

Environment Agency permitting decisions

Variation

We have decided to issue the variation for Holland Fen Farm Poultry Unit operated by Bernard Matthews Foods Limited.

The permit number is EPR/VP3732HX/V002.

We consider in reaching that decision we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the permit will ensure that the appropriate level of environmental protection is provided.

Purpose of this document

This decision document:

- explains how the application has been determined
- provides a record of the decision-making process
- shows how all relevant factors have been taken into account
- justifies the specific conditions in the permit other than those in our generic permit template.

Unless the decision document specifies otherwise we have accepted the applicant's proposals.

Structure of this document

- Key issues
- Annex 1 the decision checklist

EPR/VP3732HX/V002 Issued 09/09/14 Page 1 of 5



Key issues of the decision

Industrial Emissions Directive (IED)

The Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) (Amendment) Regulations 2013 were made on the 20 February and came into force on 27 February. These Regulations transpose the requirements of the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED).

This permit implements the requirements of the EU Directive on Industrial Emissions.

Groundwater and soil monitoring

As a result of the requirements of the Industrial Emissions Directive, all permits are now required to contain condition 3.1.3 relating to groundwater monitoring. However, our H5 Guidance states that it is only necessary for the operator to take samples of soil or groundwater and measure levels of contamination where there is evidence that there is, or could be existing contamination and:

- The environmental risk assessment has identified that the same contaminants are a particular hazard; or
- The environmental risk assessment has identified that the same contaminants are a hazard and your risk assessment has identified a possible pathway to land or groundwater.

H5 Guidance further states that it is not essential for the Operator to take samples of soil or groundwater and measure levels of contamination where:

- The environmental risk assessment identifies no hazards to land or groundwater; or
- Where the environmental risk assessment identifies only limited hazards to land and groundwater and there is no reason to believe that there could be historic contamination by those substances that present the hazard: or
- Where the environmental risk assessment identifies hazards to land and groundwater but there is evidence that there is no historic contamination by those substances that pose the hazard.

The site condition report for Holland Fen Farm Poultry Unit (dated July 2011) demonstrated that the hazards to land or groundwater have been mitigated/minimised such that there is little likelihood of pollution and there is no evidence of historic contamination on site. Therefore, although this condition is included in the permit, no groundwater monitoring will be required at this installation as a result.

EPR/VP3732HX/V002 Issued 09/09/14 Page 2 of 5



Biomass boiler

The operator has applied to operate four biomass boiler with an aggregated rated thermal input of 0.659MW.

In line with the Environment Agency's May 2013 document "Biomass boilers on EPR Intensive Farms", an assessment has been undertaken to consider the environmental impact of the proposed addition of the biomass boilers.

This guidance states that the Environment Agency has assessed the pollution risks and have concluded that air emissions from small biomass boilers are not likely to pose a significant risk to the environment or human health providing certain conditions are met. Therefore a quantitative assessment of air emissions will not be required where:

- the fuel will be derived from virgin timber, miscanthus or straw, and;
- the biomass boiler appliance and installation meet the technical criteria to be eligible for the Renewable Heat Incentive (RHI), and;
- the aggregate boiler net rated thermal input is:
 - A. less than 0.5MWth, or;
 - B. less than 1MWth where the stack height is greater than 1 metre above the roof level of adjacent buildings (where there are no adjacent buildings, the stack height must be a minimum of 3 metres above ground), and there are:
 - no Special Areas of Conservation, Special Protection Areas, Ramsar sites or Sites of Special Scientific Interest within 500 metres of the emission point(s);
 - no National Nature Reserves, Local Nature Reserves, ancient woodlands or local wildlife sites within 100 metres of the emission point(s), or;
 - C. less than 2MWth where, in addition to the above criteria for less than 1MWth boilers, there are:
 - no sensitive receptors within 150 metres of the emission point.

Our risk assessment has shown that the biomass boilers will use virgin timber and straw, meet the criteria for the RHI and meet the requirements of criteria **B** above, and are therefore considered not likely to pose a significant risk to the environment or human health and no further assessment is required.

EPR/VP3732HX/V002 Issued 09/09/14 Page 3 of 5



Annex 1: decision checklist

This document should be read in conjunction with the Duly Making checklist, the application and supporting information and permit.

Aspect	Justification / Detail	Criteria	
considered		met	
		Yes	
Operator			
Control of the facility	We are satisfied that the applicant (now the operator) is the person who will have control over the operation of the facility after the grant of the permit. The decision was taken in accordance with EPR RGN 1 Understanding the meaning of operator.	~	
European Directives			
Applicable directives	All applicable European directives have been considered in the determination of the application.	✓	
	Refer to key issues section above for further information regarding the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED).		
The site			
Extent of the site of the facility	The operator has provided a plan which we consider is satisfactory, showing the extent of the site of the facility.	√	
	A plan is included in the permit and the operator is required to carry on the permitted activities within the site boundary.		
Environmental	Risk Assessment and operating techniques		
Environmental risk	We have reviewed the operator's assessment of the environmental risk from the facility.	✓	
	The operator's risk assessment is satisfactory.		
	The assessment shows that, applying the conservative criteria in our guidance on Environmental Risk Assessment, all emissions may be categorised as environmentally insignificant.		
Operating techniques	We have reviewed the techniques used by the operator and compared these with the relevant guidance notes.	√	

EPR/VP3732HX/V002 Issued 09/09/14 Page 4 of 5



Aspect considered	Justification / Detail	Criteria met	
	The proposed techniques for control are in line with the benchmark levels contained in Sector Guidance Note EPR6.09 'How to comply with your environmental permit for intensive farming (version 2)' Technical Guidance Note and we consider them to represent appropriate techniques for the facility.	Yes	
The permit conditions			
Raw materials	We have specified limits and controls on the use of raw materials and fuels. We have specified that only virgin timber (including wood chips and pellets), miscanthus or straw shall be used as a fuel for the biomass boiler. These materials are never to be mixed with, or replaced by, waste.	√	
Incorporating the application	We have specified that the applicant must operate the permit in accordance with descriptions in the application, including all additional information received as part of the determination process. These descriptions are specified in the Operating Techniques table in the permit.	✓	
Operator Competence			
Environment management system	There is no known reason to consider that the operator will not have the management systems to enable it to comply with the permit conditions. The decision was taken in accordance with RGN 5 on Operator Competence.	✓	
Relevant convictions	The National Enforcement Database has been checked to ensure that all relevant convictions have been declared. No relevant convictions were found.	√	
	The operator satisfies the criteria in RGN 5 on Operator Competence.		

EPR/VP3732HX/V002 Issued 09/09/14 Page 5 of 5