PATENTS ACT 1977

IN THE MATTER OF

an application under Section 13(3)

by Patricia Rosemary Hynes

in respect of Patent Application No GB 2278388

in the name of Patricia Rosemary Hynes

Milay

DECISION

Form 7/77 filed for this patent application named three inventors: Mr John Patrick Hynes, Mr Anthony Grosvenor Neville Hughes and Mrs Patricia Rosemary Hynes. Accordingly, these three inventors' names appear on the published application.

Mrs Hynes, who is the sole named applicant, has now alleged under Section 13(3) of the Act that she and Mr Hughes ought not to have been mentioned as inventors. Mr Hughes and Mr Hynes have confirmed in writing that Mrs Hynes' allegation is correct and that they should not have been mentioned as inventors. I therefore conclude that all the relevant parties agree that Mr Hynes was the sole inventor.

Accordingly, I am satisfied that Patricia Rosemary Hynes and Anthony Grosvenor Neville Hughes ought not to have been mentioned as inventors in the published application for the patent. This decision serves as a certificate, issued in accordance with Section 13(3), to that effect. Further, I direct, in accordance with Rule 14(5) of the Patents Rules 1995, that an erratum mentioning John Patrick Hynes as sole inventor be prepared for the published application for the patent. I also direct that John Patrick Hynes should be mentioned as the sole inventor in any patent granted for the invention.

Dated this day of July 1996



S N DENNEHEY
Superintending Examiner, acting for the Comptroller



