



**CONSULTATION ON DRAFT  
NATIONAL STRATEGY FOR  
SPACE ENVIRONMENTS AND  
HUMAN SPACEFLIGHT**

AUGUST 2014

# Contents

<b>Contents.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Consultation on draft National Strategy for Space Environments and Human Spaceflight .</b>	<b>3</b>
1. Executive Summary .....	4
2. How to Respond .....	6
3. Confidentiality & Data Protection.....	7
4 Help with Queries .....	7
5. What happen next? .....	7
Annex 1: Consultation Principles .....	8
Comments or complaints on the conduct of this consultation .....	8
Annex 2: List of Individuals/Organisations consulted.....	99
Annex 3: Consultation Response Form.....	90
Annex 4: The Draft National Strategy for Space Environments and Human Spaceflight .....	103

# Consultation on a draft National Strategy for Space Environments and Human Spaceflight

At the European Space Agency (ESA) Council of Ministers in November 2012, the UK formally became involved in human spaceflight for the first time, committing funding to ESA's International Space Station Utilisation programme (ISS) and the complementary European Life and Physical Sciences (ELIPS) programme. 16M€ was committed to ELIPS over a four year period, and 20M€ initially to the ISS as a 'one-off' contribution.

The Government's decision was based upon detailed business cases prepared by the UK Space Agency in consultation with stakeholders. The draft document now being consulted upon (Annex 4) expands these initial analyses, sets longer term goals, recognises areas of national strength which have emerged since November 2012 and presents an overarching strategy for maximising the UK's return from human spaceflight and space environments.

Responses will be welcomed on whether the correct areas have been highlighted; how best to support these areas; whether the draft strategy adequately addresses the broad range of relevant topics; and the balance between different sectors, disciplines and industries.

Ordinarily, strategy documents of this nature might not be put to public consultation, and would be formed simply with reference to the industrial and academic communities which are directly affected. However, the sheer range of topics covered, the inevitable public interest in the issue at hand, and the fact that the UK is effectively a new entrant to this field, mean that the Agency is especially keen to canvas the views of as broad a community as possible to ensure a fully representative and considered strategy.

Issued: 22 August 2014

Respond by: 17 October 2014

Enquiries to: Andrew Kuh  
Human Spaceflight and Microgravity Programme Manager  
UK Space Agency  
Polaris House  
Swindon SN2 1SZ  
[andrew.kuh@ukspaceagency.bis.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:andrew.kuh@ukspaceagency.bis.gsi.gov.uk)

## 1. Executive Summary

- 1.1 The UK Space Agency is consulting on the contents of a new National Strategy for Space Environments and Human Spaceflight. 'Space environments' refers to all research conducted in space, utilising one or more condition of the space environment – for example, microgravity, radiation, extreme vacuum – or in ground-based facilities which mimic these conditions, such as drop towers simulating microgravity or Antarctic stations providing isolation. 'Human spaceflight' here describes all human presence in space.
- 1.2 The draft strategy sets a national vision for work in this area; defines goals which will deliver this vision; sets out different routes to achieving these goals; and highlights key areas of UK research which will support and benefit from this strategy.

The top-level goals are:

- To deliver excellent science and technology in line with UK priorities
  - To exploit the public fascination and enthusiasm for human spaceflight to deliver education
  - To use the interdisciplinary nature of these platforms to foster new collaborations
  - To win contracts for UK industry and attract new investment in the UK
  - To help prepare the UK for possible future commercial human spaceflight endeavours
- 1.3 In line with the pathways to growth defined in the UK Civil Space Strategy, and reflecting the significant contribution to national growth made by the space sector more broadly, these goals are intended to open up opportunities for growth from export; drive innovation supporting growth; enable science which underpins growth; contribute significantly to education for growth and position the UK for growth through new opportunities.
  - 1.4 The highlighted research areas – defined in reference to existing research strategies and priorities as set by national Research Councils – are:
    - Astrobiology and astrochemistry
    - Life and biomedical sciences
    - Fundamental physics
    - Materials research
  - 1.5 This consultation is open to responses from organisations and individuals. We are particularly interested in responses from directly affected stakeholders, in the industrial sector (be this space industry or terrestrial industry standing to benefit from space environments R+D), in the academic sector (again, from both traditional space sciences and other disciplines wishing to utilise the space environment).

- 1.6 The consultation will be open for eight weeks. It is a relatively short document with no legislative or regulatory implications, there are relatively few questions to be answered and major stakeholders have already been consulted, so this is considered sufficient for interested parties to give a considered response.
- 1.7 Responses will be used to shape and refine the strategy before a final version is published. Given that UK activity in this area is still in its infancy, the consultation will also be used to ensure that no important opportunities or significant areas have been neglected.
- 1.8 The consultation questions are:
  1. Does the draft strategy offer a clear vision for the UK and provide a coherent framework for achieving this vision?
  2. Are the goals in the proposed strategy appropriate and feasible?
  3. Are the highlighted research areas appropriate, and do you feel any area is not covered which should be?
  4. Is a proper balance achieved between academic and industrial benefits, and is the correct emphasis placed on the Agency's role and that of partners?
  5. Are all relevant institutional stakeholders identified in the annex to the strategy? If not, please identify any others.
  6. Do you have any other comments to make on the proposed strategy?

## 2. How to respond

- 2.1 When responding please state whether you are responding as an individual or representing the views of an organisation. If you are responding on behalf of an organisation, please make it clear who the organisation represents by selecting the appropriate interest group on the consultation form and, where applicable, how the views of members were assembled.
- 2.2 The consultation response form is available electronically on the consultation page: [<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/XXX>] (until the consultation closes). UK Space Agency consultations are digital by default but if required printed copies of the consultation document can be obtained from the contact below. Other versions of the document in Braille, other languages or audio-cassette are available on request.
- 2.3 The completed form can be submitted by email or by letter to:
- Andrew Kuh  
Human Spaceflight and Microgravity Programme Manager  
UK Space Agency  
Polaris House  
Swindon SN2 1SZ  
Tel. 01793 41 8081  
Fax 01793 41 8099
- [andrew.kuh@ukspaceagency.bis.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:andrew.kuh@ukspaceagency.bis.gsi.gov.uk)
- 2.4 A list of those organisations and individuals consulted can be found in Annex 2. We would welcome suggestions of others who may wish to be involved in this consultation process.
- 2.5 The consultation opens on 22 August 2014 and the last date for responses is 17 October 2014.

### **3. Confidentiality & Data Protection**

- 3.1 Information provided in response to this consultation, including personal information, may be subject to publication or release to other parties or to disclosure in accordance with the access to information regimes (these are primarily the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA), the Data Protection Act 1998 (DPA) and the Environmental Information Regulations 2004). If you want information, including personal data that you provide to be treated as confidential, please be aware that, under the FOIA, there is a statutory Code of Practice with which public authorities must comply and which deals, amongst other things, with obligations of confidence.
- 3.2 In view of this it would be helpful if you could explain to us why you regard the information you have provided as confidential. If we receive a request for disclosure of the information we will take full account of your explanation, but we cannot give an assurance that confidentiality can be maintained in all circumstances. An automatic confidentiality disclaimer generated by your IT system will not, of itself, be regarded as binding on the Agency.

### **4. Help with queries**

Questions about the policy issues raised in the document can be addressed to:

Andrew Kuh  
Human Spaceflight and Microgravity Programme Manager  
UK Space Agency  
Polaris House  
Swindon SN2 1SZ  
Tel. 01793 41 8081  
Fax 01793 41 8099

[andrew.kuh@ukspaceagency.bis.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:andrew.kuh@ukspaceagency.bis.gsi.gov.uk)

The consultation principles are in Annex 1.

### **5. What happens next?**

Responses will be analysed and resulting modifications to the strategy implemented in October 2014. A Government response will be published in November 2014, alongside a final version of the strategy.

## **Annex 1: Consultation principles**

The principles that Government departments and other public bodies should adopt for engaging stakeholders when developing policy and legislation are set out in the consultation principles.

<http://www.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/sites/default/files/resources/Consultation-Principles.pdf>

### **Comments or complaints on the conduct of this consultation**

If you wish to comment on the conduct of this consultation or make a complaint about the way this consultation has been conducted, please write to:

Angela Rabess,  
BIS Consultation Co-ordinator,  
1 Victoria Street,  
London  
SW1H 0ET

Telephone Angela on 020 7215 1661  
or e-mail to: [angela.rabess@bis.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:angela.rabess@bis.gsi.gov.uk)

However if you wish to comment on the specific policy proposals you should contact the policy lead (see section 5).



## **Annex 2: List of Individuals/Organisations consulted**

Astrobiology Society of Britain  
Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council  
Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council  
European Space Agency  
Institute of Materials, Minerals and Mining  
Institute of Physics  
Medical Research Council  
Royal Astronomical Society  
Science and Technology Facilities Council  
TSB Satellite Applications Catapult  
UK Space Life and Biological Sciences  
UKspace

## Annex 3: Consultation response form

The closing date for this consultation is 17 October 2014.

Please return completed forms to:

Andrew Kuh  
Human Spaceflight and Microgravity Programme Manager  
UK Space Agency  
Polaris House  
Swindon SN2 1SZ

Telephone: 01793 41 8081

Fax: 01793 41 8099

Email: andrew.kuh@ukspaceagency.bis.gsi.gov.uk

**Name:**

**Organisation (if applicable):**

**Address:**

**Please tick a box to indicate which best describes you as a respondent:**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Business representative organisation/trade body
<input type="checkbox"/>	Central government
<input type="checkbox"/>	Charity or social enterprise
<input type="checkbox"/>	Individual
<input type="checkbox"/>	Large business (over 250 staff)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Legal representative
<input type="checkbox"/>	Local Government
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium business (50 to 250 staff)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Micro business (up to 9 staff)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Small business (10 to 49 staff)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Trade union or staff association
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (please describe)

**Question 1:**

**Does the draft strategy offer a clear vision for the UK and provide a coherent framework for achieving this vision?**

**Questions 2:**

**Are the goals in the proposed strategy appropriate and feasible?**

**Question 3:**

**Are the highlighted research areas appropriate, and do you feel any area is not covered which should be?**

**Question 4:**

**Is a proper balance achieved between academic and industrial benefits, and is the correct emphasis placed on the Agency's role and that of partners?**

**Question 5:**

**Are all relevant institutional stakeholders identified in the annex to the strategy?  
If not, please identify any others.**

**Question 6:**

**Do you have any other comments to make on the draft strategy?**

**Question 7:**

**Do you have any other comments that might aid the consultation process as a whole?**

Thank you for taking the time to let us have your views, it is most appreciated. We do not intend to acknowledge receipt of individual responses unless you tick the box below.

Please acknowledge this reply ☐

Are you content for the UK Space Agency to retain your details so that we can contact you with information on related activities and consultations in future?

☐ Yes

☐ No

## Annex 4: The Draft Strategy

# **Draft National Strategy for Space Environments and Human Spaceflight**

August 2014

# 1. Vision

**The UK will be a recognised and valued participant in human spaceflight and space environments research – in low Earth orbit, on analogue platforms and in deep space exploration. Advancing scientific knowledge and technological capabilities as a pathway to growth will positively augment the UK economy and provide measurable societal benefits in sectors such as healthcare, communications and education.**

## 1.2 Introduction

This strategy will help ensure that existing investment is well targeted and be a guide for future investment decisions, providing a framework for further activities. The path towards the achievement of the vision begins with space-analogue and orbital platforms, expands human presence into the solar system, and ultimately leads to human missions on the surface of Mars or other solar systems planets, moons or asteroids. The first steps focus on utilizing the ISS, expanding the synergies between human and robotic missions, and pursuing discovery-driven missions in the lunar vicinity that help to develop capabilities and techniques needed to go further, as described in the Global Exploration Roadmap<sup>1</sup>.

- 1.3 Tim Peake, a former British Army helicopter pilot was selected from thousands of applicants from across Europe to join the ESA Astronaut Corps in 2009. His first mission was announced in May 2013: he will fly on the ISS for a six-month mission commencing December 2015, becoming the first UK government-backed British astronaut, the first British astronaut to visit the ISS, and a very visible demonstration of UK engagement with human spaceflight. This mission presents an excellent opportunity for publicising leading UK science and technology and a unique focus for education.
- 1.4 The UK Space Agency will lead national activities in space environments research and human spaceflight, coordinating stakeholders and setting a clear national framework. This strategy lays out how the Agency will facilitate UK involvement in these areas, its goals for the sector(s) and how the many interests in this domain will be balanced to deliver one coherent overarching programme.
- 1.5 The Agency will work closely with partners in academia, industry and government to ensure that the UK exploits opportunities in international programmes to drive research and innovation, building the national research base. It will work closely with international space agencies to secure commercial opportunities for UK companies delivering spaceflight

---

<sup>1</sup> The Global Exploration Roadmap, Version 2, published August 2013 by the International Space Exploration Coordination Group – see <http://www.globalspaceexploration.org>

infrastructure and work to identify downstream sectors which will benefit from the vast range of research conducted in space and space-analogous environments.

- 1.6 UK strengths in biomedicine, fundamental physics, materials research and space science will be advanced through research in space environments. The Agency will identify priority areas consistent with those of the national Research Councils and target these areas in particular, whilst remaining mindful that the unique properties of the space environment may be of interest to research in virtually any discipline and encouraging new and innovative interventions.
- 1.7 The Innovation and Growth Strategy for space (IGS) and the Agency's Civil Space Strategy (CSS)<sup>2</sup> provide a clear context for UK investment in space. The space environments and human spaceflight programme, as part of this broader national picture, is expected to help deliver the goals set out in the CSS: facilitating excellent science to underpin growth; encouraging growth through innovation; enabling growth from export; and providing a unique context for education for growth.

## 2. Goals

- 2.1 Building upon analyses and consultation undertaken in 2012, the following goals have been identified for the National Strategy. It should be noted that these are not specific to the ELIPS and ISS Utilisation programmes, rather they are the goals set by the Agency regardless of the mechanism by which they are delivered. The goals (not in any order of priority) are:
  - **To deliver excellent science and technology in line with UK priorities**
    - with fundamental intellectual merit and socio-economic impact
    - utilising a range of space environments platforms, including but not limited to the ISS; parabolic flights; drop towers and bed rest facilities
    - with terrestrial benefit, and downstream application: for example in the areas of healthcare and materials
    - positioning the UK for leadership of technologies for human exploration beyond LEO
  - **To exploit the public fascination and enthusiasm for human spaceflight to deliver education**

---

<sup>2</sup> UK Space Agency Civil Space Strategy 2012-2016 – see <http://www.bis.gov.uk/assets/ukspaceagency/docs/uk-space-agency-civil-space-strategy.pdf>.

- focussed especially on encouraging young people to take up STEM<sup>3</sup> subjects
  - in line with the national curriculum and the Agency's existing education strategy<sup>4</sup>
- **To use the interdisciplinary nature of these platforms to foster new collaborations**
    - between and scientific disciplines
    - between industrial and academic partners
    - between the space sector and 'non-space' sectors
    - to increase the speed with which science is taken up and commercially exploited
  - **To win contracts for UK industry and attract new investment in the UK**
    - matching at least the value of government investment
    - reflecting the UK's world leading capabilities in telecommunications and propulsion systems and developing capability in new areas where the UK can take a lead
    - to attract inward investment from foreign companies, where this will complement existing UK capabilities.
  - **To help prepare the UK for possible future commercial human spaceflight endeavours**
    - by providing a regulatory environment that encourages commercial spaceflight in the UK
    - by enabling research in space medicine and human health
- 2.2 In line with the pathways to growth defined in the UK Civil Space Strategy, the programme will open up opportunities for **growth from export**; drive **innovation supporting growth**; enable **science to underpin growth**; contribute significantly to **education for growth** and position the UK for **growth through new opportunities**. These criteria will be used to inform future investment decisions.

### 3. Delivery

---

<sup>3</sup> Science, technology, engineering and maths. Increased uptake in these subjects has been recognised as important for the national economy in general, and for providing the skilled workforce needed by the UK's growing space industry in particular. See the Space IGS space Growth Action Plan [www.bis.gov.uk/assets/ukspaceagency/docs-2013/igs-action-plan.pdf](http://www.bis.gov.uk/assets/ukspaceagency/docs-2013/igs-action-plan.pdf) Pp.23

<sup>4</sup> [www.bis.gov.uk/assets/ukspaceagency/docs/strategy/uk-space-agency-education-strategy-march-2011.pdf](http://www.bis.gov.uk/assets/ukspaceagency/docs/strategy/uk-space-agency-education-strategy-march-2011.pdf)



- 3.1 As with much of the UK space programme, delivery will be in cooperation with a range of partners. Primarily, the role of the Agency is to provide funding for access to space infrastructure; other bodies are responsible for the exploitation thereof. As a simple example, the Agency may fund the launch costs and payload development for a science experiment, but a research funder (such as one of the national Research Councils) would fund the utilisation of any data the experiment delivers. The situation with space environments research is slightly more complex as it covers a broad range of facilities and requires interfaces with many different national funders, each with its own policies.
- 3.2 Secondly, due to the cross-disciplinary nature of space environments and human spaceflight research, many organisations may have a stake, and the Agency must effectively coordinate the various interested parties. The division of responsibilities between different national funders necessitates continued communication between the Agency and other bodies; the Agency will effectively follow Research Councils' existing research priorities

### 3.3 Access to space and space-analogues

The UK does not have the capacity to unilaterally deliver these programmes, and to develop the necessary infrastructure would require unfeasible levels of investment. There are realistically three routes for the delivery of the Agency's principal responsibility of providing access to space:

1. Missions and/or experiment campaigns bilaterally with other nations
2. Procurement of capacity on commercial platforms (ground based, sub-orbital and in LEO)
3. Subscription to ESA programmes

- 3.4 **Option 1** could have some merit in enabling relatively quick access to partners' existing facilities. However, it would be costly and likely to result in unequal relationships: the UK does not have the infrastructure so will inevitably be the junior partner, thus subject to the whims of the other nation. Some partners may be considered more reliable than others, but there will always be an element of risk involved.

Examples may include cooperating with China, delivering British science on the Shenzhou and Tiangong platforms, or contribution to NASA-led initiatives on the ISS. The high element of risk in doing so, and the inability of the UK to plan a long-term strategy with confidence are impediments to solely pursuing this route. It could however complement a broader programme of activity and the Agency will remain alert to the potential for such partnerships and assess on merit any missions of opportunity that arise.

- 3.5 **Option 2** is still in its infancy, though great progress is being made. Alongside commercial space on the ISS, the space tourism industry

recognises a need to diversify beyond ‘merely’ tourist flights and to offer a service for scientific payloads<sup>5</sup>. Funding access to facilities such as parabolic flights and bed rest facilities in direct negotiation with providers is a route already taken by other European countries alongside their subscriptions to ESA programmes. However, demand is as yet difficult to ascertain in the UK, and there is a serious risk that the science community is either unready or uninterested in fully utilising such investment. Further, with few such facilities owned and located in the UK, this approach would not directly support UK industry. It will therefore not be pursued until such a time as demand necessitates it and there is sufficient national supply to meet at least some of this demand.

- 3.6 Option 3** is deemed by the Agency as the approach involving least risk and maximum return for UK investment. Contributing to ESA programmes gives the UK a ‘seat at the table’ in determining their directions and priorities, ensures a certain level of investment back into UK industry (via the ESA principle of ‘geo-return’<sup>6</sup>) and opens up access to a whole range of facilities which would otherwise, individually contracted, be many times more expensive. It also allows UK researchers to join their international colleagues in existing funded collaborations and to take stronger roles in ESA’s Topical Teams, which set the direction for future research in Europe. ESA has a good heritage of space flight operations and supports design and technical development of experiments in a manner the Agency cannot feasibly replicate in the UK.

Consultation with the scientific community has indicated that bed rest, parabolic flights, drop towers and the ISS are the most important space environments facilities for UK researchers. Subscription to ELIPS provides access to all of these, and contribution to the ISS also permits UK leadership of ISS-borne experiments. Whilst programmatic pressures constrain the degree of access (for example, the multiple scientific and technical reviews required, and limited space available on the ISS mean it can take several years for an experiment to progress from concept to launch), these constraints are offset by the sheer range of facilities available for relatively modest investment.

Contribution to ESA’s ISS Utilisation programme is currently the only viable and cost effective route to ensure UK involvement in human spaceflight. Although Tim Peake was selected to join the ESA Astronaut Corps before the UK had any formal involvement in the ISS, without the subsequent investment he would have remained simply an ‘ESA astronaut’ with no UK government backing and no option for the UK to make use of his flight, either by delivering

---

<sup>5</sup> An ISU/IISC workshop *Commercial Solutions for Microgravity Experiments* (London, 04 December 2013) identified several such providers [www.isunet.edu/news-and-media-center-2/1284-workshop-commercial-solutions-for-microgravity-experiments](http://www.isunet.edu/news-and-media-center-2/1284-workshop-commercial-solutions-for-microgravity-experiments). A useful summary may be found in Buckley and Peeters, 2012.

<sup>6</sup> This dictates that whatever a Member States commits to a programme will as far as possible be spent in turn in that Member State, minus ESA overheads

science and technology or through inspiring children and the public with a tailored education programme.

In summary: option 3 will be pursued and option 1 remains under consideration.

- 3.7 The ISS will inevitably cease operations in the coming decades<sup>7</sup>, and the Agency therefore must consider delivery beyond this. The Agency will proactively consult on national requirements and scope post-ISS scenarios. Specifically, a full assessment shall be made of option 2 above and the capabilities of small satellites to deliver experiments in both the life and physical sciences. At this stage all options will be considered, including commercial providers should they meet the requirements of the user community.

### **3.8 Stakeholders and partners**

As noted above, the engagement of partners is critical. The Agency's commitment extends only as far as investing in access to space environments facilities and international human spaceflight programmes. Thereafter, others must make use of this investment, though the Agency will proactively lead activities to publicise the opportunities to relevant groups and offer guidance and administrative support.

Key stakeholders are listed in annex 1.

### **3.9 Highlighted research areas**

The Agency prioritises investment in facilities that deliver government strategy. It will identify highlighted research areas:

- which reflect the research priorities of the national Research Councils as formed in response to the needs of their respective science communities;
- considered likely to significantly benefit from space environments and human spaceflight; and
- where the UK has expertise and is well-placed to take a leading role

- 3.10 These priorities will also be used by the Agency to shape the long-term plans for the programme. Other interests are not excluded and it must be noted that a key strength of human spaceflight and space environments research is the great breadth science and innovation enabled. The highlighted research

---

<sup>7</sup> The formal 'end date' for ISS operations at the time of writing is 2020, but all ISS partners are considering extending funding beyond this.

areas provide some direction and coherence to Agency activities and inform discussions with other funding bodies. The highlighted areas are:

- **Astrobiology and astrochemistry** meeting STFC's Science Roadmap Challenges<sup>8</sup>, including 'How do stars and planetary systems develop and is life unique to our planet?'
- **Life and biomedical sciences** aligned to MRC and BBSRC priorities for research into ageing, for example under the Lifelong health and Wellbeing<sup>9</sup> initiative;
- **Fundamental physics** addressing the EPSRC 'Grand Challenges' in Physics<sup>10</sup> and STFC's Science Roadmap Challenges;
- **Materials research** addressing EPSRC's Materials Engineering and 'Manufacturing the Future' research themes<sup>11</sup>

These are well-aligned with the research 'cornerstones' as defined within the ESA programme – specifically, Astrobiology; Human Physiology & Performance; Biology; Fundamental Physics and Material Sciences – and international goals for human spaceflight and space environments research, as defined in the ISECG Global Exploration Roadmap (GER)<sup>12</sup>. The UK is an active member of the ISECG and uses the GER, which was conceived and agreed by 14 national space agencies, for strategic planning of space exploration in the international context. The Agency will use these as reference points to identify niche areas for the UK to exploit.

- 3.11 The Agency will work closely with national funders of these areas to ensure that the programme is well understood, that respective remits are clearly defined (of ESA, the Agency and the science funders) and that, where appropriate, specific funding calls are available. The lack of space-based research in some of these fields has led to scepticism about the relevance of space in some quarters; the Agency recognises that it is required to actively promote the benefits of research in space environments and to demonstrate its relevance, both to the science community and on behalf of the science community to other government bodies. This will be done through formal (e.g.

---

<sup>8</sup> Aspects of all four of the 'challenges' may be addressed through work in space environments. See <http://www.stfc.ac.uk/challenges>

<sup>9</sup> Lifelong Health and Wellbeing (LLHW) is a major cross-Council programme led by MRC. <http://www.mrc.ac.uk/Ourresearch/ResearchInitiatives/LLHW/index.htm>

<sup>10</sup> such as Quantum Physics for New Quantum Technologies; Emergence and Physics far from Equilibrium; and the study of the Physics of Life.

<sup>11</sup> See <http://www.epsrc.ac.uk/research/ourportfolio/themes/manufacturingthefuture/Pages/future.aspx>

<sup>12</sup> The GER provides a framework for strategic planning of space exploration, agreed by 14 national space agencies. This is agreed at an inter-agency level and built on consultation and input from governments, scientists and engineers.

submission to advisory boards; memoranda of understanding) and informal (e.g. direct conversations; attendance at relevant conferences and events) channels.

### **3.12 Industrial research and exploitation of space environments**

The Agency will work closely with industrial partners and government bodies responsible for facilitating industrial applications of space – primarily the Technology Strategy Board (TSB) and the Satellite Applications Catapult. The cross-disciplinary nature of space environments necessitates that the Agency also reach out to a broader range of industry than simply the traditional space sector. This will be done through the Knowledge Transfer Network, maintaining a visible presence at industry events and promoting new opportunities through information sessions. Representative bodies of sectors likely to benefit from space environments research will be consulted, including the Institute of Materials Minerals and Mining (IOM3), the UK Space Biomedicine Consortium (IOM3) and UKspace.

- 3.13 The Agency also has a role to play in representing UK industry in an international context, ensuring that UK industrial capability and interests are reflected in international roadmaps and in the specific programme plans to which the UK subscribes – positioning the UK for long-term opportunities whilst making the most of more immediate plans.
- 3.14 The Agency will also act as a national point of contact for expert advice on commercial uses of, and access to, space environments; advising industry on the advantages, limitations and technical requirements of different platforms – be these funded privately or through government programmes. To maximise commercial exploitation, scientists engaging with the programme will be encouraged to consider the downstream and commercial uses of their research.

### **3.15 Education**

Space environments and human spaceflight give an exciting context for education. The Agency will exploit the opportunity presented by UK involvement in these programmes to deliver its existing education, outreach and skills strategy<sup>13</sup>. The human angle, and the novelty of science performed in space or space-like conditions, gives these programmes a great attraction and potential to reach people who would otherwise have been uninterested. However, it must also be noted that any educational activity which is seen to be merely novel, or for which the spaceflight angle is unnecessary or contrived, will be rejected and should be avoided.

---

<sup>13</sup> [www.bis.gov.uk/assets/ukspaceagency/docs/strategy/uk-space-agency-education-strategy-march-2011.pdf](http://www.bis.gov.uk/assets/ukspaceagency/docs/strategy/uk-space-agency-education-strategy-march-2011.pdf)

- 3.16 As well as using this inspiring context generally, specific opportunities will be exploited as they arise, for example by implementing educational activities tied to specific science experiments, or through programmes of activity tied to astronaut missions. Given the additional publicity generated by space environments research, scientists will be actively encouraged to consider education and outreach opportunities and wherever possible the Agency will support them to deliver these. This may be through direct support or through coordinating with relevant bodies, such as ESERO-UK<sup>14</sup>, the National Space Centre or Association of Science and Discovery Centres.

## **4. Governance**

- 4.1 This strategy is owned by the Chief Executive of the UK Space Agency. The strategy is drafted in consultation with the community and broader public, through official advisory boards and a public consultation. The UK Space Agency Space Exploration Advisory Committee (SEAC) and the Environments Working Group (SEWG) will review the strategy annually and monitor progress against the stated goals. Due to dependence on other bodies, its content is subject to their approval and this will be sought for each future revision. Delivery of the strategy will be monitored by the SEWG and defined in annual work plans overseen by this group and its parent committee, the SEAC.

### **4.2 Evidence**

National evidence for the impact of work described in this strategy is, in some areas, incomplete or speculative. This is inevitable when participating in new areas; estimates and market analyses are made based on the best data available and reasonable projections. The Agency will proactively gather evidence illustrating the effectiveness of the programme as it progresses. This evidence will be used to shape future iterations of this strategy and inform decisions concerning continued participation space environments and human spaceflight programmes.

---

<sup>14</sup> European Space Education Resource Office, UK. Based at the Science Learning Centre in York, this office helps to deliver the Agency space education activities and acts as a national coordinator of educational materials.



## Annex 1: Definitions and Background

### i Definitions

- i.a** **‘Human spaceflight’** is here used to describe all human presence in space. Practically, this may be via one of two routes: 1. State-funded projects, in particular the International Space Station (ISS)<sup>15</sup>, a permanently crewed presence in low earth orbit (LEO). 2. Commercial spaceflight providers – none of these is yet fully operational for human missions, but several are expected to commence flights bearing the first commercial astronauts and ‘space tourists’ or ‘participants’ (as distinct from astronauts) in the next few years.
- i.b** **‘Space environments’** is used to refer to all research conducted in space, utilising one or more condition of the space environment – for example, microgravity, radiation, extreme vacuum – or in ground-based facilities which mimic these conditions, such as drop towers simulating microgravity or Antarctic stations providing isolation. As such, ‘space environments’ essentially describes several related sites of study, rather than a scientific discipline or methodology *per se*. Particular strands of research in fields as diverse as quantum physics, applied psychology and advanced manufacturing may be considered to fall under the umbrella of ‘space environments research.’

### ii Background, context and timing

- ii.a** At the European Space Agency (ESA) Council of Ministers in November 2012, the UK committed funding for the first time to the European Life and Physical Sciences (ELIPS) programme and the ISS Utilisation programme: 16M€ was committed to ELIPS over a four year period, and 20M€ to the ISS as a ‘one-off’ contribution. This marked the first time the UK had been involved in human spaceflight, and the decision was based upon detailed business cases prepared by the UK Space Agency in consultation with scientific and industrial communities and other government bodies. These business cases assessed the costs and benefits in scientific, economic and societal terms. Strategic discussions were held with key stakeholders and areas likely to benefit were identified, based upon robust market analysis, community consultation and research. This forms the basis of the Agency’s goals for the programme.
- ii.b** The present document expands these goals, identifies the challenges in achieving them and presents an overarching strategy for maximising the UK’s return from space environments and human spaceflight.

---

<sup>15</sup> It should be noted that there is also a Chinese human spaceflight programme independent of the ISS, though this currently offers no opportunity for UK participation

## Annex 2: List of stakeholders

Stakeholder	Relevant Areas of Interest
<i>Government Bodies</i>	
Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council	<p>The BBSRC is the UK's main public funder of bioscience. It invests in research and training, with the aim of furthering scientific knowledge, to promote economic growth, wealth and job creation and to improve quality of life in the UK and beyond.</p> <p>Of particular relevance is the strategic priority of healthy ageing, which might be addressed through human research in space and space-analogue environments</p>
Medical Research Council	<p>The MRC seeks to improve human health through world-class medical research. To achieve this, it supports research across the biomedical spectrum, from fundamental lab-based science to clinical trials, and in all major disease areas. It works closely with the NHS and the UK Health Departments, giving a high priority to research that is likely to make a real difference to clinical practice and the health of the population.</p> <p>In the frame of this programme, human research in space and space-analogue environments can be used to address a wide range of medical questions. Particularly, research on ageing, human physiology and immunology.</p>
Science and Technology Facilities Council	<p>The STFC supports UK research in a range of fields. Specific STFC 'science challenges' which might be addressed by research in space environments include 'What is the Universe made of and how does it evolve?'; 'How do galaxies stars and planets form and evolve?'; 'What are the laws of physics in extreme conditions?' and 'Are we alone in the Universe?'.</p> <p>The STFC is the principal government funder</p>



	of astrochemistry and astrobiology in the UK.
Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council	<p>The EPSRC is the main government funder of research and training in engineering and the physical sciences.</p> <p>Research areas of direct relevance to this strategy are materials research, fluid physics and rheology.</p> <p>Work in space environments leads to better understanding of thermophysical properties of materials and novel manufacturing processes – The Agency see synergy here with EPSRC’s research themes of ‘Manufacturing the Future’, ‘Energy’ and ‘Physical Sciences’.</p>
Department for Business Innovation and Skills	BIS is the parent Department of the UK Space Agency.
TSB Satellite Applications Catapult	<p>The Satellite Applications Catapult is an independent innovation and technology company, created by the Technology Strategy Board to foster growth across the economy through the exploitation of space. It helps organisations make use of and benefit from satellite technologies, and bring together multi-disciplinary teams to generate ideas and solutions in an open innovation environment.</p> <p>Together with Scottish Enterprise, the Satellite Applications Catapult is establishing a ‘Space and Life Sciences Centre’ which could provide a route for commercialisation of UK research in space environments.</p>
<i>International Bodies</i>	
European Space Agency	The UK Space Agency works closely with ESA for the delivery of programmes. ESA manages European involvement in the ISS and operates the Columbus module. The UK is a member of ESA’s ISS Utilisation and ELIPS programmes.
International Space Exploration	The ISECG is an international forum of

Coordination Group	14 space-faring nations which seeks to coordinate the long term space exploration goals of its members. The UK is an active member.
<i>Learned societies</i>	
Institute of Materials, Minerals and Mining	IOM3 is a major UK engineering institution whose activities encompass the whole materials cycle, from exploration and extraction, through characterisation, processing, forming, finishing and application, to product recycling and land reuse. It exists to promote and develop all aspects of materials science and engineering, geology, mining and associated technologies, mineral and petroleum engineering and extraction metallurgy, as a leading authority in the worldwide materials and mining community.
Astrobiology Society of Britain	The ASB is a learned society dedicated to the understanding and advancement of astrobiology in the United Kingdom.
UK Space Life and Biological Sciences	UK Space LABS is an association of academic and commercial entities and individuals which pursues a unified strategy to draw upon the contributions, knowledge, activities and strengths of its members. It identifies, supports and promotes areas of synergy between space and terrestrial healthcare and conducts translational R&D in support of UK interests.
Institute of Physics	The IOP represents the UK physics community and seeks to advance physics education, research and application. It engages with policymakers and the general public to develop awareness and understanding of the value of physics.
Royal Astronomical Society	The RAS represents the astronomy and space science communities in the UK. It encourages and promotes the study of astronomy, solar-system science, geophysics and closely related branches of science.

<i>Trade bodies</i>	
UKspace	UKspace is the trade association of the UK space industry. It is dedicated to representing the interests of our members, supporting them in growing and developing their businesses. It represents the interests of industry with the UK government, parliament and national and international stakeholders.