



## The government's response to coroners' recommendations following the inquests of Gareth Myatt and Adam Rickwood

## Introduction:

Gareth Myatt, aged 15, died in hospital on 19 April 2004, following a restraint incident at Rainsbrook secure training centre. Gareth's death revealed a number of shortcomings in relation to Physical Control in Care (PCC), the approved method of restraint in secure training centres and wider safeguarding issues were highlighted at the inquest into his death. Several months afterwards, on 9 August 2004, Adam Rickwood, aged 14, committed suicide at Hassockfield secure training centre. The jury at the inquest into Adam's death found that a restraint incident some hours before Adam's death had not contributed to it and that staff at Hassockfield had behaved appropriately throughout the time he was at the centre but it was a clearly a distressing incident for Adam. A number of safeguarding issues arose from the inquest and the coroner made a number of recommendations.

This Action Plan represents the Government's response to those recommendations and shows an update, as at September 2010, of the progress that has been made against each of them since the action plan was first published on 27 March 2008. It is a live document that demonstrates the volume of work being done to enhance safeguarding and child protection in the under-18 estate. We still have further to go before we achieve all that we have set out to do, but we believe good progress has been made in a number of areas to ensure that similar tragedies in custody are prevented wherever possible.

## Inquest into the death of Adam Rickwood: recommendations made by coroner and government response:

Source	Coroner's Recommendation:	Work Completed	Work Outstanding	Timescale for
				Meeting Recommendation
Coroner Rule 43 Letter Action 1	With regard to trainees transfer request forms from one establishment to another there needs to be a clearly defined protocol to identify whose responsibility it is to send what information and when to the transfer team at the Youth Justice Board.	The Youth Justice Board has always had a protocol and guidance in place setting out the process that should be followed in making a transfer request. The protocol and supporting forms have been reviewed and updated. Transfer requests can be completed by the Youth Offending Team (YOT) and/or the establishment but in either case the applicant must provide supporting evidence. The revised form places greater emphasis on risk. Information is required on the urgency of the transfer request; whether there is a risk to the young person or others; and what the nature of that risk is.  Guidance on transfers has now been produced as part of the Operations Manual. The Operations Manual describes the process of placement and management of young people placed into Young Offender Institutions (YOIs). It has been	Achieved	

		circulated to all establishments and YOTs.		
Coroner Rule 43 Letter Action 2	I would encourage a regular audit of information sent by Youth Offending Teams to the Youth Justice Board to ensure that sufficient and adequate information is being provided to ensure that young people are allocated to the most suitable institution.	The Youth Justice Board's Wiring Up Youth Justice programme has developed an Electronic Yellow Envelope (EYE) system whereby documentation can be transferred between YOTs and the secure estate via the Youth Justice Board placements team. A key feature of 'EYE' is the ability to identify where information received from YOTs is incomplete. The system has been fully rolled out region by region.		
Coroner Rule 43 Letter Action 3	There needs to be clear training to ensure adherence to procedures and protocols affecting young people who may be subject to a Court Ordered Secure Remand (COSR)	Since before the time of Adam's death the Youth Justice Board has had a protocol in place with local authorities in relation to COSRs. Lancashire is a signatory of this protocol. By signing the protocol local authorities are committed to ensuring that their staff are trained in procedures and protocols in relation to COSRs.  Training is the responsibility of each Local Authority. The Youth Justice Board has produced a guidance note, 'Court-ordered secure remands and remands to custody' which has been sent to all youth offending teams and secure establishments. It has also been published on the Youth Justice Board website.	Achieved	Achieved

Coroner Rule 43 Letter Action 4	I would hope that a review could be undertaken to enable consideration to be given as to whether there should be more than one level of response – perhaps a two tier response- to what is presently known as first response as there has been evidence provided at the inquest that a first response can be seen as an almost inevitable step towards PCC which may hamper the proper deployment of deescalation techniques with the young person in question.	The Youth Justice Board issued a Behaviour Management Code of Practice to all secure establishments in February 2006 which emphasises the need for de-escalation and to avoid practice that may result in the use of restraint being seen as inevitable.  The Youth Justice Board has also piloted a set of de-escalation techniques called Therapeutic Crisis Intervention (TCI) at Hassockfield STC.  Following recommendations in the Independent Review of Restraint (published in December 2008) the Government is developing a new behaviour management system for use in YOIs and STCs. A key part of this new system will be an emphasis on de-escalating conflict and training for staff on managing difficult behaviour, without the need to resort to restraint.	The new system of restraint, including the behaviour management system will undergo medical accreditation later in 2010. An early adopter site for the new system will be established before national roll-out.	Ongoing – national roll-out of the new system of behaviour management will begin in 2011
Coroner Rule 43 Letter Action 5	The evidence clearly indicated that there was confusion between PCC instructors, PCC trainers and Care Officers with regard to PCC its application and the reasons therefore and when if ever guidance in the appropriate manuals could be disregarded.	The National Tactical Response Group, who own the PCC manual, have sent guidance notes to the Youth Justice Board for distribution.  Following the recommendations in the Joint Independent Review of Restraint		Achieved

		(published in December 2008), a new	
		behaviour management system and a	
		new syllabus are being developed to	
		replace PCC in STCs. As part of this	
		work, the Government is undertaking	
		a project to clarify exactly when and	
		how force can be used in the secure	
		estate.	
Coroner	The Youth Justice Board monitor should	The Youth Justice Board monitors the	Achieved on time,
Rule 43	be fully aware of all documents and	performance of STCs and as	with a few
Letter	statistics produced from an establishment	appropriate uses available levers to	outstanding issues
Action 6	with the detailed reporting procedures in	improve the performance and practice	carried forward to
	place to ensure that all relevant information	of STC contractors.	operational
	from all STCs is centrally monitored and		management.
	assessed so the whole STC system and	Monitors seek to have a good	
	similar institutions may benefit.	understanding of the performance and	
		practice in an STC in order that they	
		are aware of all relevant information.	
		In part this is achieved by reviewing	
		documents and statistics produced by	
		the establishment as well as meeting	
		staff and young people, visiting house	
		units, etc. The STC's management	
		has a statutory and contractual	
		responsibility to properly manage its	
		centre and the monitoring processes	
		are designed to provide assurance	
		that this is being achieved.	
		The Youth Justice Board has	
		developed its monitoring and contract / SLA management function. The	
		development recognises that: "the role	

of monitoring will be to provide assurance that the provider has effective systems in place to achieve the Youth Justice Board's requirements and to provide feedback to the Youth Justice Board when those are not operating properly". As a result of this development the Youth Justice Board has: Revised the information providers are required to provide to the Youth Justice Board and this revised information is being reported to the Youth Justice Board in relation to STCs, documented the processes the monitors should follow to satisfy their obligations under the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 and the STC Rules. enhanced its management of issues so that issues identified (including those from monitors) are adequately recorded and managed with a view to securing improvement in the performance of providers; and an Issues and Risk Management System is now available to Contract Management and Monitoring staff on the intranet

Coroner Rule 43 Letter Action 7	Some staff members could not remember being trained in matters of self harm/suicide awareness and if they could not remember being trained it is doubtful that they could remember what their training did or ought to have included. Accordingly, it may well be of benefit to all staff employed in STCs for a review to be carried out of training within centres particularly in matters of suicide prevention/self harm.	<ul> <li>operated its contract management and monitoring function within an ISO9000 accredited environment to give assurance that it is being appropriately managed; and all of the above is now operating within this framework and is subject to audit by external ISO9001 auditors.</li> <li>Providers must regularly review all aspects of the training delivered to staff working with children and young people. The training programme, which includes training on self harm and suicide awareness, was reviewed and updated at Hassockfield in 2005.</li> <li>A one-day safeguarding refresher course was delivered at Hassockfield over a 12 week period during 2007, and again in 2008. An additional one-day of training on Suicide Awareness is also being delivered by the psychology department. Hassockfield are working with Newcastle College to get their Initial Training Course (ITC), which all staff working with young</li> </ul>	Achieved
		are working with Newcastle College to get their Initial Training Course (ITC),	
		Custody officers working in STCs continue to be trained in matters pertaining to suicide prevention and	

		self-harm management as part of their	
		initial training course. Additionally, all	
		custody officers are required to attend	
		annual refreshers.	
		Suicide and self-harm prevention	
		procedures are clearly outlined and	
		readily available to all staff working in	
		STCs.	
Coroner	The evidence at the hearing showed	In 2005 the analogue video recording	
Rule 43	deficiencies in the CCTV system, the	system at Hassockfield was replaced	
Letter	morse watchman system and the handheld	with a digital system. There is an	
Action 8	videos of restraints and therefore there	effective monitoring system in place	
	should be clear management responsibility	which allows managers to access high	
	for ensuring the correct use of such	quality, live footage from a range of	
	technology, that it is funded adequately	sites across the Centre. There is also	
	thus providing a significant benefit from	a system in place to ensure that all	
	protection for trainees and staff alike.	necessary equipment is in good	
		working order. In addition, Ofsted	
		carry out two inspections per year on	
		each STC and the physical	
		environment is considered during	
		such inspections.	
		·	Achieved

Coroner Rule 43 Letter Action 9	The evidence indicated certain system deficiencies with regard to handover procedures and therefore I believe that it would be of benefit for there to be clearly defined handover procedures to ensure that important and relevant information about trainees was handed over from one shift to another.	Since Adam's death, Hassockfield has introduced a new Director's Rule for staff briefings and handover meetings. All staff are briefed when they come on shift. The attendance at meetings is logged in order to ensure relevant information has been communicated to everyone. The briefings cover information on admissions, discharges, mobility and movements as well as an update on young people who are subject to High Risk Assessment Team (HRAT) procedures and information around the initiation or review of Individual Crisis Management Plans (ICMP). Operational information, particularly around behaviour management, is passed on and reinforced. Following the briefing, operational staff are required to review any new ICMPs or Behaviour Management Plans in detail.  The Youth Justice Board use the STC Directors Forum, and encourage the use of the Directory of Emerging Practice, to disseminate emerging practice in this area.	Achieved	Achieved
Coroner	An urgent review should be undertaken to	The STC Rules were amended by		Achieved

D 1 46		D 11 (1)	Т	
Rule 43	clarify the interrelationship between the	Parliament in response to this		
Letter	Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994	recommendation in July 2007.		
Action	(s9), the STC Rules issued thereunder and			
10	the Directors Rules to avoid any confusion	The amendment to the STC Rules		
	whatsoever. It must be seen as essential	was challenged in the courts on a		
	that there must be no ambiguity in	number of grounds, including that they		
	anyone's mind, young person, staff,	were in breach of the European		
	management or those in the Youth Justice	Convention on Human Rights. In		
	Board or indeed Government as to when	February 2008, the Divisional Court		
	the use of restraint or force to maintain	rejected that contention, but its		
	good order and discipline or for compliance	decision was overturned in July 2008		
	reasons is authorised.	by the Court of Appeal. The Youth		
	Todostio io admonesta.	Justice Board sent letters to all STCs		
		informing them of the judgement.		
		The legal position is that restraint is		
		only permissible in the circumstances		
		set out in the STC rules; and that s9		
		CJPO 94 Act does <u>not</u> provide an		
		overriding power to use restraint for		
		good order and discipline.		
		In reapones to a recommendation by		
		In response to a recommendation by		
		the Joint Review of Restraint, the		
		Youth Justice Policy Unit in the MoJ is		
		reviewing the legislation and guidance		
		relating to use of restraint in all three		
		sectors of the under-18 estate.		

## Inquest into the death of Gareth Myatt: recommendations made by coroner and government response:

Source	Coroner's Recommendation:	Work Completed	Work Outstanding	Timescale for Meeting Recommendation
Coroner Rule 43 Action 1	A system should be established to inform the staff coming on duty as fully as possible about the newly arrived trainees whom they will be dealing with. It is unsatisfactory that staff pick up the information piecemeal, for example by what they might be told by other staff handing over to them, or by using such information as might be available in their unit within files.	There is a robust system in place at Rainsbrook that ensures staff coming on duty have as much information as possible about the newly arrived trainees. The management of Rainsbrook operate a regular compliance audit of the system. There is a handover period where shifts change which ensures that staff leaving the centre have time to brief staff beginning their shift.  This example of good practice was discussed at the STC Directors Forum and the Youth Justice Board continues to encourage the use of the <i>Directory of Emerging Practice</i> to disseminate good practice in this area.	Achieved	
Coroner Rule 43 Action 2	Every Statutory Incident Report involving the use of PCC should contain full details of what happened, statements by those involved, any injury to a trainee or to staff, reasons for the use of PCC and reasons why other means of dealing with the situation were not used or had	A significant number of young people that are placed in any secure establishment have low literacy and numeracy skills. Many cannot read or write on admission. In addition, for a number of young people English is not their first language. On that basis, a		

	proved unsuccessful. Such Reports must also include a statement by the trainee, in their own hand where possible, and the form should provide the opportunity for a trainee to report any injury. Up to the time of Gareth's death there was no input from the child into this Reporting system. The new Reports should include a facility for both staff and trainees to conclude what lessons they had learned from the incident and how PCC might be avoided on a future occasion. The need for the trainees account, allied to the matters as to "complaints" under Action 3 came to be referred to during the inquest as "listening to the voice of the child", The phrase is a telling one, and one that ought to be borne in mind by everyone at all times.	system which relies heavily on the young person writing on a form may not be the best way to ensure that the experience of a young person is recorded.  Rainsbrook has adopted Restorative Justice Interventions (RJI) which are undertaken following every physical intervention with the young person. This process enables staff to review the incident with the young person to consider what happened and why, what can be learnt from this incident and then an action plan is devised to prevent further incidents occurring. This action plan is then reviewed by a Residential Service Manager with the young person to consider its effectiveness and future coping strategies for dealing with conflict. This process ensures the young person's voice and view of what happened is taken into account.  The Youth Justice Board have disseminated this as an example of emerging good practice in the secure estate.	The Worth Instinct Decord in	
Coroner Rule 43 Action 3	Where any complaint by a trainee is being investigated it is essential to talk to the trainee. It is not adequate simply to proceed only on the basis of what the	The Rainsbrook complaint system has been updated since 2004. A database compiled by their Information Officers documents the process.	The Youth Justice Board is reviewing the complaints system across the secure estate. The coroner's recommendations form	The review of complaints has been extended to include the views of

	trainee has put in writing and then interview only the staff. The practice should be adopted, whoever is investigating the complaint that the trainee is spoken to, not only in the initial stages, but during the course of the investigation and after the investigation as well.	The Complaints database is audited not only by the Youth Justice Board monitor and the Independent Advocacy Service (Voice) but also by Ofsted who examine these on each inspection. All complaints are recorded and dated in the Complaints Register (electronically and hard copy), by the Information Officer. Young people can consult with Voice advocates (who visit on a weekly basis) regarding Rainsbrook's complaint procedure. The procedures allow for an appeal to the monitor if the complaint cannot be resolved.	part of this review. The review is also considering the future role of the Prisons and Probation Ombudsman in dealing with STC complaints. The scope of the review has been extended so that the views of young people can be included; this consultation is being undertaken by User Voice.	young people and is to be completed by autumn 2010
Coroner Rule 43 Action 4	There must be a clear policy developed by the relevant Ministries, the Youth Justice Board, in fact all those involved, as to the circumstances in which matters such as complaints by a trainee and/or injuries to a trainee are referred to the Local Safeguarding Children Board, and/or to other local Children's Services and/or to the police or any other outside body.	The Youth Justice Board has addressed this as part of its contribution to the development of 'Working Together to Safeguard Children' (HM Government 2006) which sets out a framework for how concerns about children's welfare, and allegations of abuse against those who work with children, should be handled.  STC Directors are now statutory members of Local Safeguarding Children Boards, which are required to develop local policies and procedures, compatible with national legislation and guidance, covering matters such as thresholds for referral and the handling of allegations.	Achieved	

		Where child protection issues are concerned, either through a complaint or some other route, the Youth Justice Board has developed and implemented guidance for Youth Justice Board Monitors on child protection procedures in the context of 'Working Together' (2006) and Section 11 of the Children Act 2004.  The Youth Justice Board is participating in DfE's research into Section 11 compliance by ensuring relevant questions are included for response by STC Directors.		
Coroner	In addition, there must be a clear	Complaints not relating to child protection do not in general require referral to children's social care although referrals may be needed for other reasons.  Rainsbrook refer to the Local Authority	The Youth Justice Board is	The review of
Rule 43 Action 5	protocol as to what action should be taken and by whom when a complaint is made by a trainee, or after a decision has been taken to refer injuries or any other matter to an outside body. In particular there should be a clear protocol as to the circumstances, if any, in which it might be appropriate to ask the STC itself to investigate any matter. The reasons for a withdrawal of a	Designated Officer (LADO) any child protection allegation, as do other STCs. The referral includes all documentation relating to the allegation including: the relevant incident report, secured videotape, if applicable, and the body map completed by healthcare following any physical intervention. It is the role of local authorities to investigate any child protection concerns arising in their	reviewing the complaints system across the secure estate. The coroner's recommendations form part of this review. The review is also considering the future role of the Prisons and Probation Ombudsman in dealing with STC complaints.	complaints has been extended to include the views of young people and is to be completed by autumn 2010

	complaint need careful investigation by outside bodies.	area.  Since 2004 the Youth Justice Board has funded advocacy services in all STCs.  One of the roles of the advocate is to help support the young person in pursuing any complaint he or she may have.		
Coroner Rule 43 Action 6	The Children's Commissioner, the National Children's Bureau and child advocacy services should be asked to assist with the formulation of appropriate protocols and actions as to the matters raised in Actions 3, 4 and 5.	It is the Youth Justice Board's practice to seek the views and contributions of stakeholders, and the Youth Justice Board would wish to consult with these and other relevant organisations in relation to the formulation of appropriate protocols.  The investigation of child protection concerns is a matter for local authorities, based upon the guidelines contained within <i>Working Together</i> (2006). The guidance was issued by DfES following an extensive consultation process involving key stakeholders.	Achieved	
Coroner Rule 43 Action 7	The Ministry of Justice and the Youth Justice Board must publicly clarify where responsibility for the system of PCC and its permitted use lies.	The responsibility for PCC in STCs lies with the Secretary of State. The Restraint Management Board which is chaired by senior officials advises on these matters.		Achieved
Coroner Rule 43 Action	All those responsible for PCC must clearly state publicly the range of circumstances in which PCC can be	The Court of Appeal decision on the STC Rules sets out the purposes for which PCC may be used in STCs. It is		

8	used, whether there are immediate amendments to the STC rules or not. Those responsible must also constantly consider whether or not PCC is being used too frequently, or is being used inappropriately, for example as a "default" system in the way I have already outlined.	the responsibility of the STC operators to ensure that the use of PCC is within the law. The Youth Justice Board has a responsibility to ensure STCs have appropriate and effective systems in place.  Operators must ensure that staff are fully trained and equipped with the skills required to assess situations and make informed decisions on the appropriate use of restraint.  The Youth Justice Board's aim is to reduce the use of restraint in the secure estate for children and young people. The Code of Practice on Behaviour Management outlines the principles establishments should work towards in order to develop systems of managing behaviour which minimise the use of restraint. Full implementation of the Code of Practice aims to achieve this across the secure estate.	
Coroner Rule 43 Action 9	Whatever decision is made by Parliament as to the circumstances in which PCC can be used, there must be full and careful teaching given to staff as to the meaning and full implications of the STC Rules. Staff and trainees need very clear guidelines as to the day-to-day interpretation of the STC rules and the	The STC contracts and legislation require staff to be properly trained. Staff must undergo annual refresher training in PCC. At Rainsbrook they operate an enhanced training programme where staff are refreshed in all aspects of PCC on a six-monthly basis.	

	circumstances in which physical restraint is and is not allowed.	A quality assurance programme for PCC training has been developed under the auspices of the PCC Management Board.		
Coroner Rule 43 Action 10	Quite separate from any "joint review" on restraints there should be an immediate, urgent and complete Review by both the Ministry of Justice and the Youth Justice Board of all techniques of physical restraint and control within PCC, such review to include a review of the medical safety of each and every one of those techniques. It became apparent during the inquest that some holds authorised within PCC, for example the double basket hold, continue in use notwithstanding the conclusions of Dr Bleetman and Mr Boatman that they are dangerous. I understand that the use of such holds has been reviewed and that their use is subject to extra advice given by Mr Bleetman. The continued use of such holds needs immediate review. The review should also consider other possible means of restraint. Before any such review is acted on, or authorisation is sought from Parliament for amendments to the STC Rules, the views of the Children's Bureau and Local Safeguarding Children Boards should be	The Youth Justice Board has secured the ongoing services of a medical expert to review the exception incident reports it receives from all secure establishments. As a result of this the Youth Justice Board communicated updated medical advice to STCs in September 2007.  Separately from the Joint Review of Restraint, an expert PCC medical review panel met on 2 November 2007 to review the safety of all PCC holds and make recommendations. In response to some concerns expressed by the panel, Ministers decided to suspend the use of the double basket hold and the nose distraction technique, pending final recommendations from the panel. A member of the Forum for Prevention of Deaths in Custody and advisor to the Prison Service has been invited to sit on the PCC Medical Panel. The Medical Panel's recommendations were received in March 2008 and action has been taken to implement these recommendations.	Achieved	

	sought. The most up to date information available from the Forum for Preventing Deaths In custody on restraint should also be obtained.			
Coroner Rule 43 Action 11	The panel conducting that review suggested at Action 10 should include experts not only in the fields represented on earlier Panels but also in the relevant medical disciplines, for example a Pathologist or other consultant with specific expertise in respiratory matters. In addition there needs to be an expert on restraint asphyxia.	Dr Nat Carey, a pathologist, is a member of the PCC Medical Panel. The Youth Justice Board has also invited a member of the Forum for the Prevention of Deaths in Custody and advisor to the Prison Service to join the PCC Medical Review Panel.		
Coroner Rule 43 Action 12	Further consideration should be given by the Ministry of Justice and the Youth Justice Board to implementing the teaching of PCC at national level by national instructors. This would be the best possible system.	We accept the need for consistency in the training delivered. There are different ways in which this can be achieved.  A quality assurance programme for PCC training has been developed under the auspices of the PCC Management Board.  Furthermore, a new behaviour management system is currently being developed which will replace PCC in STCs.	Achieved	
Coroner	The resources at the Prison Service	The Youth Justice Board have reviewed	Achieved	
Rule 43	Training Centre at Kidlington (and	and provided funding for the National		
Action	elsewhere if relevant) should be	Tactical Response Group's work in this		
13	reviewed, along with the system of	area. Two principal officers have been		

Coroner Rule 43 Action 14	training the National Instructors themselves, so as to ensure that all those at the highest level are familiar with developments in techniques and in medical knowledge of Positional Asphyxia at the relevant time.  In the event that teaching continues to be "cascaded down", so that teaching continues to be carried out by STC staff at STC level, then as a bare minimum there needs to be nationally based supervision and inspection of such teaching, by the Ministry of Justice.	appointed in the National Tactical Response Group to quality assure PCC training.  The Independent Review of Restraint (published in December 2008) recommended that a Restraint Accreditation Board should be created to oversee and approve all restraint techniques used in the secure estate for children and young people. The Board includes a range of experts, including medical experts, and will be kept updated by the Youth Justice Board and others with research in this area.  The Restraint Accreditation Board members have been appointed, and are ready to begin operations.  A regular quality assurance programme for PCC training has been developed and implemented under the auspices of the PCC Management Board	
Coroner Rule 43 Action 15	Particular attention should be paid, during training, to the theory and practice as to "medical aspects" arising from the use of PCC, with full discussion of those aspects. There should be distinct "lesson plans" within the teaching to	The Youth Justice Board wrote to all STCs in October 2004 detailing the medical advice received by Dr Bleetman. A further letter was written in March 2007 reminding STCs of Dr Bleetman's medical advice and their	

Achieved

	minimise any tendency for the teaching to be diluted by the "cascading down" process.	responsibility to ensure that their staff are aware of this advice. Additional medical advice in relation to PCC was communicated to STCs in September 2007.	
		All the medical advice the Youth Justice Board received in relation to PCC was communicated to PCC trainers at the National Tactical Response Group to ensure that the training they delivered reflected the latest medical advice.	
		See also Action 13, above.	
		A quality assurance programme for PCC training has been developed under the auspices of the PCC Management Board. Two principal officers have been appointed in the National Tactical Response Group to quality assure PCC training.	
Coroner Rule 43 Action 16	The present PCC manual should be reviewed immediately and regularly thereafter, so as to ensure that it contains the most up to date medical information.	The PCC manual was reviewed and reissued by the Prison Service following the 2005 review of PCC. This was followed by staff training based on the new manual. The review of the manual is part of a cycle which involves: the recommendations of the PCC panel being approved by the Secretary of State; the revision of the manual to take into account all amendments; the delivery of new training to all staff; the	

		effect of the training being implemented in day to day practice; a sustained period of implementation of the new procedures in order to gather information to enable a future panel to evaluate the effectiveness of the new system.		
		The National Tactical Response Group continually updates the PCC manual.		
Coroner Rule 43 Action 17	The PCC manual (or a simplified but adequate version of it, particularly with regard to medical safety) should be provided to all those staff in STCs who are empowered to use PCC. Such a document should also be provided to all those with monitoring responsibilities.	All staff in STCs are now provided with information about medical safety and the PCC restraint system, either through short briefing documents or through posters and information displayed in busy staff areas. This information is also provided to STC monitors.		Achieved
Coroner Rule 43 Action 18	There should be an immediate and thorough review by the Ministry of Justice of its own system of monitoring the Youth Justice Board. The Ministry must satisfy itself that the Youth Justice Board adequately fulfils its duty to provide a safe environment at STCs. The Ministry of Justice will need to devise systems whereby it can say that its own monitoring of the Youth Justice Board is satisfactory. Only be such means can the Ministry properly say whether or not the Youth Justice Board is	There are regular meetings between Ministers and the Youth Justice Board and day-to-day contact between the Youth Justice Board and officials in the Youth Justice Policy Unit.  The Restraint Management Board, which is chaired by senior officials, oversees the actions of the Youth Justice Board and other stakeholders in monitoring the use of PCC in STCs and ensures that safeguarding procedures with regard to restraint are in place. Apply		
	in fact providing the safest possible environment for trainees and therefore	with regard to restraint are in place. Any safeguarding issues in STCs are considered by the Board, which can	Achieved	

	whether or not the Youth Justice Board is, with regard to the safety of trainees, "fit for purpose".	institute any necessary further action.  The government conducted a review of the Youth Justice Board, which published its findings in March 2010.  Work is underway to implement the arising recommendations.		
Coroner	There should also be an immediate and	Since 2004 the Youth Justice Board		
Rule 43	thorough review by the Ministry of	has significantly changed its monitoring		
Action	Justice and the Youth Justice Board of	of secure establishments. It has		
19	the Youth Justice Board's monitoring	developed a framework for monitoring		
	systems. Such a review should also	known as the Effective Regimes		
	establish systems to ensure that there is	Monitoring Framework (ERMF) which		
	"qualitative analysis" of information	ensures consistent qualitative analysis		
	gathered by monitoring. It is essential to	of performance in the secure estate.		
	assess not only the accuracy of	This was fallowed in 2000 by a		
	information gathered but also the	This was followed in 2006 by a fundamental review of all of the Youth		
	implications arising from that information. The issues arising from the monitoring	Justice Board's monitoring activities.		
	go way beyond the simple issue of	This resulted in a major re-organisation		
	testing whether or not the "contract"	of the Youth Justice Board's contract		
	between the Youth Justice Board and	management and monitoring function.	Achieved	
	Rebound is being complied with. The	Where monitors raise concerns there	Achieved	
	review of monitoring systems should be	are now clear processes and		
	geared to answer these questions: Is the	accountability for the identification,		
	information obtained through the	escalation and resolution of issues.		
	monitoring system full and accurate? Is			
	that information obtained through the	In 2008 the Youth Justice Board gained		
	monitoring system full and accurate? Is	ISO 9000 accreditation for its		
	the monitoring system actually helping us	monitoring activity to ensure that there		
	to provide the safest possible	is independent validation of all its		
	environment for trainees and thereby	monitoring systems.		
	making us, with regard to the safety of			

	trainees "fit for purpose"?			
Coroner Rule 43 Action 20	I repeat the matters set out at Actions 3, 4 and 5 above as to complaints by trainees and the referral of matters to outside bodies as being relevant items which there is a need for review once monitoring systems have been set up.	See responses to Action 3, 4 and 5 above.	The Youth Justice Board is reviewing the complaints system across the secure estate. The coroner's recommendations form part of this review. The review is also considering the future role of the Prisons and Probation Ombudsman in dealing with STC complaints.	The review of complaints has been extended to include the views of young people and is to be completed by autumn 2010
Coroner Rule 43 Action 21	In conjunction with the reviews already suggested, the Youth Justice Board's system of monitoring based mainly on a single resident monitor should be looked at immediately. At the very least there should be more central oversight of monitoring and more on-site visits by the Youth Justice Board Regional Manager and, indeed, by those higher up the Youth Justice Board. The use of more than one monitor may be appropriate and further consideration should be given to the use of "teams of specialist monitors". Similar considerations apply to Rebound.	The review of monitoring has resulted in the development of a risk-led monitoring approach which allows the Youth Justice Board to allocate resources to areas where it has most concerns. A key element of the revised monitoring arrangements is focused thematic reviews of areas of concern in establishments. In addition, the Youth Justice Board continues to ensure that the statutory role of the STC monitor is complied with.  There is a regular programme of visits by senior officials at the Youth Justice Board to STCs and these will continue in the future.  The Youth Justice Board has evaluated the effectiveness of the new monitoring regime. A secondary monitor has been identified for each establishment and	Achieved	

		supervision is conducted on-site.		
Coroner Rule 43 Action 22	There should be an immediate review by the Ministry of Justice, the Youth Justice Board and Rebound of Rebound's monitoring systems. Again this review should be geared to ensuring that the monitoring system answers these questions: Is the information obtained full and accurate? Is that information telling us what is really going on? Is the monitoring system actually helping us to provide the safest possible environment for trainees, and thereby making us, with regard toe the safety of trainees, "fit for purpose"?	supervision is conducted on-site.  The Youth Justice Board's new monitoring approach which focuses on monitoring the systems that are in place in secure establishments enables it to have assurance of Rebound's internal monitoring systems. The project is complete and a revised approach to monitoring introduced.  Monitoring is now delivered within an IS09001 registered quality management system which provides a structure for regular review and continuous improvement of the Youth Justice Board's systems  Bi-annual inspections carried out by	•	Achieved
Coroner Rule 43 Action 23	The new monitoring system must include proper study and analysis of the Incident Reports, so that the actual techniques used during PCC can be monitored and so that the reason why PCC was used can be monitored. That will also give rise to finding out why alternative strategies had not been used or had not worked.	Ofsted also examine the management of the centre.  STCs are the primary reviewer of incident reports as responsibility for the operation of the centre lies with the provider. The Youth Justice Board has identified an important example of emerging practice taking place at Hassockfield STC.  Hassockfield hold a monthly Critical Incident Review Panel where Hassockfield management and representatives of the police service, social services and other local agencies		Achieved

Coroner	Reports of any injuries caused during	review the incidents that have occurred in the establishment over the previous month. Multi-agency advice is also sought in the development of practice and policy in this area.  This was discussed at the STC Directors' Forum in June 2008, with STCs taking forward good practice.  The Youth Justice Board set up a	Achieved
Rule 43 Action 24	PCC, or reports of any breathing difficulties or vomiting, need the most careful scrutiny and analysis by the Ministry of Justice, the Youth Justice Board and by Rebound. Any such Reports need consideration at the highest level and must be regularly included in Reports to Ministers and to Parliament.	system of exception reporting in February 2006 where any incidents resulting in difficulty breathing, vomiting etc are reported directly to the centre of the Youth Justice Board. The Youth Justice Board has established ongoing medical advice to assist in the review of exception reports arising from restraints as they are received.  This information is included in quarterly reports to Ministers. In addition, it will be considered by the Restraint Management Board and shared with STC Directors and the National Tactical Response Group.  The information was also provided to the PCC Review Panel when it met on 2 November 2007.	Achieved
Coroner	The Youth Justice Board and the Forum	The 2008 review of compliance with the	
Rule 43	of STC Directors should develop a clear	Code of Practice on Behaviour	
Action	system of "best practices" as to	Management identified examples of	

25	Behaviour Management. These "best practices" should relate particularly to the need for and the avoidance of the need for the use of PCC. "Best Practices" and their teaching and adoption will avoid PCC becoming the easy "default" system for resolving difficult behaviour. Consideration should be given to extending information sharing as to such "good practice" across the juvenile "secure estate".	emerging practice.  The Youth Justice Board has disseminated emerging practice among establishments.  The Healthier Inside Project that the NCB have been commissioned to undertake by the Department of Health and the Youth Justice Board has produced <i>Delivering Every Child Matters in Secure Settings</i> – a practical toolkit for improving the health and wellbeing of young people in 2008 and provides a quarterly magazine for the secure settings and YOTs. Phase 2 (2009/2010) of Healthier Inside is looking at an evaluation of good practice examples and how this material would be best shared across the estate.		
Coroner Rule 43 Action 26	Continuing urgent consideration needs to be given to strategies that avoid the need for segregation (also referred to as "single separation") that avoid the need for the removal of "risk assessed items" from trainees rooms and that avoid, so far as is possible the use of any physical intervention against the trainee.	The Youth Justice Board has asked all centres to review their practice in relation to the use of segregation.  The centres have a clear policy on the use of segregation with escalating levels of approval required depending on the time the young person is segregated. For example a segregation period of 15 minutes requires the authorisation of a Training Supervisor	The Youth Justice Board has undertaken a review of separation across the secure estate. The views of young people will also be incorporated and the findings from the review will be shared with providers to develop recommendations and an action plan.	The Review of Separation including recommendations and an action plan is expected early in 2011

		whereas a period in excess of 30 minutes requires authorisation of the Duty Director. In the STC Rules the use of removal from association has an upper limit of three hours in a twenty four hour period. This is a very stringent limit compared to other sectors of the secure estate for children and young people.		
Coroner Rule 43 Action 27	"Best Practice" guidance and teaching should be given by the Youth Justice Board and Rebound to staff at STCs on a regular basis.	The Youth Justice Board has a webbased directory of emerging practice where all youth justice practitioners are encouraged to submit examples of emerging practice.  In addition the Youth Justice Board also runs frequent national, regional and local events to share emerging practice and research developments.  The Healthier Inside Project that the NCB have been commissioned to undertake by the Department of Health and the Youth Justice Board has produced <i>Delivering Every Child Matters in Secure Settings</i> – a practical toolkit for improving the health and wellbeing of young people in 2008 and provides a quarterly magazine for the secure settings and YOTs. Phase 2 (2009/2010) of Healthier Inside is looking at an evaluation of good practice examples and how this material would be best shared across the estate.	Achieved	

Coroner Rule 43 Action 28	Consideration should be given to "separating" trainees into a room other than their own room, e.g. to a cooling down room. This would avoid the need for removing "risk assessed" items from a trainees own room. CCTV could be used in such rooms; this would protect both staff and trainees. It also avoids problems of having CCTV in trainees own rooms.	The Youth Justice Board has asked all centres to review their practice in relation to the use of segregation. This would include the use of 'cool-down rooms'.	The Youth Justice Board has undertaken a review of separation. The views of young people will also be incorporated and the findings from the review will be shared with providers to develop recommendations and an action plan. This recommendation is being considered within the scope of the review and the findings will be considered in future capital developments – especially in relation to the provision of 'cooling down' rooms.	The Review of Separation including recommendations and an action plan is expected early in 2011
Coroner Rule 43 Action 29	The Children's Commissioner and the Local Safeguarding Children's Boards should be involved in the process of developing "good practice" so as to ensure that "outside" views of what is "good practice" is taken into account".	The Youth Justice Board has an ongoing programme of developing regimes and practice across the secure estate. It is normal practice to seek the views and contributions of the appropriate experts. The Youth Justice Board is in ongoing discussion with the Children's Commissioner and meets regularly with her office to discuss the formulation and implementation of its strategy. It also works closely with other stakeholders: for example the Safeguarding Review published in December 2008 involved a team of experts from the National Children's Bureau. In addition the Youth Justice Board runs extensive consultation	Achieved	

		projects in relation to all major pieces of work.	
Coroner Rule 43 Action 30	The Forum for Preventing Deaths in Custody should be used by the Youth Justice Board as a means of providing information to others about the circumstances of Gareth's death and the lessons to be learned from the death, as well as a source of information about deaths in custody.	The Youth Justice Board is an active participant in the Forum for the Prevention of Deaths in Custody. The purpose of the Forum is for all members to share lessons learned from all deaths in custody.  The Youth Justice Board used the Forum's meeting in November 2007 to discuss the actions taken and planned by the Youth Justice Board to meet the recommendations from the inquests in into the deaths of Gareth Myatt and Adam Rickwood.	
Coroner Rule 43 Action 31	The Forum for Preventing Deaths in Custody should become the collecting point for, and the source of distribution of, information from Inquests arising out of deaths in custody (for example, verdicts returned and any Rule 43 matters). Such information should be made readily available to all Forum members and, so far as is possible to the public through its website.	The Forum's annual report published in September 2007 acknowledged that it had not been able to conduct or commission research into any of the issues it believed were worthy of it. Furthermore, it had no capacity to monitor or report on the recommendations that might be made as a result of investigations, inspections or inquests or to monitor whether and how they were implemented.  During the debates on the Corporate Manslaughter Bill on 16 May 2007, the Government made a commitment to	Achieved

		review the Forum's current arrangements. A full review took place and Maria Eagle updated the House on its progress in December 2007 in a written Ministerial statement (WMS). The review has now been completed and the Government reported to Parliament in a further WMS on 25 February 2008.  The changes recommended in the review are now being implemented.	
Coroner Rule 43 Action 32	All those involved in the STC system need to consider very carefully and very regularly how they can learn lessons from what happened to Gareth Myatt, how they can build on good practice, and how they can prevent another trainee dying as a result of physical restraint.	The Youth Justice Board has set up a Forum for STC Directors in order that emerging practice and lessons learned can be shared. The STC Directors Forum meets on a quarterly basis. In addition, STC Directors meet outside of formal Youth Justice Board meetings on a regular basis. All STC Directors have been made aware of all of the recommendations arising from this case.	Achieved
Coroner Rule 43 Action 33	Procedures to ensure speedy access for emergency vehicles to STCs should be reviewed.	The centres have Incident Contingency Plans, strategies and checklists which provide policy and guidance relating to emergency vehicle access. In the design and build of secure establishments, careful consideration is given to the ability of emergency vehicles to access establishments. In	Achieved

		addition provision is made in the STC contracts to ensure that establishments have procedures in place to deal with emergency situations.  The Youth Justice Board has written to STC Directors asking them to review their policies in this area.	
Coroner Rule 43 Action 34	All matters raised by the death of Gareth Myatt should be brought immediately to the attention of Ofsted. Ofsted will, of course, need to examine and review the actual use of PCC by STCs. They will also need to examine the effectiveness of the system for referrals to outside agencies.	A copy of the Coroner's Rule 43 letter has been sent to Ofsted. Ministry of Justice / DCSF, the Youth Justice Board and Ofsted agreed a new Service Level Agreement in March 2007 for inspections of STCs, which takes account of the lessons learned from Gareth Myatt's death.	Achieved