

# Middle Leadership Development Programme Evaluation

ANNEX B: End of Programme Data Tables

September 2014

Adam Wilson & Claire Purchase - FreshMinds

## Contents

Annex B: End of Programme Data Tables

3

# **Annex B: End of Programme Data Tables**

# **End of Programme Online Survey Data Tables**

### Middle Leaders

Question	Response code	Counts	Percentage
Total		138	100.0%
Q2.Has your role at the school changed	Total	138	100.0%
since you began the Middle Leadership Development Programme?	Yes (please specify new role)	48	34.8%
	No	90	65.2%

Q3.Was this a promotion?	Total	48	100.0%
	Yes	30	62.5%
	No	18	37.5%

Q4.Could you confirm when your cluster	Total	138	100.0%
completed the MLDP programme?	2011 - January		
	2011 - February	1	0.007
	2011 - March		
	2011 - April		
	2011 - May	2	1.4%
	2011 - June	5	3.6%
	2011 - July	6	4.3%
	2011 - August		
	2011 - September	2	1.4%
	2011 - October	4	2.9%
	2011 - November	3	2.2%
	2011 - December		
	2012 - January	2	1.4%
	2012 - February	1	0.7%
	2012 - March	5	3.6%
	2012 - April	4	2.9%
	2012 - May	10	7.2%
	2012 - June	41	29.7%
	2012 - July	50	36.2%
	Other - specify in space below	1	0.7%
	Don't know	1	0.7%

Q5.How long did your cluster take to	Total	138	100.0%
complete the programme, from start to	6 months or less	12	8.7%
finish?	7 or 8 months	26	18.8%
	9 or 10 months	67	48.6%
	11 or 12 months	31	22.5%
	Longer than 12 months	2	1.4%
	Don't know		
	1		
Q6.Thinking about how the programme was	Total	138	100.0%
structured, in terms of the mix of online participation, reflective sessions and face-to-	Very poorly		
face sessions, overall how well would you say this worked?	Quite poorly	13	9.4%
	Quite well	58	42.0%
	Very well	65	47.1%
	Don't know	2	1.4%
	Mean		3.38
Q8.How would you describe the pace of	Total	138	100.0%
delivery of the programme?	Too slow	11	8.0%
	About right	117	84.8%
	Too fast	9	6.5%
	Don't know	1	0.007
Q10.How did the level of involvement	Total	138	100.0%
required of you on the programme match what you had expected at the outset?	Less than expected	12	8.7%
	About the same as expected	104	75.4%
	Greater than expected	21	15.2%
	Don't know	1	0.007
F			
Q11.To what extent were you satisfied with your Facilitator through the course of the	Total	138	100.0%
programme?	Highly dissatisfied		
	Dissatisfied	5	3.6%
	Satisfied	41	29.7%
	Highly satisfied	91	65.9%
	Don't know	1	0.007
	Mean		3.63
O42 Thinking pour phase second country	Total	400	400.007
Q13.Thinking now about your Learning Colleague, to what extent were you satisfied	Total	138	100.0%
with the support you received from them	Highly dissatisfied	10	7.2%
through the course of the programme?	Dissatisfied	11	8.0%
	Satisfied	54	39.1%
	Highly satisfied	53	38.4%
	Don't know	10	7.2%
	Mean		3.17

Q15.How important, if at all, would you say	Total	138	100.0%
the Learning Colleague role is to the	Not at all important	3	2.2%
programme?	Not very important	21	15.2%
	Quite important	51	37.0%
	Very important	51	37.0%
	Don't know	8	5.8%
	NA (Didn't have one)	4	2.9%
	Mean	'	3.19
Q17.How likely or unlikely are you to stay in	Total	138	100.0%
touch with the other Middle Leaders in your cluster now that the programme has ended?	Not at all likely	10	7.2%
	Not very likely	57	41.3%
	Quite likely	49	35.5%
	Very likely	17	12.3%
	Don't know	5	3.6%
	Mean		2.55
Q20.How helpful have you found the Diagnostic in reviewing your progress on the programme?	Total	138	100.0%
	Not at all helpful	3	2.2%
	Not very helpful	19	13.8%
	Quite helpful	63	45.7%
	Very helpful	48	34.8%
	Don't know	2	1.4%
	Haven't used it	3	2.2%
	Mean		3.17
Q22.Now thinking about the Learning Log,	Total	138	100.0%
how helpful have you found this in reflecting on what you have learnt and identifying	Not at all helpful	4	2.9%
areas for development?	Not very helpful	28	20.3%
·	Quite helpful	72	52.2%
	Very helpful	29	21.0%
	Don't know	1	0.7%
	Haven't used it	4	2.9%
	Mean		2.95
		,	
Q25.How helpful have you found the Think	Total	138	100.0%
Pieces?	Not at all helpful	1	0.7%
	Not very helpful	12	8.7%
	Quite helpful	67	48.6%
	Very helpful	41	29.7%
	Don't know	6	4.3%
	Haven't used it	11	8.0%
	Mean		3.22

Q28.The Participant Community.How helpful,	Total	138	100.0%
in general, have you found the following parts of the MLDP online area?	Not at all helpful	15	10.9%
parts of the MLDF offiline area?	Not very helpful	26	18.8%
	Quite helpful	55	39.9%
	Very helpful	14	10.1%
	Haven't used it	28	20.3%
	Mean		2.62
	1	1	
Q28.The programme materials.How helpful,	Total	138	100.0%
in general, have you found the following parts of the MLDP online area?	Not at all helpful	2	1.4%
parts of the MLDF offiline area?	Not very helpful	8	5.8%
	Quite helpful	43	31.2%
	Very helpful	76	55.1%
	Haven't used it	9	6.5%
	Mean		3.50
Q28.The discussion board.How helpful, in	Total	138	100.0%
general, have you found the following parts of the MLDP online area?	Not at all helpful	16	11.6%
of the MEDI Offinie area:	Not very helpful	29	21.0%
	Quite helpful	48	34.8%
	Very helpful	13	9.4%
	Haven't used it	32	23.2%
	Mean		2.55
Q28.Induction community.How helpful, in	Total	138	100.0%
general, have you found the following parts of the MLDP online area?	Not at all helpful	12	8.7%
of the MEDI Offinite area:	Not very helpful	30	21.7%
	Quite helpful	44	31.9%
	Very helpful	7	5.1%
	Haven't used it	45	32.6%
	Mean		2.49
Q28.360 diagnostic.How helpful, in general,	Total	138	100.0%
have you found the following parts of the MLDP online area?	Not at all helpful	6	4.3%
WEDF Offilite area :	Not very helpful	14	10.1%
	Quite helpful	46	33.3%
	Very helpful	65	47.1%
	Haven't used it	7	5.1%
	Mean		3.30

Q28.Individual cluster communities.How	Total	138	100.0%
helpful, in general, have you found the	Not at all helpful	8	5.8%
following parts of the MLDP online area?	Not very helpful	16	11.6%
	Quite helpful	44	31.9%
	Very helpful	46	33.3%
	Haven't used it	24	17.4%
	Mean		3.12
Q29.How easy or difficult was it to find what	Total	135	100.0%
you were looking for in the MLDP online area?	Very difficult	33	24.4%
area?	Difficult	62	45.9%
	Easy	35	25.9%
	Very easy		
	Don't know	5	3.7%
	Mean		2.02
		1	
Q30.To what extent, if at all, has the Participant Community been helpful?	Total	110	100.0%
	Not at all helpful	14	12.7%
	Not very helpful	33	30.0%
	Quite helpful	55	50.0%
	Very helpful	1	0.9%
	Don't know	7	6.4%
	Mean		2.42
Q32.Do you expect to continue using the	Total	110	100.0%
Participant Community now you have finished the programme?	Yes	24	21.8%
innoned the programme.	No	86	78.2%
Q33.Thinking about what you hoped to	Total	138	100.0%
achieve and / or gain from participating in the Middle Leadership Development	Didn't meet expectations	13	9.4%
Programme, to what extent did the	Met expectations	89	64.5%
programme meet your expectations?	Exceeded expectations	29	21.0%
	Don't know	7	5.1%
Q36.In general, to what extent do you feel	Total	138	100.0%
that the programme improved your leadership skills?	Not at all	4	2.9%
r - r	A little	20	14.5%
	To some extent	62	44.9%
	A great deal	52	37.7%
	Don't know		
	Mean		3.17

Q37a.l am able to improve the quality of	Total	138	100.0%
teaching and learning through my leadership.We would like to rate your current	1 - Very poor		
ability in these skills and abilities	2		
	3		
	4	4	2.9%
	5	9	6.5%
	6	21	15.2%
	7	44	31.9%
	8	38	27.5%
	9	19	13.8%
	10 - Very good	3	2.2%
	Mean		7.25

Q37a.l have learnt to use approaches which	Total	138	100.0%
reduce variability and close the gap in achievement.We would like to rate your	1 - Very poor		
current ability in these skills and abilities	2		
•	3	1	0.7%
	4	1	0.7%
	5	8	5.8%
	6	25	18.1%
	7	38	27.5%
	8	42	30.4%
	9	17	12.3%
	10 - Very good	6	4.3%
	Mean		7.33

Q37a.I am able to lead, manage and develop people.We would like to rate your current ability in these skills and abilities	Total	138	100.0%
	1 - Very poor		
	2		
	3		
	4	1	0.7%
	5	3	2.2%
	6	14	10.1%
	7	40	29.0%
	8	45	32.6%
	9	25	18.1%
	10 - Very good	10	7.2%
	Mean		7.74

Q37a.l can manage change and improvement	Total	138	100.0%
.We would like to rate your current ability in these skills and abilities	1 - Very poor		
THESE SKIIS AND ADMINES	2		
	3	1	0.7%
	4	1	0.7%
	5	4	2.9%
	6	16	11.6%
	7	33	23.9%
	8	51	37.0%
	9	26	18.8%
	10 - Very good	6	4.3%
	Mean		7.65

Q37a.I am able to network and learn from others .We would like to rate your current ability in these skills and abilities	Total	138	100.0%
	1 - Very poor		
	2	1	0.7%
	3		
	4	2	1.4%
	5	5	3.6%
	6	17	12.3%
	7	18	13.0%
	8	56	40.6%
	9	31	22.5%
	10 - Very good	8	5.8%
	Mean		7.75

Q37a.l can make strategic decisions .We would like to rate your current ability in these skills and abilities	Total	138	100.0%
	1 - Very poor		
	2		
	3	1	0.7%
	4		
	5	8	5.8%
	6	12	8.7%
	7	32	23.2%
	8	53	38.4%
	9	25	18.1%
	10 - Very good	7	5.1%
	Mean		7.67

Q37a.l can identify my own personal	Total	138	100.0%
effectiveness and how it can continue to be developed, beyond the programme, enabling	1 - Very poor		
me to become a life-long learner.We would	2		
like to rate your current ability in these skills	3		
and abilities	4		
	5	2	1.4%
	6	13	9.4%
	7	29	21.0%
	8	54	39.1%
	9	27	19.6%
	10 - Very good	13	9.4%
	Mean		7.94

Q37b.I am able to improve the quality of teaching and learning through my leadership.We would like to rate the extent to which you feel the programme has helped	Total	138	100.0%
	1 - Not helped at all	3	2.2%
	2	4	2.9%
you develop these skills and abilities.	3		
	4	4	2.9%
	5	17	12.3%
	6	12	8.7%
	7	43	31.2%
	8	26	18.8%
	9	22	15.9%
	10 - Helped a great deal	7	5.1%
	Mean		6.96

Q37b.I have learnt to use approaches which	Total	138	100.0%
reduce variability and close the gap in achievement.We would like to rate the extent	1 - Not helped at all	2	1.4%
to which you feel the programme has helped	2	3	2.2%
you develop these skills and abilities.	3	3	2.2%
	4	1	0.7%
	5	9	6.5%
	6	20	14.5%
	7	28	20.3%
	8	40	29.0%
	9	23	16.7%
	10 - Helped a great deal	9	6.5%
	Mean		7.24

Q37b.l am able to lead, manage and develop	Total	138	100.0%
people.We would like to rate the extent to which you feel the programme has helped	1 - Not helped at all	2	1.4%
you develop these skills and abilities.	2	3	2.2%
	3	3	2.2%
	4	2	1.4%
	5	1	0.7%
	6	21	15.2%
	7	28	20.3%
	8	31	22.5%
	9	37	26.8%
	10 - Helped a great deal	10	7.2%
	Mean		7.49

Q37b.I can manage change and improvement	Total	138	100.0%
.We would like to rate the extent to which	1 - Not helped at all	2	1.4%
you feel the programme has helped you develop these skills and abilities.	2	3	2.2%
·	3		
	4	1	0.7%
	5	7	5.1%
	6	19	13.8%
	7	32	23.2%
	8	33	23.9%
	9	35	25.4%
	10 - Helped a great deal	6	4.3%
	Mean		7.42

Q37b.I am able to network and learn from	Total	138	100.0%
others .We would like to rate the extent to which you feel the programme has helped you develop these skills and abilities.	1 - Not helped at all	3	2.2%
	2	3	2.2%
	3	4	2.9%
	4	4	2.9%
	5	7	5.1%
	6	15	10.9%
	7	26	18.8%
	8	25	18.1%
	9	38	27.5%
	10 - Helped a great deal	13	9.4%
	Mean		7.36

Q37b.l can make strategic decisions .We	Total	138	100.0%
would like to rate the extent to which you feel	1 - Not helped at all	4	2.9%
the programme has helped you develop these skills and abilities.	2	3	2.2%
	3	2	1.4%
	4	2	1.4%
	5	8	5.8%
	6	18	13.0%
	7	30	21.7%
	8	37	26.8%
	9	24	17.4%
	10 - Helped a great deal	10	7.2%
	Mean		7.20
Q37b.I can identify my own personal	Total	138	100.0%
effectiveness and how it can continue to be	1 - Not helped at all	2	1.4%
developed, beyond the programme, enabling me to become a life-long learner.We would	2	4	2.9%
like to rate the extent to which you feel the	3		
programme has helped you develop these	4	3	2.2%
skills and abilities.	5	8	5.8%
	6	12	8.7%
	7	31	22.5%
	8	32	23.2%
	9	28	20.3%
	10 - Helped a great deal	18	13.0%
	Mean		7.53
Q41.How helpful have you found the	Total	138	100.0%
Leadership Challenge in developing your leadership skills?	Not at all helpful	3	2.2%
iouderomp etune.	Not very helpful	9	6.5%
	Quite helpful	58	42.0%
	Very helpful	65	47.1%
	Don't know	3	2.2%
	Mean		3.37
Q44.Based on your experience, how satisfied were you with the programme overall?	Total	138	100.0%
were you with the programme overall?	Very dissatisfied	3	2.2%
	Dissatisfied	7	5.1%
	Satisfied	62	44.9%
	Very satisfied	66	47.8%
	Don't know		
	Mean		3.38
		,	
Q49. Are you aware that your work for the	Total	138	100.0%
MLDP can be used to gain accreditation through CATS points?	Yes	71	51.4%
cag., er i e penne.	No	67	48.6%

Q50.Do you plan to have your work	Total	71	100.0%
accredited?	Yes	8	11.3%
	No	63	88.7%
Q51.Senior colleagues who might consider	Total	138	100.0%
being Facilitators.How likely are you to recommend participation in the MLDP	Not at all likely	8	5.8%
programme to the following groups.	Not very likely	19	13.8%
	Quite likely	59	42.8%
	Very likely	39	28.3%
	Don't know	13	9.4%
	Mean	,	3.03
	•	1	
Q51.Colleagues who might consider being	Total	138	100.0%
Learning Colleagues.How likely are you to recommend participation in the MLDP	Not at all likely	4	2.9%
programme to the following groups.	Not very likely	16	11.6%
	Quite likely	68	49.3%
	Very likely	45	32.6%
	Don't know	5	3.6%
	Mean		3.16
		,	
Q51.Middle leaders.How likely are you to	Total	138	100.0%
recommend participation in the MLDP programme to the following groups.	Not at all likely	3	2.2%
programme to the following groups.	Not very likely	11	8.0%
	Quite likely	44	31.9%
	Very likely	79	57.2%
	Don't know	1	0.7%
	Mean		3.45
Q51.Schools / clusters.How likely are you to	Total	138	100.0%
recommend participation in the MLDP programme to the following groups.	Not at all likely	8	5.8%
p. eg. animo to the following groups.	Not very likely	9	6.5%
	Quite likely	60	43.5%
	Very likely	49	35.5%
	Don't know	12	8.7%

### **Facilitators**

Question	Response code	Counts	Percentage
			100.00/
Total		15	100.0%
Q2.Has your role at the school changed since you began facilitating the Middle	Total	15	100.0%
Leadership Development Programme?	Yes (please specify new role)	5	33.3%
	No	10	66.7%
Q3.Could you confirm when your cluster	Total	15	100.0%
completed the MLDP programme?	2011 - January		
	2011 - February		
	2011 - March		
	2011 - April		
	2011 - May	1	6.7%
	2011 - June		
	2011 - July	1	6.7%
	2011 - August		
	2011 - September		
	2011 - October		
	2011 - November		
	2011 - December		
	2012 - January		
	2012 - February		
	2012 - March		
	2012 - April		
	2012 - May		
	2012 - June	5	33.3%
	2012 - July	7	46.7%
	Other - specify in space below	1	6.7%
	Don't know		
Q4.How long did your cluster take to	Total	15	100.0%
complete the programme, from start to	6 months or less		
finish?	7 or 8 months	1	6.7%
	9 or 10 months	12	80.0%
	11 or 12 months	2	13.3%
	Longer than 12 months		
	Don't know		
Q6.Did you have any problems with retentio	n <i>Total</i>	15	100.0%
of participants during the programme?	Yes	5	33.3%
- · · · ·			33.070

No

66.7%

10

On Haward days facility Facility	Total	45	400.00/
Q8.How well do you feel the Facilitator training from the National College prepared you with the skills to undertake the	Total	15	100.0%
	Very poorly		
Facilitator role?	Quite poorly		
	Quite well	5	33.3%
	Very well	10	66.7%
	Don't know		
	Mean		3.67
Q11.Approximately, how much time did you	Total	15	100.0%
spend preparing each session that you delivered?	Less than 1 hour		
delivered:	Between 1 and 4 hours	13	86.7%
	Between 5 and 8 hours	2	13.3%
	More than 8 hours		
	Don't know		
Q13.How did the level of involvement	Total	15	100.0%
required of you on the programme match	Less than expected		
what you had expected at the outset?	About the same as expected	7	46.7%
	Greater than expected	8	53.3%
	Don't know		
Q16.Thinking about how the programme was	Total	15	100.0%
structured, in terms of the mix of online	Very poorly		
participation, reflective sessions and face-to- face sessions, overall how well would you	Quite poorly	3	20.0%
say this worked?	Quite well	5	33.3%
	Very well	7	46.7%
	Don't know	·	,
	Mean		3.27
	Modif		0.27
Q18.Did you deliver the programme in the	Total	15	100.0%
order suggested by the National College or	In the order suggested	9	60.0%
did you change the order of delivery?	Changed the order	6	40.0%
_	Changed the order		+0.070
Q20.How well do you feel that the Learning	Total	15	100.0%
Colleagues in your cluster understood their	Very poorly	15	100.070
role and responsibilities?	Quite poorly	2	13.3%
	Quite well	8	53.3%
	·	5	
	Very well	5	33.3%
	Don't know		0.00
	Mean		3.20

Q22.Overall, how well did the Learning Colleagues in your cluster fulfil their roles	Total	15	100.0%
	Very poorly		
and responsibilities in supporting their Middle Leaders?	Quite poorly	2	13.3%
madic Edders.	Quite well	8	53.3%
	Very well	5	33.3%
	Don't know		
	Mean		3.20
Q23.How important, if at all, would you say	Total	15	100.0%
the Learning Colleague role is in the MLDP's	Not at all important		
cluster-based approach?	Not very important		
	Quite important	6	40.0%
	Very important	9	60.0%
	Don't know		
	Mean		3.60
Q25.How likely or unlikely are you to stay in	Total	15	100.0%
touch with the Middle Leader(s) and Learning Colleagues now that the programme has ended?	Not at all likely		
	Not very likely	6	40.0%
chaca.	Quite likely	6	40.0%
	Very likely	3	20.0%
	Don't know		
	Mean		2.80
L			
Q27.Aside from the Learning Colleagues,	Total	15	100.0%
have you made use of the knowledge,	Yes	10	66.7%
support or expertise of any other individuals from either within or outside the cluster, in	No	5	33.3%
delivering the programme?			
			400 004
Q29.Do you feel that enlisting the support, expertise or assistance of other individuals	Total	10	100.0%
is a useful course of action in delivering the	Yes	10	100.0%
programme?	No		
Q30a.The Participant	Total	15	100.00/
Community.(Helpfulness of different parts of	Not at all helpful		100.0%
the MLDP online area)		5	13.3%
	Not very helpful  Quite helpful	6	40.0%
	·		
	Very helpful Haven't used it	2	13.3%
			0.50
	Mean		2.53

Q30b.The programme materials.(Helpfulness of different parts of the MLDP online area)	Total	15	100.0%
	Not at all helpful		
	Not very helpful		
	Quite helpful	4	26.7%
	Very helpful	11	73.3%
	Haven't used it		
	Mean		3.73
	l		
Q30c.The discussion board.(Helpfulness of	Total	15	100.0%
different parts of the MLDP online area)	Not at all helpful	3	20.0%
	Not very helpful	5	33.3%
	Quite helpful	6	40.0%
	Very helpful	1	6.7%
	Haven't used it		
	Mean		2.33
Q30d.Induction community.(Helpfulness of	Total	15	100.0%
different parts of the MLDP online area)	Not at all helpful	1	6.7%
	Not very helpful	3	20.0%
	Quite helpful	7	46.7%
	Very helpful	2	13.3%
	Haven't used it	2	13.3%
	Mean		2.77
Q30e.360 diagnostic.(Helpfulness of different	Total	15	100.0%
parts of the MLDP online area)	Not at all helpful		
	Not very helpful		
	Quite helpful	5	33.3%
	Very helpful	9	60.0%
	Haven't used it	1	6.7%
	Mean		3.64
Q30f.Individual cluster	Total	15	100.0%
communities.(Helpfulness of different parts	Not at all helpful	1	6.7%
of the MLDP online area)	Not very helpful	7	46.7%
	Quite helpful	3	20.0%
	Very helpful	4	26.7%
	Haven't used it		
	Mean		2.67

Q30g.Facilitator community.(Helpfulness of different parts of the MLDP online area)	Total	15	100.0%
	Not at all helpful	2	13.3%
	Not very helpful	2	13.3%
	Quite helpful	7	46.7%
	Very helpful	3	20.0%
	Haven't used it	1	6.7%
	Mean		2.79
		1	
Q31.How easy or difficult was it to find what	Total	15	100.0%
you were looking for in the MLDP online area?	Very difficult	4	26.7%
alea :	Difficult	11	73.3%
	Easy		
	Very easy		
	Don't know		
	Mean		1.73
	•	1	
Q34.Thinking more generally about the	Total	15	100.0%
materials you have used, other than the materials provided by the National College,	Yes	14	93.3%
have you used any additional materials to deliver the programme?	No	1	6.7%
	1		
Q36.In general, to what extent do you feel	Total	15	100.0%
that the programme improved the leadership skills of your Middle Leaders?	Not at all		
Skills of your whome Leaders:	A little		
	To some extent	5	33.3%
	A great deal	10	66.7%
	Don't know		
	Mean		3.67
Q37a.Improving the quality of teaching and	Total	15	100.0%
learning through their leadership.(Extent to which programme has helped their Middle	1 - Not helped at all		
Leaders develop various skills and abilities)	2		
	3		
	4		
	5	1	6.7%
	6	3	20.0%
	7	1	6.7%
	8	9	60.0%
	9	1	6.7%
	10 - Helped a great deal		
	Mean		7.40

Q37b.Learning to use approaches which reduce variability and close the gap in achievement.(Extent to which programme has helped their Middle Leaders develop	Total	15	100.0%
	1 - Not helped at all		
	2		
various skills and abilities)	3		
	4	1	6.7%
	5		
	6	3	20.0%
	7	4	26.7%
	8	5	33.3%
	9	2	13.3%
	10 - Helped a great deal		
	Mean		7.20

Q37c.Ability to lead, manage and develop people.(Extent to which programme has helped their Middle Leaders develop various skills and abilities)	Total	15	100.0%
	1 - Not helped at all		
	2		
,	3		
	4		
	5		
	6	1	6.7%
	7	5	33.3%
	8	5	33.3%
	9	4	26.7%
	10 - Helped a great deal		
	Mean		7.80

Q37d.Manage change and improvement.(Extent to which programme has helped their Middle Leaders develop various skills and abilities)	Total	15	100.0%
	1 - Not helped at all		
	2		
,	3		
	4		
	5	1	6.7%
	6		
	7	6	40.0%
	8	6	40.0%
	9	2	13.3%
	10 - Helped a great deal		
	Mean		7.53

Q37e.Ability to network and learn from others.(Extent to which programme has helped their Middle Leaders develop various skills and abilities)	Total	15	100.0%
	1 - Not helped at all		
	2		
	3		
	4	1	6.7%
	5	1	6.7%
	6	1	6.7%
	7	3	20.0%
	8	5	33.3%
	9	3	20.0%
	10 - Helped a great deal	1	6.7%
	Mean		7.53
Q37f.Make strategic decisions and lead	Total	15	100.0%
change.(Extent to which programme has helped their Middle Leaders develop various	1 - Not helped at all		
skills and abilities)Q37f.Make strategic	2		
decisions and lead change.(Extent to which	3		
programme has helped their Middle Leaders develop various skills and abilities)	4	2	13.3%
develop various skins and abilities,	5	1	6.7%
	6	1	6.7%
	7	3	20.0%
	8	7	46.7%
	9	1	6.7%
	10 - Helped a great deal		
	Mean		7.00
Q41.How helpful do you think the Leadership	Total	15	100.0%
Challenge has been in developing Middle Leaders' leadership?	Not at all helpful		
Leaders leadership:	Not very helpful		
	Quite helpful	1	6.7%
	Very helpful	14	93.3%
	Don't know		
	Mean		3.93
Q44.Based on your experiences of the	Total	15	100.0%
programme, overall how satisfied are you with the programme?	Very dissatisfied		
with the programme:	Dissatisfied		
	Satisfied	6	40.0%
	Very satisfied	9	60.0%
	Don't know		
	Mean		3.60
	ı	1	
Q47.Are you aware that work for the MLDP		4=	100.0%
Q47.Are you aware that work for the MLDP	Total	15	100.070
can be used to gain accreditation through	Total Yes	15	73.3%

Q48.Do any of your Middle Leaders plan to	Total	11	100.0%
have their work accredited?	Yes	3	27.3%
	No	3	27.3%
	Don't know	5	45.5%
	Dont know		10.070
Q49.Do you intend to have your own MLDP	Total	11	100.0%
work accredited?	Yes	2	18.2%
	No	9	81.8%
Q53a.Senior colleagues who might consider	Total	15	100.0%
being Facilitators.(Likelihood to recommend		15	100.076
participation in the MLDP programme to	Not at all likely		
different groups)	Not very likely		40.00/
	Quite likely	6	40.0%
	Very likely	9	60.0%
	Don't know		
	Mean		3.60
	1-11	4=	100.00/
Q53b.Colleagues who might consider being Learning Colleagues.(Likelihood to	Total	15	100.0%
recommend participation in the MLDP programme to different groups)	Not at all likely		
	Not very likely		
	Quite likely	3	20.0%
	Very likely	12	80.0%
	Don't know		
	Mean		3.80
Q53c.Middle leaders.(Likelihood to	Total	15	100.0%
recommend participation in the MLDP programme to different groups)	Not at all likely		
programme to amoroni groups,	Not very likely		
	Quite likely	3	20.0%
	Very likely	12	80.0%
	Don't know		
	Mean		3.80
Q53d.Schools / clusters.(Likelihood to	Total	45	400.007
recommend participation in the MLDP	Total	15	100.0%
programme to different groups)	Not at all likely		
	Not very likely		
	Quite likely	3	20.0%
	Very likely	12	80.0%
	Don't know		
	Mean		3.80
		1	

### **Learning Coaches**

Question	Response code	Counts	Percentage
Total		20	100.0%
Q2.Has your role at the school changed	Total	20	100.0%
since you began the Middle Leadership Development Programme?	Yes (please specify new role)	1	5.0%
	No	19	95.0%

Q3.Could you confirm when your cluster	Total	20	100.0%
completed the MLDP programme?	2011 - January		
	2011 - February		
	2011 - March		
	2011 - April		
	2011 - May		
	2011 - June		
	2011 - July		
	2011 - August	1	5.0%
	2011 - September		
	2011 - October		
	2011 - November		
	2011 - December		
	2012 - January		
	2012 - February		
	2012 - March		
	2012 - April		
	2012 - May	1	5.0%
	2012 - June	9	45.0%
	2012 - July	9	45.0%
	Other - specify in space below		
	Don't know		

Q4.How long did your cluster take to complete the programme, from start to finish?	Total	20	100.0%
	6 months or less	1	5.0%
	7 or 8 months		
	9 or 10 months	14	70.0%
	11 or 12 months	5	25.0%
	Longer than 12 months		
	Don't know		

Q5.How many Middle Leader(s) were you	Total	20	100.0%
supporting through the programme?	1	11	55.0%
	2	8	40.0%
	3	1	5.0%
	4		
	5		
	6+		
Q6.How much time did you spend supporting	Total	20	100.0%
each of your Middle Leader(s) between each	Less than 1 hour	2	10.0%
session of the programme?	Between 1 and 4 hours	16	80.0%
	Between 5 and 8 hours	1	5.0%
	More than 8 hours	1	5.0%
	Word than o flours	'	0.070
Q7.How did the level of involvement required	Total	20	100.0%
of you on the programme match what you	Less than expected	2	10.0%
had expected at the outset?	About the same as expected	16	80.0%
	Greater than expected	2	10.0%
	Don't know		10.070
	Mean		2.00
	Wicaii		2.00
	1 =	00	400.004
I Q10.How well prepared did you feel to	Total	20	100.0%
Q10.How well prepared did you feel to undertake the Learning Colleague role?	Very poorly	20	100.0%
	Very poorly		
	Very poorly  Quite poorly	2	10.0%
	Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well	2 3	10.0% 15.0%
	Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well Very well	2	10.0%
	Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well Very well Don't know	2 3	10.0% 15.0% 75.0%
	Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well Very well	2 3	10.0% 15.0%
undertake the Learning Colleague role?	Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well Very well Don't know Mean	2 3 15	10.0% 15.0% 75.0% 3.65
undertake the Learning Colleague role?  Q12.Thinking about how the programme was structured, in terms of the mix of online	Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well Very well Don't know Mean	2 3	10.0% 15.0% 75.0%
Q12.Thinking about how the programme was structured, in terms of the mix of online participation, reflective sessions and face-to-	Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well Very well Don't know Mean  Total Very poorly	2 3 15 20	10.0% 15.0% 75.0% 3.65
Q12.Thinking about how the programme was structured, in terms of the mix of online participation, reflective sessions and face-to-face sessions, overall how well would you	Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well Very well Don't know Mean  Total Very poorly Quite poorly	2 3 15 20	10.0% 15.0% 75.0% 3.65 100.0%
Q12.Thinking about how the programme was structured, in terms of the mix of online participation, reflective sessions and face-to-	Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well Very well Don't know Mean  Total Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well	2 3 15 20 2 9	10.0% 15.0% 75.0% 3.65 100.0% 45.0%
Q12.Thinking about how the programme was structured, in terms of the mix of online participation, reflective sessions and face-to-face sessions, overall how well would you	Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well Very well Don't know Mean  Total Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well Very well	2 3 15 20	10.0% 15.0% 75.0% 3.65 100.0%
Q12.Thinking about how the programme was structured, in terms of the mix of online participation, reflective sessions and face-to-face sessions, overall how well would you	Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well Very well Don't know Mean  Total Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well Very well Don't know	2 3 15 20 2 9	10.0% 15.0% 75.0% 3.65 100.0% 45.0%
Q12.Thinking about how the programme was structured, in terms of the mix of online participation, reflective sessions and face-to-face sessions, overall how well would you	Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well Very well Don't know Mean  Total Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well Very well	2 3 15 20 2 9	10.0% 15.0% 75.0% 3.65 100.0% 45.0%
Q12.Thinking about how the programme was structured, in terms of the mix of online participation, reflective sessions and face-to-face sessions, overall how well would you say this worked?	Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well Very well Don't know Mean  Total Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well Very well Don't know Mean	2 3 15 20 2 9 9	10.0% 15.0% 75.0% 3.65 100.0% 45.0% 45.0%
Q12.Thinking about how the programme was structured, in terms of the mix of online participation, reflective sessions and face-to-face sessions, overall how well would you say this worked?  Q14.How would you describe the pace of	Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well Very well Don't know Mean  Total Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well Very well Don't know Mean	2 3 15 20 2 9 9	10.0% 15.0% 75.0% 3.65 100.0% 45.0% 45.0%
Q12.Thinking about how the programme was structured, in terms of the mix of online participation, reflective sessions and face-to-face sessions, overall how well would you say this worked?	Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well Very well Don't know Mean  Total Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well Very well Don't know  Mean  Total Total Total Total Total Total	2 3 15 20 2 9 9	10.0% 15.0% 75.0% 3.65 100.0% 45.0% 45.0% 100.0%
Q12.Thinking about how the programme was structured, in terms of the mix of online participation, reflective sessions and face-to-face sessions, overall how well would you say this worked?  Q14.How would you describe the pace of	Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well Very well Don't know Mean  Total Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well Very well Don't know Mean  Total Total Total Total Total Total Too slow About right	2 3 15 20 2 9 9	10.0% 15.0% 75.0% 3.65 100.0% 45.0% 45.0%
Q12.Thinking about how the programme was structured, in terms of the mix of online participation, reflective sessions and face-to-face sessions, overall how well would you say this worked?  Q14.How would you describe the pace of	Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well Very well Don't know Mean  Total Very poorly Quite poorly Quite well Very well Don't know  Mean  Total Total Total Total Total Total	2 3 15 20 2 9 9	10.0% 15.0% 75.0% 3.65 100.0% 45.0% 45.0% 10.0%

Q16.To what extent were you satisfied with your Facilitator through the course of the	Total	20	100.0%
	Highly dissatisfied		
programme?	Dissatisfied		
	Satisfied	6	30.0%
	Highly satisfied	12	60.0%
	Don't know	2	10.0%
	Mean		3.67
	1		
Q18.How important, if at all, would you say	Total	20	100.0%
the Learning Colleague role is in the MLDP's	Not at all important		
cluster-based approach?	Not very important	1	5.0%
	Quite important	5	25.0%
	Very important	12	60.0%
	Don't know	2	10.0%
	Mean		3.61
	1		
Q20.How likely or unlikely are you to stay in	Total	20	100.0%
touch with your Middle Leader(s) now that	Not at all likely		
the programme has ended?	Not very likely	2	10.0%
	Quite likely	1	5.0%
	Very likely	17	85.0%
	Don't know		
	Mean		3.75
	1	1	
Q23a.The Participant	Total	20	100.0%
Community.(Helpfulness of different parts of the MLDP online area)	Not at all helpful	2	10.0%
the MLDP offilite area)	Not very helpful		
	Quite helpful	11	55.0%
	Very helpful	2	10.0%
	Haven't used it	5	25.0%
	Mean		2.87
	1		
Q23b.The programme materials.(Helpfulness	Total	20	100.0%
of different parts of the MLDP online area)	Not at all helpful	1	5.0%
	Not very helpful	1	5.0%
	Quite helpful	6	30.0%
	Very helpful	10	50.0%
	Haven't used it	2	10.0%
	Mean		3.39

Q23c.The discussion board.(Helpfulness of	Total	20	100.0%
different parts of the MLDP online area)	Not at all helpful	2	10.0%
	Not very helpful	4	20.0%
	Quite helpful	4	20.0%
	Very helpful	3	15.0%
	Haven't used it	7	35.0%
	Mean		2.62
	1	1	
Q23d.Induction community.(Helpfulness of	Total	20	100.0%
different parts of the MLDP online area)	Not at all helpful	3	15.0%
	Not very helpful		
	Quite helpful	6	30.0%
	Very helpful	6	30.0%
	Haven't used it	5	25.0%
	Mean		3.00
Q23e.360 diagnostic.(Helpfulness of different	Total	20	100.0%
parts of the MLDP online area)	Not at all helpful	1	5.0%
	Not very helpful		
	Quite helpful	1	5.0%
	Very helpful	17	85.0%
	Haven't used it	1	5.0%
	Mean		3.79
Q23f.Individual cluster	Total	20	100.0%
communities.(Helpfulness of different parts of the MLDP online area)	Not at all helpful	1	5.0%
of the MEST offinite area,	Not very helpful	1	5.0%
	Quite helpful	6	30.0%
	Very helpful	6	30.0%
	Haven't used it	6	30.0%
	Mean		3.21
Q24.How easy or difficult was it to access	Total	19	100.0%
what you were looking for in the MLDP online area?	Very difficult	2	10.5%
4.54.	Difficult	1	5.3%
	Easy	13	68.4%
	Very easy	1	5.3%
	Don't know	2	10.5%
	Mean		2.76

Q26.In general, to what extent do you feel that the programme improved the leadership skills of your Middle Leader(s)?	Total	20	100.0%
	Not at all		
	A little	1	5.0%
	To some extent	8	40.0%
	A great deal	11	55.0%
	Don't know		
	Mean		3.50

Q27a.Improving the quality of teaching and
learning through their leadership.(Extent to
which they feel the programme has helped
their Middle Leader develop different skills
and abilities)
,

Total	20	100.0%
1 - Not helped at all		
2	1	5.0%
3	2	10.0%
4		
5	3	15.0%
6	1	5.0%
7	5	25.0%
8	5	25.0%
9	2	10.0%
10 - Helped a great deal	1	5.0%
Mean		6.60

Q27b.Learning to use approaches which reduce variability and close the gap in achievement.(Extent to which they feel the programme has helped their Middle Leader develop different skills and abilities)

Total	20	100.0%
1 - Not helped at all		
2		
3	1	5.0%
4	1	5.0%
5		
6	3	15.0%
7	4	20.0%
8	4	20.0%
9	6	30.0%
10 - Helped a great deal	1	5.0%
Mean		7.45

Q27c.Ability to lead, manage and develop people.(Extent to which they feel the	Total	20	100.0%
	1 - Not helped at all		
programme has helped their Middle Leader develop different skills and abilities)	2		
,	3		
	4	1	5.0%
	5		
	6	3	15.0%
	7	5	25.0%
	8	5	25.0%
	9	3	15.0%
	10 - Helped a great deal	3	15.0%
	Mean		7.70

Q27d.Manage change and	Total	20	100.0%
improvement.(Extent to which they feel the programme has helped their Middle Leader	1 - Not helped at all		
develop different skills and abilities)	2		
,	3		
	4	1	5.0%
	5		
	6	2	10.0%
	7	6	30.0%
	8	8	40.0%
	9	2	10.0%
	10 - Helped a great deal	1	5.0%
	Mean		7.50

Q27e.Ability to network and learn from others.(Extent to which they feel the	Total	20	100.0%
	1 - Not helped at all		
programme has helped their Middle Leader develop different skills and abilities)	2		
,	3		
	4		
	5		
	6	2	10.0%
	7	3	15.0%
	8	9	45.0%
	9	5	25.0%
	10 - Helped a great deal	1	5.0%
	Mean		8.00

Q27f.Make strategic decisions and lead	Total	20	100.0%
change.(Extent to which they feel the programme has helped their Middle Leader develop different skills and abilities)	1 - Not helped at all		
	2		
action amoint came and admitted)	3	1	5.0%
	4		
	5		
	6	3	15.0%
	7	5	25.0%
	8	8	40.0%
	9	3	15.0%
	10 - Helped a great deal		
	Mean		7.35
Q31.How helpful do you think the Leadership	Total	20	100.0%
Challenge has been in developing your Middle Leader's leadership?	Not at all helpful		
Middle Leader S leader Silip?	Not very helpful	2	10.0%
	Quite helpful	7	35.0%
	Very helpful	11	55.0%
	Don't know		
	Mean		3.45
Q35.Based on your experiences of the	Total	20	100.0%
programme, overall how satisfied were you with the programme?	Very dissatisfied		
with the programme:	Dissatisfied		
	Satisfied	7	35.0%
	Very satisfied	12	60.0%
	Don't know	1	5.0%
	Mean		3.63
Q38.Are you aware that Middle Leaders' work	Total	20	100.0%
for the MLDP can be used to gain accreditation through CATS points?	Yes	13	65.0%
	No	7	35.0%
	,		
Q39.Do(es) your Middle Leader(s) plan to have their work accredited?	Total	13	100.0%
nave their work accredited?	Yes	2	15.4%
	No	4	30.8%
	Don't know	7	53.8%
040 Hard Laborator Line 11 (1 - 121 Lin	1 <b>-</b>		400.00
Q40.Has being involved in the Middle Leadership Development Programme led to you being involved in delivering other training?	Total	20	100.0%
	Yes	5	25.0%
	No	15	75.0%

Q42a.Senior colleagues who might consider being Facilitators.(Likelihood to recommend participation in the MLDP programme to different groups)	Total	20	100.0%
	Not at all likely		
	Not very likely	5	25.0%
<b>3</b> . ,	Quite likely	7	35.0%
	Very likely	8	40.0%
	Don't know		
	Mean		3.15
		1	
Q42b.Colleagues who might consider being	Total	20	100.0%
Learning Colleagues.(Likelihood to recommend participation in the MLDP	Not at all likely		
programme to different groups)	Not very likely	1	5.0%
	Quite likely	10	50.0%
	Very likely	9	45.0%
	Don't know		
	Mean		3.40
		1	
Q42c.Middle leaders.(Likelihood to	Total	20	100.0%
recommend participation in the MLDP programme to different groups)	Not at all likely		
programme to different groups)	Not very likely		
	Quite likely	4	20.0%
	Very likely	16	80.0%
	Don't know		
	Mean		3.80
		1	
Q42d.Schools / clusters.(Likelihood to	Total	20	100.0%
recommend participation in the MLDP programme to different groups)	Not at all likely		
programme to different groups)	Not very likely		
	Quite likely	8	40.0%
	Very likely	11	55.0%
	Don't know	1	5.0%
	Mean		3.58



© FreshMinds [2014]

Reference: DFE-RR371B

ISBN: 978-1-78105-421-5

The views expressed in this report are the authors' and do not necessarily reflect those of the Department for Education.

Any enquiries regarding this publication should be sent to us at: Ben.Turner@education.gsi.gov.uk or www.education.gov.uk/contactus

This document is available for download at <a href="https://www.gov.uk/government/publications">www.gov.uk/government/publications</a>