

10

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 03 March 2011 12:21
To: CUNLIFFE-MILLER, Jobshare
Cc: HEALEY, Sarah
Subject: RE: Site visits for JR LAs
Attachments: List of Claimant schools taken from 12 July list.doc

There was the inclusion of a wave 2 school in the Nottingham list, however, I have finally got to the bottom of that and their lawyers had just wrongly included it on their revised order form. We shall be requesting that Nottingham revise their form to leave this school out as it was unaffected by the cancellation of BSF.

I think it is a total of 68 schools, PfS have for some reason refused to accept that Waltham Forest have 19 schools on their list, rather the 17 that is covered on the 12 July. PfS have excluded the extension to Kelmscott School (Kelmscott was also in Waltham Forest's first wave) and the refurb of a PRU, both these schools are listed on Waltham Forest's OBC.

Timescales wise, I think we need the surveys completed before the meetings held at the Department which I have pencilled in for w/c 2 May (the 6 meetings run over 1-2 weeks) so the latest I think we can stretch it is 25 April as the LAs will need some time to consider what PfS have said in the survey reports - Mark said that the academy site surveys were not plain sailing.

29

[REDACTED]

Central Capital Unit, Department for Education

4th Floor, Sanctuary Buildings
Great Smith Street
London SW1P 3BT

please note new email: [REDACTED]

Tel: [REDACTED]

Department for
Education

From: CUNLIFFE-MILLER, Jobshare
Sent: 03 March 2011 11:43
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: HEALEY, Sarah
Subject: Fw: Site visits for JR LAs

[REDACTED]

I'd welcome your views on this. My instinct is that we shd not be considering schools from beyond those already included in the case and that PfS should not be encouraging LAs to consider that possibility. I wonder if they know that such a request is coming.....?

Once we have their view, we can go back on this point and on the timescale question.

Thanks

Stuart

From: Michael Coleman <Michael.Coleman@partnershipsforschools.org.uk>
To: CUNLIFFE-MILLER, Jobshare
Cc: Tim Byles <Tim.Byles@partnershipsforschools.org.uk>; Sal Wilson
<Sal.Wilson@partnershipsforschools.org.uk>
Sent: Thu Mar 03 08:54:53 2011
Subject: Site visits for JR LAs

Stuart

I'm leading on the site surveys for the JR LAs, so please come back to me with any queries/comments.

A query from me to begin with! We have assumed that you expect us to survey, as a minimum, the 58 schools that the LAs 'claimed' in the JR, plus the 8 in Nottingham that were shown as 'indicative approved'. Can you please confirm whether you would expect us to survey schools not in that list, where the LA puts forward alternative proposals? Clearly this could impact significantly on both resourcing needs and timescales if we are increasing that number markedly (it may be unlikely, but we have no indication yet from LAs). 30

Re. the timescale for this survey exercise, we are gearing up to commence as soon as practicably possible, but it would be helpful to discuss the end date as this is not specified in the letter to LAs. We intend to meet with each LA first, confirm which schools are 'in scope' (i.e. whether they intend to bring forward alternative proposals), and plan visits. We assume they will also wish to understand the methodology – we are developing a model at present.

Look forward to hearing from you.

Regards

Mike

Michael Coleman
Regional Operations Director (Central & West)

Partnerships for Schools
33 Greycoat Street
London
SW1P 2QF

Tel: [REDACTED]
www.partnershipsforschools.org.uk

Direct Dial: [REDACTED]
Mobile: [REDACTED]