

O-613-16

ORDER under the Companies Act 2006

In the matter of application

No. 1220 by Hugo Boss UK Limited, HUGO Boss AG and Hugo Boss Trade mark Management GMBH & Co KG

For a change of company name of registration

No. 09957061

DECISION

The company name HUGOBOSS.SITE LIMITED has been registered since 18 January 2016 under number 09957061.

By an application filed on 19 July 2016, Hugo Boss UK Limited, Hugo Boss AG and Hugo Boss Trade mark Management GMBH & Co KG jointly applied for a change of name of this registration under the provisions of section 69(1) of the Companies Act 2006 (the Act).

A copy of this application was sent by registered mail to the primary respondent's registered office on 8 August 2016, in accordance with rule 3(2) of the Company Names Adjudicator Rules 2008. No reply was received.

Also on 8 August 2016, the Tribunal wrote to Irfan Ahmed Butt and Muhammad Asif Butt (the primary respondent's secretary and director) to inform them that the applicant had requested that they be joined to the proceedings. No reply was received, so they were subsequently joined.

On 11 October 2016, the parties were advised that no defence had been received to the application and so the adjudicator may treat the application as not being opposed. The parties were granted a period of 14 days to request a hearing in relation to this matter, if they so wished. No request for a hearing was made.

The primary respondent did not file a defence within the one month period specified by the adjudicator under rule 3(3). Rule 3(4) states

"The primary respondent, before the end of that period, shall file a counter-statement on the appropriate form, otherwise the adjudicator may treat it as not opposing the application and may make an order under section 73(1)."

Under the provisions of this rule, the adjudicator may exercise discretion so as to treat the respondent as opposing the application. In this case I can see no reason to exercise such discretion and, therefore, decline to do so.

As the primary respondent has not responded to the allegations made, it is treated as not opposing the application. Therefore, in accordance with section 73(1) of the Act I make the following order:

- (a) HUGOBOSS.SITE LIMITED shall change its name **within one month** of the date of this order to one that is not an offending name;

(b) HUGOBOSS.SITE LIMITED, Irfan Ahmed Butt and Muhammad Asif Butt shall:

- (i) take such steps as are within their power to make, or facilitate the making, of that change;
- (ii) not to cause or permit any steps to be taken calculated to result in another company being registered with a name that is an offending name.

If no such change is made within one month of the date of this order, I will determine a new company name as per section 73(4) of the Act and will give notice of that change under section 73(5) of the Act.

All respondents, including individual co-respondents, have a legal duty under Section 73(1)(b)(ii) of the Companies Act 2006 not to cause or permit any steps to be taken calculated to result in another company being registered with an offending name; this includes the current company. *Non-compliance may result in an action being brought for contempt of court and may result in a custodial sentence*

Hugo Boss UK Limited, Hugo Boss AG and Hugo Boss Trade mark Management GMBH & Co KG having been successful, they are entitled to a contribution towards their costs. I order HUGOBOSS.SITE LIMITED, Irfan Ahmed Butt and Muhammad Asif Butt (being jointly and severally liable) to pay Hugo Boss UK Limited, Hugo Boss AG and Hugo Boss Trade mark Management GMBH & Co KG costs on the following basis:


Fee for application:	£400
Statement of case:	£400
Total:	£800

This sum is to be paid within seven days of the expiry of the appeal period or within seven days of the final determination of this case if any appeal against this decision is unsuccessful.

Any notice of appeal against this decision to order a change of name must be given within one month of the date of this order. Appeal is to the High Court in England, Wales and Northern Ireland and to the Court of Session in Scotland.

The company adjudicator must be advised if an appeal is lodged, so that implementation of the order is suspended.

Dated this 21ST day of December 2016

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'O. Morris', written over a horizontal line.

Oliver Morris
Company Names Adjudicator

ⁱAn “offending name” means a name that, by reason of its similarity to the name associated with the applicant in which he claims goodwill, would be likely— to be the subject of a direction under section 67 (power of Secretary of State to direct change of name), or to give rise to a further application under section 69.