Environment Agency permitting decisions

Bespoke permit

We have decided to grant the permit for Woodhouse Farm operated by Mr Owen Mostyn-Owen and Mrs Candida Mostyn-Owen.

The permit number is EPR/FP3338RM.

We consider in reaching that decision we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the permit will ensure that the appropriate level of environmental protection is provided.

Purpose of this document

This decision document:

- explains how the application has been determined
- provides a record of the decision-making process
- shows how all relevant factors have been taken into account
- justifies the specific conditions in the permit other than those in our generic permit template.

Unless the decision document specifies otherwise we have accepted the applicant's proposals.

Structure of this document

- Description of main features of the installation
- Key issues
- Annex 1 the decision checklist
- Annex 2 the consultation and web publicising responses

EPR/FP3338RM/A001 Issued 26/10/2016 Page 1 of 11

Description of the main features of the Installation

The installation is situated approximately eight km east of Oswestry, Shropshire, and will be constructed on the site of a former airfield.

Woodhouse Farm will consist of eight poultry houses which provide capacity for 365,350 broiler chickens reared for meat production.

The poultry houses are steel framed and sited on a concrete base. Walls and roofs are fitted with insulation. All houses are ventilated by high velocity roof fans (11 m/s) with additional ventilation provided by gable end fans. The houses are heated using a hot water boiler system heated by three biomass boilers with an aggregated net thermal input of 2.97 MWth, or a backup LPG system.

Wash water is channelled to underground collection tanks to await export off site. Roof water and uncontaminated surface water discharges to a ditch tributary of the River Perry via an attenuation pond.

Key issues of the decision

Ammonia emissions

There is one Special Area of Conservation (SAC) and two Ramsar sites located within 10 kilometres of the installation. There is one Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) located within 5 km of the installation. There is also one Local Wildlife Site (LWS) within 2 km of the installation.

<u>Ammonia assessment – SAC/SPA/Ramsar</u>

The following trigger thresholds have been designated for the assessment of European sites:

- If the process contribution (PC) is below 4% of the relevant critical level (CLe) or critical load (CLo) then the farm can be permitted with no further assessment.
- Where this threshold is exceeded an assessment alone and in combination is required.
- An in combination assessment will be completed to establish the combined PC for all existing farms identified within 10 km of the application.

Initial screening using ammonia screening tool version 4.5 has indicated that emissions from Woodhouse Farm will only have a potential impact on the SAC and Ramsar sites with a precautionary critical level of $1\mu g/m^3$ if they are within 4,562 metres of the emission source.

Beyond 4,562m the PC is less than $0.04\mu g/m^3$ (i.e. less than 4% of the precautionary $1\mu g/m^3$ critical level) and therefore beyond this distance the PC

EPR/FP3338RM/A001 Issued 26/10/2016 Page 2 of 11

is insignificant. In this case all SAC and Ramsars are beyond this distance (see table below) and therefore screen out of any further assessment.

Where the precautionary level of $1\mu g/m^3$ is used, and the process contribution is assessed to be less than 4% the site automatically screens out as insignificant and no further assessment of critical load is necessary. In this case the $1\mu g/m^3$ level used has not been confirmed by Natural England, but it is precautionary. It is therefore possible to conclude no likely significant effect.

Table 1 - SAC/Ramsar Assessment

Name of SAC/SPA/Ramsar	Distance from site (m)
West Midlands Mosses SAC	8,471
Midland Meres and Mosses (Phase 2)	5,778
Ramsar	
Midland Meres and Mosses (Phase 1)	6,077
Ramsar	

No further assessment is required.

<u>Ammonia assessment – SSSI</u>

The following trigger thresholds have been applied for assessment of SSSIs:

- If the process contribution (PC) is below 20% of the relevant critical level (CLe) or critical load (CLo) then the farm can be permitted with no further assessment.
- Where this threshold is exceeded an assessment alone and in combination is required.
- An in combination assessment will be completed to establish the combined PC for all existing farms identified within 5 km of the application.

Initial screening using the ammonia screening tool version 4.5 has indicated that emissions from Woodhouse Farm will only have a potential impact on SSSIs with a precautionary critical level of $1\mu g/m^3$ if they are within 1,564 metres of the emission source.

Beyond 1,564m the PC is less than $0.2\mu g/m^3$ (i.e. less than 20% of the precautionary $1\mu g/m^3$ critical level) and therefore beyond this distance the PC is insignificant. In this case the SSSI is beyond this distance (see table below) and therefore screens out of any further assessment.

Where the precautionary level of $1\mu g/m^3$ is used, and the process contribution is assessed to be less than 20%, the site automatically screens out as insignificant and no further assessment of critical load is necessary. In this case

EPR/FP3338RM/A001 Issued 26/10/2016 Page 3 of 11

the 1µg/m³ level used has not been confirmed by Natural England, but it is precautionary. It is therefore possible to conclude no likely damage to this site.

Table 2 - SSSI Assessment

Name of SSSI	Distance from site (m)	
Montgomery Canal, Aston Locks –		
Keeper's Bridge	2,286	

No further assessment is required.

<u>Ammonia assessment - LWS</u>

The following trigger thresholds have been applied for the assessment of these sites:

 If the process contribution (PC) is below 100% of the relevant critical level (CLe) or critical load (CLo) then the farm can be permitted with no further assessment.

Initial screening using ammonia screening tool version 4.5 has indicated that emissions from Woodhouse Farm will only have a potential impact on the LWS site with a precautionary critical level of $1\mu g/m^3$ if they are within 536 metres of the emission source.

Beyond 536 m the PC is less than $1\mu g/m^3$ and therefore beyond this distance the PC is insignificant. In this case the LWS is beyond this distance (see table below) and therefore screen out of any further assessment.

Table 3 - LWS Assessment

Name of LWS	Distance from site (m)	
Henbarns Moor	2,015	

No further assessment is necessary.

Industrial Emissions Directive (IED)

The Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) (Amendment)
Regulations 2013 were made on the 20 February and came into force on 27
February 2013. These Regulations transpose the requirements of the IED.
This permit implements the requirements of the European Union Directive on Industrial Emissions.

EPR/FP3338RM/A001 Issued 26/10/2016 Page 4 of 11

Groundwater and soil monitoring

As a result of the requirements of the Industrial Emissions Directive, all permits are now required to contain a condition relating to protection of soil, groundwater and groundwater monitoring. However, the Environment Agency's H5 Guidance states that it is only necessary for the operator to take samples of soil or groundwater and measure levels of contamination where there is evidence that there is, or could be existing contamination and:

- The environmental risk assessment has identified that the same contaminants are a particular hazard; or
- The environmental risk assessment has identified that the same contaminants are a hazard and the risk assessment has identified a possible pathway to land or groundwater.

H5 Guidance further states that it is **not essential for the Operator** to take samples of soil or groundwater and measure levels of contamination where:

- The environmental risk assessment identifies no hazards to land or groundwater; or
- Where the environmental risk assessment identifies only limited hazards to land and groundwater and there is no reason to believe that there could be historic contamination by those substances that present the hazard; or
- Where the environmental risk assessment identifies hazards to land and groundwater but there is evidence that there is no historic contamination by those substances that pose the hazard.

The site condition report (SCR) for Woodhouse Farm (dated 15/03/2016) demonstrates that there are no hazards or likely pathway to land or groundwater and no historic contamination on site that may present a hazard from the same contaminants. Therefore, on the basis of the risk assessment presented in the SCR, we accept that they have not provided base line reference data for the soil and groundwater at the site at this stage.

Biomass boilers

The applicant has applied to include 3 biomass boilers with a net rated thermal input of 2.97 MWth.

We have assessed the pollution risks and have concluded that air emissions from small biomass boilers are not likely to pose a significant risk to the environment or human health providing certain conditions are met. Therefore a quantitative assessment of air emissions will not be required for poultry sites where:

· the fuel will be derived from virgin timber, miscanthus or straw; and

EPR/FP3338RM/A001 Issued 26/10/2016 Page 5 of 11

- the biomass boiler appliance and installation meets the technical criteria to be eligible for the Renewable Heat Incentive; and
 For poultry:
 - the aggregate boiler net rated thermal input is less than or equal to 4 MWth, and no individual boiler has a net thermal input greater than 1 MWth; and
 - the stack height must be a minimum of 5 metres above the ground (where there are buildings within 25 metres the stack height must be greater than 1 metre above the roof level of buildings within 25 metres); and
 - there are no sensitive receptors within 50 metres of the emission point(s).

This is in line with the Environment Agency's document "Air Quality and Modelling Unit C1127a Biomass firing boilers for intensive poultry rearing" and an assessment has been undertaken to consider the impact of the proposed biomass boilers.

Our risk assessment has shown that the biomass boilers should meet the requirements of the criteria above, and are, therefore, considered not likely to pose a significant risk to the environment or human health and no further assessment is required.

A pre-operational condition is included for the operator to provide the Renewable Heat Incentive certificates for approval before the biomass boilers can become operational.

The Environment Agency's Air Quality Technical Advisory Guidance 14 states: "for combustion plants under 5MWth, no habitats assessment is required due to the size of combustion plant". In this case, the combined thermal input of the 3 biomass boilers is 2.97 MWth and, therefore, this proposal is considered acceptable and no further assessment is required.

EPR/FP3338RM/A001 Issued 26/10/2016 Page 6 of 11

Annex 1: decision checklist

This document should be read in conjunction with the application, supporting information and permit/notice.

Aspect	Justification / Detail	Criteria
considered	Justification / Detail	met
		Yes
Receipt of sub	mission	
Confidential information	A claim for commercial or industrial confidentiality has not been made.	✓
Identifying confidential information	We have not identified information provided as part of the application that we consider to be confidential. The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance on commercial confidentiality.	√
Consultation		
Scope of consultation Responses to consultation	The consultation requirements were identified and implemented. The decision was taken in accordance with our Public Participation Statement and our Working Together Agreements. For this application we consulted the following bodies: • Health and Safety Executive • Public Health England • Director of Public Health • Environmental Health – Shropshire County Council • Planning –Shropshire County Council • Food Standards Agency The web publicising and consultation responses (Annex 2) were taken into account in the decision.	✓
and web publicising	The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance.	
Operator		
Control of the facility	We are satisfied that the applicant (now the operator) is the person who will have control over the operation of the facility after the grant of the permit. The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance on what a legal operator is.	√
European Dire	ectives	
Applicable directives	All applicable European directives have been considered in the determination of the application.	√

EPR/FP3338RM/A001 Issued 26/10/2016 Page 7 of 11

Aspect considered	Justification / Detail	Criteria met
		Yes
The site		T .
Extent of the site of the facility	The operator has provided plans which we consider are satisfactory, showing the extent of the site of the facility.	✓
	A plan is included in the permit and the operator is required to carry on the permitted activities within the site boundary.	
Site condition report	The operator has provided a description of the condition of the site.	✓
	We consider this description is satisfactory. The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance on site condition reports and baseline reporting under IED—guidance and templates (H5).	
Biodiversity, Heritage, Landscape and Nature Conservation	The application is within the relevant distance criteria of a site of heritage, landscape or nature conservation, and/or protected species or habitat.	√
	In accordance with the Environment Agency's Air Quality Technical Advisory Guidance 14: "for combustion plants under 5MW, no habitats assessment is required due to the size of combustion plant". Therefore the biomass boiler proposal is considered acceptable and no further assessment is required.	
	A full assessment of the application and its potential for ammonia emissions to affect the sites has been carried out as part of the permitting process. We consider that the application will not affect the features of the sites.	
	We have not formally consulted on the application. The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance.	
	An Appendix 11 was sent to Natural England For Information Only. In line with current guidance no Appendix 4 was completed and the details of the assessment are recorded in this document.	
Environmental	Risk Assessment and operating techniques	l

EPR/FP3338RM/A001 Issued 26/10/2016 Page 8 of 11

Aspect	Justification / Detail	Criteria
considered		met Yes
Environmental risk	We have carried out a risk assessment of the proposed biomass boilers on behalf of the operator.	res ✓
	See Key Issues section for further explanation.	
	The assessment shows that, applying the conservative criteria in our guidance on Environmental Risk Assessment all emissions may be categorised as environmentally insignificant.	
Operating techniques	We have reviewed the techniques used by the operator and compared these with the relevant guidance notes.	✓
	We have reviewed the techniques used by the operator and compared these with the relevant guidance notes.	
	The operating techniques are as follows:	
	Non-leaking drinkers are used;	
	All houses have a concrete base;	
	 Manure is regularly removed and spread on agricultural land; 	
	 the fuel is derived from virgin timber; 	
	the biomass boiler appliances and their installation meet the technical criteria to be eligible for the Renewable Heat Incentive; and	
	 the stacks are 1m or more higher than the apex of the adjacent buildings. 	
	The proposed techniques for priorities for control are in line with the benchmark levels contained in the Sector Guidance Note EPR6.09 and we consider them to represent appropriate techniques for the facility. The permit conditions ensure compliance with relevant BREFs.	
The permit con	ditions	
Use of	Based on the information in the application, we consider	✓
conditions	that we do not need to impose conditions other than	
other than	those in our permit template, which was developed in	
those from the template	consultation with industry having regard to the relevant legislation.	

EPR/FP3338RM/A001 Issued 26/10/2016 Page 9 of 11

Aspect considered	Justification / Detail	Criteria met Yes
Raw materials	We have specified limits and controls on the use of raw materials and fuels.	√
	We have specified that only virgin timber (including wood chips and pellets), straw, miscanthus or a combination of these. These materials are never to be mixed with or replaced by, waste.	
Pre- operational conditions	Based on the information in the application, we consider that we need to impose pre-operational conditions.	✓
	A pre-operational condition is included to require the applicant to provide details of the biomass boilers for approval by the Environment Agency prior to operation.	
Incorporating the application	We have specified that the applicant must operate the permit in accordance with descriptions in the application, including all additional information received as part of the determination process.	✓
	These descriptions are specified in the Operating Techniques table in the permit.	
Emission limits	We have decided that emission limits should be not set in the permit.	✓
Operator Comp	petence	
Environment management system	There is no known reason to consider that the operator will not have the management systems to enable it to comply with the permit conditions. The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance on what a competent operator is.	√
Relevant convictions	The Case Management System has been checked to ensure that all relevant convictions have been declared. No relevant convictions were found.	✓

EPR/FP3338RM/A001 Issued 26/10/2016 Page 10 of 11

Annex 2: External Consultation and web publicising responses

Summary of responses to consultation and web publication and the way in which we have taken these into account in the determination process. (Newspaper advertising is only carried out for certain application types, in line with our guidance.)

1) Public Health England

Response received on 10/06/2016 from

Public Health England (PHE) - CRCE Manchester

Brief summary of issues raised

PHE recommended that any Environmental Permit issued for the site should contain conditions to ensure that potential emissions from noise and odour do not impact upon public health.

PHE has no significant concerns regarding risk to the local population provided that the applicant takes all appropriate measures to prevent or control pollution in line with the relevant sector technical guidance or industry best practice.

Summary of actions taken or show how this has been covered

The operator is expected to comply with sector guidance on the operation of their site. Conditions 3.1.1, 3.2.1, 3.3.1, and 3.4.1, concerning noise, odour and fugitive emissions have been included in the permit.

Reponses not received

The Health and Safety Executive (HSE), Food Standards Agency (FSA), local authority Environmental Health and local authority planning department were also consulted; however, consultation responses from these parties were not received.

We did not receive any representations in response to the web publicising.

EPR/FP3338RM/A001 Issued 26/10/2016 Page 11 of 11