Department for Communities and Local Government

EVALUATION CRITERIA: Strengthening Faith Institutions Programme

Ref no:

Evaluator's name:

Bidding organisation/s:

Eligibility

Is the organisation (or the lead organisation in a consortium) a registered charity or have a charitable purpose? **Yes/No**

Scoring Criteria

Criterion	Factors to Take into Account	Weight (%)	Score (0-4)	Weighted Score
Organisation's capability	Does the organisation/ do the organisations have a a demonstrable record in delivering projects and working with faith institutions? Does it/do they provide the necessary skills/knowledge/experience required by the proposal? *Section 1* If a consortium: Are suitable and robust governance arrangements in place? *Section 4.5 in specification*	10		
Training for faith institutions and support for those facing challenges	Will faith institutions to be able to access quality training on the topics most useful to them and which will make them stronger, more effective and more resilient? Will the below topics be covered? o Financial management o Setting up strong and resilient governance structures o Challenging intolerance and extremist behaviours of any kind Will faith institutions facing challenges be able to access advice and support to help them work through the issue and establish a way of preventing or mitigating similar problems in the future? *Section 3.2 in specification*	45		
Sharing Best Practice: materials and website	Will the programme deliver part of an existing website to act as the 'face' of the programme and be a place where faith institutions can easily: o access templates, resources, case studies and training materials o find links and signposts to other relevant websites o find out about training , support and grants offered under parts 1 and 3 of the programme o Access a user friendly guide to powers available to faith institutions to combat extremism. o Find out more about how and when to access pro-bono legal support Will communications help faith institutions to know about the programme and the website? Will the programme communicate to faith instiutions that they could access pro-bono legal advice? Will the website be kept up-to-date and include metrics to evaluate its effectiveness? *Section 3.2 in specification*			
Connecting faith with the community	Will the programme: • Link faith institutions more strongly with their local communities, supporting them to engage with local people and be known and respected by their wider communities. • Help places of worship better engage women and young people and give them more of a voice in a way that is sustainable after funding ends. • Foster stronger connections between faith institutions of different religions and creeds? *Section 3.2 in specification*			
Measurement and evaluation	Is there a clear and effective plan to measure the progress and impact of the programme throughout its life? Will both quantitative and qualitative data be included? Will the measures and evaluation make clear what worked and what could be improved for future programmes? *Section 3.3 in specification*	25		
Sustainability	Are the proposed means of financial sustainability realistic, robust and are the risks are manageable? *Section 3.4 in specification*			
Location	Will the programme to run in a good geographical spread of areas across England? Does it cover the listed areas and if it covers other areas, are the reasons for doing so well explained? *Section 4.2 in specification*	20		
Project management: capacity to deliver	Does the proposal detail how the bidder will manage the programme delivery to achieve best value for money and use of resources? How viable is the approach?			
Finance and value for money	Will the proposal achieve good value for money? How much does the programme cost? Is match funding being drawn in? Does the proposal demonstrate: • That costs, and costs per output, present the best use of money • That financial risks are identified and managed • That costs and any other funding are profiled in a sensible way *Section 4.3 - 4.4 in specification*			
			TOTAL SCORE	0

Overall Comments:

Signed: **Dated:**

Scoring Guide

Score Rating	Description
0 Unacceptable	A proposal at this rating: · Builds very little or no confidence that the bidder can deliver the requirements due to insufficient evidence of relevant ability, understanding, skills, resources and quality measures; · Builds very little or no confidence that the bidder's approach/solution will deliver the requirements due to insufficient evidence or an inappropriate approach/solution.
1 Reservations	A proposal at this rating: · Raises reservations that the bidder can deliver the requirements due to insufficient evidence of relevant ability, understanding, skills, resources and quality measures; · Raises reservations that the bidder's approach/solution will deliver the requirements due to insufficient evidence or an inappropriate approach/solution. Note: a response at this rating includes reservations which cannot be easily resolved with the bidder pre-contract award (i.e. changes which would distort the competition) or during the contract term without impacting time, quality or cost.
2 Acceptable	A proposal at this rating: · Confirms that the bidder can deliver the requirements through evidence of relevant ability, understanding, skills, resources and quality measures; · Provides an acceptable approach/solution to delivering the requirements utilising standard strategies, plans, tools, methods or technologies. Note: an acceptable response may include minor reservations that can easily be resolved with the bidder pre-contract award (i.e. changes which would not distort the competition) or during the contract term without impacting time, quality or cost.
3 Good	A proposal at this rating: · Builds confidence that the bidder can deliver the requirements through evidence of relevant ability, understanding, skills, resources and quality measures; · Provides a good approach/solution to delivering the requirements utilising appropriately tailored strategies, plans, tools, methods or technologies. Note: a good response may include a small number of minor reservations that can easily be resolved with the bidder pre-contract award (i.e. changes which would not distort the competition) or during the contract term without impacting time, quality or cost.
4 Excellent	A proposal at this rating: · Builds a high level of confidence that the bidder can deliver the requirements through evidence of relevant ability, understanding, skills, resources and quality measures; · Provides an exceptional approach/solution to delivering the requirements utilising appropriately tailored and at times innovative strategies, plans, tools, methods or technologies. Note: an excellent response should not include any reservations.