

# Barring decision process for autobar with representations

NAME:

DATE OF BIRTH:

PRIMARY REFERENCE:

CASEWORKER NAME:

DATE REFERRAL RECEIVED:

Final barring decision / recommendation				
	Children	Adults		
Barred?				
Automatic Inclusion (no Reps)				
Automatic Inclusion (Reps)				
Discretionary - Relevant Conduct				
Discretionary - Risk of Harm				

Stage	Completed by	Reviewed by	Signature	Level	Date
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					

Quality Assurance		
Signature		
Level		
Date		

# Stage 1 ~ Original Assessment

#### Which of the Schedule 3 Powers are relevant?

	Test for Regulated Activity	Auto Inclusion (No Reps)	Auto Inclusion (Reps)	Relevant Conduct	Risk of Harm
Children					
Adults					
<b>Brief</b> outline	of offence details:				

#### Tick relevant box(es) and explain reasons

#### Test for regulated activity (TRA)

Brief outline of any evidence that the person is, has been or might in the future engage in regulated activity:

Do representations trigger an intention to bar for the second workforce? *If yes, proceed with process via secondary case:* 

Caseworker name	Date of stage 1	
	completion	

#### Stage 2 ~ Case Information, Findings & Evaluation

Overview of any additional allegations, cautions, convictions, competent body findings or key background information

Only to be completed to document any additional relevant information

Flag	Document		Date
Autob	ar Offence(s)	Evaluation (add reps information in blue italics)	

Cautions/Convictions/Competent Body Finding(s)	Evaluation (add reps information in blue italics)
Potential Further Allegation(s) (PFAs)	Evaluation (add reps information in blue italics)

If further information was required but could not be obtained, please provide details: If further information is known to exist but has not been sought, please provide details:

Findings of the case

Caseworker name	Date of stage 2
	completion

# Stage 3 ~ Representations

Representations disputing inclusion /	Children	
potential inclusion on:	Adults	

Consideration of submitted representations/other case information

Reasons for proceeding / not proceeding following representations:

	Test for Regulated Activity	Auto Inclusion (No Reps)	Auto Inclusion (Reps)	Relevant Conduct	Risk of Harm
Children					
Adults					

Caseworker name	Date of stage 3
	completion

# Stage 4 ~ Structured Judgement Process

#### Predispositional Factors – Harm-related intrinsic drives and interests

#### 1. Excessive / obsessive interest in sex

Indications	Ref	Counter-indications	Ref
Level of Concern			
Any additional comments:			

#### 2. Sexual preference for children

Indications	Ref	Counter-indications	Ref
Level of Concern			
Any additional comments:			

#### 3. Sexual interest in harming

Indications	Ref	Counter-indications	Ref
Level of Concern			
Any additional comments:	-		

# 4. Any other significant sexual interest

Indications	Ref	Counter-indications	Ref
Level of Concern			
Any additional comments:			

# 5. Intense interest in violence

Indications	Ref	Counter-indications	Ref

# 6. Any other significant interest linked to harmful behaviour

Indications	Ref	Counter-indications	Ref
Level of Concern			
Any additional comments:			

#### Cognitive Factors – Harm-supportive thinking, attitude and beliefs

#### 7. Child abuse supportive beliefs

Indications	Ref	Counter-indications	Ref
Level of Concern			
Any additional comments:			

#### 8. Entitlement to sex

Indications	Ref	Counter-indications	Ref
Level of Concern			
Any additional comments:			

# 9. Anti-social, pro-violence thinking and beliefs

Indications	Ref	Counter-indications	Ref
Level of Concern			
Any additional comments:			

#### 10. Hostile attributions

Indications	Ref	Counter-indications	Ref
Level of Concern			
Any additional comments:			

#### 11. Exploitative attitudes

Indications	Ref	Counter-indications	Ref
Level of Concern			
Any additional comments:			

#### **12.** Any other attitude endorsing harmful behaviour

Indications	Ref	Counter-indications	Ref
Level of Concern			
Any additional comments:			

#### **Emotional Factors – Relationships**

# 13. Emotional congruence with children

Indications	Ref	Counter-indications	Ref
Level of Concern			
Any additional comments:			

# 14. Inadequacy

Indications	Ref	Counter-indications	Ref
Level of Concern			
Any additional comments:			

# 15. Suspicious, angry, vengeful style of relating to others

Indications	Ref	Counter-indications	Ref
Level of Concern			
Any additional comments:			

#### 16. Susceptibility to peer influence

Indications	Ref	Counter-indications	Ref
Level of Concern			
Any additional comments:			

# 17. Poor intimacy skills

Indications	Ref	Counter-indications	Ref
Level of Concern			
Any additional comments:			

#### 18. Callousness / lack of empathy

Indications	Ref	Counter-indications	Ref

Level of Concern		
Any additional comments:		

#### **Behavioural Factors – Self management and lifestyle**

#### 19. Impulsive, chaotic and unstable lifestyle

Indications	Ref	Counter-indications	Ref
Level of Concern			
Any additional comments:			

# 20. Irresponsible and reckless

Indications	Ref	Counter-indications	Ref
Level of Concern			
Any additional comments:			

# 21. Poor problem solving / coping skills

Indications	Ref	Counter-indications	Ref
Level of Concern			
Any additional comments:	-		

# 22. Poor emotional arousal / urge management

Indications	Ref	Counter-indications	Ref
Level of Concern			
Any additional comments:			

#### Please complete SJP Overview Matrix

# Stage 4 ~ Structured Judgement Process (Cont)

#### Structured Judgement Process overview matrix

		No Information	No Concerns	Some Concerns	Definite Concerns	Critical Concerns
Pred	dispositional Factors – Intrinsic drives / interests					
1	Excessive / Obsessive interest in sex					
2	Sexual preference for children		П			l
3	Sexual interest in harming					
4	Any other significant sexual interest					
5	Intense interest in violence					
6	Any other significant interest linked to harmful behaviour					
Cog	nitive Factors – Harm-Supportive thinking, attitudes & beliefs					
7	Child abuse supportive beliefs					
8	Entitlement to sex					
9	Anti-social, pro-violence thinking and beliefs					
10	Hostile attributions					
11	Exploitative attitudes					
12	Any other attitude endorsing harmful behaviour					
	otional Factors – Relationships					
13	Emotional congruence with children					
14	Inadequacy		Ц	Ц		
15	Suspicious, angry, vengeful style of relating to others		Ц			
16	Susceptibility to peer influence		Ц			
17	Poor intimacy skills					
18	Callousness / Lack of empathy					
	avioural Factors – Self management and Lifestyles					
19	Impulsive, chaotic and unstable lifestyle					
20	Irresponsible and reckless					
21	Poor problem solving / coping skills					
22	Poor emotional arousal / urge management					

# Stage 4 ~ Structured Judgement Process (Cont)

Summary of risk factors: Minded to Bar?	
Number of fields in which 'definite concerns' have been determined:	
Are any of the risk factors assessed as 'critical' to risk of harm?	
Which risk factors are assessed as 'critical'?	
Predispositional Factors – Intrinsic drives / interests	
The combination of risk factors recorded as present are central/causal to the harmful behaviour	
The risk of future harm could reasonably be considered imminent	_
(If the risk of future harm is considered imminent then advice should be sought from a Senior Officer	
regarding a mandatory 'duty to warn' relevant authorities and/or victims.) The risk of future harm (potential for further victim impact) is too serious for a reasonable person to	
ignore	
There is a strong belief that there is potential for escalation in harmful behaviour	
Cognitive Factors – Harm-supportive thinking, attitudes & beliefs	
The combination of risk factors recorded as present are central/causal to the harmful behaviour	
The risk of future harm could reasonably be considered imminent	
(If the risk of future harm is considered imminent then advice should be sought from a Senior Officer	
regarding a mandatory 'duty to warn' relevant authorities and/or victims.)	
The risk of future harm (potential for further victim impact) is too serious for a reasonable person to	
ignore There is a strong belief that there is potential for escalation in harmful behaviour	
Emotional Factors – Relationships	
The combination of risk factors recorded as present are central/causal to the harmful behaviour	
The risk of future harm could reasonably be considered imminent	
(If the risk of future harm is considered imminent then advice should be sought from a Senior Officer	
regarding a mandatory 'duty to warn' relevant authorities and/or victims.)	
The risk of future harm (potential for further victim impact) is too serious for a reasonable person to	
ignore There is a strong belief that there is potential for escalation in harmful behaviour	
Behavioural Factors – Self management and lifestyles The combination of risk factors recorded as present are central/causal to the harmful behaviour	
The risk of future harm could reasonably be considered imminent	
(If the risk of future harm is considered imminent then advice should be sought from a Senior Officer	
regarding a mandatory 'duty to warn' relevant authorities and/or victims.)	
The risk of future harm (potential for further victim impact) is too serious for a reasonable person to	
ignore	
There is a strong belief that there is potential for escalation in harmful behaviour	

	Children	
cast doubt on the original barring decision?	Adults	

#### **Specialist Referrals**

Is a specialist referral considered appropriate?

Reason for specialist referral:	
Specialist referral carried out by:	
Summary of report:	
Conclusions:	
Caseworker	Date of stage 4
name	completion

# Stage 5 ~ Final Case Summary

# Final Case Summary (including which barred list) Patterns of risk factors, decision making and appropriateness Barring Decision Yes / No If yes – power Adults

Caseworker	Date of stage 5
name	completion

# Annex 1

Escalation to Senior Officer	
Reason for escalation:	
Specific issues for Senior Officer to address:	
Caseworker recommendation:	
Senior Officer response:	