$, seasonal 16 , by social class 52 , 61,63 ; expenditure $20,21,35$, by household composition 85,93 , by social class 52, 61, 63; flush period 5; "free" 61 , 93, App. A. 8; prices 21, 93 ; protein 27; supplies, imports $5,7,52,73,93$; vatue of consumption 16
Energy foods, calories, from bread and flour 66, App. C. 2; from carbohydrate 18, 19, 27, 47, 51, 56, 67, 75, 80, 89, 96: from fat $18,19,27,47,56,66,67,75,89,96$; from potatoes 66 ; from protein 18,27 , 47, 56, 67, 89, 96; requirements 17 fn . 49; value of the diet $17,19,27,36$, App. C, by household composition 75,77, $80,88,95$, by social class $47,49,51,55$, 56, 66
Expenditure see Food, domestic expenditure

## F

Family composition see Household
Fat content of the diet, calories from 18, 19, 27, 47, 56, 67, 75, 89, 96
Fats (see also Butter, Cooking-fat and Margarine), consumption 15, 19, 32, by household composition 86, 92,93 , by social class 48, value of 16; expenditure 31, 32; prices 21 ; rations, rationing 16, 32; supplies 6; unrationed 32; vitamin A App. C. 2

Fish, consumption, purchases $15,16,18-20$, 24, 25, 27, 33, by household composition $73,82,94$, seasonal 18 , by social class $53,59-61,63$; expenditure 16,20 , 25,33 , by household composition 82 , by social class 53, 59-61, 63; liver oil 27, App. A. 12; nicotinic acid 36 ;prices 21 ; protein 27; supplies 6, 7; vitamin D. App. C. 2

Flour, see Cereals
Flour Millers' Research Association 36
Food, domestic consumption, purchases (see also under individual foods) $13,14,31$, App. A. $7,8,12$, by household composition 92, seasonal 1, App. A. 9, by social class App. A. 7, value of consumption (see also under individual foods) $11-13,15,22,30$, by household composition 70-72, 79, 91, by social class 45,46 ; domestic expenditure (see also under individual foods) 11 , 13, 14, 19, 30, 31, App. A. 2, 7, 9, by
household composition 72, 79, 91, 92, App. A. 17, 18, by social class 51, 58, App. A. 17, 18; index, see Index; prices 12; seasonal 11 ; subsidised foods see Subsidies;
"Free" 11, 13, 22, 30, 58, 93, App. A. $7,8,12$, value of 91 . stocks, household larder 2, 11, 13, 14, 22, App. A. $2,4,6-8,12,13$, supplies 4,5 (see also under individual foods)
Food consumption levels 6, 11, 47, App. A. 13 Foods, classification 3, 48, 57, App. A. 11, 12 Fruit, apples and pears App. A. 8; bottled App. A. 8; citrus 26, 84; consumption $15,19,20,26$, by household composition 74, 84, 92,94 ; seasonal 74 , by social class $54,59-61,63$; expenditure $20,26,34$, by household composition 84, by social class 54, 59-61, 63; fresh, consumption 34, expenditure 34 ; from gardens App. A. 8; prices 21,26 ; supplies $7,26,84$; tomatoes 26, 34, App. A. 8; vitamin C. 27

## G

Gardens and allotments, food from 11, App. A. 2, 8, 13 ; potatoes App. A. 8; vegetables App. A. 8; value of 91

## H

Household, addresses, selection App. A. 10; classification 68, 69; composition, size 29, 44, 68, 69, App. A. 14-16, 19, 20 ; social class $37-40,61,68$, App. A. 17, 19; income 37, 38, 42, 43, 61, App. A. 15; urban App. A. 2, (see also urban working class)

## I

Income, head of household, see Household
Import programme, see also under individual foods 5
Index, food expenditure 21 ; Interim Retail Price 10; quantum App. A. 13
Iron, content of the diet 19, 27, by household composition 88 , by social class $49,55,66$, 67; from cereals, bread and flour App. C. 2; from meat 27 ; requirements 67

J

Jam see Preserves

L
Lard see Cooking-fat
Livestock 5
Log books 3, App. A. 5, 10, 11, 14

Margarine see also Fats, consumption, purchases 32 ; ration 9,32
Marmalade see Preserves
Meat, consumption 15, 16, 18-21, 24, 25, 27, 33, App. B. 2, by household composition $73,81,93$, seasonal 18 , by social class 48 , $53,62,63$; expenditure $16,20,21,25,33$, by household composition $16,20,21,25$, 33, by household composition 81, by social class 62,63 ; iron 27 ; manufacturing allowance to butchers 23; nicotinic acid 36 , App. C. 2; prices 21; protein 27; ration $9,20,23,27,33,53,81$; supplies, imports 5-8, 23; unrationed, consumption $24,27,33$, by household composition 82 , 94, by social class 53 ; expenditure 33, by household composition 82 ; prices 21 ; vitamin A, App. C. 2

Bacon, consumption 24, 25, 27, by household composition 81 ; expenditure 25; ham, cooked 21; protein 27; ration 9 ; supplies 8
Beef, canned corned meat 23, 33; ration 9, 23
Poultry, game, consumption 24; prices 21; supplies 6, 7
Rabbit, consumption 24
Sausages, consumption 24, 25; expenditure 25
Medical Research Council 36
Milk, dairy products; calcium App. C. 2; consumption, purchases $15,27,35$, by household composition $73,92,93$, seasonal 16, by social class $48,52,59,61,63$; dried, National 27; expenditure 35, by social class 52, 59, 61, 63; National Milk Scheme, free, cheap, welfare $35,63,93$; prices 16 ; protein 27; riboflavin App. C. 2; supplies 6

Butter, ration 9, 32
Cheese, consumption 15, 16, 19, 20. 27, 35, by household composition 92, 93; expenditure $16,20,35$; protein 27; ration 9, 16, 35

## $\mathbf{N}$

Niacin see Nicotinic acid
Nicotinic acid; cereals, bread and flour App, C. 2, content of the diet 19,36 , by social class 49; fish 36 ; meat 36, App. C. 2; seasonal variations 36
Nutrient (s) see also individual nutrients 17,28, 36, 51, App. A. 2, 12; App. C; allowance for losses App. A. 12; requirements, standards, see Requirements
Nutritive value of Wartime Foods, Medical Research Council War Memorandum No. 14; 36

## 0

Old-age pensioner(s) see Social classes
Oranges, see Fruit, citrus
$\mathbf{P}$
Potatoes, consumption, purchases $15,19,34$, by household composition $74,83,92,93$, seasonal $16,74,83$, by social class 54,60 , 62, 63; expenditure 34, by household composition 74,83 , by social class 54,60 , 62, 63 ; from gardens and allotments App. A. 8; from stock App. A. 8; supplies 5 ; value of consumption 16 ; vitamin $\mathbf{C}$. App. C. 2
Population, changes in 7
Prices, trends 4, 10, 22, 29, 38
Preserves, consumption, purchases 15,16 , by household composition 93; expenditure 31, 32, by social class 62 ; from stock App. A. 8

Protein, animal 27, App. C. 2; from bacon 27; from bread and flour App. C. 2; from cheese 27 ; content of the diet $18,19,27$, $47,56,67,89,96$, by household composition 88,95 , by social class $49,56,66$; from eggs 27 ; from fish 27 ; from meat 27 ; from milk 27
Pulses see Vegetables other than potatoes

## R

Rationing, controlled distribution see also under individual foods 9, 73, App. A. 13
Refusal, response rate, App. A. 1, 5, 7, 10
Requirement(s) nutrient, see also under individual nutrients $17 \mathrm{fn} .28,36,49,67,76$, 80, 88, 95
Riboflavin, content of the diet 19, by household composition 88, 95 , by social class $49,55,66$; from dairy products, milk App. C. 2

## S

Sample App. A; bias, representativeness 1, 2, 29, App. A. 1, 2, 4-6, 9, 10, 14, 15; composition, household App. A. 14; national App. A. 3, 4; selection see Household, addresses; size App A 3-5, 8, 10, 14; social class App. A. 15
Social class(es) see also under individual foods 29 ; changes within 38 ; compared with pre-war 37; composition of the sample App. A. 15; consumption 50, 51, 52-54, value of $1,45,46$; definition, grouping, classification 37, 38 ; expenditure $50,51-54$, 58, 59; food groupings 3; household composition $38-44,60,61,64,68$, App. A. 17, 20; income basis 37, 38; old age pensioner households 40-43, 47, 53, $58,63,64,66,67$, App. A. 15, 18, App. B. 4; relative position of 48, 56 .
Stocks, larder see Food, stocks
Subsidies, food, App. B, cash value App. B. 1-5, by household composition App. B. 5, 6, by social class App. B. 3, 4.
Sugar and syrups, consumption 15, 16, 32, by household composition 86, 93, by social class 62; expenditure 31, 32; ration 9, 32; supplies 6, 7

Supplies entering into civilian consumption 4-7
Survey technique, methods 2, 11, 14, 57, App. A
Syrups, see Sugar

T
Tea, see Drinks
Thiamin see Vitamin $\mathbf{B}_{1}$
Tomatoes see Fruit
$\mathbf{U}$
Urban working-class households 1, 2, App. A. 2

## V

Vegetables, other than potatoes, consumption $15,16,19-22$, by household composition $74,83,94$, seasonal $16,57,74$, by social class $48,54,61,63$, value of 16 ; expenditure $20,34,83$, by household composition 83, by social class 61, 63; fresh, prices 21; from gardens and allotments App. A. 8: green, consumption 26,83, by household composition 94, expenditure on 26,34, by household composition 83, prices 26 , by social class 54 , supplies 54,83 ; pulses 6; supplies 6, 34; vitamin A, App. C. 2; vitamin C. 27, App. C. 2

Beans, App. A. 8
Brussels sprouts 26
Cauliflower 26
Vitamins:-
A-content of the diet 19, 36, by household composition 87, by social class 49,66; from fats App. C. 2; from meats App. C. 2; seasonal variations App. C. 2; from vegetables App. C. 2
$\mathbf{B}_{1}$-from bread and flour 27, App. C. 2; content of the diet $19,27,36$, by social class 49, 66; cooking losses 36
C-content of the diet 19, 27,36, by household composition 87, by social class 49, 55, 66; cooking losses 36; from fruit and vegetables 27, App. C. 2; from potatoes App. C. 2 ; seasonal variations 36,49 , App. C. 2
D -content of the diet 27, by household composition 87, by social class 66; from fatty fish App. C. 2; from fish liver oil 27; from fortified dried Milk 27; seasonal variations App. C. 2
Vitamin A and D tablets 27, App. A. 12

## w

Wages level 38, App. A. 15
Welfare foods see Fish liver oil, Vitamin A and $\mathbf{D}$ tablets
Wheat, supplies 5
Working-class see Urban working-class


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ As explained in the First Report of the National Food Survey Committee, H.M.S.O. 1951.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Annual Report for 1950, H.M.S.O. 1952.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Domestic Food Consumption and Expenditure, 1950. Annual Report of the National Food Survey Committee, H.M. Stationery Office, 1952.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Appendix A.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ As explained in Appendix A, this is to be expected from a simplified log procedure taking up less of the housewife's time and making her less conscious of her food stocks (compare paragraph 51 in The Urban Working-class Household Diet, 1940 to 1949, H.M. Stationery Office, 1951).

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ The new procedure records stock quantities less fully than the earlier methods. See Appendix A.
    ${ }^{2}$ See paragraph 4 above.
    ${ }^{3}$ Paragraph 10 above.
    ${ }^{4}$ Paragraph 20 below.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ British Medical Association: Report of Committee on Nutrition, 1950.
    ${ }^{2}$ The method of arriving at the requirements was detailed in paragraphs 96 and 98 of the Annual Report of the National Food Survey Committee, 1950, H.M. Stationery Office 1952. For further changes introduced in June 1951, affecting the comparison between the first and second halves of 1951, see Appendix A.
    ${ }^{3}$ But see paragraph 36 below.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ But see paragraph 36 below.
    ${ }^{2}$ British Medical Association, Report of the Committee on Nutrition, paragraphs 40 and 41.

[^6]:    ${ }^{2}$ But see paragraph 36 below.

    - Although 60 per cent. more was spent on unrationed meats; see below, paragraph 29.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Details of calculation are given in the 1950 Report, Appendix A to the Supplement, from which it will be seen that the procedure differs from that normally adopted in calculating index numbers and raises certain difficulties, principally of homogeneity within the group. Different grades or varieties of food have often to be grouped together, for the reasons that the number of separate categories for tabulating survey results has to be limited and that the sampling errors decrease rapidly the more the individual items are brought together into groups. The foods grouped together are usually related commodities, but the procedure adopted means that the calculated price for the group may be influenced by the changes in the proportion in which the individual items are purchased as well as by actual changes in price.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ But see paragraph 36 below.
    ${ }^{2}$ These comparisons are made in Sections 111 and IV below.

[^9]:    ' Compare paragraph 17 above.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Annual Report of the National Food Survey Committee, Domestic Food Consumption and Expendlture, 1950, H.M. Stationery Office, 1952. Appendix A, paragraphs 13 et seq.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ The composition of Class $D$ is found indirectly as follows. In the Survey tabulations, households are grouped according to whether they contained one male and one female adult or not, and the two-adult households are classified further according to the number and age of the remaining members of the household. Those not classified in this manner, that is, households other than those with one male and one female adult, are grouped again according to size only. In Class D, 19 per cent. were found to be single person households (presumably adult); 21 per cent. were two-adult households; a further 12 per cent. were "unclassified," having two persons only, and many of these must have been wholly adult.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ But compare paragraph 17 above.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Paragraph 46 above: class differences widened in the winter of 1950 to 1951 and narrowed again by the end of 1951 .

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Table 28 above.
    ${ }^{2}$ Compare paragraph 18 above.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ See paragraphs 11 and 14 above.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ But see paragraph 36 above.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ But see paragraph 17 above on the use to be made of the Table, and Table 55 below for the final position in 1951.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare paragraph 18 above.
    ${ }^{2}$ Compare paragraph 17 above.
    ${ }^{3}$ But see paragraph 36 above.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Urban Working-Class Household Diet, 1940 to 1949. First Report of the National Pood Survey Committee. H.M. Stationery Office, 1951. Price 3s. 6d.
    ${ }_{2}$ Domestic Food Consumption and Expenditure, 1950. Annual Report of the National Food Survey Committee. H.M. Stationery Office, 1952, Appendix A.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ Domestic Food Consumption and Expenditure, 1950. Annual Report of the National Food Survey Committee. H.M. Stationery Office, 1952.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ Consumption Levels in the United Kingdom. Ministry of Food Bulletin No. 720-1953.
    2 The low quantum index for January arises from the high level of stock withdrawal records following Christmas 1950. There are no corresponding records for January 1952. With the new technique, purchases are recorded when they are made and not at the time of eventual consumption.

[^22]:    'See paragraph 19 below.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ Domestic Food Consumption and Expenditure 1950. Annual Report of the National Food Survey Committee, paragraphs 85 to 95 and 120 to 138.

