Environment Agency permitting decisions

Surrender

We have decided to accept the surrender of the permit for Leeson Polyurethanes, Hermes Close, Tachbrook Park operated by Leeson Polyurethanes Limited.

The permit number is EPR/LP3430GT.

We are satisfied that the necessary measures have been taken to avoid any pollution risk and to return the site to a satisfactory state.

We consider in reaching that decision we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements.

Purpose of this document

This decision document:

- explains how the operator's application has been determined
- provides a record of the decision-making process
- shows how all relevant factors have been taken into account

Structure of this document

- Key issues
- Annex 1 the decision checklist

Key issues of the decision

The applicant, Leeson Polyurethanes Limited, has provided an application for full surrender with supporting evidence that comprises:

- Document entitled 'Low impact surrender document for Plot 2300', dated 23.06.2016 and prepared by Joel Leeson.
- Additional information submitted on 07.09.2016 in response to a request for information.

The surrender application and supporting documentation aim to demonstrate that the site has been returned in a satisfactory state. Following our review of the surrender application and supporting information we, the Environment Agency, are satisfied that the operator, has provided sufficient evidence to demonstrate that the facility and site have been returned to a satisfactory state.

Annex 1: decision checklist

This document should be read in conjunction with the Duly Making checklist, the application and supporting information and permit/ notice.

Aspect considered	Justification / Detail	Criteria met
		Yes
Receipt of submission		
Confidential information	A claim for commercial or industrial confidentiality has not been made.	✓
Identifying confidential information	We have not identified information provided as part of the application that we consider to be confidential. The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance on commercial confidentiality.	✓
The site		
Pollution risk	We are satisfied that the necessary measures have been taken to avoid a pollution risk resulting from the operation of the regulated facility.	✓
Satisfactory state	We are satisfied that the necessary measures have been taken to return the site of the regulated facility to a satisfactory state. In coming to this decision we have had regard to the state of the site before the facility was put into operation.	✓