Hedges, Kate (Defra)

From: bathingwater (WQ)
Sent: 06 March 2014 13:22
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: question about signage

Dear [redacted]

Thanks for your email. The next step will be for us to begin our consultation on the proposal to dedesignate Walpole Bay, and we're planning to put this on the consultations section of www.gov.uk during the next fortnight. The consultation will be open for 6 weeks and we'll make a decision before the bathing season begins on 15th May.

Regards,

Kate

Kate Hedges | Policy Adviser | Bathing and Shellfish Waters | Water Availability and Quality I **Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs**
Direct line: 020 7238 5347 | Email: kate.hedges@defra.gsi.gov.uk | Address: 3D/E Nobel House, 17 Smith Square, London SW1P 3JR

From: [redacted]
Sent: 05 March 2014 08:07
To: bathingwater (WQ)
Subject: Re: question about signage

Hi Kate
I spoke with the council yesterday and they informed that the decision to stop water testing at Walpole Bay now lies with the DEFRA office.
I have recently taken it upon myself to start to clean the beach you can see my website and facebook page here
https://www.facebook.com/madeofmargate

Im dedicated to turning this beach around and Im convinced from my findings (having studied marine biology and pollution) that I can get this beach up to standard.
I have over 700 signatures from a petition that local residents have created and together we very much feel that water testing should continue and see it as an opportunity for the community and myself to turn the beach around.

Our worry is that once water testing is removed a beach that is already unloved will fall further into the not important table of a very under funded over worked council.

Im happy to help in any way in making the decision a positive one for the area

regards
[redacted]

On Feb 06, 2014, at 12:03 PM, "bathingwater (WQ)" <bathingwater@defra.gsi.gov.uk> wrote:

Hello [redacted]

I've forwarded your email to the Environment Agency South East region as they are the best people to give you some technical information about the water quality issues at Walpole Bay.

Regards,

Kate

Kate Hedges | Policy Adviser | Bathing and Shellfish Waters | Water Availability and Quality I
Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
Direct line: 020 7238 5347 | Email: kate.hedges@defra.gsi.gov.uk | Address: 3D/E Nobel House, 17 Smith Square, London SW1P 3JR

From: [redacted]
Sent: 06 February 2014 10:55
To: bathingwater (WQ)
Subject: Re: question about signage

Thankyou for that Karen makes more sense

The beach in question is Walpole bay and I'm trying to get more info we will fail but I'd like for bathing status to remain and aim for improvement

Could we turn this issue around? An have the sign removed?

Or is it a lost case?

Thanks

[redacted]

Sent from my iPhone

On 6 Feb 2014, at 09:48 am, "bathingwater (WQ)" <bathingwater@defra.gsi.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear [redacted]

Thank you for your enquiry. When the revised Bathing Water Directive is implemented in 2015 it will introduce a new way of reporting the bathing water results. A four year data set will be used to classify water quality at bathing waters as excellent, good, sufficient or poor. This is a change from the pass or fail system currently in use and there is no need to put up warnings for individual poor sample results.

The Environment Agency will make the classifications annually, at the end of each bathing season, and at some sites there may be variation from year to year. The classification made at the end of the previous season will be known as the "current classification" and the symbol showing the current classification must be displayed on a sign at the beach during the following year's bathing season (15 May – 30 September). The symbols can be seen here: http://ec.europa.eu/environment/water/water-bathing/signs.htm They will be used for the first time during the 2016 bathing season.

If the classification is "poor" a sign advising against bathing will also be displayed for the whole of the next season, regardless of the results of individual samples. If a bathing water is classified as "poor" in one year and improves to a "sufficient" classification in the next, the classification symbol would be changed and the sign advising against bathing would be removed.

There is an option in the Directive to predict poor results and discount them, if warning signs are in place. Using this option is the only time warnings would change during the bathing season. If you are a beach manager and you are interested in taking part in this scheme in 2014 please contact your local Environment Agency office.

Is there a particular beach that you are concerned about? Please don't hesitate to contact me if you need any further information.

Kind regards,

Kate

Kate Hedges | Policy Adviser | Bathing and Shellfish Waters | Water Availability and Quality I **Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs**
Direct line: 020 7238 5347 | Email: kate.hedges@defra.gsi.gov.uk | Address: 3D/E Nobel House, 17 Smith Square, London SW1P 3JR

From: [redacted]
Sent: 31 January 2014 13:34
To: bathingwater (WQ)
Subject: question about signage

hello

can you tell me in the event of water quality tests continuing on a beach that will fail 2015 EU standards and the signage implemented what is the procedure to have them removed?

does the sign only remain present when the water quality is bad?

is the sign removed once water quality is stabilised?

in a effect could the sign go up and down informing the public of water quality?

thankyou

[redacted]

Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra)

This email and any attachments is intended for the named recipient only. If you have received it in error you have no authority to use, disclose, store or copy any of its contents and you should destroy it and inform the sender.
Whilst this email and associated attachments will have been checked for known viruses whilst within Defra systems we can accept no responsibility once it has left our systems.
Communications on Defra's computer systems may be monitored and/or recorded to secure the effective operation of the system and for other lawful purposes.