




Protecting and improving the nation's health

UK Standards for Microbiology Investigations

Review of Users' Comments received by
Working Group for Bacteriological Identification and Test
Procedures

ID 21 Identification of *Yersinia* species



Recommendations are listed as ACCEPT/ PARTIAL ACCEPT/DEFER/ NONE or PENDING

Consultation: 15/11/2013 – 13/12/2013

Version of document consulted on: ID 21df+

PROPOSAL FOR CHANGES

Comment Number	1		
Date Received	21/11/2013	Lab Name	Salisbury Hospital
Section	page 8 & 9		
Comment			
<p>a. Page 8 -The statement that <i>Yersinia</i> are negative for urease, lactose and indole is misleading. <i>Y. enterocolitica</i> is positive for urea and some strains are positive for lactose and indole. <i>Y. pseudotuberculosis</i> is also positive for urea.</p> <p>b. Page 9 - It would be useful to know which serogroups of <i>Y. enterocolitica</i> are significant pathogens.</p> <p>c. Generally I found the SMI confusing because it is not always obvious which species is being referred to in the commentary. The 3 species are so different; it seems to me difficult to make general statements about all 3 in the same sentence.</p>			
Evidence			
Cowan and Steel Manual for the Identification of Medical Bacteria 3rd Edition p144.			
Financial Barriers			
No.			
Health Benefits			
Failure to identify a pathogenic <i>Yersinia</i> could have risks for the patient.			
Recommended Action	<p>a. ACCEPT This has now been updated accordingly in the document.</p> <p>b. ACCEPT This has now been mentioned in the document under the subheading "<i>Yersinia enterocolitica</i>".</p> <p>c. ACCEPT The title has been changed to accommodate <i>Yersinia pestis</i> and so it is now more explicit. Each species has been discussed in more detail and each has its own subheading.</p>		