

## Application SCR evaluation template

|                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Name of activity, address and NGR | Pryce & Son Ltd<br><br>Manor Farm<br>Felton Butler<br>Montford Bridge<br>Shrewsbury<br>Shropshire<br>SY4 2LG<br><br>SJ 3930 1740 |
|-----------------------------------|--|

|                                       |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Document reference of application SCR | Sub Variation application – 12/06/2013 |
|---------------------------------------|--|

|                                     |  |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Date and version of application SCR | Partial Surrender application – 05/08/2014 |
|-------------------------------------|--|

### 1.0 Site details

**Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?**

Site plans showing site layout, drainage, surfacing, receptors, sources of emissions/releases and monitoring points

*Plans were provided and accepted at the permit determination. These included a location plan, site layout plan and drainage drawing/plan which included details of emission points and storage areas. These were accepted on 23/09/2013.*

### 2.0 Condition of the land at permit issue

To be completed by GWCL officers  
(Receptor)

**Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?**

- a) Environmental setting including geology, hydrogeology and surface waters
- b) Pollution history including:
  - pollution incidents that may have affected land
  - historical land-uses and associated contaminants
  - visual/olfactory evidence of existing contamination
  - evidence of damage to existing pollution prevention measures
- c) Evidence of historic contamination (i.e. historical site investigation, assessment, remediation and verification reports (where available))
- d) Has the applicant chosen to collect baseline reference data?

*All of the above criteria were met and the relevant information provided. The applicant stated that there are no visible areas of contamination on site. These were accepted at permit determination on 23/09/2013.*

### 3.0 Permitted activities

(Source)

**Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?**

- a) Permitted activities
- b) Non-permitted activities undertaken at the site

*The site is regulated under Scheduled Activity S6.9 A(1)(a)(i) Rearing of poultry intensively in an installation with more than 40,000 places. The capacity of the site is 575,000 broiler places, additionally as a directly associated activity the site operators a biomass boiler with a rated thermal input not exceeding 0.988 megawatts.*

### 3.0(a) Environmental Risk Assessment

(Source)

The H1 environmental risk assessment should identify elements that could impact on land and waters, cross- referenced back to documents and plans provided as part of the wider permit application.

*The site condition report for Manor Farm (dated 01/07/2013) demonstrated that the hazards to land or groundwater have been mitigated/minimised such that there is little likelihood of pollution and there is no evidence of historic contamination on site.*

*We reviewed the operator's assessment of the environmental risk from the facility and deemed it satisfactory. The assessment showed that, applying the conservative criteria in our guidance on Environmental Risk Assessment, all emissions may be categorised as environmentally insignificant.*

*This was accepted at permit determination, dated 23/09/2013.*

### 3.0(b) Will the pollution prevention measures protect land and groundwater?

(Conceptual model)

|  |  |
|--|--|
| Are the activities likely to result in pollution of land?  | <p>No. We are fully satisfied that the operator has demonstrated that the proposed operation will protect the land and groundwater beneath and surrounding the site.</p> <p>We consider that in reaching the decision to issue an environmental permit we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the permit will ensure that the appropriate level of environment protection is provided.</p> <p>No monitoring was required as all emissions have been assessed as insignificant.</p> |
| For dangerous and/or hazardous substances only, are the pollution prevention measures for the relevant activities to a standard that is likely to prevent pollution of land? | <p>Yes. The majority of the site is competent concrete and hardstanding that is subject to inspection and when required repair. Bunding is used wear appropriate and the site operation and storage is in accordance with indicative BAT.</p>  |

| Application SCR decision summary   | Tick relevant decision |
|--|------------------------|
| Sufficient information has been supplied to describe the condition of the site at permit issue | Yes                    |
| Pollution of land and water is unlikely; or  | Yes                    |
| Date and name of reviewer:<br>M Derbyshire (NPS) 23/09/2014                                    | <i>M J Derbyshire</i>  |

## Operational phase SCR evaluation template

Sections 4.0. to 7.0 may be completed annually in line with normal record checks.

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>4.0 Changes to the activities</b><br>(Source)  |  |
| <b>Have there been any changes to the following during the operation of the site?</b>   |  |
| a) Activity boundaries<br>b) Permitted activities<br>c) "Dangerous substances" used or produced   |  |
| <p><i>On 23/09/2013 a substantial variation was issued to the operator. This variation authorised an increase of bird numbers to 575,000 broiler places from 320,000 places. The extra livestock is accommodated in six new houses all with high velocity ventilation. The existing poultry houses are upgraded with high velocity ventilation and all poultry sheds now include gable end fans. The variation also authorised the installation of a biomass boiler with a thermal input of 988 kilowatts which uses virgin biomass to generate heat for the poultry sheds.</i></p> <p><i>In September 2014 the operator applied for the removal of a small section of concrete apron from within the installation boundary, in addition to the relocation of the site swale (which also sees the extension to the site).</i></p> |  |
| <b>5.0 Measures taken to protect land</b><br>(Pathway)  |  |
| Has the applicant provided evidence from records collated during the lifetime of the permit, to show that the pollution prevention measures have worked?  | <i>There have been no records of any environmental incidents or accidents that could have affected the land or groundwater beneath the site.</i> |
| <b>6.0 Pollution incidents that may have impacted on land and their remediation</b><br>(Sources)  |  |
| Has the applicant provided evidence to show that any pollution incidents which have taken place during the life of the permit and which may have impacted on land or water have been investigated and remediated (where necessary)?   | <i>No pollution incidents have been recorded or are known to have taken place.</i>   |
| <b>7.0 Soil gas and water quality monitoring (where relevant)</b><br>To be completed by GWCL officers   |  |
| Where soil gas and/or water quality monitoring has been undertaken, does this demonstrate that there has been no change in the condition of the land? Has any change that has occurred been investigated and remediated?  | <i>Not applicable.</i>   |

## Surrender SCR Evaluation Template

If you haven't already completed previous sections 4.0 to 7.0, do so now before assessing the surrender.

| <b>8.0 Decommissioning and removal of pollution risk</b><br>To be completed by EM/PPC officers  |   |
|---|---|
| Has the applicant demonstrated that decommissioning works have been undertaken and that all pollution risks associated with the site have been removed? Has any contamination of land that has occurred during these activities been investigated and remediated? | All permitted activities should have ceased and all sources of pollution risk should be removed before the Surrender SCR is produced. |

| <b>10.0 Statement of site condition</b><br>To be completed by EM/PPC officers  |   |
|--|---|
| Has the applicant provided a statement, backed up with evidence, confirming that the permitted activities have ceased, decommissioning works are complete and that pollution risk has been removed and that the land and waters at the site are in a satisfactory state? | This section should be used if the operator is relying solely on records obtained during the operational phase of the activity.<br>If no, specify why |

| <b>9.0 Reference data and remediation (where relevant)</b><br>To be completed by GWCL officers   |   |
|--|---|
| Has the applicant provided details of any surrender reference data that they have collected and any remediation that they have undertaken?<br><br>(Reference data for soils must meet the requirements of policy 307_03 Chemical test data on contaminated soils – quantification requirements). If the surrender reference data shows that the condition of the land has changed as a result of the permitted activities, the applicant will need to undertake remediation to return the condition of the land back to that at permit issue. You should not require remediation of historic contamination or contamination arising from non-permitted activities as part of the permit surrender. | Where surrender reference data is needed, applicants will only need to collect this for the measures/areas where they can't show that there has been no change in the condition of the land using the information collected during the life of the permit. Refer to Sections 3 & 4 above.<br><br>When assessing whether any baseline reference data is relevant, you must consider whether it relates to the appropriate media (e.g. soil, groundwater, gas) substances and area of the site. |

| <b>10.0 Statement of site condition</b><br>To be completed by GWCL officers  |                    |
|--|--------------------|
| Has the applicant provided a statement, backed up with evidence, confirming that the permitted activities have ceased, decommissioning works are complete and that pollution risk has been removed and that the land and waters at the site are in a satisfactory state? | If no, specify why |

| <b>Surrender SCR decision summary</b><br>To be completed by GWCL officers and returned to NPS  | Tick relevant decision |
|--|------------------------|
| Sufficient information has been supplied to show that pollution risk has been removed and that the site is in a satisfactory state – accept the application to surrender the permit; or  |                        |
| Insufficient information has been supplied to show that pollution risk has been removed or that the site is in a satisfactory state – do not accept the application to surrender the permit. The following information must to be obtained from the applicant before the permit is determined: |                        |
| Date and name of reviewer  |                        |