Hedges, Kate (Defra)

From: Connolly, Elaine (Defra)
Sent: 20 March 2014 09:31
To: [redacted]
Cc: Hedges, Kate (Defra)
Subject: RE: Walpole Bay data

Hello All

EA have looked into this and feel their summary data is right. They normalised all the survey zones to 100m, re-calculated the results as if they had been done for 100m proportionally.
This should have stated this in the 4 page summary to save confusion.

However as we only use the raw count data for assessments of designation and de-designation, we will now correct our summary of the application and make the full survey data available to the public.

[redacted] - Thank you again for pointing out the error and for your patience while we've investigated this.

Elaine

Elaine Connolly | Policy Advisor | Bathing & Shellfish Water Quality | **Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs**
Direct line: 020 7238 4451 | Mobile: 077686 88098| Email: elaine.connolly@defra.gsi.gov.uk | Address: 3D, Nobel House, 17 Smith Square, London SW1P 3JR

From: Connolly, Elaine (Defra)
Sent: 19 March 2014 13:09
To: [redacted]
Cc: Hedges, Kate (Defra)
Subject: FW: Walpole Bay data

Hi [redacted]

Thanks for pointing this out.

I've just added all the figures up and come up with 3613 people on the beach and 859 in the water, so slightly different to you, but still rather different to what went out in the consultation. Our figures were based on the EA's survey summary document, which appears to contain some errors, which we did not realise until now.

My workings are in the attached spreadsheet for info.

EA are looking into this now and we will have a correction up on the website by tomorrow.

Cc'd to [redacted] and [redacted] for information.

Elaine

Elaine Connolly | Policy Advisor | Bathing & Shellfish Water Quality | **Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs**
Direct line: 020 7238 4451 | Mobile: 077686 88098| Email: elaine.connolly@defra.gsi.gov.uk | Address: 3D, Nobel House, 17 Smith Square, London SW1P 3JR